

A standard linear barcode is located in the top left corner of the white label. It consists of vertical black lines of varying widths on a white background.

3 1761 05646235 1

UNIV. OF
TORONTO
LIBRARY



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2008 with funding from
Microsoft Corporation

STUDIES IN HISTORY, ECONOMICS AND PUBLIC LAW

EDITED BY THE FACULTY OF POLITICAL SCIENCE OF
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Volume LXVIII]

[Whole Number 163

THE ORIGINS OF THE ISLAMIC STATE

BEING A TRANSLATION FROM THE ARABIC
ACCOMPANIED WITH ANNOTATIONS'
GEOGRAPHIC AND HISTORIC NOTES OF THE

KITÂB FUTÛH AL-BULDÂN

OF

al-Imâm abu-l 'Abbâs Ahmad ibn-Jâbir al-Balâdhuri

Ahmad ibn 'Yâqîn, al-Balâdhuri

BY

PHILIP KHÛRI HITTI, PH.D.

*On the permanent staff of the Syrian Protestant College, Beirut, Syria
Gustav Gottheil Lecturer in Columbia University*

VOL. I



15-12-94
9/7/19

New York
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

LONGMANS, GREEN & CO., AGENTS

LONDON: P. S. KING & SON, LTD.

1916

FOREWORD

INTEREST in the Nearer East has increased our desire to know as accurately as is possible the beginnings of the faith and of the various states that have played so important a part in its history. The Arabs themselves have had, from the earliest times, a keen sense for historical tradition and an equally keen desire to preserve that tradition in writing. There is, perhaps, no people of earlier times that has left us so large an amount of documentary evidence as to its beginnings as they have. The evidence, of course, needs sifting and sorting according to the canons of criticism we have learned to employ in all such cases. But, this work cannot be done by Oriental scholars alone, whose time is often taken up largely with the philological and literary examination of the texts that have come down to us. It is, therefore, eminently a part of their duty to render these texts accessible to students of history who are not masters of the Arabic language.

Dr. Hitti has undertaken this task in connection with the record of one of the earliest Arab historians whose work has been preserved. Since its publication in 1866 by Professor de Goeje, al-Balâdhuri's "Futûh al-Buldân" has been recognized as one of our chief authorities for the period during which the Arab state was in process of formation. This task of translating has not been a simple one: proof is that the attempt has not been made before this. The style of al-Balâdhuri is often cryptic and unintelligible. This is perhaps due to the fact that the work, as it has reached us, is a shortened edition of a much larger one

which, though existent up to the seventeenth century, has not been found in any of the collections of manuscripts to which we have access. In its present form, the work mentions often men and matters that probably were treated of in the longer recension, but of which now we know nothing. Dr. Hitti's translation is, therefore, in a certain sense also, a commentary and an exposition. As such, I trust that it will be found useful to Orientalists as well as to students of history. His fine sense for the niceties of Arabic expression has often enabled him to get through a thicket that is impenetrable to us Westerners.

RICHARD GOTTHEIL.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, JANUARY, 1916.

CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| FOREWORD | 1 |
| | |
| INTRODUCTION | |
| Arabic Historiography with Special Reference to al-Balâdhuri. | 1 |
| | |
| PART I—ARABIA | |
| CHAPTER I | |
| Al-Madinah | 15 |
| CHAPTER II | |
| The Possessions of the <i>banu-an-Nadîr</i> | 34 |
| CHAPTER III | |
| The Possessions of the <i>banu-Kuraizah</i> | 40 |
| CHAPTER IV | |
| Khâibar | 42 |
| CHAPTER V | |
| Fadak | 50 |
| CHAPTER VI | |
| Wâdi-l-Kura and Taimâ' | 57 |
| CHAPTER VII | |
| Makkah | 60 |
| CHAPTER VIII | |
| The Wells of Makkah..... | 77 |
| CHAPTER IX | |
| The Floods in Makkah | 82 |
| CHAPTER X | |
| Aṭ-Tâ'if | 85 |
| CHAPTER XI | |
| Tabâlah and Jurash | 91 |
| CHAPTER XII | |
| Tabûk, Ailah, Adhruḥ, Maḳna and al-Jarba' | 92 |
| CHAPTER XIII | |
| Dûmat al-Jandal | 95 |

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| CHAPTER XIV | |
| The Capitulation of Najrân | 98 |
| CHAPTER XV | |
| Al-Yaman | 106 |
| CHAPTER XVI | |
| 'Umân | 116 |
| CHAPTER XVII | |
| Al-Bâhrahîn | 120 |
| CHAPTER XVIII | |
| Al-Yamâmah | 132 |
| CHAPTER XIX | |
| The Apostasy of the Arabs in the Caliphate of abu-Bakr as-Siddîk | 143 |
| CHAPTER XX | |
| The Apostasy of the banu-Wali'ah and al-Ash'ath ibn-Ķais ibn-Mâdikarib ibn-Mu'âwiyah-l-Kindi | 153 |
| CHAPTER XXI | |
| Al-Aswad al-'Ansi and those in al-Yaman who Apostatized with him | 159 |

PART II—SYRIA

| | |
|--|-----|
| CHAPTER I | |
| The Conquest of Syria | 165 |
| CHAPTER II | |
| The Advance of Khâlid ibn-al-Walid on Syria and the Places he Reduced on his Way | 169 |
| CHAPTER III | |
| The Conquest of Buṣra | 173 |
| CHAPTER IV | |
| The Battle of Ajnâdin (or Ajnâdain) | 174 |
| CHAPTER V | |
| The Battle of Fiḥl in the Province of the Jordan | 176 |
| CHAPTER VI | |
| The Province of the Jordan | 178 |
| CHAPTER VII | |
| The Battle of Marj as-Şuffar | 182 |
| CHAPTER VIII | |
| The Conquest of Damascus and its Province | 186 |
| CHAPTER IX | |
| Hims | 200 |

CONTENTS

ix

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| CHAPTER X | |
| The Battle of al-Yarmûk | 207 |
| CHAPTER XI | |
| Palestine | 213 |
| CHAPTER XII | |
| The Province of Kinnasrin and the Cities called al-'Awâsim | 223 |
| CHAPTER XIII | |
| Cyprus | 235 |
| CHAPTER XIV | |
| The Samaritans | 244 |
| CHAPTER XV | |
| Al-Jarâjimah | 246 |
| CHAPTER XVI | |
| The Frontier Fortresses of Syria | 253 |

PART III—MESOPOTAMIA

| | |
|---|-----|
| CHAPTER I | |
| The Conquest of Mesopotamia [al-Jazirah] | 269 |
| CHAPTER II | |
| The Christians of the banu-Taghlib ibn-Wâ'il | 284 |
| CHAPTER III | |
| The Fortifications of the Mesopotamian Frontier | 287 |
| CHAPTER IV | |
| Arabic made the Language of the State Registers | 301 |

PART IV—ARMENIA

| | |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| CHAPTER I | |
| The Conquest of Armenia | 305 |

PART V—NORTHERN AFRICA

| | |
|---|-----|
| CHAPTER I | |
| The Conquest of Egypt and al-Maghrib [Mauritania] | 335 |
| CHAPTER II | |
| The Conquest of Alexandria | 346 |
| CHAPTER III | |
| The Conquest of Barkah and Zawîlah | 352 |
| CHAPTER IV | |
| The Conquest of Tripoli | 355 |

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| CHAPTER V | |
| The Conquest of Ifrikiyah | 356 |
| CHAPTER VI | |
| The Conquest of Tanjah [Tangiers] | 362 |
| PART VI—ANDALUSIA | |
| CHAPTER I | |
| The Conquest of Andalusia | 365 |
| PART VII—ISLANDS IN THE SEA | |
| CHAPTER I | |
| The Conquest of Certain Islands in the Sea | 375 |
| PART VIII—NUBIA | |
| CHAPTER I | |
| Terms made with Nubia | 379 |
| CHAPTER II | |
| The Karâṭis | 383 |
| PART IX—AL-‘IRĀK AND PERSIA | |
| CHAPTER I | |
| The Conquest of as-Sawâd | 387 |
| The Caliphate of abu-Bakr as-Śiddîk. | |
| CHAPTER II | |
| The Caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb | 401 |
| CHAPTER III | |
| The Battle of Kuss an-Nâṭîf, or the Battle of al-Jîr | 403 |
| CHAPTER IV | |
| The Battle of Mihrâن or an-Nukhailah | 405 |
| CHAPTER V | |
| The Battle of al-Kâdisiyah | 409 |
| CHAPTER VI | |
| The Conquest of al-Madâ’în | 417 |
| CHAPTER VII | |
| The Battle of Jalûlâ’ | 420 |
| CHAPTER VIII | |
| The Founding of al-Kûfah | 434 |

CONTENTS

xi

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| CHAPTER IX | |
| Wâsiṭ al-‘Irâk | 449 |
| CHAPTER X | |
| Al-Baṭā’ih | 453 |
| CHAPTER XI | |
| Madinat as-Salâm | 457 |
| CHAPTER XII | |
| Arabic made the Language of the Register | 465 |
| PART X—MEDIA [AL-JIBĀL] | |
| CHAPTER I | |
| Hulwân | 469 |
| CHAPTER II | |
| The Conquest of Nihâwand | 471 |
| CHAPTER III | |
| Ad-Dinawar, Mâsabâdhân and Mihrijânkâdhaf | 478 |
| CHAPTER IV | |
| The Conquest of Hamâdhân | 481 |
| CHAPTER V | |
| Kumm, Kâshân and Işbahân | 485 |
| CHAPTER VI | |
| The Death of Yazdajird ibn-Shahriyâr ibn-Kisra ibn-Abarwîz ibn-Hurmuz ibn-Anûshirwân | 490 |
| INDEX | 495 |
| ERRATA | 517 |



INTRODUCTION

ARABIC HISTORIOGRAPHY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO AL-BALĀDHURI

ALTHOUGH rudimentary elements of historiography can be traced back to the description of the "days", *i. e.*, the battles between the tribes, and such stories as the "Ma'rib dam", "the owners of the elephant" and the digging of the "Zamzam well"—all of pre-Islamic antiquity—, yet Arabic historical writing, in the strict sense of the term, is a branch of Islamic literature. Interest in Muhammad necessitated the compilation of traditions (Ar. *hadith*) relating to the life and campaigns of the Prophet and his companions. The communistic theocracy of warriors under the early caliphs, and particularly 'Umar's system of assigning state pensions to Moslems according to their kinship to the Prophet, gave impetus to the study of genealogy in which even pagan Arabs, who attached special importance to descent, were interested. The elucidation of passages in poetry, one of the earliest and most fully-developed modes of expression among the Arabs, and the necessity of determining persons and places referred to in their religious literature made philologists apply themselves to historical research. The three sources of Arabian history therefore are: (1) pre-Islamic stories, (2) traditions relative to the life and campaigns of the Prophet and the companions, and (3) genealogical lists and poetical compositions. The earliest books of history are: biography (*sîrah*), books of campaigns (*maghâzi*), and books of genealogy and classes (*ansâb wa-ṭabaḳât*).

The domains of theology, law and history in their early rise overlap and are not sharply defined from one another.

No student of Arabic literature fails to be impressed with the fact that the bearers of the torch of learning among the Arabs were in most cases of foreign and particularly of Persian stock. This is to be explained by the fact that public opinion considered it contemptible for the Arab to busy himself with the pursuit of knowledge to the neglect of the noble art of warring. To this general tendency, however, studying anecdotes, transmitting traditions, and remembering stories—especially if they commemorated the deeds of heroes, orators and poets, formed a conspicuous example. We read in *al-Mas'ûdi*¹ that Mu'âwiyah the founder of the Umayyad dynasty “devoted one-third of the night to the reading of the news and battles of the Arabs and non-Arabs.” We also read in *al-Bayân*² that al-Manṣûr the Abbasid caliph after long hesitation decided to put abu-Muslim al-Khurâsâni to death as a result of hearing an anecdote about Sapor the Persian king. One of the favorite sayings in early Islam was the following found in *al-'Ikâd al-Fârid*³: “For kings the study of genealogy and histories, for warriors the study of battles and biography, and for merchants the study of writing and arithmetic.”

The chief source from which history writing flowed was tradition (*hadîth*). It was a pious custom that when Moslems met, one should ask for news (*hadîth*), and the other should relate a saying or anecdote of Muḥammad. Each event is related in words of eyewitnesses or contemporaries and transmitted to the final narrator through a chain of intermediate reporters. The authenticity of the reported fact

¹ Vol. v, p. 77, Paris, 1869.

² *al-Jâhîz*, vol. ii, pp. 154-155, Cairo, 1313 A. H.

³ Vol. i, p. 198, Cairo, 1293 A. H.

depends on (1) the continuity of the chain and (2) the confidence in each reporter. Thus would al-Balâdhuri start his narrative regarding the campaign of the Prophet against Najrân: ¹ “Bakr ibn-al-Haitham related to me, that ‘Abdallâh ibn-Şâlih related to him, on the authority of al-Laith ibn-Sa‘d, on the authority of Yûnus ibn-Ziyâd al-Aili, on the authority of az-Zuhri, who said. . . .”

This form of historic composition is unique in the case of the Arabs and meets the most essential requirements of modern historiography, namely, “back to the source” and “trace the line of authorities.” The system, however, has its drawbacks in that it crystallized the record of events and rendered deviation from the trodden path sacrilegious. Aside from the use of judgment in the choice of *isnâd*—the series of authorities—the Arabian authors exercised very little power of analysis, criticism, comparison or inference, their golden rule being “what has been once well said need not be told again.” At-Tabari, in the introduction to his great work, gives expression to that principle, where, conscious of the exception that many of his readers might take to some of his reports, he pleads,² “We only transmit to others what has been transmitted to us.”

Another way of handling traditions is that in which the compiler combines different traditions into one continuous whole, prefixing a statement of his authorities or contenting himself by interrupting the narrative, wherever need may be, by citing the particular authority. While al-Balâdhuri is an exponent of the former type and spares no pains in basing every fact, whenever possible, on an independent *isnâd*, yet he sometimes resorts to the other method as he himself acknowledges in the first lines of his *Futûh* (p. 15):

¹ *Futûh al-Buldân*, p. 98.

² Vol. i, p. 7, ed. De Goeje, Leiden, 1879-1881.

"I have been informed by certain men learned in tradition, biography and the conquest of the lands whose narratives I transmitted, abridged and pieced up together into one whole," etc. Where his store of authorities fails him, al-Balâdhuri introduces his narratives by "they said," or "he said," or "it was said."

On a geographical basis, Moslem tradition may be grouped into two categories: (1) that of al-Madinah as represented by Muhammad ibn-Ishâk and al-Wâkidi, and (2) that of al-'Irâk. Notwithstanding the fact that al-Balâdhuri lived in Baghhdâd, the tradition of al-Madinah, which for obvious reasons is more reliable than that of al-'Irâk, forms the basis of his works.

History, whose domain in the time of the first four caliphs was not sharply defined, made its full appearance, and was recorded for the first time under the sway of the Umayyads. According to *al-Fihrist*,¹ Mu'âwiyah ibn-abî-Sufyân² summoned from al-Yaman one, 'Âbid ibn-Shâriyah, and asked him about past events, histories of the Arabs and foreign kings and "ordered that the answers be recorded." This "book of the kings and past events," however, is lost.

The early favorite forms of writing history were biography, genealogy and description of campaigns. The oldest biography is *Sîrat Rasûl Allâh* written for al-Manṣûr by ibn-Ishâk (d. 151/767). This we do not possess in its original form but only in the recension of ibn-Hishâm (d. 213/834). Genealogy borders on biography and, calling for elucidation, both lead on to history. Genealogical books were first written in the Umayyad period. The genealogical list served as an army roll. The study of tradition

¹ p. 89, ed. Flügel.

² caliph 41-60/661-680.

necessitated the study of the life and character of the reporter on whom the authenticity of the report depends. Thus the reporters were classified into classes (*ṭabakāt*). The most famous writer of *ṭabakāt* was ibn-Sa'd (d. 230), the secretary of al-Wâkîdi and the compiler of *Kitâb at-Ṭabakât al-Kabîr*.

Campaigns playing an important rôle in the life of Muhammad and the early caliphs soon began to assert their claim for special attention and were treated in special books. Besides, the necessity of recording and studying the campaigns arose from the fact that in levying a tax (*kharâj*) on the conquered land, those in authority were first confronted with the task of determining whether it was taken "by peace", "by capitulation", or "by force", and what the terms in each case were. This gave rise to many books on campaigns (*maghâzi*), one of the oldest of which is al-Wâkîdi's (d. 207/822). Some books were issued treating of the conquest of one city, most of which books have been lost. Given a number of books on the conquest of different cities, the next step would be to compile them into one whole. That step was taken by al-Balâdhuri—the last great historian of Moslem campaigns.

Before the Abbasid period no books on general history were attempted. In the golden age of the Abbasid caliphate and under Persian influence, historiography flourished and developed a new form of composition. The translation of such books as the Pehlevi *Khuday-Nama* by ibn-al-Mukâffa' into the Arabic *Kitâb al-Mulûk*, coupled with the fact that the Moslem commonwealth was now richly recruited by Persian converts, made the idea of chronological collation of events, for which the school of al-Madînah had paved the way, develop to the plan of a complete series of annals. The first to undertake such a history was at-Ṭabarî. Thus the historian who at the rise of Islam was a tradition-

ist or reporter becomes now a chronicler. The annalistic method of *at-Tabari* was followed by *ibn-al-Athîr* and *abu-l-Fida*.

Al-Mas'ûdi inaugurated a new system of writing history. Instead of grouping events around years as center, he grouped them around kings, dynasties and races. His system was followed among others by *ibn-Khaldûn*, but did not win so much favor as that of *at-Tabari*.

The first record we have regarding the life of al-Balâdhuri is that of *al-Fihrist*.¹ Other sources for his life are *Yâkût*, *Mu'jam al-Udabâ'*, (pp. 127-132),² and *al-Kutubi*, *Fawât al-Wafayât* (Vol. I, pp. 8-9, Bûlâk, 1283). *Ibn-Khallikân* refers to him on more than one occasion but does not give his biography.³ From these sources we learn that *Alîmad ibn-Yâhiya ibn-Jâbir al-Balâdhuri* was a native of Baghîdâd descended from Persian stock. His grandfather, *Jâbir*, was secretary to *al-Khaşîb*, minister of the finances of Egypt under the caliph *ar-Rashîd*. *Alîmad* was an intimate friend of the caliphs *al-Mutawakkil* and *al-Musta'in* and tutored *'Abdallâh*, the brilliant son of *al-Mu'tazz*. He distinguished himself in poetry—especially satires, tradition and genealogy. The year 279/892 saw his death, mentally deranged as a result of drinking the juice of the anacardia (*balâdhur*); hence his surname *al-Balâdhuri*. Besides writing *Futûh al-Buldân*, which is a digest of a larger work that has been lost, he wrote *Ansâb al-Ashrâf*,⁴ of which only two volumes are preserved, one in the Schefer collection of the

¹ p. 113, ed. Flügel.

² Leiden, 1907, ed. Margoliouth.

³ See also de Goeje's introduction to *al-Balâdhuri*; and Hamaker, *Specimen Catalogi*, p. 7 *seq.*

⁴ *Lineage of Nobles*. See *Hâjji Khalîfah*, vol. i, pp. 455 and 274, ed. Flügel, Leipzig, 1835.

Bibliothèque Nationale,¹ and the other has been autographed by Ahlwardt.² Al-Mas'ûdi³ quotes al-Balâdhuri's *ar-Radd-'ala ash-Shu'ûbiyah* (Refutation of ash-Shu'ûbiyah),⁴ which book is also lost.

Of the works of al-Balâdhuri the one that claims our special attention is *Futûh al-Buldân*.⁵ The book shares with other books of Arabic history the advantage of tracing the report back to the source. Being a synopsis of a larger work, its style is characterized by condensation whereby it gains in conciseness but loses in artistic effect and clearness. Certain passages are mutilated and ambiguous. It is free from exaggeration and the flaws of imagination. Throughout the work the sincere attempt of the author to get to the fact as it happened and to record it as it reached him is felt. The chapters on colonization, soldier's pay, land tax, coinage and the like make it especially valuable.

The book does not escape the weaknesses common to Arabian histories. The "ipse dixit" which was a source of strength was also a source of weakness. Once the words supposed to have been uttered by a contemporary or eyewitness are ascertained, the author feels his duty fulfilled, and his function as a historian degenerates into that of a reporter. The personal equation is not only reduced but the personality of the author is almost eliminated, appearing only as a recipient of a tradition. Scarcely an opinion or remark is made. The intellect is not brought to bear on the data.

¹ De Goeje, *ZDMG*, XXXVIII, 382-406.

² Greifswald, 1883. Cf. Nöldeke, *GG4*, 1883, p. 1096 seq.; Thorbecke, *Lbl. Or. Phil.*, vol. i, pp. 155-156.

³ Vol. iii, pp. 109-110.

⁴ Goldziher, *Muhammedanische Studien*, vol. i, p. 166.

⁵ ed. De Goeje, Leiden, 1866. See Nöldeke, *GG4*, 1863, 1341-1349.

A weak characteristic of Arabic historians is their utter disregard of the social side of national life. Political history to them is history *par excellence*. It should, however, be said, to the credit of al-Balâdhuri, that while from a modern standpoint he is defective in that respect, still he stands superior to other historians.

As one reads *Futûh al-Buldân* and is struck by the fact that a long chapter is devoted to the "digging of the wells of Makkah",¹ whereas the conquest of Tripoli, Africa, is dismissed with a few words,² he cannot help feeling his sense of proportion suffer. Most of the two chapters entitled "The Founding of al-Kûfah"³ and "of al-Başrah"⁴ are devoted to the explanation of the names given to baths, canals and castles and only a small part relates to actual colonization.

One might also add that Arabic historians were not very sensitive on the question of indecency of language. In general the language of *Futûh* is clean, with the exception of the case of al-Mughîrah, the governor of al-Başrah under 'Umar.⁵

According to Hâji Khalfa,⁶ the first writers on biography and campaigns were, among others, 'Urwâh ibn-az-Zubair (d. 93) and Wahb ibn-Munabbih (d. 114); and we read⁷ that Muhammad ibn-Muslim az-Zuhri wrote a book of campaigns. These works are all lost and the first biography we have is that of ibn-Hishâm (d. 213) based on ibn-Ishâk (d. 151). Az-Zubair and az-Zuhri, as well as ibn-Ishâk, are among the sources of al-Balâdhuri.

That in most cases the same tradition that underlies the

¹ pp. 77-82.

² pp. 355.

³ pp. 434-448.

⁴ pp. 346-372 in De Goeje's edition.

⁵ pp. 344-345 in De Goeje's edition.

⁶ Vol. v, p. 646.

⁷ In vol. v, pp. 154 and 647.

life of Muhammad according to ibn-Hishâm is made use of by al-Balâdhuri in the first chapters of his *Futûh* is made evident by a comparison of the chapters on the banu-an-Nâdîr, Khaibar and Tabûk.¹ Al-Balâdhuri makes no mention of ibn-Hishâm but quotes ibn-Ishâk eleven times. The *isnâd* in Balâdhuri being longer, it might be conjectured that he did not get his material at first hand from ibn-Ishâk's work but through subsequent reporters. Al-Madâ'ini lived from 135-215 (753-830). He wrote a "history of the caliphs" and a book of "campaigns", both of which are lost and are known only by excerpts through al-Balâdhuri, at-Tabari and Yâkût. Of these, al-Balâdhuri alone has over forty citations from him.

Al-Wâkidi (d. 207/823) wrote 28 books recorded in *al-Fihrist*,² only a few of which have come down to us. Having lived at Baghîdâh his works were certainly accessible to al-Balâdhuri, who quotes him on 80 different occasions and more than any other source. Most of the quotations are made through ibn-Sâ'd, the secretary of al-Wâkidi, and one of al-Balâdhuri's teachers. A comparison between the campaigns against banu-an-Nâdîr³ and banu-Kuraiżah⁴ in al-Balâdhuri, and the corresponding ones in al-Wâkidi's *Kitâb al-Maghâzi*,⁵ shows many points of contact but no absolute interdependence.

Ibn-Sâ'd (d. 230) being the disciple of al-Wâkidi and the professor of al-Balâdhuri acted as a connecting link between the two. In his *Futûh*, al-Balâdhuri has 48 citations from him, many of which were communicated by word of mouth and were recorded verbatim by al-Balâdhuri. In his book

¹ Cf. Hishâm, p. 652 and Balâdhuri, p. 34; Hishâm, p. 779 and Balâdhuri, p. 42.

² p. 99.

³ p. 34.

⁴ p. 40.

⁵ pp. 353 and 371, ed. von Kremer, Calcutta, 1856.

aṭ-Ṭabakāt (*the Book of Classes*), many striking similarities to the traditions of al-Balādhuri are noticed.

Ad-Dinawari (d. 282/896) was another contemporary of al-Balādhuri. He wrote a number of books of which only one of importance has come down to us, *i. c.*, *al-Akhbār aṭ-Tiwāl*.¹ Contrary to al-Balādhuri, al-‘Irāk tradition is the basis of his work. It is probable that neither of the two authors was familiar with the work of the other.

In addition to these, al-Balādhuri quotes many other authorities of whom the most favorite ones are: Ḥammād ibn-Salamah, Bakr ibn-al-Haitham, ‘Āmir ash-Sha‘bi, Sufyān ibn-Sa‘id ath-Thauri, ‘Amr ibn-Muḥammad an-Nākīd and Hishām ibn-al-Kalbi, most of whose works are either unknown to us or have entirely disappeared.

The most illustrious writer on history after al-Balādhuri was aṭ-Ṭabāri (d. 310). According to *al-Fihrist* and ibn-Khallikān, he traveled in Egypt, Syria and al-‘Irāk in quest of learning and died in Baghdaḍ. Aṭ-Ṭabāri makes no mention of al-Balādhuri.

In the introduction to his remarkable work, *Murūj adh-Dhahab*, al-Mas‘ūdi (d. 346) cites scores of books from which he drew his material, and among which he mentions al-Balādhuri's paying it a high tribute in these words. “We know of no better work on the history of the Moslem conquests”.²

Not only did later historians draw freely from al-Balādhuri but subsequent geographers used him extensively as a source. The remarkable work of Yākūt, *Mu‘jam al-Bulḍān*, reproduces a great part of the book. Mukaddasi quotes him,³ and so al-Hamadhāni,⁴ and al-Mas‘ūdi.⁵

¹ ed. Vladimir Guirgass, Leiden, 1888.

² al-Mas‘ūdi, p. 14, Paris, 1861.

³ *Aḥsan at-Takāṣīm*, 313.

⁴ *Kitāb al-Bulḍān*, 303, 321.

⁵ *Kitāb at-Tanbīh*, 358, 360.

The above-sketched attempt to view al-Balâdhuri in his historic setting warrants the conclusion that the tradition recorded by him was mostly communicated to him by word of mouth and partly through books that have mostly been lost, and that it was a source for al-Mas'ûdi and Yâkût, and through them for many subsequent Arabic historians and geographers.

PART I
ARABIA

CHAPTER I AL-MADINAH

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE COMPASSIONATE, THE 2
MERCIFUL, WHOSE HELP I SOLICIT!

The Prophet in al-Madinah. Says Ahmad ibn-Yahya ibn-Jâbir:—

I have been informed by certain men learned in tradition, biography, and the conquest of the lands, whose narratives I transmitted, abridged and pieced up together into one whole, that when the Messenger of Allah emigrated from Makkah to al-Madinah he was entertained as the guest of Kalthûm ibn-Hidm ibn-Amru'i-l-Ḳais ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-Zaid ibn-'Ubayd ibn-Umayyah ibn-Zaid ibn-Mâlik ibn-'Auf ibn-'Amr ibn-'Auf ibn-Mâlik ibn-al-Aus¹ in Ḳubâ'.² So much, however, of his discourse was carried on in the home of Sa'd ibn-Khaithamah ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-Mâlik of [the tribe of] banu-as-Sâlim ibn-Amru'i-l-Ḳais ibn-Mâlik ibn-al-Aus that some thought he was the guest of the latter.³

Ḳubâ' Mosque. Of the *Companions* of the Prophet, the early *Emigrants* together with those of the *Anṣâr*⁴ who had joined him had already built a mosque at Ḳubâ' to pray in, prayer at that time being directed towards Bait-al-Makdis [Jerusalem]. Now, when the Prophet arrived in

¹ Ibn-Ḥajar, *Kitâb al-Isâbah*, vol. iii, pp. 613-614.

² A suburb of al-Madinah; see Yâkût, *Mu'jam al-Buldân*, vol. iv, pp. 23-24.

³ Ibn-Hishâm, *Sîrat Rasûl Allâh*, p. 334.

⁴ The *Helpers*—originally applied to the early converts of al-Madinah.

Ḳubâ', he led them in prayer in it. That is why the people of Ḳubâ' say that it is the one meant by Allah when he says: "There is a mosque founded from its first day in piety. More worthy that thou enter therein."¹ Others report that the "mosque founded in piety" is that of the Prophet [in al-Madinah].

Abu-'Âmir ar-Râhib. 'Affân ibn-Muslim aş-Şaffâr from 'Urwah² who gave the following explanation to the text: "There are some who have built a mosque for mischief and for infidelity, and to disunite the 'Believers,' and in expectation of him who, in time past, warred against Allah and his Messenger":—The mosque of Ḳubâ' was built by Sa'd ibn-Khaithamah and its site was owned by Labbah³ where she used to tie up her donkey. The dissenters therefore said: "Should we pray on a spot where Labbah used to tie up her donkey? Never. Rather shall we select for ourselves some other place for prayer until abu-'Âmir⁴ comes and leads our service." Now, abu-'Âmir had fled from the face of Allah and his Prophet to Makkah and thence to Syria where he was converted to Christianity. Hence the text revealed by Allah: "There are some who have built a mosque for mischief and for infidelity and to disunite the 'Believers,' and in expectation of him who, in time past, warred against Allah and his Messenger"—referring to abu-'Âmir.

Rauh ibn-'Abd-al-Mu'min al-Mâkri from Sa'id ibn-Jubair:—Banu-'Amr ibn-'Auf erected a mosque in which the

¹ Koran, 9: 109.

² The series of authorities introducing a tradition have been cut short throughout the translation, only the first and last authorities being mentioned.

³ "Lajja" in F. Wüstenfeld, *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 131.

⁴ Ibn-Hishâm, pp. 561-562; and *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 53.

Prophet led them in prayer. This aroused the jealousy of their brothers banu-Ghanm ibn-‘Auf who said, “If we, too, could erect a mosque and invite the Prophet to pray in it as he prayed in our friends’! Abu-‘Âmir, too, may pass here on his way from Syria and lead us in prayer.” Accordingly, they erected a mosque and sent an invitation to the Prophet to come and pray in it. But no sooner had the Prophet got up to start, than the following text was revealed to him: “There are some who have built a mosque for mischief and for infidelity and to disunite the ‘Believers,’ and in expectation of him who, in time past, warred against Allah and his Messenger,” the one meant being abu-‘Âmir, “never set thou foot in it. There is a mosque founded from its first day in piety. More worthy it is that thou enter therein. Therein are men who aspire to purity and Allah loveth the purified. Which of the two is best? He who hath founded his building on the fear of Allah and the desire to please him,” etc., referring to the mosque of Kubâ’. 4

Muhammad ibn-Hâtim ibn-Maimûn from al-Hasan:—When the text, “Therein are men who aspire to purity” was revealed, the Prophet communicated with those who prayed in the mosque of Kubâ’ asking about the meaning of the purity mentioned in connection with their name, and they replied, “We, Prophet of Allah, wash after voiding excrement and urine.”

“The mosque founded in piety.” Muhammad ibn-Hâtim from ‘Âmir:—Some of the people of Kubâ’ used to wash with water the place of exit of the excrement.¹ Hence the text, “They aspire to purity.”

‘Amr ibn-Muhammad an-Nâkîd and Ahmad ibn-Hishâm from Sahl ibn-Sâ‘d:—Two men in the time of the Prophet disagreed regarding the “mosque founded in piety,” the one

¹ Cf. az-Zamakhshari, *Kashshâf*, vol. i, p. 564 (ed. Lees).

contending it was the Prophet's mosque, the other, the Ȣubâ' mosque. They finally came and asked the Prophet to which he replied, "It is this mosque of mine."¹

'Amr ibn-Muhammad from ibn-'Umar:—The "mosque founded in piety" is the mosque of the Prophet.

Muhammad ibn-Hâtim from Ubai ibn-Ka'b:—In answer to a question directed to the Prophet regarding the "mosque founded in piety," the Prophet replied: "It is this my mosque."

Hudbah ibn-Khâlid from Sa'id ibn-al-Musaiyib who said regarding the "mosque founded in piety" that the great mosque of the Prophet is the one meant.

A tradition to the same effect is reported by 'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh al-Madini on the authority of Khârijah ibn-Zaid ibn-Thâbit and by 'Affân on the authority of Sa'id ibn-al-Musaiyib, and by Muhammad ibn-Hâtim ibn-Maimûn as-Samîn on the authority of 'Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-abi-Sa'id al-Khudri's father.

Ȣubâ' mosque was later enlarged and added to. When 'Abdallâh ibn-'Umar entered it for prayer, he always turned his face to the "polished column"²; and that was the place where the Prophet always prayed.

The Prophet arrives at al-Madînah. The Prophet spent in Ȣubâ' Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday, riding away on Friday for al-Madînah. Friday prayer he performed in a mosque erected by banu-Sâlim ibn-'Auf ibn-'Amr ibn-'Auf ibn-al-Khazraj, that being the first Friday on which he led public prayer. Then the Prophet passed by the houses of the *Anṣâr* one by one³ and each one of them offered to entertain him. He kept his way, however, until

¹ Baidâwi, *Anwâr at-Tânsîl*, vol. i, p. 401.

² *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 65.

³ Ibn-Hishâm, p. 336.

he arrived at the site of his mosque in al-Madinah where his camel knelt.¹ He dismounted. Then came abu-Aiyûb Khâlid ibn-Zaid . . .² ibn-al-Khazraj who took off the saddle of the Prophet's camel. The Prophet took up his abode at abu-Aiyûb's.³ Certain Khazrajis invited the Prophet, but he retorted, "Man is where his camel's saddle is." He remained at abu-Aiyûb's for seven months. He took up his residence there after [Friday-] prayer, one month since his departure [from Makkah]. The *Ansâr* presented to the Prophet all the unoccupied parts of their lands, saying, "O Prophet of Allah, take our own dwellings if thou wish."⁴ But he said, "No!"⁵

The mosque of the Prophet. Abu-Umâmah⁶ As'ad ibn-Zurârah ibn-'Udas ibn-'Ubâid ibn-Thâ'labah ibn-Ghanm ibn-Mâlik ibn-an-Najjâr, *Nakib-in-chief*,⁷ used to conduct Friday prayers for his Moslem followers in a mosque of his own in which the Prophet, too, used to pray. The Prophet, thereafter, requested As'ad to sell him a piece of land contiguous to this mosque. The land was in the hands of As'ad but belonged to two orphans in his custody whose names were Sahl and Suhail sons of Râfi' ibn-abi-'Amr ibn-'Â'îdh ibn-Thâ'labah ibn-Ghanm.⁸ As'ad proposed to offer it to the Prophet and to pay its price to the orphans himself. But the Prophet refused and paid for its price ten *dînârs*,⁹

¹ Ibn-Sâ'd, *Kitâb aṭ-Tabâkât*, vol. i¹, p. 160.

² In this and in other cases to come, the genealogical table has been cut short in the translation.

³ Ad-Diyârbakri, *al-Khamîs*, vol. i, p. 386.

⁴ *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 60.

⁵ *Nâkîb* is the superintendent of a people who takes cognizance of their actions and is responsible for them; ibn-Hajar, vol. i, pp. 61-63.

⁶ Ibn-Hishâm, p. 503.

⁷ A gold coin worth about ten shillings.

which money he secured from abu-Bakr *as-Siddîq*. By the Prophet's orders, bricks were prepared and used for building the mosque. Its foundations were laid with stones; its roof was covered with palm branches; and its columns were made of trunks of trees.¹ When abu-Bakr became caliph he introduced no changes in the mosque. When 'Umar was made caliph he enlarged it and asked al-'Abbâs ibn-'Abd-al-Mu'talib to sell his house that he might add it to the mosque. Al-'Abbâs offered the house as a gift to Allah and the Moslems; and 'Umar added it to the mosque.

In his caliphate, 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân reconstructed the mosque with stone and gypsum, making its columns of stone, and its roof of teak-wood. 'Uthmân also added to the mosque and carried to it small pebbles from al-'Akkîk.² The first caliph to plant in it *mâksûrah*³ was Marwân ibn-al-Hakam ibn-abi-l-'Âsî ibn-Umaiyyah who made his *mâksûrah* of carved stones. No change was thereafter introduced in the mosque until al-Walîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân succeeded his father. This al-Walîd wrote to his *'âmil* [lieutenant, governor] in al-Madinah, 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz, ordering him to destroy the mosque and reconstruct it. Meanwhile, he forwarded to him money, mosaic, marble, and 7 eighty Greek and Coptic artisans from Syria and Egypt. Accordingly, the *'âmil* rebuilt it and added to it, entrusting the supervision of its work and the expenditure for it to Sâlih ibn-Kaisân, a freedman of Su'dâ, a freedmaid of the family of Mu'aikib ibn-abi-Fâtimah ad-Dausi. This took place in the year 87, some say 88.⁴ After this, no caliph

¹ Al-Hamadhâni, *Kitâb al-Buldân*, p. 24.

² Hamadhâni, *Kitâb al-Buldân*, p. 25.

³ See *JAOS.*, vol. xxvii, pp. 273-274. Gottheil, "a distinguished family of Fatimite Cadis"; and *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 71.

⁴ *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 73.

made changes in the mosque down to the time of al-Mahdi's caliphate.

According to al-Wâkîdi, al-Mahdi sent 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Shabîb al-Ghassâni and another¹ descended from 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz to al-Madînah to reconstruct its mosque and increase it in size. The governor of al-Madînah was at that time Ja'far ibn-Sulaimân ibn-'Ali. It took these two one year to carry out the undertaking. One hundred cubits [Ar. *dhirâ'*] were added to the rear, making its length 300 cubits and its width 200.

According to 'Ali ibn-Muhammad al-Madâ'ini, al-Mahdi appointed Ja'far ibn-Sulaimân to the governorship of Makkah, al-Madînah and al-Yamâmah. Ja'far enlarged the mosques of Makkah and al-Madînah, the work in the latter being completed in the year 162. Al-Mahdi had visited Makkah before the pilgrimage season, in the year [1]60, and ordered that the *makshûrah* be supplanted and that it be put on the same level with the mosque.

In the year 246, caliph Ja'far al-Mutawakkil ordered that the mosque of al-Madînah be repaired. Much mosaic was subsequently carried to it; and the year 247 marked the completion of the work.

'Amr ibn-Hammâd ibn-abi-Hanîfah from 'Â'ishah:—The Prophet said: "All districts or cities were conquered by force, but al-Madînah was conquered by the Koran."

The inviolability of al-Madînah. Shaibân ibn-abi-Shaibah-l-Ubûlli from al-Hasan:—The Prophet said: "Every prophet can make a place inviolable, so I have made al-Madînah inviolable as Abraham had made Makkah. Between its two *Harrahs*,² its herbage shall not be cut, its trees

8

¹ 'Abdallâh ibn-'Âsim; De Goeje's edition of Balâdhuri, p. 7, note b.

² The word means tracts of black stones, i. e., the volcanic region in the vicinity of al-Madînah.

shall not be felled,¹ nor should weapons be carried in it for fight. He, therefore, who does that or harbors in his home one who has done so, may be cursed of Allah and his angels and all men. From him no repentance or ransom shall be accepted."

Rauh ibn-‘Abd-al-Mu’min al-Baṣri-l-Makri from abu-Hurairah:—The Prophet said: "My Lord, Abraham was thy servant and messenger, and so am I thy servant and messenger. And I have made inviolable all that lies between its two stony tracts as Abraham had made Makkah inviolable." Abu-Hurairah used to say: "By him who holds my life in his hands, even if I should find the deer in Baṭīḥān² I would not care for them."

Shaibān ibn-abi-Shaibah from Muḥammad ibn-Ziyād's grandfather (a freedman of ‘Uthmān ibn-Maz‘ūn and the holder of a piece of land belonging to the Maz‘ūn family in *Harrah*) who said:—"‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb with his robe on his head would sometimes call on me at midnight, take a seat and converse with me. I would then bring him cucumbers and vegetables. But one day he said: 'Go not: I have made thee superintendent of this place. Let no one beat a tree with a stick [that its leaves may fall] or cut off a tree (referring to the trees of al-Madinah); and if thou find anyone doing it, take away his rope and ax.' When I asked him, 'Shall I take his robe?' he answered, 'No'."

Abu-Mas‘ūd ibn-al-Kattāt from Ja‘far ibn-Muḥammad's father:—The Prophet declared inviolable all trees growing between Uhud and ‘Air, allowing [only] the driver of the water-carrying camel to cut *al-ghadā*³ trees and use them for repairing his ploughs and carts.

¹ Al-Bukhārī, *al-Jāmi‘ as-Ṣaḥīḥ*, vol. i, p. 40.

² Also Baṭīḥān or Buṭīḥān; see al-Hamdānī, *Sīfat Jazīrat al-‘Arab*, p. 124, line 9.

³ "Of the genus *Euphorbia* with a woody stem, often 5 or 6 ft. in height, and innumerable round green twigs"—Palgrave's *Travels*, vol. i, p. 38.

Hima ar-Rabadhah. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Zaid ibn-Aslām's father who said:—"I heard 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb say to one¹ whom he placed in charge of *Hima*² ar-Rabadhah and whose name Bakr forgot, 'Stretch not thy wing³ to any 9 Moslem. Beware the cry of the oppressed, for it is answered. Admit [to the *Hima*] the owner of the small herd of camels and sheep but keep off the cattle of ibn-'Affān and ibn-'Auf; for if their cattle should perish they resort to sowing, whereas if the cattle of this poor man perish, he comes to me crying, "O, commander of the believers! O, commander of the believers!" To offer grass is easier for the Moslems than to offer money in gold and silver.⁴ By Allah, this is their land for which they fought in pre-Islamic time and which was included in their terms when they became Moslem. They would, therefore, certainly feel that I oppress them; and had it not been for the cattle [secured by declaring a place *Hima*] to be used in the cause of Allah, I would never make a part of a people's land *Hima*."

Hima an-Nakī'. Al-Kāsim ibn-Sallām abu-'Ubaid from ibn-'Umar:—The Prophet declared an-Nakī' *hima* and reserved it for the Moslem cavalry.⁵ Abu-'Ubaid told me that it is an an-Nakī' [and not al-Bakī', as some have it] and that the *handakūk* plant [sweet trefoil] grows in it.

Mus'ab ibn-'Abdallah az-Zubairi from Sa'd ibn-abi-

¹ Whose name was Hunai; *Bukhāri*, vol. ii, p. 263.

² Reservation, pasture land reserved for the public use of a community or tribe to the exclusion of everyone else. Rabadhah was a district and a village 5 miles from al-Madinah.

³ Treat leniently, see ibn-al-Athir, *an-Nihāyah*, vol. iii, p. 26.

⁴ i. e., it is easier to let the owner of the little herd feed his flock on the *Hima* than to give him money for sustaining his children.

⁵ *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 155; Wākīdi, *Kitāb al-Maghāzi*, pp. 183-184. Nakī' lay 20 parasangs from Madinah.

Waḳḳāṣ :—The latter once found a young servant felling trees in the *ḥima* [reserved land]. He beat the servant and took his ax. The servant's mistress, or a woman of his kin, went to 'Umar and accused Sa'd. 'Umar ordered that the ax and the clothes be returned. But Sa'd refused saying, "I will not give up spoils given me by the Prophet whom I heard say, 'Whomever ye find cutting trees in the *ḥima*, ye should beat and deprive of what he has.'" From the ax Sa'd made a shovel which he used in his property to the end of his life.

Al-Ghābah. Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Madā'ini from ibn-Ju'dubah and abu-Ma'shar :—When the Prophet was at Ẓuraib (probably on his return from the expedition of dhu-Kard) banu-Ḥārithah of the *Anṣār* said to him referring to the site of al-Ghābah [forest], "This is the place for our camels to go loose, and for our sheep to graze, and for our women to go out." The Prophet then ordered that he who had cut off a tree should replace it by planting a small shoot. Thus was al-Ghābah planted with trees.

Wādi-Mahzūr. 'Abd-al-A'la ibn-Ḥammād an-Narsi from abu-Mālik ibn-Tha'labah's father :—The Prophet decreed in 10 the case of Wādi-Mahzūr¹ that the water be shut off on the surface until it rises to the two ankles, at which it should be conducted to the other place, thus preventing the owner of the higher property from holding the water from the owner of the lower one.

Ishāk ibn-abi-Isrā'il from 'Abd-ar-Rahmān ibn-al-Ḥārith :—The Prophet decreed in the case of the Mahzūr torrent that the owner of the higher property should hold the water until it rises to the two ankles, at which he must let it go to the holder of the lower land.

¹ One of the valleys of Madinah, see al-Bakri, *Kitāb Mu'jam Maṣṭa'jam*, vol. ii, p. 562.

‘Amr ibn-Ḥammâd ibn-abi-Ḥanifah from ‘Abdallah ibn-abi-Bakr ibn-Muhammad ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥazm al-Anṣâri’s father:—The Prophet decreed in the case of Mahzûr torrent and Mudhainib¹ that the water be shut in until it reaches the two ankles, then the upper supplies the lower. According to Mâlik, the Prophet passed a similar judgment in the case of Batîlân torrent.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad al-‘Ijli from abu-Mâlik ibn-Thâlabah ibn-abi-Mâlik’s father:—The Prophet was called upon to decide in the case of Mahzûr, the valley of banu-Kuraizah, upon which he decreed that water rising above the two ankles cannot be shut in by the higher owner from the lower owner.

Al-Ḥusain from Ja‘far ibn-Muhammad’s father:—The Prophet decreed in the case of Mahzûr torrent that the owners of palm trees have right to the ankle-high water, sowers have right to the water as high as the two straps of the sandal, after which the water is sent to the lower owners.

Hafs ibn-‘Umar ad-Dûri from ‘Urwah:—The Prophet said: “ Batîlân is one of the channels of Paradise.” II

‘Ali ibn-Muhammad al-Madâ’ini abu-l-Ḥasan from Ju‘-dubah and others:—In the caliphate of ‘Uthmân, al-Madînah was threatened with destruction by the Mahzûr torrent, which necessitated the erection of a dam by ‘Uthmân. Abu-l-Ḥasan added that in the year 156 the torrent brought a terrifying volume of water. The governor at that time, ‘Abd-aş-Şamad ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-‘Abbâs, sent ‘Ubaidallâh ibn-abi-Salamah-l-‘Umri who, with a big crowd, started after the afternoon prayer to see the torrent which had, by that time, covered the ṣadakah-lands² of the Prophet. An old woman from al-‘Âliyah-

¹ “ Mudhainib ” in al-Bakri, pp. 518, 562.

² Mawardi, *al-Āḥkâm as-Sultâniyah*, p. 292. Ṣadakah is a portion which a man gives from his property to the poor by way of propitiation. It is primarily supererogatory, whereas zakât is obligatory.

region¹ pointed out to them a spot to which she had often heard people refer. There they dug and the water found exit through which it passed to *Wâdi-Batîlân*. From *Mahzûr* to *Mudhainib* is a water-course which empties its water in it.

The Prophet calls al-Madînah Taybah. Muhammed ibn-Abân al-Wâsîti from al-Hasan:—The Prophet invoked Allah's blessing on al-Madînah and its inhabitants calling it *Taybah*.²

Abu-'Umar Hâfş ibn-'Umar ad-Dûri from 'Âishah, *the mother of the believers*:—When the Prophet emigrated to al-Madînah, a disease spread among the Moslems in it. Among those taken seriously ill were abu-Bakr, Bilâl and 'Âmir ibn-Fuhairah. During his illness, abu-Bakr often repeated the following verse:³

“One in the morning may lie amidst his family
and death may be nearer to him than his sandal's strap.”⁴

Bilâl often repeated the following:

“O, would I that I spent a night
at Fakh where *idhkhir* and *jalil*⁵ plants surround me!
And would that I some day visit Majannah-water to drink it,
and see Shâmah and Tafil [Mts.]!”

'Âmir ibn-Fuhairah used to repeat the following:

“I have found death before I tasted it,
verily the death of the coward comes from above.⁶
[Man struggles according to his own ability]
like the bull that protects his skin with his horn.”⁷

12

¹ Yâkût, *s.v.* 'Aliyah.

² Al-Hamadhâni, *Kitâb al-Buldân*, p. 23; *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 10.

³ Hishâm, p. 414; Azraqî, *Akhbâr Makkah*, p. 383.

⁴ Cf. Freytag, *Arabum Proverbia*, vol. i, p. 492, no. 63.

⁵ *Idhkhir* a small plant of sweet smell used for roofing houses. *Jalil* a weak plant with which the interstices of houses are stopped up.

⁶ Freytag, *Proverbia*, vol. i, p. 7, no. 10.

⁷ Az-Zamakhshari, *al-Fâ'il*, vol. ii, pp. 5-6.

This was reported to the Prophet and he prayed: “ Make al-Madînah, O Allah, wholesome for us as thou hast made Makkah for us, and bless for us its *sâ’* and *mudd*¹ [grain measures] !”

The water-course of al-Harrah. Al-Walîd ibn-Şâlih from ‘Urwah:—One of the *Anṣâr* had a dispute with az-Zubair ibn-al-‘Auwâm regarding the water-courses that run from al-Harrah to the plain. The Prophet said, “ Zubair, use the water, then turn it to thy neighbor.”²

Al-‘Akîk as fief. Ḫusain ibn-‘Ali ibn-al-Aswad al-‘Ijli from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah’s father:—As ‘Umar was parcelling al-‘Akîk into fiefs, he came to a part of it regarding which he remarked, “ I never gave such a land in fief.” To this Khauwât ibn-Jubair replied, “ Give it out to me.” And ‘Umar did.

Al-Ḥusain from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah’s father:—‘Umar gave al-‘Akîk in fief from its upper to its lower end.

Al-Ḥusain from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah:—‘Umar accompanied by az-Zubair set out to distribute fiefs, and as ‘Umar was giving them out, he passed by al-‘Akîk and said: “ Where are the seekers of fiefs? I have not yet today passed by a more fertile land.” Az-Zubair said: “ Give it out to me.” And ‘Umar did.

A similar tradition was communicated by al-Ḥusain from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah’s father.

Khalaf ibn-Hishâm al-Bazzâr from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah’s father who said:—“Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb gave out as fief to Khauwât ibn-Jubair al-Anṣâri a piece of dead land. This we bought from him.”

A similar tradition was communicated to me by al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad on the authority of Hishâm’s father.

13

¹ Wâkidi, *al-Maghâzi*, p. 14; al-Azraqî, p. 382.

² One tradition occurring here and defining certain terms in the previous tradition has been omitted in the translation. Evidently it is a gloss.

Other fiefs. Al-Ḥusain from ‘Urwah:—Abu-Bakr gave out as fief to az-Zubair the land lying between al-Jurf¹ and Kanâh.² Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Madâ’ini told me that Kanâh is a valley stretching from at-Ta’if to al-Arḥâdiyah and Karkarat al-Kudr and thence it comes to Sudd-Ma’ūnah from which it runs by the end of al-Ḳadûm and ends at the head of *Kubûr ash-Shuhadâ’* [martyrs’ tombs] at Uhud.

Abu-‘Ubaid al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from certain learned men:—The Prophet gave out as fief to Bilâl ibn-al-Ḥârith al-Muzani certain mines³ in the Furu’ district.

‘Amr an-Nâkîd and ibn-Sahm al-Antâki from abu-‘Ikrimah the freedman of Bilâl ibn-al-Ḥârith al-Muzani:—The Prophet gave out as fief to Bilâl a piece of land having a mountain and mines. The sons of Bilâl sold a part of it to ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz in which one mineral (or he may have said two) appeared. The sons of Bilâl thereupon said: “What we sold thee is not the minerals but the tillable land.” Then they brought forth a statement written for them by the Prophet on a palm leaf which ‘Umar kissed and with which he rubbed his eye saying to his steward: “Find out what the income and the expenses are, retain what thou hast expended, and give them back the balance.”

Abu-‘Ubaid from Bilâl ibn-al-Ḥârith:—The Prophet gave out all al-‘Akîk as fief to Bilâl.

The zakât on the metals. Mus‘ab az-Zubairi from Mâlik ibn-Anas:—The Prophet assigned as fief to Bilâl ibn-al-Ḥârith certain mines in the Furu’ district. On this, all our learned men agree. Nor do I know of any disagree- 14

¹ Called ‘Arşat al-Bâkal in al-Wâkidi’s days, see Wâkidi, tr. Wellhausen, pp. 103-104.

² A valley near Mount Thaib, one day’s journey from Madinah.

³ The mines of al-Kabaliyah, see al-Muṭarrizi, *Kitâb al-Mughrib*, vol. ii, p. 108.

ment among our followers regarding the fact that in the case of mines the *zakât* is one-fourth of the tithe. It is reported that az-Zuhri often repeated that in the case of mines *zakât* is binding. It is moreover reported that he said that the *zakât* is one-fifth. That is what the people of al-'Irâk say who at present impose on the mines of al-Furu', Najrân, dhu-l-Warwah, Wâdi-l-Kura and others one-fifth in accordance with the view of Sufyân ath-Thauri, abu-Ḥanifah, abu-Yûsuf and the school of al-'Irâk.¹

'Ali's fiefs. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Ja'far ibn-Muhammad:—The Prophet assigned to 'Ali as fief four pieces of land, i. e., the two Fukairs, Bi'r-Kais, and ash-Shajarah.²

A similar tradition was communicated to me by al-Ḥusain on the authority of Ja'far ibn-Muhammad.

'Amr ibn-Muhammad an-Nâkid from Ja'far ibn-Muhammad's father:—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb assigned to 'Ali as fief Yanbu',³ and another piece was added to it.

A similar tradition was communicated to me by al-Ḥusain on the authority of Ja'far ibn-Muhammad's father.

The well of 'Urwah, the reservoir of 'Amr and the canal of Banât-Nâ'ilah, etc. The next tradition was communicated to me by one in whom I trust on the authority of Mus'ab ibn'Abdallâh az-Zubairi:—The well of 'Urwah ibn-az-Zubair is named after 'Urwah ibn-az-Zubair; the 'Amr reservoir is named after 'Amr ibn-az-Zubair; the canal of Banât-Nâ'ilah is named after children of Nâ'ilah, daughter of al-Farâfiṣah-l-Kalbiyah and wife of 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân ('Uthmân had taken possession of this canal and conveyed

¹ Mâlik ibn-Anas, *al-Mudawwanah*, vol. ii, p. 47; ash-Shâfi'i, *Kitâb al-Umm*, vol. ii², p. 36.

² Yâkût, vol. iii, pp. 260-261.

³ Yâkût, vol. iv, pp. 1038-1039.

its water to a piece of land at al-‘Arṣah¹ which he cultivated and worked); the land of abu-Hurairah is ascribed to abu-Hurairah ad-Dausi; and aş-Şahwah in Mt. Juhainah is the *ṣadakah* of ‘Abdallah ibn-‘Abbâs.

Kaṣr-Nafis. It is said that the Nafis castle is ascribed to Nafis at-Tâjîr [the merchant] ibn-Muhammad ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Ubaid ibn-al-Mu‘alla ibn-Laudhân ibn-Ḥârithah ibn-Zaid of al-Khazraj, the allies of banu-Zuraik ibn-‘Abd-Ḥârithah of al-Khazraj. This castle stands in Ḥarrat-Wâkim at al-Madinah. ‘Ubaid ibn-al-Mu‘alla died as martyr 15 in the battle of Uhud. Others say it is Nafis ibn-Muhammad ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Ubaid ibn-Murrah, Mu‘alla’s freedman. This ‘Ubaid and his father were among the captives of ‘Ain at-Tamr. ‘Ubaid ibn-Murrah died in the battles of al-Harrah. His surname was abu-‘Abdallâh.

‘A’ishah well. The ‘A’ishah well is ascribed to ‘A’ishah ibn-Numair ibn-Wâkif, ‘A’ishah being a man’s name of al-Aus.

Al-Muṭṭalib well and al-Murtafi’ well. Al-Muṭṭalib well on the ‘Irâk road is ascribed to al-Muṭṭalib ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḥanṭab ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-‘Ubaid ibn-‘Umar ibn-Makhzûm. Ibn-al-Murtafi’ well is ascribed to Muhammad ibn-al-Murtafi’ ibn-an-Nâdîr al-‘Abdari.

The Sûk in al-Madînah. Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from ‘Aṭâ ibn-Yâsâr, the freedman of Maimûnah, daughter of al-Ḥârith ibn-Ḥazn ibn-Bujair of al-Hilâl tribe:—When the Prophet wanted to found a market in al-Madînah he said: “This is your market and no *kharâj* will be assessed on it.”

The ‘Arim dam. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from his grandfather and Sharkî ibn-al-Kuṭâmi-l-Kalbi:—When Nebuchadnezzar destroyed Jerusalem,² expelled of the

¹ See Yâkût, *al-Mushtârik*, p. 159.

² Bait al-Makdis or al-Bait al-Mukaddas. See ibn-Khurdâdhbih, *Kitâb al-Masâlik*, pp. 78 and 79.

Israelites those whom he expelled, and carried away those whom he carried into captivity, some Israelites fled away to al-Ḥijâz and settled in Wâdi-l-Kura, Taima', and Yathrib. At that time there lived in Yathrib a tribe of Jurhum and a remnant of al-‘Amâlik who lived on date-planting and wheat-growing. Among these, the Israelites settled and associated with them, and kept increasing in number, as Jurhum and al-‘Amâlik were decreasing, until the former drove the latter from Yathrib and established their authority over it, taking possession of their cultivated and pasture lands. This was their condition for a long time. Then it came to pass that those of the people of al-Yaman descended from Saba ibn-Yashjub ibn-Ya‘rub ibn-Kahtân were filled with the spirit of oppression and tyranny and ignored the grace of their God in regards to the fertility and luxury he bestowed on them. Consequently, Allah created rats that began to bore the dam, which stood between two mountains and had pipes which the people could open when they wished and get as much water as they wanted. This is the ‘Arim dam.¹ The rats went on working on the dam until it was broken-through. Thus did Allah let their gardens sink and their trees disappear, changing them into *khamt*,² tamarisk and some few jujube trees.³

The wanderings of al-Azd. Seeing what happened, Muzaikiyah i. e. 'Amr ibn-'Âmir . . . ibn-Amru'i-l-Kais . . . ibn-Ya'rub ibn-Kahîtân sold all the property and cattle he possessed, summoned the Azd and started together to the land of the tribe of 'Akk. There they settled. 'Amr remarked: "To seek herbage before knowledge is weakness." The tribe of 'Akk were distressed at the fall of their best

¹ Koran, 34:15.

² A tree with bitter fruit.

³ *GGA*, 1863, p. 1348.

lands into the hands of al-Azd and asked the latter to evacuate the land. Thereupon a one-eyed and deaf man of al-Azd, named Jidh', made an attack on a 'Akk party and destroyed them. This resulted in a war between al-Azd and 'Akk. The Azd, after being defeated, returned and charged, in reference to which Jidh' composed the following verse:

"We are the descendants of Mâzin—there is no doubt,
the Ghassân of Ghassân versus the 'Akk of 'Akk,
and they shall see whether we or they are the weaker."

(Previous to this al-Azd had settled near a spring called Ghassân. Hence their name, Ghassân.)¹ Al-Azd now set off until they arrived in the land of Ḥakam ibn-Sa'd al-'Ashirah . . . ibn Ya'rub ibn-Ḳahṭân. There they fought and won the victory over Ḥakam. But it occurred to them to move, and they did, leaving a small band behind. The next place they came to was Najrân. Here they met resistance from the inhabitants of the place but finally won the victory. After settling in Najrân they departed with the exception of a few who had special reasons to stay. Al-Azd then arrived in Makkah which was populated with the Jurhum tribe. They made their abode in Baṭn-Marr. Tha'labah the son of 'Amr Muzaikîya demanded of Jurhuim that the plain of Makkah be given to his people. This request having been refused, a battle ensued in which Tha'labah got control of the plain. Tha'labah and his people, however, realized after this that the place was unwholesome, and found it hard to make their living in it; so they dispersed, one band of them leaving for 'Umân, another for as-Sarât, another for al-Anbâr and al-Hirah, another for Syria and one band chose Makkah for abode. This made Jidh' say: "Every time ye go to a place, ye al-Azd, some of you

¹ Near Sudd-Ma'rib in al-Yaman; Hishâm, p. 6.

detach ¹ themselves from the rest. Ye are on the point of becoming the tail among the Arabs." That is why those who settled in Makkah were called Khuzâ'ah.² Then came Tha'labah ibn-'Amr Muzaikîya with his son and followers to Yathrib whose people were Jews. They settled outside the city where they grew and increased in number and became so strong as to drive the Jews from Yathrib. Thus they came to live inside the city and the Jews outside of it.

Al-Aus and al-Khzraj. Al-Aus and al-Khzraj are the sons of Hârithah ibn-Tha'labah ³ ibn-'Amr Muzaikîya ibn-'Amîr, and their mother was Kailah, daughter of al-Arkam. Some say she was a Ghassanide of al-Azd tribe, others say she was of 'Udhrah tribe.

In pre-Islamic times, the Aus and the Khazraj saw many battles which made them trained in warfare. They became so used to fighting that their valor spread far, their courage became well known, their bravery was often cited and their name became a source of terror in the hearts of the Arabs, who feared them. Their possessions were well guarded against encroachment, and their neighbor was well protected; and all that was preparatory to the fact that Allah wanted to have them support his Prophet and to honor them by lending him aid.

It is reported that at the arrival of the Prophet in al-Madînah he wrote an agreement and made a covenant with the Jews of Yathrib.* The Jews of Kainukâ', however, were the first to violate the covenant, and the Prophet expelled them from al-Madinah. The first land that the Prophet conquered was that of the banu-an-Nâdîr.

¹ Ar. *inkhaza'a*, see *an-Nihâyah* under *khaza'a*.

² Azraî, p. 55.

³ Hishâm, p. 140; *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 56.

⁴ One of the names of Madinah.

CHAPTER II

THE POSSESSIONS OF THE BANU-AN-NADIR

Banu-an-Nadîr besieged. The Prophet once accompanied by abu-Bakr, 'Umar and Usaid ibn-Huḍair came to the banu-an-Nadîr who were Jews and solicited their aid for raising the bloodwit of two men of the banu-Kilâb ibn-Rabî'ah who had made peace with him and who were killed by 'Amr ibn-Umaiyyah ad-Damri.¹ The Jews intended to drop a stone on him but the Prophet left them and sent them word ordering 18 them to evacuate his city [Yathrib] because of their perfidy and violation of covenant. The Jews refused to comply, and announced hostility.² Upon this the Prophet marched and besieged them for fifteen days, at the close of which they capitulated, agreeing to evacuate his town and to be entitled to whatever the camels could carry with the exception of coats of mail and armor, the Prophet taking their land, palm-trees, coats of mail and other arms. Thus did all the possessions of the banu-an-Nadîr become the property of the Prophet. The Prophet used to sow their land planted with palm-trees and thus provided for his family and wives for one year. With what could not be consumed, he bought horses and arms.

Fiefs assigned. Of the land of banu-an-Nadîr, the Prophet gave fiefs to abu-Bakr, 'Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-'Auf, abu-

¹ Hishâm, p. 652; Ibn-Sa'd, vol. ii², p. 40; Al-Wâkidi, *al-Maghâzi*, p. 353.

² Al-Ya'kûbi, *Ta'rîkh*, vol. ii, p. 49.

Dujānah¹ Simāk ibn-Kharashah as-Sā'idi and others. This occurred in the year 4 of the Hegira.

Mukhairīk. According to al-Wâkidi, one of the banu-an-Nadîr, Mukhairîk, was a learned rabbi and he believed in the Prophet and offered him all that he possessed, which was seven palm-gardens surrounded with walls. This the Prophet set apart as *ṣadakah*-land. The seven gardens are: al-Mithab, as-Ṣâfiyah, ad-Dalâl, Ḥusna,² Barkah, al-A'wâf, Mashrabat umm-Ibrâhîm,³ Ibrâhîm being the son of the Prophet and his mother being Mâriyah, the Copt.

Other versions of the conquest. Al-Ķâsim ibn-Sallâm from az-Zuhri:—The attack on the banu-an-Nadîr, the Jews, took place six months after the battle of Uhud. The Prophet pressed the siege until they agreed to evacuate the city stipulating that they take with them whatever utensils their camels could carry with the exclusion of the coats of mail. Hence the text revealed by Allah: “All that is in the heavens and all that is on the earth praiseth Allah! And He is the mighty, the wise! He it is who caused the unbelievers among the people of the Book”, etc.,⁴ to “put the wicked to shame.”

The next tradition was communicated to us by al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad on the authority of Muḥammad ibn-Īshâk⁵ regarding the above text which Allah hath revealed to his Messenger:—Those referred to are banu-an-Nadîr. By “Ye pressed not towards it with horse or camel. But Allah giveth his Messengers authority over whomsoever He will-eth”,⁶ Allah showed that it is wholly assigned to the

¹ Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 50.

² “Al-Ḥasna” in *Geschichte der Stadt Medina*, p. 150.

³ Wâkidi, tr. Wellhausen, p. 166.

⁴ Koran, 59:1.

⁵ Hishâm, pp. 654 and 655.

⁶ Baiḍâwi, vol. ii, pp. 322-323.

Prophet and to no one else. The Prophet then parcelled 19 out the land among the *Emigrants*. But when Sahl ibn-Hunaif and abu-Dujânah mentioned their poverty, he gave them a share. As for the text: "The spoil taken from the people of the villages and assigned by Allah to his Messenger, it belongeth to Allah and to the Messenger," etc., to the end of the text, it means that Allah made another division among the Moslems.

According to a tradition I received from Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim as-Samīn on the authority of ibn-‘Umar, the Prophet burnt and cut down the palm-trees of the banu-an-Nādir in reference to which Ḥassān ibn-Thābit says:

"The leading men of the banu-Lu'ai would have regarded it easy, to bring about the great fire at Buwairah."¹

According to ibn-Juraij, it was in this connection that Allah revealed the text: "Whatever palm-trees ye have cut down or left standing on their stems was by Allah's permission and to put the wicked to shame."

A similar tradition was communicated to us by abu-‘Ubaid on the authority of ibn-‘Umar.

Abu-‘Amr ash-Shaibāni, among other reporters, holds that the above-quoted verse was composed by abu-Sufyān ibn-al-Ḥārith ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib and that its wording is as follows:

"The leading men of the banu-Lu'ai would have regarded it hard, to bring about the great conflagration of Buwairah."

(According to other reports it is Buwailah [and not Buwairah]).² Ḥassān ibn-Thābit in answer to that wrote the following:

¹ Al-Bakri, under Buwairah; Ibn-Hishām, pp. 712-713.

² Yākūt, vol. i, p. 765.

“ May Allah perpetuate the conflagration
and make the fire rage in its parts.

They were given the Book but they lost it.

Thus with respect to the Taurât they are blind and erring.”¹

The Prophet's special share. ‘Amr ibn-Muhammad an-Nâkid from Mâlik ibn-Aus ibn-al-Hadathân:—It was stated by ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb that the possessions of the banu-an-Nâdir were assigned by Allah to the Prophet, the Moslems having not “pressed toward them with horse or camel.”²⁰ Thus they were wholly his property. The Prophet used to spend their annual income on his family and invest what was left in horses and arms to be used in the cause of Allah.

Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr ad-Dimashķi from Mâlik ibn-Aus ibn-al-Hadathân:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb told him [Mâlik] that the Prophet had three special shares which he appropriated for himself; namely, the possessions of the banu-an-Nâdir, Khaibar and Fadak. The possessions of the banu-an-Nâdir he reserved for use in case of misfortunes that might befall him. Those of Fadak were reserved for wayfarers. Those of Khaibar he divided into three portions, two of which he divided among the Moslems and the third he reserved for his and his family's expenses, distributing what was left after the expenses to the needy among the *Emigrants*.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from az-Zuhri:—The possessions of the banu-an-Nâdir were among the things that Allah assigned to his Prophet. The Moslems “pressed not towards them with horse or camel.” They were therefore wholly the property of the Prophet; and he divided them among the *Emigrants*, giving nothing of them to the *Anṣâr* with the exception of two persons who were needy, i. e., Simâk ibn-Kharashah abu-Dujânah, and Sahl ibn-Hunaif.

¹ Cf. Ḥassân ibn-Thâbit, *Dîwân*, p. 46.

Al-Husain from al-Kalbi :—When the Prophet secured the possessions of the banu-an-Naḍir, who were the first he made to evacuate the land, Allah said: “ He it is who caused the unbelievers among the ‘ People of the Book ’ to quit their homes and join those who had evacuated previously.”¹ Thus these possessions were among the spoils towards which the Moslems “ pressed not with horse or camel.” The Prophet then said to the *Ansâr*: “ Your brethren, the *Emigrants* have no possessions. If ye therefore desire, I will divide these [newly acquired possessions] and what ye already possess among you and the *Emigrants*. But if ye desire, keep ye your possessions and I will divide these [newly acquired ones] among the *Emigrants* alone.” To this the *Ansâr* replied: “ Divide these among them and give them from our possessions whatever thou wishest.” Because of this the text was revealed: “ They prefer them before themselves, though poverty be their own lot.”² Thereupon abu-Bakr said: “ May Allah give you the good recompense, ye *Ansârs* your case and ours is like that referred to by al-Ghanawi where he said,

21

‘ May Allah recompense in our behalf the Ja’far,
who when our feet slipped in al-Waṭ’atān and we fell,
took ungrudging care of us
although our mothers would have murmured if they were in their
place.

‘ The rich are many and every hungry man
goes to places kept warm and sheltered.’ ”

The fief of az-Zubair. Al-Husain from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah’s father :—The Prophet assigned as fief to az-Zubair ibn-‘Auwâm a piece of the banu-an-Naḍir’s land planted with palm-trees.

Al-Husain from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah’s father :—The Pro-

¹ Koran, 59:2.

² Kor., 59:9.

phet gave out of the land of the banu-an-Nâdîr in fief and he gave a fief to az-Zubair.

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd,¹ the secretary of al-Wâkidi, from Anas ibn-'Iyâd, and 'Abdallâh ibn-Numair from Hishâm ibn-'Urwah's father:—The Prophet assigned as fief to az-Zubair a piece of the banu-an-Nâdîr's land planted with palm-trees. Abu-Bakr assigned to az-Zubair as fief al-Jurf. Anas in his tradition says the land was dead. 'Abdallâh ibn-Numair says in his tradition that 'Umar gave az-Zubair as fief all of al-'Akîk.

¹ Ibn-Sa'd, vol. ii², p. 41.

CHAPTER III

THE POSSESSIONS OF THE BANU-KURAİZAH

The subjection of the banu-Kuraizah. The Prophet besieged banu-Kuraizah for a few days in dhu-l-Ka'dah, and a few days in dhu-l-Hijjah, of the year 5, the whole time being fifteen days.¹ These banu-Kuraizah were among those who had assisted in the fight against the Prophet in the battle of al-Khandak [the moat] also called battle of al-Ahzâb [the confederates]. Finally they surrendered and he installed Sa'd ibn-Mu'âdh al-Ausi as their ruler. The latter decreed that every adult² be executed, that women and children be carried as captives and that all that they possessed be divided among the Moslems.³ The Prophet approved of the decree saying: "What thou hast decreed is in accordance with the decree of Allah and his Prophet."

Gabriel appears to the Prophet. 'Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from 'Â'ishah:—When the Prophet was done with the battle of al-Ahzâb, he went into the wash-room in order to wash. There Gabriel appeared to him and said, "Muhammad, thou hast laid down thy arms; but we have not yet. Hasten against the banu-Kuraizah." 'Â'ishah upon this said to the Prophet: "O Prophet of Allah, I have seen him [Gabriel] through a hole in the door with the dust around his head!"⁴

¹ Dhu-l-Ka'dah 23—dhu-l-Hijjah 9; cf. Wâkidi, tr. Wellhausen, p. 210; Tabari, vol. i, p. 1487.

² Literally "every one on whose beard the razor could be used."

³ Wâkidi, *Maghâzi*, p. 373.

⁴ Wâkidi, *Maghâzi*, p. 371; Ibn-Sa'd, vol. ii¹, p. 55; Ibn-Hishâm, p. 684.

The adults executed. 'Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from Kathîr ibn-as-Sâ'ib:—Banu-Kuraizah were presented to the Prophet with the result that those of them who had attained to puberty¹ were executed and those who had not attained to puberty were spared.

Huyai ibn-Akhtab put to death with his son. Wahb ibn-Bakiyah from al-Hasan:—Huyai ibn-Akhtab made a covenant with the Prophet agreeing never to assist anyone against him and mentioned Allah as surety for the covenant. When he and his son were brought before the Prophet on the day of Kuraizah, the Prophet remarked: “The one mentioned as surety has done his part.” By the order of the Prophet the heads of the man and his son were cut off.²

The division of the booty. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Ma'mar who said:—I once asked az-Zuhri whether the banu-Kuraizah had any lands, to which he replied directly, “The Prophet divided it among the Moslems into different shares.”

Al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-'Abbâs:—The Prophet divided the possessions of the banu-Kuraizah and Khaibar among the Moslems.³

The conquest according to az-Zuhri. Abu-'Ubaid al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet pressed the siege against banu-Kuraizah until they surrendered to Sa'd ibn-Mu'âdh who decreed that their men be executed, their children be taken as captives and their possessions be divided. Accordingly, a certain number of men were put to death on that day.

¹ Literally every one who “had the dreams and hair” that mark adolescence.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 1494.

³ Wâkidi, Wellhausen, pp. 220-221.

CHAPTER IV

KHAIBAR

23

The capitulation of Khaibar. The Prophet invaded Khaibar¹ in the year 7. Its people contended with him, delayed him and resisted the Moslems. So the Prophet besieged them for about one month.² They then capitulated on the terms that their blood would not be shed, and their children be spared, provided that they evacuate the land, which he permitted the Moslems to take together with the gold and silver and arms—except what was on the person of the banu-Khaibar, and that they keep nothing secret from the Prophet. They then told the Prophet, “We have special experience in cultivation and planting palm-trees,” and asked to be allowed to remain in the land. The Prophet granted them their request and allowed them one-half of the fruits and grains produced saying: “I shall keep you settled so long as Allah keeps you.”

‘Umar expels the people of Khaibar. During the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, a pestilence spread among them and they mistreated the Moslems. ‘Umar, thereupon, made them evacuate the land, dividing what they had among those of the Moslems who already had a share in it.

The terms made. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Muḥammad ibn-İshāk who said:—“I once asked ibn-Shihāb about Khaibar and he told me that he was informed that the

¹ Yākūt, vol. ii, p. 503.

² Diyārbakri, *Ta’rīkh al-Khamīs*, vol. ii, p. 47.

Prophet captured it by force after a fight, and that it was included among the spoils which Allah assigned to his Prophet. The Prophet took its fifth and divided the land among the Moslems. Those of its people who surrendered did¹ so on condition that they leave the land; but the Prophet asked them to enter into a treaty, which they did."

Huyai hides a bag full of money. 'Abd-al-A'la ibn-Hammâd an-Narsi from ibn-'Umar:—The Prophet came to the people of Khaibar and fought them until he drove them to their castle and captured their land and palm-trees. They then capitulated on the terms that their blood be not shed, that they evacuate the land and be entitled to all that their camels could carry, and that the Prophet be entitled to the gold and silver and arms.² The Prophet made it a condition for them that they hold nothing secret or hidden from him, otherwise they are no more within his protection or covenant. They, however, hid a leather bag in which were kept money and jewels belonging to Huyai ibn-Akhtâb. This bag Huyai had brought to Khaibar on the occasion 24 of the expulsion of the banu-an-Nâdîr. The Prophet asked Sa'yah ibn-'Amr saying, "What has become of the bag which Huyai brought from the banu-an-Nâdîr?" To this Sa'yah answered, "Wars and expenses have emptied it." But the Prophet remarked, "It was a short time and a big sum of money. Moreover, Huyai was killed before that." The Prophet then turned Sa'yah over to az-Zubair and the latter put him to the torture. At last Sa'yah said: "I saw Huyai roaming about in a deserted place yonder." Search was made in the deserted place and the bag was found. The Prophet, thereupon, put the two sons of abu-l-Hukaiq to death, one of whom was the husband of Şafiyah,³ the daugh-

¹ Hishâm, p. 779.

² Ibn-Sa'd, vol. ii², pp. 79-80.

³ who became one of the wives of Muhammed, see an-Nawâwi, *Tahdhib al-Asmâ'*, pp. 846-847.

ter of Ḥuyai ibn-Akhtab. Moreover, he captivated their children and women and divided their possessions because of their breach of faith.

'Abdallâh ibn-Rawâhah estimates the produce. The Prophet also wanted to expel the banu-Khaibar from the land but they said, "Let us stay in the land to repair it and manage it." The Prophet and his companions having no slaves to manage it, and they having no time to do it themselves, he gave them Khaibar on condition that they have one-half of every palm-tree or plant . . . ¹ as it occurred to the Prophet. 'Abdallâh ibn-Rawâhah used to come every year and estimate by conjecture the quantity of dates upon the palm-trees and rent them one-half. Banu-Khaibar accused him to the Prophet charging him with partiality in estimation and offered to bribe him. To this he ['Abdallâh] replied saying, "Do ye enemies of Allah mean to give me unlawful money? ² By Allah, I have been sent to you by one whom of all men I love best. As for you, I hate you more than monkeys and pigs. My hatred to you and love to him, however, shall never stand in the way of my being just to you." They then said, "Through this [justice] have heavens and earth been established!"

The green spot in the eye of Safiyah, the Prophet's wife. Once the Prophet, noticing a green spot in the eye of Safiyah, daughter of Ḥuyai, asked her about it, and she said, "As my head lay in the lap of ibn-abi-l-Huṣaīk, I saw in my sleep as if a moon fell in my lap. When I told him of what I saw he gave me a blow saying, 'Art thou wishing to have the king of Yathrib?' " ³ Safiyah added, "Of all men the Prophet was the one I disliked most, for he had killed

¹ Text not clear.

² Kor., 5:67 and 68.

³ Tabari, vol. i, p. 1582.

my husband, father and brother. But he kept on saying, 'Thy father excited the Arabs to unite against me and he did this and that,' until all hatred was gone away from me."

The Prophet used to give annually each of his wives 80 camel-loads of dates and 80 loads of barley from Khaibar. 25

'Umar divides Khaibar. It was stated by Nâfi' that during the caliphate of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, the people of Khaibar mistreated the Moslems and deceived them and broke the hands of the son of 'Umar¹ by hurling him from the roof of a house. Consequently, 'Umar divided the land among those of the people of Hudaibiyah who had taken part in the battle of Khaibar.

The forts of Khaibar. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from 'Abdallâh ibn-abi-Bakr ibn-Muhammad ibn-'Amr ibn-Ḥazm:—The Prophet besieged the people of Khaibar in their two fortresses—al-Waṭîḥ and Sulâlim. When they felt that their destruction was sure, they requested the Prophet to let them off and spare their lives. This he did. The Prophet had already taken possession of all their property² including ash-Shik̄k, an-Naṭât and al-Katîbah together with all their forts except what was in the above-mentioned two.

"Speedy victory." The following tradition regarding the text:³ "And rewarded them with a speedy victory" was transmitted by al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad on the authority of 'Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-abi-Laila:—Khaibar and another are meant who could not be subdued by the Persians and Greeks.

The division of Khaibar. 'Amr an-Nâkîd from Bushair ibn-Yasâr:—The Prophet divided Khaibar into thirty-six shares and each share into a hundred lots. One-half of the shares he reserved for himself to be used in case of

¹ Hishâm, p. 780.

² Six fortresses mentioned by Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 56.

³ Kor., 48: 18.

accident or what might befall him, and the other half he distributed among the Moslems. According to this, the Prophet's share included ash-Shikk with an-Naṭāt and whatever was included within them. Among the lands turned into *wakf*¹ were al-Katibah and Sulālim. When the Prophet laid his hands on these possessions, he found that he had not enough 'āmils² for the land. He therefore turned it over to the Jews on condition that they use the land and keep only one-half of its produce. This arrangement lasted throughout the life of the Prophet and abu-Bakr. But when 'Umar was made caliph, and as the money became abundant in the lands of the Moslems, and the Moslems became numerous enough to cultivate the land, 'Umar expelled the Jews to Syria and divided the property 26 among the Moslems.

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—When the Prophet conquered Khaibar the fifth share of it [reserved for himself] was al-Katibah; as for ash-Shikk, an-Naṭāt, Sulālim and al-Waṭīḥ they were given to the Moslems. The Prophet left the land in the hands of the Jews on condition that they give him one-half of the produce. Thus the part of the produce assigned by Allah to the Moslems was divided among the Moslems until the time of 'Umar who divided the land itself among them according to their shares.

Abu-'Ubaid from Maimūn ibn-Mihrān:—The Prophet besieged the inhabitants of Khaibar between twenty and thirty days.

Al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from Bushair ibn-Yasār:—The Prophet divided Khaibar into thirty-six shares—eighteen for the Prophet to meet the expenses of accidents, visitors,

¹ Unalienable legacy to the Moslem general community.

² Governors whose chief function it was to collect taxes and conquer more lands.

and delegates, and the remaining eighteen shares to be divided each among one hundred men.¹

Al-Husain from Bushair ibn-Yasâr :—Khaibar was divided into thirty-six shares, each one of which was subdivided into one hundred lots. Eighteen of these shares were divided among the Moslems including the Prophet, who had in addition eighteen shares to meet the expenses of visitors and delegates and accidents that might befall him.

‘Abdallâh ibn-Rawâhah estimates the produce. ‘Amr an-Nâqid and al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-‘Umar :—The Prophet sent ibn-Rawâhah to Khaibar who made a conjectural estimation of the palm-trees and gave the people their choice to accept or refuse, to which they replied: “This is justice; and upon justice have heaven and earth been established.”

The sons of abu-l-Hukaik put to death. Ishâk ibn-abi-Isrâ'il from an inhabitant of al-Madînah :—The Prophet 27 made terms with the sons of abu-l-Hukaik stipulating that they conceal no treasure. But they did conceal; and the Prophet considered it lawful to shed their blood.

Abu-‘Ubâid from Maimûn ibn-Mîhrân :—The people of Khaibar were promised security on their lives and children on condition that the Prophet get all that was in the fort. In that fort were the members of a family strongly opposed to the Prophet. To them the Prophet said: “I am aware of your enmity to Allah and to his Prophet, but this is not to hold me from granting you what I granted your companions. Ye, however, have promised me that if ye conceal a thing your blood will become lawful to me. What has become of your utensils?” “They were all”—they replied, “used up during the fight.” The Prophet then gave word to

¹ Cf. Wâlîdi, tr. Wellhausen, p. 285; Tabari, vol. i, p. 1588; Athîr, vol. ii, p. 171.

his *Companions* to go to the place where the utensils were. The vessels were disinterred and the Prophet struck off their heads.

‘Abdallâh ibn-Rawâhah. ‘Amr an-Nâkid and Muham-mad ibn-âs-Şabbâh from ibn-‘Abbâs:—The Prophet turned Khaibar over with its soil and palm-trees to its inhabitants allowing them half of the produce.

Muhammad ibn-âs-Şabbâh from ash-Shâ‘bi:—The Prophet turned Khaibar over to its inhabitants for one-half of the produce and sent ‘Abdallâh ibn-Rawâhah to estimate the dates (or perhaps he said the palm-trees). This he estimated and divided into two halves and asked them to choose whichever one they wanted. Upon this they said, “It is by this that heavens and earth have been established.”

A certain friend of abu-Yûsuf from Anas:—‘Abdallâh ibn-Rawâhah said to the people of Khaibar, “If ye wish, I will estimate and let you choose; otherwise, ye estimate and let me choose.” Upon this they said, “It is by this that heavens and earth have been established.”

The division of Khaibar. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet took Khaibar by force as a result of a fight; and after taking away one-fifth, he divided the remaining four-fifths among the Moslems.

The Jews of Khaibar expelled. ‘Abd-al-A‘la ibn- 28
Hammâd an-Narsi from ibn-Shihâb:—The Prophet said: “There can be no two religions at the same time in the Arabian peninsula.”¹ ‘Umar ibn-al-Khattâb investigated until he found it certain and assured that the Prophet had said, “There can be no two religions at the same time in the Arabian peninsula.” Accordingly, he expelled the Jews of Khaibar.

¹ Gottheil, “*Dhimmis and Moslems in Egypt*,” in *O. T. and Semitic Studies*, vol. ii, p. 351.

The Prophet gives his share. Al-Walid ibn-Ṣâliḥ from al-Ấâkîdî's *sheikhs*—The Prophet assigned his share in Khaibar as a means of subsistence, bestowing on each one of his wives 80 camel-loads of dates and 20 loads of barley; on his uncle al-‘Abbâs ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭâlib 200 loads; and on abu-Bakr, ‘Umar, al-Hassân, al-Husain and others including the banu-al-Muṭṭâlib ibn-‘Abd-Manâf a certain number of loads. To this end, he drew up for them a document.

Al-Walid from Aflâh ibn-Ḥumaid's father who said:—“I was made by ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz governor of al-Kâribah; and we used to give the heirs of the recipients of the Prophet's bestowals their due, those heirs being numbered and recorded by us.

‘Umar divides Khaibar. Muḥammad ibn-Ḥâtim as-Sâmîn from Nâfî‘—The Prophet turned Khaibar over to the hands of its people on condition that they give him one-half of the produce. Thus they held it during the life of the Prophet, abu-Bakr and the early part of the caliphate of ‘Umar. Then ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Umar visited them for some purpose and they attacked him in the night. He [‘Umar], therefore, turned them out of Khaibar and divided it among those of the Moslems who were present [in its battle] giving a share to the Prophet's wives. To the latter he said, “Whichever of you likes to have the fruit can have it, and whichever likes the estate can have it, and whatever ye choose will be yours and your heirs' after you.”

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-‘Abbâs—Khaibar was divided into 1580 shares. The Moslems were 1580 men, of whom 1540 had taken part in the battle of al-Ḥudaibiyah and forty were with Ja‘far ibn-abi-Tâlib in Abyssinia.

The fief of az-Zubair. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-‘Urwah's father—The Prophet gave as fief to az-Zubair 29 lands in Khaibar planted with palm- and other trees.

CHAPTER V

FADAK

The capitulation of Fadak. As the Prophet departed from Khaibar, he sent to the people of Fadak¹ Muḥaiyışah ibn-Mas'ūd al-Anṣārī inviting them to Islam. Their chief was one of their number named Yūsha' ibn-Nûn the Jew. They made terms with the Prophet, agreeing to give up one-half of the land with its soil.² The Prophet accepted. Thus one-half was assigned wholly to the Prophet because the Moslems “pressed not against it with horse or camel.”³ The Prophet used to spend the income on the wayfarers.

'Umar expels the inhabitants. The inhabitants of Fadak remained in it until 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb became caliph and expelled the Jews of al-Hijāz. On that occasion he sent abu-l-Haitham Mālik ibn-at-Taiyihān (some say an-Naiyihān), Sahl ibn-abi-Ḥaithamah al-Anṣārī, and Zaid ibn-Thābit al-Anṣārī, who estimated justly the value of one-half of its soil. This value 'Umar paid to the Jews and expelled them to Syria.

Sa'īd ibn-Sulaimān from Yaḥya ibn-Sa'īd:—The people of Fadak made terms with the Prophet agreeing to give one-half of the land and the palm-trees. When 'Umar expelled them, he sent some one to estimate their share in land and palm-trees and he gave them their value.

¹ Yākūt, vol. iii, pp. 856-857.

² Not only the produce.

³ Athir, vol. ii, p. 171; Mas'ūdi, *Kitāb at-Tanbīh*, p. 258.

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb gave the people of Fadak the price of one-half of their land and palm-trees.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from az-Zuhri, ‘Abdallāh ibn-abi-Bakr and certain sons of Muḥammad ibn-Maslamah:—Only a remnant of the Khaibar was spared. They betook themselves to the fortifications and asked the Prophet to save their lives and let them go off. The people of Fadak having heard of that surrendered on the same conditions.¹ Thus Fadak became the special share of the Prophet, for the Moslems “pressed not against it with horse and camel.”

30 A similar tradition was transmitted to us by al-Ḥusain from ‘Abdallāh ibn-abi-Bakr, with one addition, that among those who were intermediary between the two parties was Muḥaiyishah ibn-Mas’ūd.

Al-Ḥusain from ‘Umar:—The Prophet had three portions appropriated to himself exclusive of his men: the land of banu-an-Naḍir which was unalienable and to meet the expenses of the accidents that might befall him, Khaibar which he divided into three parts, and Fadak the income of which was reserved for wayfarers.

The wives of the Prophet demand an inheritance. ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ṣāliḥ al-Ṭjli from ‘Urwah ibn-az-Zubair:—The wives of the Prophet delegated ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān to ask abū-Bakr to give them their inheritance from the share of the Prophet in Khaibar and Fadak. But ‘A’ishah said to them, “Do ye not fear Allah? and have ye not heard the Prophet say—‘What we leave as *sadaqah* cannot be inherited?’ This property therefore is the property of the people of Muḥammad to meet the expenses of the accidents and guests, and when I die it goes to the one in authority after me.” On hearing this, the other wives desisted from their request.

¹ *Diyārbakri*, vol. ii, pp. 57 and 64.

A similar tradition was communicated to us by Ahīmad ibn-Ibrāhīm ad-Daurāķī on the authority of 'Urwah.

The banu-Umayyah confiscate Fadak. Ibrāhīm ibn-Muhammad ibn-'Ar'arah from al-Kalbi:—The banu-Umayyah confiscated Fadak and violated the law of the Prophet in regard to it. But when 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azīz became caliph, he reinstated the land in its old condition.

Fāṭimah demands Fadak. 'Abdallāh ibn-Maimūn al-Mukattib from Mālik ibn-Ja'wanah's father:—Fāṭimah said to abu-Bakr, “The Prophet assigned to me Fadak; thou shouldst therefore give it to me.”¹ 'Ali ibn-Abi-Tālib acted as a witness in her favor. But abu-Bakr asked for another witness; and umm-Aiman testified in her favor. Abu-Bakr, thereupon, said “Thou, daughter of Allah's Prophet, knowest that no evidence can be accepted unless it is rendered by two men or a man and two women.” Upon this she departed. 31

Rauḥ al-Karābīsī from one supposed by Rauḥ to have been Ja'far ibn-Muhammad:—Fāṭimah said to abu-Bakr, “Give me Fadak, the Prophet has assigned it to me.” Abu-Bakr called for evidence and she presented umm-Aiman and Rabāḥ, the Prophet's freedman, both of whom testified in her favor. But abu-Bakr said, “In such a case no evidence could be accepted unless it be rendered by a man and two women.”

Ibn-‘A’ishah at-Taimī from umm-Hāni:—Fāṭimah, the Prophet's daughter, called on abu-Bakr and asked: “Who will inherit thee when thou art dead?” to which he replied, “My son and family.” “Why then,” asked she, “hast thou—and not we— inherited the Prophet's possessions?” “Daughter of Allah's Prophet,” answered abu-Bakr, “by Allah, I have inherited from thy father neither gold nor

¹ Bukhārī, vol. iii, p. 131.

silver, neither this nor that." "But," said she, "thou hast inherited our share in Khaibar and our *sadakah* in Fadak." To this abu-Bakr replied, "Daughter of Allah's Prophet, I heard Allah's Prophet say, 'This is but something assigned by Allah as a means of subsistence to use during my life; on my death it should be turned over to the Moslems.'"

'Uthmân ibn-abi-Shaibah from Mughirah:—'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz once summoned the banu-Umayyah and addressed them saying: "Fadak belonged to the Prophet and by the income from it he met his own expenses, supplied the needy among the banu-Hâshim and helped the unmarried among them to marry. Fâtimah asked him to bestow it on her, but he refused. After the Prophet's death, abu-Bakr used it in the same way. And so did 'Umar when he became caliph. And now I am going to put it back to its original use; and ye will be my witnesses."

Kura 'Arabiyyah. The following tradition was transmitted to us by Suraij ibn-Yûnus from az-Zuhri in explanation of the text, "Against which ye pressed not with horse or camel":¹—The places referred to are Kura 'Arabiyyah² that belong to the Prophet, i. e., Fadak, and this and that.

'Umar expels the Jews of Fadak. Abu-'Ubaid from az-Zuhri or someone else:—'Umar expelled the Jews of Khaibar and they evacuated the place. As for the Jews of Fadak, they retained half the fruits [produced] and half the soil, in accordance with the conditions on which they made terms with the Prophet. 'Umar paid them the price of half the products and half the soil in gold, silver and pack-saddles, and then expelled them.

The khuṭbah of 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz. 'Amr an-Nâkid from abu-Burkân:—The following is taken from the

¹ Kor., 59:6.

² Bakri, pp. 657-658; Wâkidi, *Maghâzi*, p. 374.

speech of 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz on his installment in the caliphate: "Fadak was among the spoils that Allah assigned the Prophet and the 'Moslems pressed not against it with horse and camel.' When Fâtimah asked him to give her the land, he said, 'Thou hast nothing to demand from me, and I have nothing to give thee.' The Prophet used to spend the income from it on wayfarers. Then came abu-Bakr, 'Umar, 'Uthmân and 'Ali who put it to the same use as the Prophet. But when Mu'âwiyah became caliph he gave it as fief to Marwân ibn-al-Hakam; and the latter bestowed it on my father and on 'Abd-al-Malik. Thus it was handed down to al-Wâlid, Sulaimân and myself. When al-Wâlid became caliph, I asked him to give me his share, which he did. In like manner, I asked Sulaimân for his share and he gave it. Thus I brought it into one whole again. And nothing that I possess is dearer to me than it! Be ye therefore my witnesses, that I have restored it to what it was."

Al-Ma'mûn gives Fadak to the descendants of Fâtimah.
 In the year 210, the *commander of the believers* al-Ma'mûn 'Abdallâh ibn-Hârûn ar-Rashîd ordered that Fadak be delivered to the children of Fâtimah. To that effect he wrote to his 'âmil in al-Madinah, Kutham ibn-Ja'far, saying, "Greetings!—*The commander of the believers*, in his position in the religion of Allah and as caliph [successor] of his Prophet and a near relative to him, has the first right to enforce the Prophet's regulations and carry out his orders and deliver to him, whom the Prophet granted something or gave it as *ṣadâkah*, the thing granted or given as such. In Allah alone does the success as well as the strength of the *commander of the believers* lie, and to do what makes him win His favor is his [the commander's] chief desire.

The Prophet had given Fâtimah, his daughter, Fadak and bestowed it as *ṣadâkah* on her. That was an evident and

well-known fact on which there was no disagreement among the relatives of the Prophet, who do not cease to lay claim on what was given to Fâtimah as *ṣadakah* and to which she is entitled. Consequently, the *commander of the believers* has deemed it right to return it to the heirs of Fâtimah and deliver it to them, seeking thereby to win the favor of Allah by establishing his right and justice, and of Allah's Prophet by carrying out his command and his wish regarding his *ṣadakah*. This the *commander of the believers* ordered recorded in his registers and sent in writing to his 'âmils. And since, after the death of the Prophet, it has been customary on every *mausim*¹ to have any person claim a grant, or *ṣadakah* or promise,² and to have his claim accepted, then Fâtimah's claim on what the Prophet has bestowed on her should—above that of every one else—be accepted as true.

The *commander of the believers* has written to al-Mubârik at-Tabâri, his freedman, ordering him to give Fadak back to the heirs of Fâtimah, the Prophet's daughter, with all its boundaries and the rights attached to it, and including its slaves and products and other things, all to be delivered to Muḥammad ibn-Yâḥya ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-Zaid ibn-'Ali ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib and to Muḥammad ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-Ḥasan ibn-'Ali ibn-al-Ḥusain ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib, both of whom the *commander of the believers* has put in charge of the land in behalf of its owners.

Know therefore that this is the opinion of the *commander of the believers* and what Allah has inspired him to do as His will, and what He has enabled him to do in the way of winning His favor and His Prophet's favor. Let those under thee know it; and treat Muḥammad ibn-Yâḥya

¹ Meeting time of the pilgrims, see *an-Nihâyah*, vol. iv, p. 211, and Muṭarrizi, *al-Mughrib*, vol. ii, p. 250.

² Ar. 'idat, see *Bukhâri*, vol. ii, p. 285; vol. iii, p. 168.

and Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallāh as thou hast treated al-Mubārik at-Tabari; and help them in any way that makes for the fertility, interest and productivity of the land. May it be Allah's will, and peace be unto thee.

Written on Wednesday, two days after the beginning of dhu-l-Ka‘dah, year 210."

Al-Mutawakkil restores Fadak to its old condition. When al-Mutawakkil, however, became caliph, he ordered that the land be reinstated in the condition in which it had been before al-Ma'mūn.

CHAPTER VI

WÂDI-L-KURA AND TAIMÂ'

Wâdi-l-Kura taken by assault. When the Prophet departed from Khaibar, he came to Wâdi-l-Kura¹ and invited its people to Islam. They refused and started hostilities. The Prophet reduced the place by force; and Allah gave him as booty the possessions of its inhabitants. To the lot of the Moslems fell pieces of furniture and other commodities of which the Prophet took away one-fifth. The Prophet left the land with its palm-trees in the hands of certain Jews on the same rent terms which he had made with the people of Khaibar.² Some say that 'Umar expelled its Jews and divided it among those who fought for its conquest. Others, however, say that 'Umar did not expel them, for it is not included in al-Hijâz. Today it is annexed to the administrative district of al-Madînah and is included among its suburbs.

34

Mid'am condemned to fire. I was informed by certain scholars that the Prophet had a slave, named Mid'am, whom Rifâ'ah ibn-Zaid al-Judhâmi had presented to him. During the invasion of Wâdi-l-Kura, Mid'am was shot by an arrow from an unknown quarter as he was putting down the saddle of the Prophet's camel. When someone remarked, "Blessed, O prophet of Allah, is thy slave, for he was shot by an arrow and suffered martyrdom," the Pro-

¹ Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 678.

² Wâkidi, tr. Wellhausen, p. 292.

phet replied, "Nay, the mantle he took from the spoils on the day of Khaibar shall verily burn on him like fire."¹

Shaibân ibn-Farrûkh from al-Hasan:—Someone remarked to the Prophet, "Thy lad, so and so, has suffered martyrdom," to which the Prophet replied, "Rather he is dragged to fire in a mantle he unlawfully took from the spoils."

'Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from al-Hasan:—Some one remarked to the Prophet, "Happy art thou, for thy lad, so and so, has suffered martyrdom!" to which he replied, "Rather he is dragged to fire in a mantle he unlawfully took from the spoils."²

Taimâ' capitulates. When the people of Taimâ' heard how the Prophet had subjugated the people of Wâdi-l-Kura, they made terms with him, agreeing to pay poll-tax, and they settled in their homes with their lands in their possession.³ The Prophet assigned 'Amr ibn-Sa'îd ibn-al-'Âsî ibn-Umaiyyah as governor to Wâdi-l-Kura, and assigned Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân after its conquest, the latter having become Moslem on the day of the conquest of Taimâ'.

'Umar expels the inhabitants. 'Abd-al-A'la ibn-Hamîd an-Narsi from 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz:—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb expelled the people of Fadak, Taimâ' and 35 Khaibar. The fight between the Prophet and the people of Wâdi-l-Kura took place in Jumâda II, year 7.

The fief of Hamzah ibn-an-Nu'mân. Al-'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from his grandfather:—The Prophet gave as fief to Hamzah ibn-an-Nu'mân ibn-Haudhah-l-'Udhri his whip's throw⁴ in Wâdi-l-Kura. This Hamzah was the

¹ Hishâm, p. 765.

² Bukhârî, vol. iii, pp. 129-130.

³ Diyârbakri, vol. ii, p. 65.

⁴ Mawardi, p. 330.

chief of the banu-‘Udhrah and the first of the people of al-Hijâz to offer the Prophet the *ṣadakah* of banu-‘Udhrah.

The fief of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân. ‘Ali ibn-Muhammad from al-‘Abbâs ibn-‘Âmir’s uncle:—‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân called on Yazîd ibn-Mu‘âwiyah and said, “O commander of the believers, Mu‘âwiyah in his caliphate bought from certain Jews a piece of land in Wâdi-l-Ḳura and made many improvements in it. Thou hast let that land fall into negligence. It is therefore lost, and its income has decreased. Give it therefore as fief to me, and I shall take care of it.” To this Yazîd replied: “We are not stingy in big things, nor can a trifling escape our eye.” ‘Abd-al-Malik then said, “Its income is so much . . .” “Thou canst have it,” said Yazîd.¹ When ‘Abd-al-Malik departed Yazid remarked, “It is said that this is the man that will rule after us. If that is right, we would have done him favor and expect to receive something in repay; if it is false, we have granted him a gift.”

¹ L. Caetani, *Annali dell’ Islâm*, vol. ii, p. 50, note 7.

CHAPTER VII

MAKKAH

The cause of its invasion. When the Prophet made arrangements with the Kuraish in the year of al-Hudaibiyah and wrote down the statement of the truce¹ to the effect that he who desires to make a covenant with Muḥammad can do so, and he who desires to make a covenant with Kuraish can do so; and that he of the *Companions* of the Prophet who comes to Kuraish should not be returned, and he of the banu-Kuraish or their allies who comes to the Prophet should be returned, then those of Kinānah who were present rose and said, “We will enter into a covenant with Kuraish, and accept their terms”; but Khuzā‘ah said, “We will enter into the covenant of Muḥammad and his contract.” Since between ‘Abd-al-Muṭtalib and Khuzā‘ah an old alliance existed, ‘Amr ibn-Sâlim ibn-Ḥaṣirah-l-Khuzā‘i composed the following verse:

“O Allah! I am seeking from Muḥammad
the hereditary alliance of our father and his.”²

36

One of the clan of Khuzā‘ah hearing one of the clan of Kinānah sing a poem satirizing the Prophet, attacked him and crushed his head. This incident provoked evil and fighting between the two parties. Kuraish reinforced banu-Kinānah and together they attacked Khuzā‘ah in the night time, thus violating the covenant and the arrangement.

¹ Wâkidi, *Maghâzi*, p. 387; Hishâm, pp. 746-747, 803.

² Hishâm, p. 806; Wâkidi, *Maghâzi*, p. 402; Fâkihi, p. 42.

Thereupon, 'Amr ibn-Sâlim ibn-Ḥâṣirah-l-Khuzâ'i came to the Prophet and solicited his aid. This led the Prophet to invade Makkah.

The following is taken from a long tradition communicated to us by abu-'Ubaid al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm on the authority of 'Urwah:—Kuraish made terms with the Prophet, stipulating that both parties promise each other security against treachery and stealth,¹ so that a man coming on pilgrimage to Makkah or to visit there, or passing on his way between al-Yaman and aṭ-Ṭâ'if is safe; and he of the “polytheists” who passes through al-Madînah on his way to Syria and the East is safe. In this covenant the Prophet included banu-Ka'b; and Kuraish included in their covenant their allies of the banu-Kinânah.

Abu-Sufyân as an envoy. 'Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from 'Ikrimah:—The banu-Bakr of Kinânah were included in the peace terms of Kuraish, and the Khuzâ'ah were included in the peace terms of the Prophet. But a fight took place between the banu-Bakr and Khuzâ'ah at 'Arafah.² Kuraish provided banu-Bakr with arms, and gave them water to drink, and shelter. Some of the Kuraish objected saying, “Ye have violated the covenant,” yet the others replied, “We have not. By Allah, we did not fight. We only gave them provision, water, and shelter.”

They, thereupon, said to abu-Sufyân ibn-Harb, “Go and renew the alliance and reconcile the parties” Abu-Sufyân proceeded to al-Madînah where he met abu-Bakr and said to him, “Abu-Bakr, renew the alliance and reconcile the parties.”

Abu-Bakr asked him to see 'Umar. Accordingly he met 'Umar and said, “Renew the alliance and reconcile the

¹ Hishâm, p. 747; Caetani, vol. ii, p. 106; Wâkidi, tr. Wellhausen, p. 257, note 1; and Fâ'îk, vol. ii, p. 114.

² Hishâm, p. 803; Fâkihi, pp. 49 and 144-145; Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 646.

parties," to which 'Umar replied, "May Allah cut off the alliance what is still connected and wear out what is still new." Abu-Sufyān then said, "By Allah I never saw a worse head of a tribe than thou!" Thence he went to Fātimah who asked him to meet 'Ali. This he did and made the same request. 'Ali replied, "Thou art the *sheikh* of Kūraish and its chief. Renew therefore the alliance and reconcile the parties." Abu-Sufyān then clapped his right hand against the left saying, "I have renewed the alliance and reconciled the parties."

He then left and came to Makkah. The Prophet had said, "Abu-Sufyān is coming. He returns satisfied without having effected any result." When he returned to the people of Makkah he told them what had happened and they said, "By Allah we know none more foolish than thou. Thou dost bring us neither war that we may be warned, nor peace that we may feel safe."

Khuzā'ah then came to the Prophet and complained of what had befallen them. The Prophet said, "I was ordered to secure one of the two towns Makkah or at-Tā'if." Thereupon, the Prophet ordered that the march be commenced. Thus he set out with the *Companions* saying, "O Allah, strike upon their ears [with deafness] that they may not hear,¹ so that we may take them by surprise!" The Prophet pressed the march until he camped at Marr aż-Zahrān. Kūraish had asked abu-Sufyān to return. When he [abu-Sufyān] got to Marr aż-Zahrān and saw the fires and the tents he said, "What is the matter with the people? They seem like the people celebrating the night of 'Arafah." Saying this, he was surrounded by the Prophet's horsemen, who took him prisoner; and he was brought before the Prophet. 'Umar came and wanted to execute him, but al-

¹ Cf. Kor., 18: 10.

‘Abbâs prevented him and he [abu-Sufyân] embraced Islâm and presented himself before the Prophet. When the time for morning prayer came, the Moslems bestirred themselves for ablution before prayer. “What is the matter?” said abu-Sufyân to al-‘Abbâs ibn-‘Abd-al-Mu‘talib, “Do they 38 mean to kill me?” “No,” answered al-‘Abbâs, “they have risen for prayer.” As they began to pray, abu-Sufyân noticed that when the Prophet knelt they knelt; when he prostrated himself, they prostrated themselves; upon which he remarked, “By Allah I never saw, as I did to-day, the submissiveness of a people coming from here and there—not even in the case of the noble Persians, or the Greeks who have long fore-locks.”¹

Al-‘Abbâs asked the Prophet saying, “Send me to the people of Makkah that I may invite them to Islâm.” No sooner had the Prophet sent him than he called him back saying, “Bring my uncle back to me, that the ‘polytheists’ may not kill him.” Al-‘Abbâs, however, refused to return until he came to Makkah and made the following statement: “O ye people, embrace Islâm and ye shall be safe. Ye have been surrounded on all sides. Ye are confronted by a hard case that is beyond your power.² Here is Khâlid in the lower part of Makkah, there is az-Zubair in the upper part of it, and there is the Prophet of Allah at the head of the *Emigrants*, *Anṣâr* and Khuzâ‘ah.” To this Kûraish replied, “And what are Khuzâ‘ah with their mutilated noses!”

The entrance into Makkah. ‘Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-Ghiyâth from abu-Hurairah:—The spokesman of Khuzâ‘ah repeated the following verse before the Prophet:

¹ Fâkihi, p. 155; Wâkidi, *Maghâzi*, p. 405.

² Fâkihi, p. 150; *Fâ’ik*, vol. i, p. 338.

“ O Lord, I am seeking from Muḥammad
the hereditary alliance between our father and his.
Reinforce therefore, with Allah’s guidance, a mighty victory,
and summon the worshippers of Allah, and they will come for help.”¹

Hammâd states on the authority of ‘Ikrimah that Khuzâ‘ah called the Prophet as he was washing himself, and the Prophet replied, “Here I am!”

According to al-Wâkidi among others, a band of Kuraish took up arms on the day of the conquest [of Makkah] saying, “Never shall Muḥammad enter the city except by force.” Accordingly, Khâlid ibn-al-Walid led the fight against them and was the first to receive the order of the Prophet to enter.² So he killed twenty-four men from [the tribe of] Kuraish and four from [the tribe of] Hudhail. Others state that twenty-three men from Kuraish were killed on that day and the rest took to flight seeking refuge in the mountain heights which they climbed. Of the *Companions* of the Prophet, Kurz ibn-Jâbir al-Fihri, and Khâlid al-Ash‘ar al-Ka‘bi suffered martyrdom on that day. According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi, however, the latter of the martyrs was Ḫubaish al-Ash‘ar ibn-Khâlid al-Ka‘bi³ of the tribe of Khuzâ‘ah.

Abu-Hurairah describes the conquest. Shaibân ibn-abi-Shaibâh-l-Ubâlli from ‘Abdallâh ibn-Râbâh:—A number of deputations came to call on Mu‘âwiya. It was in Ramaḍâن, and we used to prepare food for one another. Abu-Hurairah was one of those who often invited us to his dwelling-place. I [ibn-Râbâh] therefore prepared a meal and invited them. Then abu-Hurairah asked. “Shall I, O *Anṣâr*, amuse you with a narrative concerning you?” and

¹ Tabari, vol. i, pp. 1621-1622.

² Fâkihi, p. 153, *seq.*

³ “Khunais ibn-Khâlid” in Hishâm, p. 817.

he went on to describe the conquest of Makkah as follows: “ The Prophet advanced until he came to Makkah. At the head of one of the two wings of the army, he sent az-Zubair, at the head of the other, Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd, and of the infantry abu-‘Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh. The way they took was through the bottom of the valley. The Prophet was at the head of his cavalry detachment. On seeing me the Prophet called, ‘Abu-Hurairah,’ and I replied, ‘Here I am, Prophet of Allah.’ ‘Summon the *Anṣâr*,’ said he, ‘and let no one come but my *Anṣâr*.’ I summoned them and they came around. In the meantime, Kuraish had gathered their mob and followers saying, ‘Let us send these ahead. If they win, we will join them; and if defeated, we shall give whatever is demanded.’ ‘Do ye see’ said the Prophet, ‘the mob of Kuraish?’ ‘We do,’ answered the *Anṣâr*. He then made a sign with one hand over the other as if to say, ‘kill them.’ To this the Prophet added, ‘Meet me at aṣ-Ṣafa.’ Accordingly we set out, each man killing whom-ever he wanted to kill, until abu-Sufyân came to the Prophet saying, ‘O Prophet of Allah, the majority of Kuraish is annihilated. There is no more Kuraish after this day.’¹ The Prophet thereupon announced, ‘He who enters the house of abu-Sufyân is safe, he who closes his own door is safe, and he who lays down his arms is safe.’ On this the *Anṣâr* remarked one to the other, ‘The man is moved by love to his relatives and compassion on his clan.’ The Prophet at this received the inspiration which we never failed to observe whenever it came. He therefore said: ‘O ye *Anṣâr*, ye have said so and so . . .’ ‘We have, Prophet of Allah,’ replied the *Anṣâr*. ‘Nay,’ said the Prophet, ‘I am the slave of Allah and his Prophet. I have immigrated to Allah and to you. ‘My life is your life; my death is your death!’

¹ Fâkihi, p. 154.

Hearing this, the *Ansâr* began to weep saying, 'By Allah, we said what we said only in our anxiety to spare the Prophet of Allah.' The people then crowded to the house of abu-Sufyân and closed its doors laying down their arms. The Prophet proceeded to the 'stone' and laid hold of it. He then made the circuit of the 'House' and came, with a bow in his hand held at its curved part, to an idol at the side of the Ka'bah. He began to stab the eye of the idol saying, 'Truth has come and falsehood has vanished, it is the property of vanity to vanish.'¹ When the circuit was done, he came to aṣ-Ṣafa, climbed it until he could see the 'House,' and he raised his hand praising Allah and praying."

The Prophet's orders. Muḥammad ibn-aṣ-Ṣabbâḥ from 'Ubaidallâh ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-'Utbah:—On the occasion of the conquest of Makkah, the Prophet made the following statement, "Slay no wounded person, pursue no fugitive, execute no prisoner; and whosoever closes his door is safe."

Ibn-Khaṭal proscribed. Al-Wâkidi states that the invasion in which the conquest was effected was carried on in the month of Ramadân in the year 8. On that occasion the Prophet remained in Makkah to the time of the festival at the end of Ramadân, after which he proceeded to invade Ḫunain. To the governorship of Makkah he assigned 'Attâb ibn-Asîd ibn-abi-l-Īṣ ibn-Umaiyyah, and ordered the demolishing of the idols and the effacement of the pictures that stood in the Ka'bah. He also said, "Put ibn-Khaṭal to death, even if ye find him holding the curtains of the Ka'bah." Accordingly, abu-Barzah²-l-Aslami put him to death. According to abu-al-Yakzân, however, the name of ibn-Khaṭal was Kais, and the one who put him to death was abu-Shiryâb al-Anṣâri. This ibn-Khaṭal had two female slave-

¹ Kor., 17:83.

² Al-Wâkidi, p. 414, calls him abu-Bardah; cf. ibn-Duraid, *Kitâb al-Ishtikâk*, p. 66; Nawâwi, *Tahdhîb al-Asmâ'*, p. 788; Hishâm, p. 819.

singers who always sang poems satirizing the Prophet. One of them was killed, and the other lived to the time of 'Uthmân when a rib of hers was broken and caused her death.

Mikyas ibn-Subâbah proscribed. Numailah ibn-'Abdal-lâh al-Kinâni killed Mikyas ibn-Subâbah-l-Kinâni, the Prophet having announced that whosoever finds him may kill him. The Prophet did this for the following reason: Mikyas had a brother, Hâshim ibn-Subâbah ibn-Hazn, who embraced Islâm and witnessed with the Prophet the invasion made on al-Muraisi'. Hâshim was mistaken by one of the *Ansâr* for a "polytheist" and killed. Mikyas thereupon came to the Prophet and the Prophet decreed that the relatives of the slayer responsible for the bloodwit should pay it. Mikyas received the bloodwit and became Moslem. Later he attacked his brother's slayer, slew him and took to flight, after which he apostatised from Islam and said:

" My soul has been healed by having him lie,
deep in the blood flowing from his veins his clothes soaked,
I took revenge on him by force leaving it,
for the leaders of banu-an-Najjâr, the high in rank, to pay his
bloodwit,
thereby I attained my ambition, and satisfied my vengeance,
and I was the first to forsake Islâm." ¹

Al-Huwairith proscribed. 'Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib killed al-Huwairith ibn-Nukâidh ibn-Bujair ² ibn-'Abd ibn-Kuṣai, the Prophet having declared that whosoever finds him may kill him.

Ibn-Khaṭal's slave-singers. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from al-Kalbi:—A female slave-singer owned by Hilâl ibn-'Abdal-lâh, i. e., ibn-Khaṭal al-Adrami of the banu-Taim, came to the Prophet in disguise. She embraced Islâm and acknowl-

¹ Mawardi, pp. 229-230.

² Hishâm, p. 819.

edged the Prophet as chief. Not knowing who she was, the Prophet did not molest her. The other singer of Hilâl was killed. Both singers, however, used to sing satires against the Prophet.

Ibn-az-Ziba'ra embraces Islam. Ibn-az-Ziba'ra as-Sahmi embraced Islâm before the Moslems had chance to kill him, and sang poems in praise of the Prophet. On the day of 42 the conquest of Makkah the Prophet declared his blood lawful, but he was not molested.

The Prophet's khutbah. Muhammâd ibn-aş-Sabbâh al-Bazzâz from al-Kâsim ibn-Râbî'ah:—On the day of the battle of Makkah the Prophet delivered the following *khutbah* [speech]: “Praise be to Allah who made his promise true, and gave his army victory¹ and all alone defeated the ‘confederates.’ Verily every privilege of pre-Islamic time and every blood and every claim lie under my feet with the exception of the custody of the ‘House’² and the providing of the Pilgrims with beverage.”

Khalaf al-Bazzâr from ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abd-ar-Râhmân’s *sheikhs*:—On the day of the conquest of Makkah the Prophet asked Kuraish, “What think ye?”³ to which they replied, “What we think is good, and what we say is good. A noble brother thou art, and the son of a noble brother. Thou hast succeeded.” The Prophet then said, “My answer is that given by my brother Joseph,⁴ ‘No blame be on you this day. Allah will forgive you; for he is the most merciful of the merciful.’ Verily every debt, possession, and privilege of pre-Islam lie under my feet with the exception of the custody of the ‘House’ and providing the pilgrims with beverage.”

¹ Hishâm, p. 821.

² The sanctuary at Makkah; Azraqî, p. 17 *seq.*

³ Tabari, vol. i, p. 1642.

⁴ Kor., 12:92.

Shaibân from 'Abdallâh ibn-'Ubâid ibn-'Umair :—The Prophet said in his *khuṭbah*, “ Yea, all Makkah is inviolable. What is between its two rugged mountains was not lawful for any one before me, nor will it be made for any after me. To me it was made lawful for only one hour on one day. Its fresh herbage shall not be cut, its thorny trees shall not be felled, its game shall not be chased, what is found¹ in it shall not be kept unless previous announcement has been made of the find.” Al-'Abbâs said, “ From this should be excluded the *idhhîr* plant² to be used by our jewelers, blacksmiths and as a means of cleansing³ our houses.” The Prophet then added, “ The *idhhîr* is excluded.”

Yûsuf ibn-Mûsa-l-Katîtân from ibn-'Abbâs :—The Prophet said, “ The fresh herbage of Makkah shall not be cut, its trees shall not be felled.” “ With the exception of the *idhhîr* plant,” remarked al-'Abbâs, “ which is for the blacksmiths⁴ and for the cleansing of the houses.” This the Prophet allowed.

43

Umar advised not to confiscate the treasure. Shaibân from al-Hasan :—'Umar wanted to seize the treasure of the Ka'bah to use it in the cause of Allah. But Ubâi ibn-Kâ'b al-Ansâri turned to him and said: “ Before thee, 'Commander of the Believers' came thy two *companions*;⁵ who would have surely done so, if it were an act of virtue.”⁶

Makkah inviolable. 'Amr an-Nâkîd from Mujâhid:⁷—

¹ Abu-Ishâk ash-Shirâzî, *at-Tanbîh*, p. 156.

² A sweet rush resembling papyrus used for roofing houses.

³ Ar. *ṭuhûr*, according to other readings *zuhûr* “ and for the roofs.” See Wâkîdi, tr. Wellhausen, pp. 338-339.

⁴ Ar. *kuyûn*; Azrâkî, p. 85, has *kubûr* “ graves”.

⁵ Muhammâd and abu-Bâkr.

⁶ Caetani, vol. ii, p. 129, note 1.

⁷ ibn-Jâbr; see an-Nawâwi, p. 540.

“Makkah is inviolable,” said the Prophet, “It is not legal either to sell its dwellings or to rent its houses.”

The dwelling places of Makkah not to be rented. Muḥammad ibn-Ḥātim al-Marwazi from ‘Ā’ishah who said, “Once I said to the Prophet, ‘Build for thee, Prophet of Allah, a house in Makkah that will protect thee against the sun,’ to which he replied, ‘Makkah is the dwelling place only of those who are already in it.’”

Khalaf ibn-Hishām al-Bazzār from ibn-Juraij who said, “I have read a letter written by ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz in which the renting of houses in Makkah is prohibited.”

Abu-‘Ubaid from ibn-‘Umar:—The latter said: “The whole of *al-Haram* is a place of worship.”¹

‘Amr an-Nākīd from ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-abi-Sulaimān:—A message written by ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz to the chief of Makkah reads: “Let not the inhabitants of Makkah receive rent for their houses because it is not legal for them.”

The following tradition regarding the text, “Alike for those who abide therein and for the stranger”² was communicated to us by ‘Uthmān ibn-abi-Shaibah from ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān ibn-Sābit:—By the stranger is meant the pilgrims and visitors who go there and who have equal right in the buildings, being entitled to live wherever they want, provided none of the natives of Makkah goes out of his home.

The following tradition regarding the same text was communicated to us by ‘Uthmān on the authority of Mujaḥid:—The inhabitants of Makkah and other people are alike so far as the dwellings are concerned.

“Uthmān and ‘Amr from Mujaḥid:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb 44 once said to the people of Makkah, “Make no doors for your houses that the stranger may live wherever he wants.”

¹ Azraḳi, p. 5 *seq.*

² Kor., 22:25.

‘Uthmân ibn-abi-Shaibah and Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from abu-Hasin.—The latter said, “ I once told Sa‘id ibn-Jubair in Makkah that I wanted to ‘abide therein’ to which he replied, ‘ Thou art already abiding therein’ and he read, ‘Alike for those who abide therein and for the stranger.’”

The following tradition in explanation of the same text was communicated to us by ‘Uthmân on the authority of Sa‘id ibn-Jubair :—All people in it are alike whether they are the inhabitants of Makkah or of some other place.

Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wâkidi :—Many cases were brought before abu-Bakr ibn-Muhammad ibn-‘Amr ibn-Hazm regarding the rents of the houses of Makkah, and abu-Bakr in each case judged against the tenant. This too is the view of Mâlik and ibn-abi-Dhi‘b. But according to Rabî‘ah and abu-az-Zinâd, there is no harm in taking money for renting houses or for selling dwellings in Makkah.¹

Al-Wâkidi said, “ I saw ibn-abi-Dhi‘b receiving the rent of his house in Makkah between as-Safa and al-Marwah.”

It was said by al-Laith ibn-Sa‘d, “ Whatever has the form of a house its rent is legal for its proprietor. As for the halls, the roads, the courts, and the abodes that are in a state of ruins, he who comes to them first can have them first without rent.”

A tradition to the same effect was transmitted to me by abu-‘Abd-ar-Rahmân al-Awdi on the authority of ash-Shâfi‘i.

Said Sufyân ibn-Sa‘id ath-Thauri: “ To rent a house in Makkah is illegal”; and he insisted on that.

According to al-Auzâ‘i, ibn-abi-Laila and abu-Hanifah, if the rent is made during the nights of the Pilgrimage it is void, but if it is in other nights, whether the one who hires is a neighbor or not, it is all right.

¹ Cf. Kuṭb-ad-Din. *al-Ilâm*, p. 17.

According to certain followers of abu-Yûsuf, its rent is absolutely legal. The one "abiding therein" and the "stranger" are alike only as regards making the circuit of the "House."

The plants of the Haram. Al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali ibn-al-Aswad from ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-al-Aswad:—The latter found no harm in gathering vegetables, cutting, eating or making any other use of anything else planted by man in 45 Makkah be it palm-trees or otherwise. He only disapproved of this being done with trees and plants that grow of their own accord without the agency of man. From this category *al-idhkhîr* was excluded. According to al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâlih, ‘Abd-ar-Rahmân allowed it in the case of rotten trees that have decayed and fallen to pieces.

According to the view of Mâlik and ibn-abi-Dhi'b, as stated by Muḥammad ibn-‘Umar al-Wâkidi, regarding the legality or illegality of felling a tree of the Ḥaram, it is wrong at all events; but if the man who does it is ignorant he should be taught and receive no penalty; if he knows but is impious, he should be punished without paying the value of the trees. He who cuts it may have it for his use. According to abu-Sufyân ath-Thauri and abu-Yûsuf, he should pay the value of the tree he cuts and cannot have the wood for his use. The same view is held by abu-Ḥanîfah.

According to Mâlik ibn-Anas and ibn-abi-Dhi'b, there is no harm in cutting the branches of the *thumâm* plant and the ends of the senna plant from the Ḥaram to be used as medicine or tooth-picks.

According to Sufyân ibn-Sa‘îd, abu-Ḥanîfah, and abu-Yûsuf, whatever in the Ḥaram is grown by man or was grown by him can be cut with impunity; whatever is grown without the agency of man, its cutter should be responsible for its value.

“I once,” said al-Wâkidi,” asked ath-Thauri and abu-Yûsuf regarding the case of one who plants in the Ḥaram something that is not ordinarily grown and which he tends until it grows high, would it be right for him to cut it. They answered in the affirmative. Then I asked about the case of a tree that may grow of its own accord in his garden and that does not belong to the category of trees planted by man, and they said, ‘He can do with it whatever he likes.’”

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkidi:—The latter said, “It has been reported to us that ibn-‘Umar used to eat in Makkah vegetables grown in the Ḥaram.”

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from Mu'âdh ibn-Muhammad:—The latter said, “I have seen on the table of az-Zuhri vegetables grown in the Ḥaram.”

“No pilgrim or visitor of the Ḥaram,” said abu-Hanîfah, “shall have his camel graze in the Ḥaram, nor shall he cut grass for it.” The same view is held by Zufar. But Mâlik, ibn-abi-Dhi'b, Sufyân, abu-Yûsuf and ibn-abi-Sabrah are of the opinion that there is no harm in having the animals graze, but the man should not cut the grass for them. Ibn-abi-Laila, however, holds that there is no harm in having someone cut the grass.

‘Affân and al‘Abbâs ibn-al-Walid an-Narsi from Laith:—46
‘Atâ’ found no harm in using the vegetables of the Ḥaram as well as what is planted therein including the branches and the tooth-picks, but Mujâhid disapproved of it.

The history of the Ḥaram-mosque. The Ḥaram-mosque at the time of the Prophet and abu-Bâkr had no wall to surround it. When ‘Umar, however, became caliph and the number of the Moslems increased, he enlarged the mosque and bought certain houses which he demolished to increase its size. Certain neighbors of the mosque refused to sell their houses and ‘Umar had to demolish their houses, the

prices of which he deposited in the treasury of al-Ka'bah until they took them later.¹ Moreover he raised around the mosque a low wall not higher than a man's stature. On this wall the lamps were put. When 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân became caliph, he purchased certain dwellings and thereby enlarged the mosque. Certain people whose dwellings he seized after depositing their prices, met him near the "House" with loud protests, upon which 'Uthmân addressed them as follows: "It is only my compassion on you and my leniency in dealing with you that made you venture to do this against me. 'Umar did exactly what I am doing but ye kept silent and were satisfied." He then ordered them to jail where they remained until 'Abdallâh ibn-Khâlid ibn-Asîd² ibn-abi-l-Îş spoke to him on their behalf and they were released.

It is reported that 'Uthmân was the first to erect the porches of the mosque, which he did on the occasion of enlarging it.

In the days of Abraham, Jurhum and the 'Amâlik, the bottom of the door of the Ka'bah was level with the ground until it was built by Kuraish, at which time abu-Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Mughirah said, "Raise, people, the door of the Ka'bah, so that no one may enter without a ladder. Then would no man whom ye do not want to enter be able to do so. In case some one ye hate should come, ye may throw him down, and he will fall injuring those behind." The suggestion was followed by Kuraish.

When 'Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair ibn-al-'Auwâm fortified himself in the Haram-mosque, taking refuge in it against al-Ḥuṣain ibn-Numair as-Sakûni who was fighting with a Syrian army, one of 'Abdallâh's followers carried one day

¹ Azraqî, p. 307.

² or Usaid; see Azraqî, p. 307.

burning fibres of a palm-tree on the top of a lance. The wind being violent, a spark flew and attached itself to the curtains of the Ka'bah and burnt them. As a result, the walls were cracked, and turned black. This took place in the year 64. After the death of Yazid ibn-Mu'awiyah and the departure of al-Huṣain ibn-Numair to Syria, ibn-az-Zubair ordered that the stones that had been thrown into it¹ be removed, and they were removed. He then demolished the Ka'bah, and rebuilt it on its old foundation, using stones in the building. He opened two doors on the ground, one to the east, and the other to the west; one for entrance and the other for exit. In building it he found that the foundation was laid on *al-Ḥijr*.² His object was to give it the shape it had in the days of Abraham, as it had been described to him by 'Ā'ishah, *the mother of the believers*, on the authority of the Prophet.³ The doors of the Ka'bah, ibn-az-Zubair plated with gold, and its keys he made of gold. When al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūsuf fought on behalf of 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān and killed ibn-az-Zubair, 'Abd-al-Malik wrote to al-Ḥajjāj ordering him to rebuild the Ka'bah and the Ḥaram-mosque, the stones hurled at it having made cracks in the walls. Accordingly, al-Ḥajjāj pulled the Ka'bah down and rebuilt it according to the shape given it by Kuraish, removing all stones thereof. After this 'Abd-al-Malik often repeated, "I wish I had made ibn-az-Zubair do with the Ka'bah and its structure what he voluntarily undertook to do!"⁴

47

The cover of the Ka'bah. In pre-Islamic times the cover

¹ Cf. Kuṭb-ad-Din, p. 81.

² The space comprised by the curved wall al-Ḥaṭim, which encompasses the Ka'bah on the north-west side.

³ Kuṭb-ad-Din, p. 81.

⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 84.

of the Ka'bah consisted of pieces of leather and *ma'âfir*¹ cloth. The Prophet covered it with Yamanite cloths, 'Umar and 'Uthmân clothed it in Coptic cloths, and Yazid ibn-Mu'âwiyah clothed it in Khusruwâni silk.² After Yazid, ibn-az-Zubair and al-Hajjâj clothed it in silk. The Umayyads during a certain part of their rule, clothed it in robes offered as tribute by the people of Najrân. The Umayyads used to strip³ the Ka'bah of its old covers when the cloths of silk were put on. At last came al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik who amplified the Haram-mosque and conveyed to it columns of stone and marble, and mosaic. According to al-Wâkîdi, al-Manṣûr added to the mosque during his caliphate and rebuilt it. This took place in the year 139.

The reconstruction of the two mosques. It has been stated by 'Ali ibn-Muhammad ibn-'Abdallâh al-Madâ'ini, that Ja'far ibn-Sulaimân ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-'Abbâs was made by al-Mahdi governor over Makkah, al-Madinah and al-Yamâmah. Ja'far enlarged the two mosques of Makkah and al-Madinah and rebuilt them.

Al-Mutawakkil—Ja'far ibn-abi-Ishâk al-Mu'tâsim-Billâh 48 ibn-ar-Rashid Harûn ibn-al-Mahdi—renewed the marble of the Ka'bah, made a belt of silver around it, plated its walls and ceiling with gold—which act was unprecedented—, and clothed its pillars with silk.⁴

¹ A tribe in al-Yaman. See *Nihâyah*, vol. iii, p. 109; and cf. Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 282.

² Cf. Azraqî, p. 176; Kuṭb-ad-Dîn, p. 68.

³ Azraqî, p. 180.

⁴ Kuṭb-ad-Dîn, p. 54.

CHAPTER VIII

THE WELLS OF MAKKAH

BEFORE Қuṣai brought Kuraish together, and before they entered Makkah, they used for drinking purposes reservoirs, rain-water tanks on mountain tops, a well called al-Yusairah dug by Lu'ai ibn-Ghâlib outside the Ḥaram and another well called ar-Rawa dug by Murrah ibn-Ka'b and which lay just beyond 'Arafah. Later, Kilâb ibn-Murrah¹ dug outside of Makkah three wells Khumm, Rumm and Jafr; and Қuṣai ibn-Kilâb dug another which he called al-'Ajûl and prepared a drinking place in connection with it.²

After the death of Қuṣai a certain man of the banu-Nâṣr ibn-Mu'âwiyah fell into al-'Ajûl well and it was no more used.

Badhdhar was a well dug by Hâshim ibn-'Abd-Manâf. It lies close to Khandamah at the mouth of abu-Tâlib's water-course. This Hâshim also dug Sajlah³ which Asad ibn-Hâshim gave to 'Adi ibn-Naufal ibn-'Abd-Manâf abu-l-Muṭ'im. It is asserted by some, however, that he sold it to him, and by others that it was 'Abd-al-Muṭâlib who gave it to him when he dug Zamzam and the water became abundant in Makkah. This Sajlah was later included in 49 the Mosque.

'Abd-Shams ibn-'Abd-Manâf dug out at-Tawi which lay in the upper part of Makkah. He dug out another for his

¹ Azraqî, pp. 436, 439, 496; Hishâm, p. 95.

² A few verses composed in regard to this and other wells have been omitted from the translation.

³ Bakri, p. 766; Fâkihi, p. 120.

special use called al-Jafr. Maimûn ibn-al-Ḥaḍram, an ally of the banu-‘Abd-Shams ibn-‘Abd-Manâf, dug his own well which was the last to be dug in Makkah during the pre-Islamic period. Near by this well, lies the tomb of al-Mansûr the “Commander of the Believers.” The first name of al-Ḥaḍrami was ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Imâd.¹ Besides, ‘Abd-Shams dug two wells which he called Khumm and Rumm² after Kilâb ibn-Murrah’s wells. Khumm lay near the dam, and Rumm near Khadijah’s house.

Banu-Asad ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza ibn-Ḳuṣai dug a well called Shufiyah, the well of the banu-Asad.³

Umm-Āḥrâd was one dug by the banu-‘Abd-ad-Dâr ibn-Ḳuṣai.

Banu-Jumâh dug as-Sunbulah well which is the same as 50 the well of Khalaf ibn-Wahb al-Jumâhi.

Banu-Sâhm dug the well called al-Ghamr which is the well of al-‘Âṣî ibn-Wâ’il.

Banu-‘Adî dug al-Ḥafîr.

Banu-Makhzûm dug as-Sûkya, the well of Hishâm ibn-al-Mughîrah ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Umar ibn-Makhzûm.

Banu-Taim dug ath-Thuraiya which is the well of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Judâ‘ân ibn-‘Amr ibn-Kâb ibn-Sâ‘d ibn-Taim.

The banu-‘Âmir ibn-Lu’âi dug an-Nâk‘.

Jubair ibn-Muṭ‘im had a well—the banu-Naufal well, which has lately been included in Dâr al-Ḳawârîr erected by Hammâd al-Barbari in the caliphate of Harûn ar-Rashid.⁴

In the pre-Islamic period, ‘Akîl ibn-abi-Tâlib had dug a well which is now included in the house of ibn-Yûsuf.⁵

Al-Aswad ibn-abi-l-Bakhtari ibn-Hâshim ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-Asad ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza had at al-Aswad gate near by al-

¹ Nawâwi, p. 432.

² Bakri, pp. 318, 437-438.

³ Azraqî, p. 438.

⁴ Azraqî, p. 437.

⁵ Azraqî, p. 441.

Ḥannāṭin [embalmers'] a well that was later added into the Mosque.

‘Ikrimah well was named after ‘Ikrimah ibn-Khālid ibn-al-‘Āṣi ibn-Ḥāshim ibn-al-Mughirah; ‘Amr well, as well as ‘Amr water-course, after ‘Amr ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-Ṣafwān ibn-Umayyah ibn-Khalaf al-Jumāḥi. At-Ṭalūb, which lay in the lower part of Makkah, was the property of ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ṣafwān. Ḥuwaiṭib well was named after Ḥuwaiṭib ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza ibn-abi-Kāis of banu-‘Āmir ibn-Lu’ai, and it lay in the court of his house at the bottom of the valley. 51 Abu-Mūsa well belonged to abu-Mūsa-l-Ash’ari and lay at al-Ma’lāt. Shaudhab well was named after Shaudhab, Mu‘āwiyah’s freedman, and was later added to the Mosque. Some say that this Shaudhab was the freedman of Tāriq ibn-‘Alqamah ibn-‘Uraij ibn-Jadhīmah-l-Kināni, others that he was the freedman of Nāfi’ ibn-‘Alqamah ibn-Ṣafwān ibn-Umayyah . . . ibn-Shik̄ al-Kināni, a maternal uncle of Marwān ibn-al-Ḥakam ibn-abi-l-‘Āṣi ibn-Umayyah. Bakkār well was named after a man from al-‘Irāk who lived in Makkah, and it lay in dhu-Ṭuwa; Wardān well after Wardān, a freedman of as-Sā’ib¹ ibn-abi-Wadā‘ah ibn-Dubairah as-Sahmi. Sirāj drinking place lay in Fakh and belonged to Sirāj, a freedman of the banu-Ḥāshim. Al-Aswad well was named after al-Aswad ibn-Sufyān . . . ibn-Makhzūm and lay near the well of Khāliṣah, a freed-maid of al-Mahdi the “Commander of the Believers.” Al-Barūd which lay in Fakh belonged to Mukhtarish² al-Ka‘bi of [the tribe of] Khuzā‘ah.

Certain houses and gardens in Makkah. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, the owner of ibn-‘Alqamah house in Makkah was Tāriq ibn-‘Alqamah ibn-‘Uraij ibn-Jadhīmah-l-Kināni.

¹ Azraqī, p. 442, gives al-Muṭṭalib; cf. Hishām, p. 462.

² Azraqī, p. 442, gives Khirāsh.

According to abu-'Ubaidah Ma'mar ibn-al-Muthanna, 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ḳuraib al-Asma'i and others, ibn-'Āmir garden was the property of 'Umar ibn-'Ubaidallâh . . . ibn-Lu'ai and was by mistake called ibn-'Āmir or the banu-'Āmir garden. In reality, it is ibn-Ma'mar's garden. Others say that it was so called after ibn-'Āmir al-Hâdrami; still others, after ibn-'Āmir ibn-Kuraiz, and all that is mere guessing.

I was told by Mus'ab ibn-'Abdallâh az-Zubairi that Makkah in pre-Islamic times was called Ṣalâh.

Ibn-Sibâ' jail. The following was told to me by al-'Abbâs 52 ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi:—A certain Kindi inquired in writing from my father about the one after whom ibn-Sibâ' jail of al-Madinah was named, about the story of Dâr an-Nadwah, Dâr al-'Ajalah, and Dâr al-Ḳawârir in Makkah. My father wrote back the following answer: "As for ibn-Sibâ' jail, it was a house for 'Abdallâh ibn-Sibâ' ibn-'Abd-al-'Uzza ibn-Nâdlah ibn-'Amr ibn-Ghubshân al-Khuzâ'i. Sibâ' was surnamed abu-Niyâr and his mother was a midwife in Makkah. In the battle of Uhud, he was challenged by Ḥamzah ibn-'Abd-al-Muṭtalib who cried, 'Come, thou son of the female circumciser!' ¹ and killed him. As Ḥamzah stooped on his victim to take his armor, he was thrust with a spear by Wahshi. The mother of the poet Ṭuraiḥ ibn-Ismâ'il ath-Thâqâfi was the daughter of 'Abdallâh ibn-Sibâ', an ally of the banu-Zuhrah.

Dâr an-Nadwah. As for an-Nadwah [council-chamber], it was built by Ḳuṣai ibn-Kilâb, and people used to meet in it and have the cases decided.² Later, Kuraish used to assemble in it to consult about war and general affairs, to assign the standard-bearers and to contract marriages. This was the first house established in Makkah by Kuraish.

¹ "An expression of contumely used by the Arabs whether the mother is really a female circumciser or not." (*Tâj al-'Arûs.*)

² Azraqi, pp. 65, 66; Diyârbakri, vol. i, p. 175; Tabari, vol. i, p. 1098; İştâkhri, p. 16.

Dâr al-‘Ajalah. Then comes Dâr al-‘Ajalah which belonged to Sa‘id ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Sahm. The banu-Sahm claim that it was built before an-Nadwah; but this is a false claim. An-Nadwah remained in the hands of the banu-‘Abd-ad-Dâr ibn-Ḳuṣai until it was sold by ‘Ikrimah ibn-Hâshim ibn-‘Abd-Manâf ibn-‘Abd-ad-Dâr ibn-Ḳuṣai to Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abî-Sufyân, and the latter converted it into a governor’s house.

Dâr al-Ḳawârîr. Dâr al-Ḳawârîr belonged to ‘Utbah ibn-Rabi‘ah ibn-‘Abd-Shams ibn-‘Abd-Manâf, then to al-‘Abbâs ibn-‘Utbah ibn-‘Abd-Shams ibn-‘Abd-al-Muttâlib, and later to Ja‘far’s mother, Zubaidah, daughter of abu-l-Fadl ibn-al-Mansûr the “Commander of the Believers.” Because earthen jars were partly used in making its pavement and walls, the hall was called al-Ḳawârîr [the jar building]. It was built by Hammâd al-Barbari in the caliphate 53 of ar-Rashîd.

Ku‘aiki‘ân and Ajyâd. It was related by Hishâm ibn-Muhammad al-Kalbi that ‘Amr ibn-Muḍâd al-Jurhumi fought with another Jurhum man named as-Sumaida‘. ‘Amr appeared carrying arms that were rattling. Hence Ku‘aiki‘ân [rattling] the name of the place from which he appeared. As-Sumaida‘ appeared with bells covering his horses’ necks. Hence Ajyâd [necks] the name of the place whence he appeared. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, it was said that he appeared with horses that were marked, hence the name Ajyâd [steeds]. The common people of Makkah, however, call it “Jiyâd aş-Ṣaghîr” and “Jiyâd al-Kâbir.”

Al-Walîd ibn-Ṣâlih from Kathîr ibn-‘Abdallâh’s grandfather, who said:—“We accompanied ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb on his visit in the year 17, and on the way were met by the owners of the wells, who asked ‘Umar for permission to build dwelling places between Makkah and al-Madînah where, up to that time, no houses stood. ‘Umar granted them permission, but imposed the condition that the wayfarer should have the first claim on the water and shade.”

CHAPTER IX

THE FLOODS IN MAKKAH

Umm-Nahshal flood. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from ibn-Kharrabûdh al-Makki and others:—Makkah was visited by four floods. One was umm-Nahshal flood which took place in the days of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb.¹ This flood rose so high that it penetrated into the Mosque from the highest part of Makkah. ‘Umar therefore made two dams, the higher of which extended between the house of Babbah (so called by its occupants, the house being that of ‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Hârith ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭâlib ibn-‘Abd-Manâf who ruled al-Bâṣrah at the time of the insurrection of ibn-az-Zubair) and the house of Abân ibn-‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân. The lower dam lay at al-Hammârin; and it is the one known as Al-Āṣid dam. Thus was the flood kept back from the Haram mosque. According to the same tradition umm-Nahshal, the daughter of ‘Ubaidah² ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-Āṣi ibn-Umaiyyah, was carried away by the flood from the higher part of Makkah and therefore was the flood named after her.

Al-Juhâf w-al-Jurâf. Another flood was that of al-Juhâf w-al-Jurâf which took place in the year 80 in the time of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân. It overtook the pilgrims on a Monday morning and carried them away together with their baggage, and surrounded the Ka‘bah. About this the poet said:

¹ Azraqî, pp. 394-398.

² Azraqî, pp. 394-395: “‘Ubaid”.

"Ghassân never saw a day like Monday,
when so many were saddened and so many eyes wept;
and when the flood carried away the people of al-Mîşrain¹
and made the secluded women run astray climbing the mountains."²

On this occasion, 'Abd-al-Malik wrote to his 'âmil in Makkah, 'Abdallâh ibn-Sufyân al-Makhzûmi — others say that the poet al-Hârith ibn-Khâlid al-Makhzûmi was his 'âmil—ordering him to build walls without clay around the houses that bordered on the valley, and around the Mosque, and to erect dams at the openings of the roads, so that the houses should be secure. To this effect, he sent a Christian who made the walls and set up the dam known as the banu-Kurâd's or banu-Jumâh's. Other dams were constructed in lower Makkah. A poet says :

"One drop of tears I shall keep, the other I shall pour forth,
if I pass the dam of the banu-Kurâd."

Al-Mukhabbil. Another flood was the one called al-Mukhabbil. When it came, many were afflicted with a disease in their body and palsy in their tongues. Hence the name al-Mukhabbil [rendering some limb crippled].

Abu-Shâkir. Still another flood came later in the caliphate of Hishâm ibn-'Abd-al-Malik in the year 120. It is known as abu-Shâkir flood after Maslamah ibn-Hishâm, who in that year had charge of the fair [of the pilgrims].

Wâdi-Makkah. The flood of Wâdi-Makkah comes from a place known as Sidrat 'Attâb ibn-Asîd ibn-abi-l-Îs.

The flood in the caliphate of ar-Rashîd. It was reported by 'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm that a great flood took place in the caliphate of al-Ma'mûm 'Abdallâh ibn-ar-Rashîd; and its water rose almost as high as the "stone."³

¹ Al-Başrah and al-Kûfah.

² Cf. Azraqî, p. 396.

³ The "black stone" of al-Kâ'bah; Azraqî, p. 397.

The limits of al-Haram. Al-‘Abbâs from ‘Ikrimah:—A part of the limits set to al-Haram having been obliterated in the days of Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân, he wrote to Marwân ibn-al-Hakam, his ‘âmil in al-Madinah, ordering him to ask Kurz ibn-‘Alkamah-l-Khuzâ‘i, if he were still alive, to establish the limits of al-Haram, since he was familiar with them. Kurz was still alive; and he established the limits which are today the marks of al-Haram. According to al-Kalbi, this was Kurz ibn-‘Alkamah ibn-Hilâl ibn-Juraibah ibn-‘Abd-Nuhm ibn-Hulail ibn-Hubshiyah-l-Khuzâ‘i, the one who followed the steps of the Prophet to the cave in which the Prophet, accompanied by abu-Bakr aş-Şiddîk, had disappeared, when he wanted to take the Hegira to al-Madinah. Kurz saw on the cave a spider web, and below it, the Prophet’s foot-print which he recognized saying, “ This is the Prophet’s foot, but here the track is lost.”

CHAPTER X

AT-TÂ'IF

The Prophet lays siege to at-Tâ'if. When the Hawâzin were defeated in the battle of Hunain, and Duraid ibn-âs-Simmah was slain, the surviving remnant came to Awtâs. The Prophet sent them abu-'Âmir al-Ash'ari who was put to death. Then abu-Mûsa 'Abdallâh ibn-Kais al-Ash'ari took the command and the Moslems advanced on Awtâs. Seeing that, the chief of the Hawâzin at that time, Mâlik ibn-'Auf ibn-Sâ'd of banu-Duhmân ibn-Nâṣr ibn-Mu'âwiyah ibn-Bâkr ibn-Hawâzin, fled to at-Tâ'if, whose people he found ready for the siege with their fortress repaired and the provisions gathered therein. Here he settled. The Prophet led the Moslems until they got to at-Tâ'if. Thakîf hurled stones and arrows on the Moslems, and the Prophet set a ballista on the fortress. The Moslems had a mantelet¹ made of cows' skins on which Thakîf threw hot iron bars and burnt it, killing the Moslems underneath. The siege of at-Tâ'if by the Prophet lasted for fifteen days,² the invasion having begun in Shauwâl, in the year 8.

Certain slaves surrender. Certain slaves from at-Tâ'if presented themselves before the Prophet. Among them were abu-Bakrah ibn-Mâsrûh,—[later] the Prophet's freed-

56

¹ Ar. *dabbâbah*—a machine made of skins and wool, men enter into it and it is propelled to the lower part of a fortress where the men, protected from what is thrown upon them, try to make a breach. See Zaidân, *Tâ'rikh at-Tamaddun al-Islâmi*, vol. i, p. 143.

² Cf. Hishâm, p. 872.

man, and whose [first] name was Nufai‘—, and al-Azraq—after whom the Azārikah were named, who was a Greek blacksmith and slave, and whose [full] name was abu-Nāfi‘ ibn-al-Azraq al-Khāriji. For doing so, these slaves were set free.¹ It is claimed by others, however, that Nāfi‘ ibn-Azraq al-Khāriji was of the banu-Hanīfah and that the al-Azraq who came from at-Tā’if was another man.

The terms of capitulation. Then the Prophet left for al-Ji‘rānah to divide the captives and the booty of Ḥunain.² Thaķif, fearing lest he should return, sent a deputation with whom he made terms stipulating that they become Moslem, and keep what they possess in the form of money or buried treasures.³ The Prophet imposed a condition on them that they would neither practise usury nor drink wine. They were addicted to usury. To this end, he wrote them a statement.

The old name of at-Tā’if was Wajj. When it was fortified and surrounded by a wall it was called at-Tā’if.

The Jews in at-Tā’if. Al-Madā’ini from certain *sheikhs* from at-Tā’if:—In the district of at-Tā’if lived some Jews driven from al-Yaman and Yathrib, who had settled there for trade. On them poll-tax was imposed. It was from some of them that Mu‘āwiyah bought his possessions in at-Tā’if.

The land of at-Tā’if is included in the district of Makkah. Al-‘Abbās ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib had a piece of land in at-Tā’if from which grapes were taken and made into the beverage used for the Pilgrims. The men of Kuraish had possessions in at-Tā’if to which they came from

¹ Cf. Hishām, p. 874.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 1670; abu-l-Fida, *al-Mukhtasar*, vol. i, p. 147 (Cairo, 1325).

³ Ar. *ar-rikāz*, treasures buried in pre-Islamic days; Bukhāri, vol. i, p. 381; Mawardi, p. 207.

Makkah to repair. The conquest of Makkah and the conversion of its people to Islam made Thaḳif covet and lay hold on these possessions, but with the conquest of at-Ṭā'if, they were again put in the hands of the Makkans, and in fact all the land of at-Ṭā'if became one of the districts of Makkah.

Abu-Sufyān loses his eye. It was in the battle of at-Ṭā'if that abu-Sufyān ibn-Ḥarb lost his eye.¹

The zakāt from Thakif on grapes and dates. Al-Walīd ibn-Ṣāliḥ from ‘Attāb ibn-Asid:—The Prophet ordered that the vine-trees of Thaḳif be estimated as in the case of dates and that the *zakāt* [legal alms] be taken in the form of raisins, as in the case of dates.

According to al-Wākidi, abu-Ḥanīfah says: “The vine-trees are not estimated, but when the produce, whether large 57 or small, is gathered the *zakāt* is taken.”

According to Ya‘kūb: “If the produce is gathered and the weight of it is five *wasks* [loads] then its *zakāt* is one-tenth or half of one-tenth.” The same view is held by Sufyān ibn-Sa‘id ath-Thauri. The *wask* is equal to 60 *sās*.²

Mālik ibn-Anas and ibn-abi-Dhi'b state that according to the commended practice [Ar. *sunnah*] the *zakāt* on grape is taken by estimation as in the case of dates.³

The zakāt on honey. Shaibān ibn-abi-Shaibah from ‘Amr ibn-Shu‘aib:—A ‘āmil of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb in at-Ṭā'if wrote to ‘Umar, “Those who own honey fail to contribute to us what they used to contribute to the Prophet, i. e., one vase out of each ten.” ‘Umar wrote back to him, “If they would contribute, thou shouldst protect their valleys, otherwise do not.”

¹ *Diyārbakri*, vol. ii, p. 124.

² Yaḥya ibn-Ādām, *Kitāb al-Kharāj*, p. 100.

³ Mālik ibn-Anas, *al-Muwaṭṭa*, pp. 116-117; and cf. Shāfi‘i, *Kitāb al-Umm*, vol. ii², p. 27.

‘Amr ibn-Muhammad an-Nâkîd from ‘Abd-ar-Râhîmân ibn-Ishâk’s grandfather:—‘Umar assessed one-tenth in the case of honey.

Dâ’ûd ibn-‘Abd-al-Ḥamîd the *kâdi* of ar-Râkkâh from Khaṣîf:—‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz wrote to his ‘âmîls in Makkah and at-Ṭâ’if, “There is *ṣadakah* on the bee-hives. Therefore, take it thereof.” According to al-Wâkîdi, it has been reported that ibn-‘Umar said, “There is no *ṣadakah* on hives.” According to Mâlik and ath-Thaurî, no *zakât* is taken on honey though it may be in great quantities.¹ The same is the view of ash-Shâfi‘î.² According to abu-Ḥanîfah, if the honey is raised in a tithe-land the tithe is taken whether the honey is much or little; but if it is raised in the *kharâj*-land, nothing is to be taken, because both *zakât* and *kharâj* cannot be taken from one and the same man.

Al-Wâkîdi states that he was told by al-Ķâsim ibn-Mâ‘n and Ya‘kûb that abu-Ḥanîfah said: “If honey is raised in the land of a *dhimmi* there is no tithe on it, but there is *kharâj* on the land. And if it is produced in the land of a Taghlâbi³ one-fifth is taken thereof.” The same view is held by Zufar. According to abu-Yûsuf,⁴ if the honey is produced in the *kharâj*-land, it is exempt of everything; but if in the tithe land, one *raṭl*⁵ is taken out of ten.

According to Muḥammad ibn-al-Ḥasan, no *ṣadakah* whatever is taken on what is less than five *farâks*.⁶ The same view is held by ibn-abi-Dhi‘b.

¹ *Muwaṭṭa*, p. 121.

² *Umm*, vol. ii², p. 33.

³ Banu-Taghlîb were Christian Arabs on whom ‘Umar-ibn al-Khaṭâb doubled the tax. See abu-Yûsuf, *Kitâb al-Kharâj*, p. 68.

⁴ Yûsuf, p. 40.

⁵ A *raṭl* is about 5 pounds.

⁶ A *farâk* is 16 *raṭls*. *Nihâyah*, vol. iii, p. 196.

It was reported by Khālid ibn-‘Abdallāh aṭ-Ṭāḥḥān that ibn-abi-Laila said, “Whether it is produced in the tithe- or *kharāj*-land, one *ratl* is due on every ten. The same view is held by al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣāliḥ ibn-Ḥai.

A tradition reported to me by abu-‘Ubaid on the authority of az-Zuhri states that the latter held that one vase [Ar. *zikk*] ¹ is due on every ten.

The tithe on fruits and grains. Yaḥya ibn-Ādām from Bishr ibn-‘Āsim and ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-Aus:—Sufyān ibn-‘Abdallāh ath-Thākafī wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, whose ‘āmil he was in aṭ-Ṭā’if, stating that before him was the case of a garden in which vine-trees grow, as well as plum and pomegranate trees and other things that are many folds more productive than vines, and soliciting ‘Umar’s orders regarding the taking of its tithe. But ‘Umar wrote back, “No tithes on it.”

It was stated by Yaḥya ibn-Ādām that he heard Sufyān ibn-Sa‘id (whose view is the following) say:—“There is no *ṣadakah* except on four of the products of the soil, i. e., wheat, barley, dates and raisins, provided the product measures five *wasks*.²” But abu-Hanifah’s view is that whatever the tithe-land produces is subject to the tithe, though it be a bundle of vegetables. The same view is held by Zufar. But according to the view of Mālik, ibn-abi-Dhi'b and Ya‘kūb, vegetables and the like are not subject to *ṣadakah*. Nor is there *ṣadakah* on what is less than five *wasks* of wheat, barley, maize, husked barley, tare, dates, raisins, rice, sesame, peas and the grains that can be measured and stored, including lentils, beans, Indian peas and millet. If any of these measure five *wasks*, then it is subject to *ṣadakah*. The same view, according to al-Wākīdī, is held by Rabī‘ah ibn-

¹ A receptacle of skin for holding wine and the like.

² Yaḥya ibn-Ādām, *Kitāb al-Kharāj*, pp. 109-110.

abi-'Abd-ar-Rahmân. According to az-Zuhri all spices and pulse¹ is subject to *zakât*. Mâlik holds that no *ṣadakah* is due on pears, plums, pomegranates or the rest of the fresh fruits. The same view is held by ibn-abi-Laila. According to abu-Yûsuf, there is no *ṣadakah* except on what can be measured by *al-kafîz*.² Abu-az-Zinâd ibn-abi-Dhi'b and ibn-abi-Sabrah hold that no *ṣadakah* is taken on vegetables and fruits, but there is *ṣadakah* on their prices the moment they are sold. 59

A tradition was communicated to me by 'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm on the authority of his grandfather to the effect that the Prophet assigned 'Uthmân ibn-abi-l-'Âsî ath-Thâkafî as his 'âmil in at-Tâ'if.

¹ Seed of a leguminous plant that is cooked.

² Adam, p. 101.

CHAPTER XI

TABĀLAH AND JURASH

BAKR ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—The people of Tabâlah and Jurash¹ accepted Islam without resistance.² The Prophet left them on the terms agreed upon when they became Moslems, imposing on every adult of the “People of the book”³ among them one *dînâr*, and making it a condition on them to provide the Moslem wayfarers with board and lodging. Abu-Sûfyân ibn-â-*Harb* was assigned by the Prophet as the governor of Jurash.

¹ Cities in al-Yaman; Bakri, pp. 191 and 238; Hamdâni, *Jazîrat al-‘Arab*, p. 127, line 19; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 817 and vol. ii, p. 60.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 1730.

³ Jews and Christians.

CHAPTER XII

TABŪK, AILAH, ADHRUH, MAKNA AND AL-JARBĀ'

Tabûk makes terms. When in the year 9 the Prophet marched to Tabûk in Syria for the invasion of those of the Greeks, 'Amilah, Lakhm, Judhâm and others whom he learnt had assembled against him, he met no resistance.¹ So he spent a few days in Tabûk, whose inhabitants made terms with him agreeing to pay poll-tax.

Ailah makes terms. During his stay at Tabûk, there came to him Yuhanna ibn-Ru'bah, the chief of Ailah, and made terms, agreeing to pay on every adult in his land one *dîmâr per annum* making it 300 *dînârs* in all. The Prophet made it a condition on them that they provide with board and lodging whomsoever of the Moslems may pass by them. To this effect he wrote them a statement² that they may be kept safe and protected.

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from Talhah-l-Aili:—'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz never raised the tax of the people of Ailah above 300 *dînârs*.³

Adhrûh makes terms. The Prophet made terms with the people of Adhrûh⁴ stipulating that they pay 100 *dînârs* in Rajab of every year.

Al-Jarbâ' makes terms. The people of al-Jarbâ'⁵ made

¹ Ibn-Sa'd, vol. ii¹, p. 118; Hishâm, p. 893; Tabari, vol. i, p. 1692.

² Hishâm, p. 902.

³ Wellhausen, *Das Arabische Reich*, p. 173.

⁴ Yâkût, vol. i, p. 174; İştakhri, p. 58; Muqaddasi, p. 54.

⁵ Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 46.

terms and agreed to pay poll-tax. To this effect the Prophet wrote them a statement.

Makna makes terms. The people of Maḳna made terms 60 with the Prophet, agreeing to offer one-fourth of what they fish and spin, one-fourth of their horses and coats of mail, and one-fourth of their fruits. The inhabitants of Maḳna were Jews.¹ An Egyptian told me that he saw with his own eye the statement that the Prophet wrote them on a red parchment, the writing on which was partly effaced, and which he copied and dictated to me as follows:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah, to the banu-Habibah and the inhabitants of Maḳna: peace be with you. It has been revealed unto me from above that ye are to return to your village. From the time this my letter reaches you, ye shall be safe; and ye have the assurance of security from Allah and from his Messenger. Verily, the Messenger of Allah has forgiven you your sins and all blood for which ye have been pursued. In your village, ye shall have no partner but the Messenger of Allah or the Messenger's messenger. There shall be no oppression on you nor hostility against you. Against whatever the Prophet of Allah protects himself, he will protect you. Only to the Prophet of Allah shall belong your cloth-stuff, slaves, horses² and coats of mail, save what the Prophet or the Prophet's messenger shall exempt. Besides that, ye shall give one-fourth of what your palm-trees produce, one-fourth of the product of your nets, and one-fourth of what is spun by your women; but all else shall be your own; and God's Prophet has exempted you from all further poll-tax or forced labor. Now, if ye

¹ Wāḳidi, tr. Wellhausen, p. 405.

² Ar. *kurā'*, see *Nihāyah*, vol. iv, p. 16; and Muṭarrizi, vol. ii, p. 148; Margoliouth translates "camp-followers" in Zaidān's *Umayyads and Abbasids*, p. 121.

hear and obey, it will be for the Prophet to do honor to the honorable among you and pardon those among you who do the wrong. Whosoever of the banu-Habibah and the inhabitants of Maḳna bethinks himself to do well to the Moslems, it shall be well for him; and whosoever means mischief to them, mischief shall befall him. Ye are to have no ruler save of your number of the family of the Prophet. Written by 'Ali-ibn-abu-Ṭâlib¹ in the year 9."

¹ *Sic!* Being genitive, it should be "abi". See note in De Goeje's edition, p. 60.

CHAPTER XIII

DŪMAT AL-JANDAL

Khālid ibn-al-Walid captures Ukaidir. The Prophet 61 sent Khālid ibn-al-Walid ibn-al-Mughīrah-l-Makhzūmi to Ukaidir ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik al-Kindi, later as-Sakūni, at Dūmat al-Jandal.¹ Khālid took him captive, killed his brother, robbed him of a silk cloak² interwoven with gold, and brought Ukaidir before the Prophet. Ukaidir accepted Islam,³ upon which the Prophet wrote for him and the people of Dūmat the following statement:—

“This is a statement from Muḥammad, the Prophet of Allah, to Ukaidir as he accepted Islām and forsook the objects of worship and idols, and to the people of Dūmat:— To us shall belong the water-places outside the city, the un-tilled lands, the deserts and waste lands, as well as the de-fensive and offensive weapons, the horses, and the fortress; and to you shall belong the palm-trees within the city, and the running water. Your cattle which are pasturing shall not, for the purpose of taking the *ṣadakah*, be brought to-gether [but shall be numbered on the pasture-land], and what is above the fixed number of animals from which a *ṣadakah* is required shall not be taken into consideration.⁴ Your herds shall graze wherever ye want, and ye shall ob-

¹ Yākūt, vol. ii, p. 625.

² Tabari, vol. i, pp. 1702-1703.

³ Diyārbakri, vol. ii, p. 142; Athīr, vol. ii, p. 214.

⁴ Cf. Sprenger, *Das Leben und die Lehre des Mohammad*, vol. iii, p. 419.

serve prayer in its time, and pay the *zakât* as it is due. To this effect, I give you the covenant of Allah and his promise, and ye are entitled to our sincerity as regards the fulfillment of the terms. Witnessed by Allah and those of the Moslems who are present."

Ukaidir violates the covenant. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm 62 al-Kalbi from his grandfather —The Prophet sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walid to Ukaidir. Ukaidir was brought by Khâlid before the Prophet; he became a Moslem, and the Prophet wrote him a statement. But no sooner had the Prophet been dead, than Ukaidir stopped the payment of the *sadakah*, violated the covenant and left Dûmat al-Jandal for al-Ḥirâh, where he erected a building and called it Dûmat after Dûmat al-Jandal. His brother, however, Ḥuraith¹ ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik embraced Islam and thereby entered into possession of the property held by his brother.²

Ḥuraith's daughter marries. Yazid ibn-Mu‘âwiya married the daughter of Ḥuraith, Ukaidir's brother.

Abu-Bakr sends Khâlid against Ukaidir. Al-‘Abbâs from ‘Awânah ibn-al-Ḥakam:—Abu-Bakr wrote to Khâlid ibn-al-Walid, when the latter was at ‘Ain at-Tamr, ordering him to go against Ukaidir, which he did, killing Ukaidir and capturing Dûmat. After the death of the Prophet, Ukaidir left Dûmat and then returned to it. Having killed him, Khâlid went to Syria.

Laila daughter of al-Jûdi a captive. According to al-Wâkidi, on Khâlid's way from al-‘Irâk to Syria, he passed through Dûmat al-Jandal, which he captured, carrying away many captives, among whom were Laila, the daughter of al-Jûdi-l-Ghassâni. Others say Laila was carried away by Khâlid's horsemen from a Ghassân settlement stationed by 63

¹ Ibn-Hajar, vol. i, p. 773, by mistake gives “ Ḥuraib ”.

² One verse omitted.

a watering-place [*hâdir*]. It was this daughter of al-Jûdi whom 'Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-abi-Bakr aş-Şiddîk had fallen in love with, and the one whom he meant when he said :

“ I thought of Laila with as-Samâwah ¹ intervening between ;
and what has the daughter of al-Jûdi to do with me ? ”

Thus did he win her hand and marry her. But such a hold had she on him that he gave up all his other wives. At last, however, she was affected with such a severe disease that her looks were changed and he no more liked her. He was advised to give her what is usually given at divorce ² and send her to her own people, which he did.

Al-Wâkidi's version of the conquest. According to al-Wâkidi, the Prophet led the invasion against Dûmat al-Jandal in the year 5 and met no resistance. In Shauwâl, year 9, he sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd to Ukaidir, twenty months after the former had embraced Islam.

The reconstruction of Dûmat al-Jandal. I heard it said by someone from al-Hîrah that Ukaidir and his brothers used to go to Dûmat al-Hîrah and visit their uncles of the Kalb tribe and spend some time with them. One day as they were together on a hunting trip, there arose before their view a city in ruins with only few walls standing. The city was built of stones [Ar. *jandal*]. This city they rebuilt, planted in it olive- and other trees, and called it Dûmat al-Jandal in distinction from Dûmat al-Hîrah.³

Az-Zuhri's version of the conquest. 'Amr ibn-Muham-mad an-Nâkîd from az-Zuhri :—The Prophet sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd ibn-al-Mughîrah to the people of Dûmat al-Jandal who were some of the Christians of al-Kûfah. Khâlid captured Ukaidir, their chief, and arranged to receive poll-tax from him.

¹ A desert from Dûmat to 'Ain at-Tamr; İştakhri, p. 23.

² Ar. *mut'ah*. *Muwaṭṭa*, p. 208.

³ Caetani, vol. ii, p. 263.

CHAPTER XIV

THE CAPITULATION OF NAJRÂN

The terms agreed upon. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from az-Zuhri:—There came to the Prophet the military chief and the civil chief,¹ delegated by the people of Najrân in al-Yaman, and asked for terms which they made on behalf of the people of Najrân, agreeing to offer two thousand robes—one thousand in Ṣafar and one thousand in Rajab—each one of which should have the value of one ounce [*aukiyah*], the ounce weighing 40 *dirhams*. In case the price of the robe delivered should be more than one ounce, the surplus would be taken into consideration; and if it were less, the deficiency should be made up. And whatever weapons, horses, camels or goods they offered, should be accepted instead of the robes, if they are the same value. Another condition was made that they provide board and lodging for the Prophet's messengers for a month or less, and not detain them for more than a month. Still another condition was that in case of war in al-Yaman, they are bound to offer as loan thirty coats of mail, thirty mares and thirty camels, and whatever of these animals perish, the messengers [of the Prophet] guarantee to make up for them. To this effect, the Prophet gave them Allah's covenant and his promise. Another condition was that they be not allured to change their religion or the rank they hold in it, nor should they be called upon for military service or made to pay the tithe.² The

¹ *Hishâm*, p. 401.

² Cf. *Yûsuf*, pp. 40-41.

Prophet made it a condition on them that they neither take nor give usury.

The two monks of Najrān and the Prophet. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Ḥasan:—There came to the Prophet two monks from Najrān.¹ The Prophet proposed Islām to them, and they replied, “We embraced Islām before thou didst.” To this the Prophet replied, “Ye have told a lie. Three things keep you from Islām: pork eating, cross-worship and the claim that Allah has a son.” “Well then,” said they, “who is ‘Īsa’s father?” Al-Ḥasan adds that the Prophet was never too quick but always waited for Allah’s command. Hence the text revealed by his Lord:² “These signs and this wise warning do we rehearse to thee. Verily, Jesus is as Adam in the sight of Allah. He created him of dust: He then said to him, ‘Be’—and he was,” etc. to “on those who lie.”

This the Prophet repeated to them and then asked them to join with him in imprecating the curse of Allah upon whichever of them was wrong,³ taking hold of the hands of Fāṭimah, al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusain. At this, one of the two monks said to the other, “Climb the mountain and do not join with him in imprecating the curse, for if thou shouldst, thou wouldst return with the curse on thee.” “What shall we do then?” asked the other. “I believe,” said the former, “we had better give him the *kharāj* rather than join with him in imprecating the curse.”⁴

A statement of the treaty. Al-Ḥusain from Yāḥyā ibn-Ādām who said:—“I copied the statement of the Prophet to the people of Najrān from that of a man who took it from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣāliḥ. These are the words:

¹ Yākūt, vol. iv, pp. 751-757.

² Kor., 3:51.

³ Cf. Kor., 3:54.

⁴ *mubāhalah.* Bukhārī, vol. iii, pp. 167-168; abu-l-Faraj, *Aghāni*, vol. x, p. 144.

‘In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. The following is what the Messenger of Allah, Muḥammad, wrote to Najrân, at whose disposal¹ were all their fruits, their gold, silver and domestic utensils, and their slaves, but which he benevolently left for them, assessing on them two thousand robes each having the value of one *aukiyah*, one thousand to be delivered in Rajab of every year, and one thousand in Safar of every year. Each robe shall be one *aukiyah*; and whatever robes cost more or less than one *aukiyah*, their overcost or deficiency shall be taken into consideration; and whatever coats of mail, horses, camels or goods they substitute for the robes shall be taken into consideration. It is binding on Najrân to provide board and lodging for my messengers² for one month or less, and never to detain them for more than a month. It is also binding on them to offer as loan thirty coats of mail, thirty mares and thirty camels, in case of war in al-Yaman due to their rebelling. Whatever perishes of the horses or camels, lent to my messengers, is guaranteed by my messengers and is returned by them. Najrân and their followers³ are entitled to the protection of Allah and to the security of Muḥammad the Prophet, the Messenger of Allah, which security shall involve their persons, religion, lands and possessions, including those of them who are absent as well as those who are present, their camels, messengers and images.⁴ The state they previously held shall not be changed, nor shall any of their religious services or images be changed. No attempt shall be made to turn a bishop from his office as a bishop, a monk from his office as a monk, nor the sexton

¹ The text here is probably corrupt; cf. Wellhausen, *Skizzen und Vorarbeiten*, vol. iv, pp. 25 and 132; Yûsuf, p. 41.

² Sent to bring the *kharâj*.

³ Ar. *ḥâshiyah* = Jews. Sprenger, vol. iii, p. 502.

⁴ *amthilah* = crosses and pictures used in churches.

of a church from his office, whether what is under the control of each is great or little. They shall not be held responsible for any wrong deed or blood shed in pre-Islamic time. They shall neither be called to military service nor compelled to pay the tithe. No army shall tread on their land. If some one demands of them some right, then the case is decided with equity without giving the people of Najrān the advantage over the other party, or giving the other party the advantage over them. But whosoever of them has up till now¹ received usury, I am clear of the responsibility of his protection.² None of them, however, shall be held responsible for the guilt of the other. And as a guarantee to what is recorded in this document, they are entitled to the right of protection from Allah, and to the security of Muḥammad the Prophet, until Allah's order is issued, and so long as they give the right counsel [to Moslems] and render whatever dues are bound on them, provided they are not asked to do anything unjust. Witnessed by abu-Sufyān ibn-Ḥarb, Ghailān ibn-‘Amr, Mālik ibn-‘Auf 66 of banu-Naṣr, al-Ākra‘ ibn-Ḥābis al-Ḥanzali and al-Mughirah. Written by—”³

Yahā ibn-Ādam adds, “I have seen in the hands of the people of Najrān another statement whose reading is similar to that of this copy, but at the close of it the following words occur: Written by ‘Ali ibn-abu-Ṭālib.’⁴ Concerning this I am at a loss to know what to say.”

‘Umar expels them. When abu-Bakr as-Ṣiddīk became caliph he enforced the terms agreed upon and issued another statement similar to that given by the Prophet. When

¹ Ya‘kūbi, vol. ii, p. 62, has “after this year”.

² Caetani, vol. ii, p. 352; Sprenger, vol. iii, p. 502; Athīr, vol. ii, p. 223.

³ ‘Abdallāh ibn-abi-Bakr; abu-Yūsuf, p. 4; see H. Lammens' comment on this protocol, *Mélanges de la Faculté Orientale*, vol. v², p. 346.

⁴ And not “abi” as required by the rules of the Arabic grammar.

‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb became caliph, they began to practise usury, and became so numerous as to be considered by him a menace to Islâm. He therefore expelled them and wrote to them the following statement:

“Greetings! Whomever of the people of Syria and al-‘Irâk they happen to come across, let him clear for them tillable land; and whatever land they work, becomes theirs in place of their land in al-Yaman.” Thus the people of Najrân were dispersed, some settling in Syria and others in an-Najrâniyah in the district of al-Kûfah, after whom it was so named. The Jews of Najrân were included with the Christians in the terms and went with them as their followers.

The Najranites under ‘Uthmân. When ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân became caliph, he wrote to his ‘âmil in al-Kûfah, al-Wâlid ibn-‘Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu‘âit, as follows:

“Greetings! The civil ruler, the bishop and the nobles of Najrân have presented to me the written statement of the Prophet and showed me the recommendation¹ of ‘Umar. Having made inquiry regarding their case from ‘Uthmân ibn-Hunaif, I learned that he had investigated their state and found it injurious to the great landlords² whom they prevented from possessing their land. I have, therefore, reduced their taxation by 200 robes—for the sake of Allah and in place of their old lands. I recommend them to thee as they are included among the people entitled to our protection.”

Another source for ‘Umar’s statement. I heard it said by one of the learned that ‘Umar wrote them the following statement:—“Greetings! Whomsoever of the people of Syria or al-‘Irâk they pass by, let him clear for them tillable land”. Another I heard say, “waste land”.

¹ Lammens. *MFO*, vol. v², p. 677.

² *dihkâns*; Adam, pp. 42-43.

One reason for their expulsion. ‘Abd-al-A‘la ibn-Hammâd an-Narsi from ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz:—The Prophet said during his illness, “There shall not remain two religions in the land of Arabia.” Consequently, when ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb became caliph, he expelled the people of Najrân to an-Najrâniyah and bought their properties and 67 possessions.

Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from his grandfather:—The Najrân of al-Yaman received their name from Najrân ibn-Zâid ibn-Sâba ibn-Yashjûb ibn-Yâ‘rub ibn-Kaḥtân.

‘Umar and ‘Ali refuse to reinstate them in the land. Al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from Sâlim ibn-abi-l-Jâ‘d:—The people of Najrân having increased in number to 40,000, became jealous of one another and came to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb saying, “Transplant us from the land”. ‘Umar had considered them a menace to the Moslems, so he took this opportunity and expelled them from the land. Later, however, they repented, and returning to ‘Umar said, “Reinstate us in the land”, but ‘Umar refused. When ‘Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib became caliph, they came to him and said, “We plead with thee by thy right-hand writing and thy intermediacy on our behalf with thy Prophet that thou mayst reinstate us in the land.” To this ‘Ali replied: “‘Umar was a man of sound judgment, and I hate to act differently.”¹

The number of robes received by Mu‘âwiyah. Abu-Mas‘ûd al-Kûfi from al-Kalbi:—The chief of an-Najrâniyah at al-Kûfah used to send his messengers to all the people of Najrân who were in Syria and other districts and to gather money assessed evenly on them for raising the required robes. When Mu‘âwiyah (or Yazîd ibn-Mu‘âwiyah) came to power, they complained to him because of their dispersion, the death of some of them, and the conversion to Islâm of

¹ Adam, p. 9.

others. They also presented the statement issued by 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân for the reduction of the number of robes. To this they added, "And now we have still more decreased, and become weaker." He then reduced the number by another 200 robes, thus reducing the original number by four hundred.

Al-Hajjâj restores the number. When al-Hajjâj ibn-Yûsuf was made governor of al-'Irâk and ibn-al-Ash'ath revolted against him, the former charged the non-Arab landlords and the people of Najrân with siding with the latter, and, therefore, he raised the number to 1,800 robes, and ordered that the robes be of the kind adorned with figures.

'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz reduces the number. When 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz came to power, they complained to him that they were in danger of extinction, that they were decreasing in number, that the continuous raids of the Arabs overburdened them with heavy taxes for revictualling them, and that they suffered from the unjust treatment of al-Hajjâj. By 'Umar's orders their census was taken, and it was found that they were reduced to one-tenth of their original number, upon which 'Umar said, "I consider that the terms of this capitulation impose a tax on their heads and not on their lands. The poll-tax of the dead and the Moslems, however, is annulled." He therefore held them responsible for 200 robes of the value of 8,000 *dirhams*.

Yûsuf ibn-'Umar restores the original tax. In the time of al-Walid ibn-Yazid, when Yûsuf ibn-'Umar was made 68 governor of al-'Irâk, he [Yûsuf], moved with partisanship to al-Hajjâj, charged them the original tax.

Abu-l-'Abbâs reduces the number of robes. When abu-l-'Abbâs was proclaimed caliph, they met him on the way as he appeared in al-Kûfah and strewed myrtle branches on the road and threw some on him as he was going home from

the mosque. With this the caliph was greatly pleased. Later they brought their case before him and told him of their paucity in number and of their treatment by 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz and Yûsuf ibn-'Umar. To this they added, "We are somehow related to thy uncles (on the mother's side), the banu-l-Hârith ibn-Ka'b." 'Abdallâh ibn-ar-Râbî' al-Hârithi spoke in their favor; and al-Hajjâj ibn-Artât confirmed what they claimed. Therefore, abu-l-'Abbâs held them responsible only for the 200 robes previously given by them, having a value of 8,000 *dirhams*.

Ar-Rashîd writes them a favorable statement. Abu-Mas'ûd said, "When ar-Rashîd Hârûn became caliph and started for al-Kûfah on his way to the Pilgrimage, they brought their case before him and complained of the harsh treatment of the 'âmils. By the caliph's orders there was written to them a statement fixing the number at 200 robes. The statement I myself saw. Moreover the caliph ordered that they be freed from dealing with the 'âmils, and that they pay the dues directly to the treasury."

'Amr an-Nâkîd from ibn-Shihâb az-Zuhri:—The following text was revealed against the unbelievers among the Kuraish and the Arabs,¹ "Fight therefore against them until there is no more civil discord, and the only worship be that of Allah," and the following against the "People of the Book."² "Make war upon such of those to whom the Book has been given as believe not in Allah, or in the last day, and who forbid not that which Allah and his Messenger have forbidden, and who profess not the profession of the truth," etc. to "humbled." Thus the first among the "People of the Book" to pay poll-tax, so far as we know, were the people of Najrân who were Christian. Then, the people of Ailah, Adhrûh and Adhri'ât paid it in the battle of Tabûk.

¹ Kor., 2: 189.

² Kor., 9: 29.

CHAPTER XV

AL-YAMAN

The people of al-Yaman embrace Islâm. When the news of the rise of the Prophet and the success of his righteous cause reached the people of al-Yaman, they sent their envoys, and the Prophet gave them a written statement confirming 69 them in the possession of whatever property, lands, and buried treasures were included in their terms when they became Moslems. Thus they accepted Islâm; and the Prophet sent them his messengers and 'âmils to acquaint them with the laws of Islâm and its institutes and to receive their *sadakah* and the poll-tax of those among them who still held to Christianity, Judaism or Magianism.

The Prophet's letter. Al-Hasan ibn-al-Aswad from al-Hasan:—The Prophet wrote to the people of al-Yaman, “Whosoever repeats our prayer, turns his face to the *kiblah* as we do,¹ and eat what we slaughter, such a one is a Moslem and has the security of Allah and the security of his Prophet. But whosoever refuses to do so, tax is binding upon him.”

A similar tradition was communicated to me by Hudbah on the authority of al-Hasan.

The governor of San'a. It is reported by al-Wâkidi that the Prophet sent Khâlid ibn-Sa'id ibn-al-'Âsi as a commander over San'a' and its land. Al-Wâkidi adds that some say that the Prophet assigned al-Muhâjir ibn-abî-Umaiyyah ibn-al-Mughirah-l-Makhzûmi to be governor of San'a, in which position he died. Still others say, according

¹ Turning the face towards Makkah during prayer.

to al-Wâkidi, that the one who made al-Muhâjir governor over San'a' was abu-Bakr aş-Siddîk, who also assigned Khâlid ibn-Sa'id over the provinces of upper al-Yaman.

Al-Muhâjir as governor of Kindah and aş-Sadif. According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi and Haitham ibn-‘Adî the Prophet assigned al-Muhâjir over Kindah and aş-Sadif. On the death of the Prophet, abu-Bakr wrote to Ziyâd ibn-Labîd al-Bayâdî-l-Anshârî assigning to him the governorship of Kindah, aş-Sadif and other places in addition to what he already ruled over in Hâdramaut. Al-Muhâjir he assigned over San'a' and later asked him in writing to reinforce Ziyâd ibn-Labîd, without dismissing him from the governorship of San'a'.

Ziyâd, governor of Hâdramaut. It is agreed by all that the Prophet assigned Ziyâd ibn-Labîd to Hâdramaut.

The governors of Zabîd, Rîma', ‘Adan, al-Janad and Najrân appointed. The Prophet assigned abu-Mûsa-l-Ash'ârî to Zabîd, Rîma', ‘Adan and the coast region, and assigned Mu'âdh ibn-Jâbal to al-Janad, made him *kâdi* and charged him with collecting *ṣadâkah* in al-Yaman. He then assigned to Najrân ‘Amr ibn-Hazm al-Anshârî; and, according to other reports, he assigned abu-Sufyân ibn-Harb to Najrân after ‘Amr ibn-Hazm.

The letter of the Prophet to Zur'ah sent with Mu'âdh. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Sâlih al-Mukrî’ from ‘Urwah ibn-az-Zubair: —The Prophet wrote to Zur'ah ibn-dhi-Yazan as follows: 70

“ Greetings! On the arrival of my messenger Mu'âdh ibn-Jâbal and his companions, gather all your *ṣadâkah* and poll-tax and deliver them to him. Mu'âdh is the chief of my messengers, and one of the righteous among my immediate companions. I have been informed by Mâlik ibn-Murârah¹ ar-Rahâwi that thou wert the first to desert

¹ Hishâm, p. 956, gives “ Murrah ”; and Nawâwi, p. 539, “ Marârah ”.

Himyar and embrace Islâm. Therefore, good times lie before thee. And I order you, all Himyar,¹ not to exhibit perfidy or deviation, for verily is the Prophet of Allah the lord of both the rich and the poor among you. As for the *sadakah*, it is not legal for Muhammad or any of his relatives to take; it is rather *zakât* through which ye are purified, and which goes to the poor among the Moslems and the Believers. It was Mâlik that conveyed the information and kept the secret. As for Mu'âdh, he is one of the righteous among my immediate companions and one of their coreligionists. I, therefore, order you to treat him well, for he is highly esteemed. And peace be unto you!"²

The Prophet orders Mu'âdh to take the tithe. Al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from Mûsa ibn-Talhah :—The Prophet sent Mu'âdh ibn-Jabal³ to collect the *sadakah* of al-Yaman ordering him to take on dates, wheat, barley and grapes (perhaps he said raisins) one-tenth and one-half of a tenth.

Instructions to 'Amr ibn-Hazm. Al-Husain from Muhammad ibn-Ishâk :—The Prophet wrote the following to 'Amr ibn-Hazm when he sent him to al-Yaman :

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a declaration from Allah and his Prophet. All ye that have believed! be faithful to your compacts:⁴ this is an ordinance from the Prophet Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah, to 'Amr ibn-Hazm when he delegated him to al-Yaman. He ordered him to fear Allah in whatever he performs, and to take from the spoils the fifth that belongs to Allah as well as what is prescribed as *sadakah* on the property of the Believers which is one-tenth in case it is

¹ Hishâm, p. 957.

² Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 1719.

³ Bukhâri, vol. iii, p. 156; Diyârbakri, vol. ii, p. 158.

⁴ Kor., 5: 1.

watered by flowing water or rain, and one-half of a tenth if it is watered by means of the bucket.”¹

The Prophet's letter to the kings of Himyar. Al-Ḥusain from Muḥammad ibn-Ishāk:—The following is what the Prophet wrote to the kings of Ḥimyār:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From the Prophet Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allah, to al-Ḥārith ibn-‘Abd-Kulāl, Nu‘aim ibn-‘Abd-Kulāl, and Sharḥ ibn-‘Abd-Kulāl, to an-Nu‘mān Ḳail dhi-Ru‘ain, Ma‘āfir and Hamdān. Greetings! Allah will guide you by his own guidance, if ye act well, obey Allah and his Prophet, observe the prayer, pay the *sakāt*, give out of the spoils the fifth that belongs to Allah, the share of his Prophet, and the portion which belongs to him as chief exclusive of his companions, and deliver what is prescribed by Allah to the Believers in the form of *ṣadakah* on the property, which is one-tenth, in case the land is watered by spring, or rain water, and half of the tenth if watered by means of the bucket.”²

According to Hishām ibn-Muḥammad al-Kalbi the letter of the Prophet was addressed to ‘Arib and al-Ḥārith, the sons of ‘Abd-Kulāl ibn-‘Arib ibn-Liyashrah.³

The Prophet's letter to Mu‘ādh. Yūsuf ibn-Mūsa-l-Kaṭṭān from al-Hakam:—The Prophet wrote to Mu‘ādh ibn-Jabal, when the latter was in al-Yaman, stating that one-tenth is to be assessed on what is watered by rain or flowing water, and half of a tenth on what is watered by means of the bucket and water-wheel; that on every adult one *dīnār* or its equivalent in clothes is to be assessed; and that no Jew is to be enticed to leave Judaism.⁴

¹ Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 1727.

² Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 1718.

³ Ibn-Duraid, *al-Ishtikāk*, p. 308: “*Yalyashrah*”; see *ZDMG*, vol. xx, p. 237.

⁴ Here is omitted the explanation of certain words in the tradition.

The instructions given to Mu'âdh. Abu-'Ubaid from Masrûk :—The Prophet delegated Mu'âdh to al-Yaman giving him orders to take a one-year-old cow out of every thirty cows; one full-grown cow, of every forty; and one *dînâr*, or its equivalent in clothes, from every adult.

The Magians taxed. Al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Hasan :—The Prophet collected poll-tax from the Magians of Hajar and the Magians of al-Yaman, and assessed one *dînâr* or its equivalent in clothes on every adult or female from the Magians of al-Yaman.

The people of al-Yaman taxed. 'Amr an-Nâkid from 72 'Amr ibn-Shu'aib's grandfather :—The Prophet assessed one *dînâr* as tax on every adult among the people of al-Yaman.

Shaibân ibn-abi-Shaibah-l-Ubâlli from Yâhiya ibn-Şâifi or from ibn-'Abbâs :—When the Prophet delegated Mu'âdh ibn-Jâbal to al-Yaman he said, “When thou comest to any of the ‘People of the Book,’ tell them, ‘Allah made it obligatory on you to pray five times per day and night’. If they obey, tell them, ‘Allah made it obligatory on you to fast during the month of Ramaḍân of every year’. If they obey, tell them, ‘Allah made it obligatory on him of you who can afford it to undertake a pilgrimage to Makkah’. If they obey, tell them, ‘Allah has made it obligatory on you to offer *sâdakah* on your possessions to be taken from the rich among you and turned over to the poor among you.’ If they obey, then avoid their choice possessions and beware of the imprecation of the oppressed, for between his imprecation and Allah there is no veil or screen.”¹

*Products subject to *sâdakah*.* Shaibân from al-Mughîrah ibn-'Abdallâh :—Al-Hajjâj said, “Give *sâdakah* on every leguminous plant.” Regarding this abu-Burdah ibn-abi-

¹ Bukhâri, vol. iii, p. 157.

Mûsa said, “He is right”, which made Mûsa ibn-Talhah say to abu-Burdah, “This man [al-Hajjâj?] now claims that his father was among the Prophet’s *Companions*. The Prophet sent Mu‘âdh ibn-Jabal to al-Yaman and gave him instructions to collect *sadakah* on dates, wheat, barley and raisins.”

‘Amr an-Nâkîd from Mûsa ibn-Talhah ibn-‘Ubaidallâh who said:—“I have read the letter of Mu‘âdh ibn-Jabal when the Prophet sent him to al-Yaman, and there occurred in it the following statement, ‘Take *sadakah* on wheat, barley, dates and corn.’”

Why more tax on the Syrians. ‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Madîni from ibn-abi-Najîlî who said, “I once asked Mujâhid, ‘Why did ‘Umar levy on the people of Syria a heavier poll-tax than on the people of al-Yaman?’ and he replied, ‘Because they were people of means.’”

Nothing on al-awkâs. Al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali ibn-al-Aswad from Tâ’ûs:—When Mu‘âdh arrived in al-Yaman, there was brought before him a medial number of cows and a medial amount¹ of honey, on which he said, “I have no instructions to take anything on this.”

The salt of Ma’rib. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Abyad ibn-Ḥammâl:—The latter asked the Prophet to give him as fief the salt in Ma’rib; but hearing someone say, “It is like perennial water,”² the Prophet refused to assign it.

A tradition to the same effect was communicated to me by al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm and others on the authority of Abyad ibn-Ḥammâl.

The Prophet gives a fief in Hadramaut. According to a

¹ Ar. *awkâs*—what is between one *farîdah* and the next; as, for instance when camels amount in number to five, one sheep or goat is to be given for them; and nothing is to be given for such as exceed that number until they amount to ten; thus what is between the five and ten is termed *wâks*, pl. *awkâs*.

² Having an unfailing and continuous output.

tradition communicated to me by Ahmād ibn-Ibrāhīm ad-Daurākī on the authority of ‘Alkāmāh ibn-Wā’il al-Hādramī’s father, the Prophet gave out as fief to the latter [‘Alkāmāh’s father] a piece of land in Hādramaut.

Muhammad ibn-Yūsuf severe on al-Yaman. ‘Alī ibn-Muhammad ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-abi-Saif, a freedman of Kūrāish, from Māslāmāh ibn-Muḥārīb:—When Muḥammad ibn-Yūsuf, the brother of al-Ḥājjāj ibn-Yūsuf, was the governor of al-Yaman, he misbehaved, oppressed the people and took pieces of land from certain men without paying their prices. Among the lands he thus wrested was al-Hārājāh. Moreover he levied on the people of al-Yaman a *kharāj* which he gave the form of an assessed rate of land-tax. When ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz came to power, he wrote to his ‘āmil instructing him to abolish that assessed land-tax and take nothing more than the tithe saying, “Though I may not get from al-Yaman more than a handful of *katam*,¹ I would rather have that than the passing of such a tax.” However, when Yazīd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik came to power he reinstated it.

Sadakah on plants, grains and vegetables. Al-Ḥusain ibn-Muhammad az-Zā’farānī from abu-‘Abd-ar-Rahmān Hishām ibn-Yūsuf, the *kādi* of Ṣanā’:—The people of 74 Khufāsh presented a statement from abu-Bakr as-Ṣiddīq on a parchment ordering them to pay *sadakah* on a piece of land planted with *wars*.²

According to Mālik, ibn-abi-Dhi’b, all the canonists of al-Ḥijāz, Sufyān ath-Thaūrī and abu-Yūsuf there is no *zakāt* on *wars*, *wasmah*,³ *kirṭ*,⁴ *katam*, *ḥinna*,⁵ and roses.

¹ A plant product used for dyeing the hair black.

² A certain plant like sesame existing in al-Yaman only, used for dyeing.

³ A plant with the leaves of which one tinges or dyes.

⁴ A kind of leek. ⁵ A plant used for dyeing the hands and feet.

Abu-Ḥanifah, however, holds that there is *zakât* on these, whether in large or small quantities. Mâlik holds that the *zakât* on saffron is five *dirhams*, if its price amounts to 200 *dirhams* and if it is sold. The same is the view of abu-az-Zinâd who is reported by others to have said, "Nothing on saffron." According to abu-Ḥanifah and Zufar there is *zakât* on it whether it is in large or small quantities. Abu-Yûsuf and Muhammad ibn-al-Ḥasan claim, "If its price amounts to the lowest price for which five *wasks* of dates, wheat, barley, corn or any other kind of grains sell, then there is *ṣadakah* on it." According to ibn-abi-Laila, there is nothing on vegetables. The same view is held by ash-Sha'bi.¹ According to 'Atâ' and Ibrâhîm an-Nakha'i, whatever the tithe-land produces, be it in great or small quantities, is subject to the tithe or half the tithe.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-abi-Rajâ' al-Ūṭâridi who said:—"In al-Baṣrah, ibn-al-'Abbâs used to collect our *ṣadakahs* even from the bundles of leek."

Al-Ḥusain from Tâ'ûs and 'Ikrimah:—The latter asserted that there is no *zakât* on *wars* and cotton.

The tax on the dhimmis. The following is the view of abu-Ḥanifah and Bishr:—In case of the *dhimmis* who are in possession of lands included in the tithe-land, like for instance al-Yaman whose people accepted Islâm and made terms on their lands, al-Baṣrah which was cultivated by the Moslems, and other lands given out as fiefs by the caliphs to which no Moslem or "man of the covenant" has claim, it is binding on these people to pay tax on their person and *kharâj* on their lands according to what their lands can bear. Whatever is received from them follows the course of the money received as *kharâj*. If, however, any one of them becomes Moslem, he is exempt from the poll-tax but

¹ Yaḥya ibn-Ādâm, p. 107.

remains always subject to the *kharâj* on his land, as it is the case in as-Sawâd. The same view is held by ibn-abi-Laila. According to ibn-Shubrumah and abu-Yûsuf, tax is levied on their heads, and they should pay double what the Moslems pay on their lands, which would be a fifth or a tenth. This they said on the analogy of the case of the Christian banu-Taghlib. Abu-Yûsuf added that whatever is taken from them should follow the course of the money received as *kharâj*. In case a *dhimmi* becomes Moslem or his land goes to a Moslem, then it becomes tithe-land. The same view is reported to have been held by 'Atâ' and al-Hasan. 75

According to ibn-abi-Dhi'b, ibn-abi-Sabrah, Sharik ibn-'Abdallâh an-Nakha'i, and ash-Shâfi'i, there is tax on their heads, but no *kharâj* or tithe on their land, because they are not included in those on whom *zakât* is binding, nor is their land a *kharâj*-land. The same opinion is held by al-Hasan ibn-Şâlih ibn-Hasîr-İ-Mamîdânî.

According to Sufyân ath-Thaurî and Muhammad ibn-al-Hasan, there is tithe on them but not in a doubled form, because that which counts is the land, and the possessor is not to be taken into consideration. According to al-Auzâ'i and Sharik ibn-'Abdallâh, if they are *dhimmis* like the Jews of al-Yaman, whose people became Moslem while they were still in the land, then nothing is taken but the poll-tax, and you should not let the *dhimmi* buy the tithe-land or possess it.

The case of a Jew who holds tithe-land. Al-Wâkidi said, "I once asked Mâlik about the case of a Jew from al-Hijâz who buys land in al-Jurf and plants it. Mâlik said, 'The tithe is taken from him'. I then replied, 'Didst thou not claim that there is no tithe on the land of a *dhimmi* if he acquires it from the tithe-land?' 'That', said Mâlik, 'holds

true, if he stays in his own country; but in case he leaves his country, then that becomes a question of trade.' " ¹

A man of the banu-Taghlib who uses a tithe-land. Abu-az-Zinad, Mâlik ibn-Anas, ibn-abi-Dhi'b, ath-Thauri, abu-Hanîfah and Ya'kûb said regarding the case of one of the banu-Taghlib who plants a piece of the tithe-land that he should pay a double-tithe. If he rents a tithe-farm then—according to Mâlik, ath-Thauri, ibn-abi-Dhi'b and Ya'kûb—the one who plants the farms should pay the tithe. Abu-Hanîfah, however, maintains that the owner of the land should pay it; and Zufar shares the same view.

The case of one who is behind in payment of the tithe. According to abu-Hanîfah, in case a man fails to pay the tithe for two years, then the authorities [Ar. *sultân*] take only one tithe as he begins again to pay. The same is true of the *kharâj*-land. But abu-Shimr holds that the authorities take the arrears, because it is justly due to them.

¹ Cf. abu-Yûsuf, p. 69.

CHAPTER XVI

‘UMÂN

The Prophet sends abu-Zaid al-Anṣâri to ‘Umân. The al- 76 Azd were in ascendancy in ‘Umân,¹ although it had in its deserts² many other peoples. In the early part of the year 8, the Prophet delegated to them abu-Zaid al-Anṣâri of al-Khazraj, who was one of those who compiled the Koran in the time of the Prophet. His [full] name, according to al-Kalbi, was Kais ibn-Sakan ibn-Zaid³ ibn-Ḥarâm; according to some Baṣrah philologists, his name was ‘Amr ibn-Akhtâb, the grandfather of ‘Urwah ibn-Thâbit ibn-‘Amr ibn-Akhtâb; and according to Sa‘id ibn-Aus al-Anṣâri, it was Thâbit ibn-Zaid. The Prophet also sent ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âṣi as-Sahmi with a letter to ‘Abd⁴ and Jaifar, the two sons of al-Jûlânda, calling them to Islâm.⁵ The Prophet said, “ If these people accept the witness of truth and pledge obedience to Allah and his Prophet, ‘Amr will be the commander and abu-Zaid will officiate in prayer, propagate Islâm, and teach the Koran and the institutes of the Prophet.”

On the arrival of abu-Zaid and ‘Amr at ‘Umân, they found that ‘Abd and Jaifar were at Suhâr on the sea-coast. They carried the letter of the Prophet to them, and they

¹ Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 717.

² Ar. *bâdiyah*; see *MFO*, vol. iv, p. 98.

³ Hishâm, p. 504, gives “ Kais ibn-Za‘ûra ” for Zaid.

⁴ Hishâm, p. 971: “ Iyâdh ”; adh-Dhahabi, *al-Mushtabih*, p. 133: “ ‘Ab-bâd ”; cf. Athîr, vol. ii, p. 177.

⁵ Ya‘kûbi, vol. ii, p. 85; Sprenger, vol. iii, p. 382.

both accepted Islâm and invited the Arabs to it. The Arabs then responded and showed special interest in it. 'Amr and abu-Zaid stayed in 'Umân until the death of the Prophet. It is said by some, however, that abu-Zaid returned to al-Madinah before that.

Al-Azd and other tribes apostatize. Consequent upon the death of the Prophet, al-Azd apostatized from Islâm under the leadership of Lakît ibn-Mâlik dhu-at-Tâj and left for Dabba¹ (some say for Damma in Dabba), Abu-Bakr, there-upon, dispatched against them Hudhaifah ibn-Mîhsân al-Makhzûmi, who in a battle with Lakît and his companions killed him and took from the people of Dabba many captives whom they sent to abu-Bakr. At this, al-Azd returned to Islâm. Other clans from 'Umân, however, apostatized and went as far as ash-Shihr. These 'Ikrimah followed and overpowered, carrying away a large booty and killing many of their number. Then some of the tribe of Mahrah ibn-Haidân ibn-'Amîr ibn al-Hâfi ibn-Kûdâ'ah massed a body of men, against whom 'Ikrimah came; but they offered no resistance and paid *sâdakah*. 77

Hudhaifah made governor. Abu-Bakr assigned Hudhaifah ibn-Mîhsân as governor over 'Umân. When abu-Bakr died, Hudhaifah was still over it; but he was later dismissed and sent to al-Yaman.

'Isa ibn-Ja'far abuses the people. The state of 'Umân continued in a fair way, its people paying *sâdakah* on their property, and poll-tax being taken from those among them who were *dhimmis* until the caliphate of ar-Rashîd who made 'Isa ibn-Ja'far ibn-Sulaimân ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-'Abbâs its ruler. The latter left for 'Umân with some troops from al-Bâsrah, who began to violate women, and rob

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 1981: "Daba".

the people, and make public use of musical instruments.¹ The people of 'Umân, who were mostly Shurât,² having learned that, fought against him and held him back from entering the city. Finally, they succeeded in killing and crucifying him. Then they broke with the caliph³ and refused to do him homage, making one of their own their ruler.

Some assert that the Prophet sent abu-Zaid carrying his letter to 'Abd and Jaifar, the two sons of al-Julanda of al-Azd, in the year 6, and sent 'Amr in the year 8, a short time after his conversion to Islâm, which took place, together with the conversion of Khâlid ibn-al-Walid and 'Uthmân ibn-Talhah-l-'Abdi in Safar, year 8. 'Amr had come from Abyssinia to the Prophet.⁴ The Prophet said to abu-Zaid, "From the Moslems, take *sadakah*; but from the Magians, take poll-tax."

The letter of 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz to 'Adî. Abu-l-Hasan al-Madâ'ini from al-Mubârak ibn-Fuḍâlah: — The following is what 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz wrote to 'Adî ibn-Artât al-Fazârî, his 'âmil in al-Başrah:

"Greetings! I have previously written to 'Amr ibn-'Abdallâh asking him to distribute whatever he received in 'Umân as date or grain tithes among the poor of its inhabitants, the nomadic people who may descend on it and those whom need, poverty, or obstruction of the way may compel to stay in it. Regarding this, he wrote to me that having asked thy representative who came before him to 'Umân about those articles of food and dates, he was told 78

¹ Cf. Salîl ibn-Râzîk. *History of Imâms and Seyyids of Oman*, tr. Badger, p. 11.

² Schismatics commonly known as *Khawârij*. They say that they owe their name to Koran, 2: 203.

³ The word used is *sultân*.

⁴ Hishâm, pp. 716-717.

that thy representative had sold them and delivered the price to thee. Return to 'Amr, therefore, what thy representative in 'Umân had carried to thee as the price of dates and grains, that 'Amr may invest it where I instructed him, and spend it as I told him. May this be the will of Allah, and peace be unto thee!"

CHAPTER XVII

AL-BAHRAIN

Al-Mundhir ibn-Sâwa, governor of al-Bahrain. The land of al-Bahrain formed a part of the Persian kingdom. In its desert lived a great many Arabs from the tribes of 'Abd-al-Ķais, Bakr ibn-Wâ'il and Tamim. At the time of the Prophet, the one who ruled the Arabs in it in the name of the Persians was al-Mundhir ibn-Sâwa¹ one of the sons of 'Abdallâh ibn-Zaid ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-Dârim ibn-Mâlik ibn-Ḥanżalah. This 'Abdallâh ibn-Zaid was surnamed al-Asbadhi after a village in Hajar called al-Asbadh. Others claim that he was named after the al-Asbadhi people, who were worshippers of horses in al-Bahrain.

Al-'Alâ' delegated by the Prophet. At the beginning of the year 8, the Prophet delegated al-'Alâ' ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-'Imâd al-Ḥâdrami, an ally of the banu-'Abd-Shams, to al-Bahrain, giving its people the choice between following Islâm or paying tax. With him, the Prophet sent a letter to al-Mundhir ibn-Sâwa and Sibukht the satrap² of Hajar,³ giving them the choice between following Islâm or paying tax. They both were converted and, together with them, all the Arabs living there and a few Persians. The rest of the population, however, including Magians, Jews and

¹ Hajar, vol. iii, p. 943.

² *marzubân*; Ibn-Hajar, vol. i, p. 213, in quoting al-Balâdhuri gives his name thus: "Usaikhit (Usaikhîb)"; cf. Ibn-Sa'd in Wellhausen, *Skizzen*, vol. iv, p. 15; Yâķût, vol. i, p. 508.

³ Another name for Bahrain, hence the Greek: Gerrha; Caetani, vol. ii, p. 194.

Christians made terms with al-'Alâ' and this is a copy of the statement written between the two parties:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. These are the terms agreed upon between al-'Alâ' ibn-al-Hâdrami and the people of al-Bahrain. It is agreed that they will save us [the Moslems] the trouble of work, and divide with us the dates: and whosoever of them fails to keep this may the curse of Allah, the angels, and the world altogether be upon him." As for the poll-tax, al-'Alâ' assessed one *dinâr* on every adult. ⁷⁹

The letter of the Prophet. 'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from ibn-'Abbâs:—This is what the Prophet wrote to the people of al-Bahrain:

"Greetings! If ye observe prayer, give *sakât*, remain loyal to Allah and his Prophet, pay the tithe of the dates and half the tithe of the grains, and do not bring up your children as Magians, then ye will be treated according to the terms agreed upon when ye became Moslem, with the exception of the fire-temple that is to be delivered to Allah and his Prophet. If, however, ye refuse, then tax will be incumbent on you."

The Magians and Jews prefer tax. The Magians and Jews, however, refused Islâm and preferred the payment of poll-tax. Upon this, the hypocrites among the Arabs remarked, "The Prophet pretended that he would accept poll-tax from none outside the 'People of the Book', but, here he is accepting it from the Magians of Hajar who are not 'People of the Book'." On this occasion the text was revealed—"O ye that have believed! take heed to yourselves. He who erreth shall not hurt you when ye have the guidance."¹ According to certain reports, the Prophet sent al-'Alâ' at the time he sent his envoys to the kings in the year 6.²

¹ Kor., 5: 104.

² Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 84.

Al-'Alâ' as a wall between them. Muhammed ibn-Muâsîfâ al-Hîmî from al-'Alâ' ibn-al-Hâdramî who said: "The Prophet sent me to al-Bâhîrâin (or perhaps he said 'Hâjâr') and I used to come as a wall between brothers [i. e. try to create discord] some of whom have been converted. From the Moslem among them, I would take the tithe, and from the 'polytheist' *kharâj*."¹

The Prophet's letter. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from 'Urwâh ibn-az-Zubâ'îr:—The Prophet wrote to the people of Hâjâr as follows:—²

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From Muhammed the Prophet to the people of Hâjâr: ye are in peace. I praise Allah on your behalf, beside whom there is no god. Then I admonish you by Allah and by yourselves that ye do not go astray after having been guided, nor be misled after having the right pointed out to you. What ye have done has reached me, and now the offense of the guilty shall not be charged to him among you who behaves himself. When my commanders come to you obey them, reinforce them and help them in carrying out Allah's 80 plan and his cause, for whosoever among you does the good deed, his deed shall not be lost before Allah or before me. Your delegation has come to me, and I did nothing for them but what was pleasing to them; although if I were to enforce all my right on you, I would expel you from Hâjâr. Thus did I accept intercession for the absent among you, and bestow favor on the present. Remember the grace of Allah upon you."

The tax imposed on al-Bâhîrâin. Al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from Kâtâdah:—In the time of the Prophet, no fight took place in al-Bâhîrâin, for some of the people accepted Islâm.

¹ Yâkût, vol. i, p. 509; Hâjâr, vol. iii, p. 943; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 202.

² Wellhausen, *Skizzen*, vol. iv. pp. 15-16.

and others made terms with al-'Alâ', agreeing to give half the grains and dates.

Al-Ḥusain from az-Zuhri:—The Prophet took poll-tax from the Magians of Hajar.

What the Prophet wrote to the Magians. Al-Ḥusain from al-Ḥasan ibn-Muhammad:—The Prophet wrote to the Magians of Hajar, inviting them to Islâm and providing that if they are converted, they will have the rights we have, and be under the obligations we are under; but those who refuse Islâm will have to pay the tax, and we will not eat what they slaughter nor marry their women.

Al-Ḥusain from Sa'īd ibn-al-Musaiyib:¹—The Prophet exacted tax from the Magians of Hajar, 'Umar exacted it from those of Persia, and Uthmân from the Berbers.

A similar tradition was communicated by al-Ḥusain on the authority of az-Zuhri.

'Amr an-Nâkîd from Mûsa ibn-'Ukâbah:—The Prophet wrote to Mundhir ibn-Sâwa as follows:—

"From Muḥammad the Prophet to Mundhir ibn-Sâwa:—thou art at peace. I praise Allah in thy behalf, beside whom there is no god. Thy letter I received, and its contents I heard. Whosoever repeats our prayer, faces the ⁸¹ *kiblah* as we do [in prayer] and eats what we slaughter, such one is a Moslem; but whosoever refuses will have to pay tax."

'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from ibn-'Abbâs:—The Prophet having written to al-Mundhir ibn-Sâwa, the latter accepted Islâm and called the people of Hajar to it, some of whom accepted and others did not. As for the Arabs, they became Moslems, but the Magians and Jews accepted the tax and it was exacted from them.

Al-'Alâ' sends 80,000 dirhams. Shaibân ibn-Farrûkh from Ḥumaid ibn-Hilâl:—Al-'Alâ' ibn-al-Hadrami sent

¹ Duraid, p. 62: "Musaiyab".

from al-Baḥrāin to the Prophet a sum of money amounting to 80,000 [*dirhams*], more than which sum the Prophet never received either before or after. The Prophet gave a part of it to his uncle al-‘Abbās.

Hishām ibn-‘Ammār from ‘Abd-al-‘Azīz ibn-‘Ubaidallāh: —The Prophet communicated with those in Hajar whom Kisra had settled there as hostages [*wadā’i*], but they refused Islām and tax was laid on them, one *dīnār* on every man.

Abān ibn-Sa‘id made governor and succeeded by abu-Hurairah. The Prophet dismissed al-‘Alā’ and assigned to al-Baḥrāin Abān ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Aṣi ibn-Umaiyyah. According to other reports, al-‘Alā’ was assigned to one district of al-Baḥrāin, a part of which was al-Kaṭif, and Abān to another in which lay al-Khaṭṭ. The former report, however, is the more authentic. On the death of the Prophet, Abān left al-Baḥrāin and came to al-Madinah. The people of al-Baḥrāin, thereupon, asked abu-Bakr to send al-‘Alā’ back to them. This he did. Thus, according to this report, al-‘Alā’ held the governorship of al-Baḥrāin until he died in the year 20. Then ‘Umar assigned to his place abu-Hurairah ad-Dausi. Others say that ‘Umar assigned abu-Hurairah before the death of al-‘Alā’, who, thereupon, left for Tauwaj in Persia, intending to settle in it. Later, however, he returned to al-Baḥrāin where he died. Abu-Hurairah often repeated, “After we buried al-‘Alā’, we wanted to lift a brick from the tomb. On lifting it we found al-‘Alā’ missing from the coffin.”

‘Uthmān ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣi made governor. Abu-Mikhnaf asserted that ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb wrote to al-‘Alā’ ibn-al-Ḥaḍrami, his ‘āmil in al-Baḥrāin, calling him back, and assigned ‘Uthmān ibn-abi-l-‘Aṣi ath-Thaḳafi to al-Baḥrāin and ‘Umān. On the arrival of al-‘Alā’ in al-Madinah, he was assigned by ‘Umar to the governorship of al-Baṣrah, in 82

the place of 'Utbah ibn-Ghazwân. No sooner had he arrived there, than he died. This took place in the year 14, or the beginning of 15. Then 'Umar assigned Kudâmah ibn-Maz'ûn al-Jumâhi for the collection of taxes from al-Bâhrahîn, and gave abu-Hurairah authority over the military guard and charge of the conduct of prayer. Later he dismissed Kudâmah, inflicted on him the legal punishment for drinking wine,¹ and gave abu-Hurairah authority over the military guard and charged him with the conduct of prayer. At last, he dismissed abu-Hurairah and confiscated a part of his wealth. Then he assigned Uthmân ibn-abi-l-'Âsi to al-Bâhrahîn and 'Umân.

Abu-Hurairah made governor after Kudâmah. Al-'Umari² from al-Haitham:—Kudâmah ibn-Maz'ûn had charge of tax-collecting and the military guard, and abu-Hurairah acted as leader of prayer and *kâdi*. The latter gave witness against Kudâmah, and 'Umar assigned him to al-Bâhrahîn after Kudâmah. Later 'Umar dismissed him, confiscated a part of what he possessed and ordered him to return. This he refused to do. 'Umar, thereupon, assigned 'Uthmân ibn-abi-l-'Âsi as governor, who still held the office at the death of 'Umar. When 'Uthmân was in Persia, his substitute over 'Umân and al-Bâhrahîn was his brother, Mughîrah ibn-abi-l-'Âsi, others say Hafş ibn-abi-l-'Âsi.

'Umar confiscates abu-Hurairah's wealth. Shaibân ibn-Farrûkh from abu-Hurairah who said:—“'Umar made me his 'âmil over al-Bâhrahîn. There I gathered 12,000 [dirhams]. On my return to 'Umar, he addressed me saying: ‘O thou the enemy of Allah and of the Moslems (he may have said ‘and of his Book’), thou hast stolen the money of Allah!’ To this I replied, ‘Neither am I the enemy of

¹ Flogging with 80 stripes; see *Muwatṭa*, p. 357.

² i. e., abu-'Umar Hafş ibn-'Umar ad-Dûri.

Allah, nor of the Moslems, (he may have said 'nor of his Book'); rather am I the enemy of him who has enmity against them. The money, I have got from horses that multiplied in number and from different shares that mounted up.' 'Umar then took from me 12,000. In my morning prayer I repeated, 'Lord forgive 'Umar.' After this, 'Umar used to take from the people of al-Bahrain and give them back more than what he would take. At last 'Umar asked me, 'Wouldst thou not act as 'âmil, abu-Hurairah?' and I replied 'No,' to which he answered, 'And why not? Better men than thou were made 'âmils, for instance Joseph,¹ who said, "Set me over the granaries of the land." To this I replied, 'Joseph was a prophet and the son of a prophet, whereas I am abu-Hurairah, son of Umaimah, and I am afraid of three things and of two things that thou mayest bring upon me.' 'And why,' said 'Umar, 'didst thou not say five?' 'I fear that thou dost whip my back, defame my honor, and take my money; and I hate to speak without meekness and to rule without knowledge.''"

83

Al-Ḳasim ibn-Sallám and Rauḥ ibn-‘Abd-al-Mu’min from abu-Hurairah:— When abu-Hurairah returned from al-Bahrain, 'Umar said to him, "O thou enemy of Allah and enemy of his Book; hast thou stolen the money of Allah?" "Neither am I", replied abu-Hurairah, "the enemy of Allah, nor of his Book; rather am I the enemy of him who has enmity against them. I did not steal the money of Allah." "How then," said 'Umar, "did 10,000 *dirhams* come to thee?" "Through horses" said abu-Hurairah, "that reproduced and stipends that came in successions and shares that mounted up." 'Umar took the money from him. The rest of the tradition is similar to what is reported by abu-Hilâl.²

¹ Kor., 12:55.

² One of the intermediate authorities of the preceding tradition whose final authority is abu-Hurairah himself.

The apostasy of al-Huṭam. On the death of al-Mundhir ibn-Sâwa, a little after the death of the Prophet, those in al-Bahrain descended from Kais ibn-Tha'labah ibn-'Ukâbah apostatized under al-Huṭam from Islâm. This al-Huṭam was Shuraiḥ ibn-Dubai'ah ibn-'Amr ibn-Marthad, one of the sons of Kais ibn-Tha'labah. He was nicknamed Huṭam for saying,

"The night found her in the company of a strong driver who does not drive gently [Ar. *huṭam*]"¹.

Together with these there apostatized from Islâm in al-Bahrain all the Rabî'ah tribe with the exception of al-Jârûd, i. e. Bishr ibn-'Amr al-'Abdi² and those of his people who followed him. For a leader, they chose a son of an-Nu'mân ibn-al-Mundhir, named al-Mundhir. Al-Huṭam followed the Rabî'ah and joined them with his men. Having received this information, al-'Alâ' ibn-al-Hâdrami marched at the head of the Moslems until he came to Juwâtha, which was the fortification of al-Bahrain. As Rabî'ah advanced towards him, he set out towards them with his Arabs and non-Arabs and led a heavy fight against them. Then the Moslems took refuge in the fortification where they were besieged by the enemy. It was in reference to this occasion that 'Abdallâh ibn-Hadhaf al-Kilâbi said :

"Wilt thou carry this message
to abu-Bakr and all the youths of al-Madinah?
Hasten to the aid of some young men of your number,
who are invested as captives in Juwâtha."³

84

At last al-'Alâ' made a sally with the Moslems and fell upon the Rabî'ah during the night. A fierce battle ensued in which al-Huṭam was killed.

¹ Tabrizi, *Hamâsah*, vol. i, p. 173.

² Hishâm, p. 944; Duraid, pp. 186 and 197.

³ Tabari, vol. i, p. 1962.

According to other authorities, al-Ḥuṭam came to the Rabi‘ah as they were in Juwâtha, whose inhabitants had all forsaken Islâm, and had chosen for leader al-Mundhir ibn-an-Nu‘mân. Al-Ḥuṭam took up his abode with them. Al-‘Alâ’ pressed the siege until he reduced Juwâtha and dispersed the crowd, killing al-Ḥuṭam. Of the two reports, however, the former is more authentic. Describing the death of al-Ḥuṭam, Mâlik ibn-Thâ‘labah-l-‘Abdi says:

“ We left Shuraih with the blood covering him
 like the fringe of a spotted Yamanite garment.
 It was we that deprived unum-Ghaḍbân of her son,
 and broke our lance in Ḥabtar’s eye.
 It was we that left Misma‘ prostrate on the ground,
 at the mercy of hyenas and eagles that will attack him.”

Al-Mundhir ibn-an-Nu‘mân. It is reported that al-Mundhir ibn-an-Nu‘mân was nicknamed al-Gharûr, but when the Moslems won the victory he said, “ I am not al-Gharûr¹ [the deceitful] but al-Maghîrûr [the deceived].” This al-Mundhir went with the remnant of Rabi‘ah as far as al-Khaṭṭ, which al-‘Alâ’ moved against and conquered, killing al-Mundhir and those in his company. According to others, al-Mundhir escaped, entered al-Mushâkkar and let in the water around him, making it impossible to be reached. Finally he made terms, agreeing to leave the city, which he did. He then joined Musailimah with whom he was killed. Some claim that al-Mundhir was killed in the battle of Juwâtha; others that he surrendered and then fled away but was pursued and put to death. Al-‘Alâ’ having written to abu-Bakr for reinforcement, the latter wrote to Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd ordering him to hasten from al-Yamâmah to the reinforcement of al-‘Alâ’. Al-Ḥuṭam,

¹ Hishâm, p. 945; Tabari, vol. i, p. 1970; Ḥajar, vol. iii, p. 385; Athîr, vol. ii, p. 281.

however, was killed¹ before the arrival of Khâlid. So Khâlid with al-'Alâ' laid siege to al-Khaṭṭ. Later, Khâlid received a letter from abu-Bakr ordering him to leave for al-'Irâk, to which he started from al-Bâhrain, in the year 12.

Al-Wâkidi says, "According to our companions, Khâlid came first to al-Madinah, whence he started for al-'Irâk."

'Abdallâh ibn-Suhail suffers martyrdom. 'Abdallâh ibn-Suhail ibn-'Amr of the banu-'Âmir ibn-Lu'ai, whose surname was abu-Suhail and whose mother was Fâkhitah daughter of 'Âmir ibn-Naufal ibn-'Abd-Manâf, suffered martyrdom at Juwâtha. This 'Abdallâh was one of those who came with the "infidels" to the battle of Badr, but then he joined the Moslem side and embraced Islâm. He took part with the Prophet in the battle of Badr. On the receipt of the news of his death, his father, Suhail ibn-'Amr, said, "I expect Allah's renumeration for his loss." On a pilgrimage to Makkah Suhail was met by abu-Bakr who consoled him, and Suhail replied, "I am informed that the Prophet said, 'A martyr can intercede for seventy of his relatives,' and it is my hope that my son will begin with no one before me." When 'Abdallâh suffered martyrdom, he was 38 years of age.

'Abdallâh ibn-'Abdallâh suffers martyrdom. Another martyr of the battle of Juwâtha was 'Abdallâh ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-Ubai. According to others than al-Wâkidi, his martyrdom took place during the battle of al-Yamâmah.

Al-'Alâ' reduces az-Zârah, as-Sâbûn and Dârîn. Al-Muka'bar al-Fârisi,² who was the friend of Kisra and was once sent by him to annihilate the banu-Tamîm for interfering with his camels (and whose full name was Fairûz ibn-Jushaish³), fortified himself in az-Zârah. There, many

¹ *Aghâni*, vol. xiv, p. 48.

² Nöldeke, *Geschichte der Perser und Araber*, pp. 259 seq.

³ Perhaps Jushnas, see *Skizzen*, vol. vi, p. 33, note 2; Nöldeke, *Perser*, p. 110, note 3; Athîr, vol. ii, p. 256.

Magians who had assembled in al-Ḳatīf¹ and had refused to pay tax joined him. Al-‘Alā’ invested az-Zārah but failed to reduce it in the caliphate of abu-Bakr. In the early part of the caliphate of ‘Umar, however, he reduced it. In the course of the caliphate of ‘Umar, al-‘Alā’ conquered by force as-Sâbûn² and Dârin where there is [today] a spot known as Khandak al-‘Alâ’ [the trench of al-‘Alâ’].

According to Ma‘mar ibn-al-Muthanna, al-‘Alâ’ with ‘Abd-al-Ḳais invaded, in the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, certain villages in as-Sâbûn and reduced them. He then invaded the city of al-Ghâbah and killed those in it who were Persians. Thence he moved to az-Zârah in which al-Muka‘bar stayed, and besieged him. The satrap of az-Zârah challenged him to a duel, and Barâ’ ibn-Mâlik accepted the challenge and killed him, taking spoils from him which amounted to 40,000 [*dirhams*]. Under safe conduct, one of the people of az-Zârah came forth to point out the drinking water, and showed al-‘Alâ’ the spring that issues from az-Zârah. This spring al-‘Alâ’ filled up. The people seeing that, came to terms, agreeing to offer him one-third of the city and one-third of the gold and silver in it, together with one-half of what they owned outside the city. Then came al-Akhnas al-‘Âmiri to al-‘Alâ’ and said, “They have not made terms regarding their children who are now in Dârin.” Karrâz an-Nukri pointed out to al-‘Alâ’ the ford by which he could cross over to them. Thus did al-‘Alâ’ with a band of Moslems plunge into the sea; and the first thing the people of Dârin knew of was the exclamation, “Allah is great!” The people of Dârin sallied forth and attacked them from three sides, but the Moslems killed their fighters and gained possession of the children

¹ Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 143.

² Yâkût gives “as-Sâbûr”.

and captives. Seeing that, al-Muka'bar became Moslem. On this occasion Karrâz said :

"Al-'Alâ' feared the basin of the sea as he plunged into it, but I have of old crossed it over to the 'unbelievers' of Dârîn."

Khalaf al-Bazzâr and 'Affân from Muhammâd ibn-Sîrîn :—In the duel between Barâ' ibn-Mâlik and the satrap of az-Zârah, the former stabbed the latter above his spine, and he fell dead. Then Barâ' went down and cut off his hands and took his bracelets, a furred coat he had on, and a belt. This booty, being so large, 'Umar took one-fifth of it. It was the first booty in Islâm of which the fifth was taken.

CHAPTER XVIII

AL-YAMÂMAH

The origin of the name. Al-Yamâmah was first called Jau but was later named after a woman, al-Yamâmah, daughter of Murr [from the tribe] of Jadî, who was crucified at its gate. Allah knows whether this is true.

The envoys to the Prophet. When the Prophet wrote to the kings of the world in the year 7 (or 6 as it is said), he wrote to Haudhah ibn-‘Ali-l-Ḥanafi and the people of al-Yamâmah summoning them to Islâm. His letter to this effect he forwarded with Salît ibn-Ḳais ibn-‘Amr al-Anṣârî¹ (later al-Khazraji). The people of al-Yamâmah, thereupon, sent to the Prophet their delegation, one of whom was Mujjâ‘ah ibn-Murârah. To Mujjâ‘ah and in accordance with his request, the Prophet gave out as fief a piece of unutilized land. Another delegate was ar-Rajjâl² ibn-‘Unfuwah who became Moslem and read the “*Sûrah of the Cow*” and other *Sûrâhs* of the Koran. He, however, apostatized from Islâm after a time. Among the delegates was one, Musailimah, the false Prophet,³ Thumâmah ibn-Kâbir ibn-Ḥabib,⁴ who said to the Prophet, “If it be thy will, we will leave all authority in thy hand and swear allegiance to thee, with the understanding that after thee, all will return to us [Musailimah].”

¹ Cf. Hishâm, p. 971.

² Raḥhal, see ibn-Sa‘d in *Skizzen*, vol. iv, p. 46.

³ Buhârî, vol. iii, p. 167.

⁴ Ibn-Duraid, p. 209; Nawâwi, p. 554; Hishâm, p. 945.

mah]." "No," said the Prophet, "by no means, and may Allah smite thee!" Previous to this, Haudhah ibn-'Ali-l-Ḥanafi had written to the Prophet asking that after the Prophet, the authority might be delegated to himself, and promising to become Moslem and come to the reinforcement of the Prophet. "No; nor anything else," answered the Prophet, "and may Allah let me get rid of him!" Before long Haudhah was dead.

Musailimah, the false Prophet. When the delegation of the banu-Ḥanifah returned to al-Yamāmah, Musailimah, the false Prophet,¹ asserted his claim as a prophet, and ar-Rajjāl ibn-'Unfuwah testified that the Prophet gave him [Musailimah] a share in the authority with him.² Banu-Ḥanifah and others in al-Yamāmah followed him. He then wrote the following message to the Prophet and forwarded it through 'Ubādah ibn-al-Ḥārith of the banu-'Āmir ibn-Ḥanifah, whose surname was ibn-an-Nauwāḥah,³ and who was [later] killed in al-Kūfah by 'Abdallāh ibn-Mas'ūd who heard that he and his companions believed in the false claims of Musailimah:

"From Musailimah, the Messenger of Allah, to Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allah. Greetings! To us half the land belongs, and to Kuraish the other half, but Kuraish do not act equitably; and peace be unto thee. Written by 'Amr ibn-al-Jārūd al-Ḥanafi."

To this the Prophet replied:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. From Muḥammad, the Prophet, to Musailimah, the false Prophet. Greetings! 'For the earth is Allah's: to such of his servants as he pleaseth doth he give it as a heritage'⁴:

¹ Ar. *al-Kadhdhāb*, the impostor.

² Diyārbakri, vol. ii, p. 175.

³ Nawāwi, p. 374.

⁴ Kor. 7: 125.

and peace be to those who follow the true guidance! Written by Ubai ibn-Ka'b.”¹

Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd goes against Musailimah. When, at 88 the death of the Prophet, abu-Bakr was proclaimed caliph and, in a few months, destroyed those of the people of Najd and its environs who apostatized from Islâm. abu-Bakr sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd ibn-al-Mughîrah-l-Makhzûmi to al-Yamâmah giving him orders to fight against Musailimah, the false Prophet. As Khâlid came within sight of al-Yamâmah, he met a group of the banu-Hanîfah among whom was Mujjâ'ah ibn-Murârah ibn-Sulmi.² He killed them and spared Mujjâ'ah whom he carried off in chains. Khâlid put up his camp one mile from al-Yamâmah. Banu-Hanîfah came out to him, and among them were ar-Rajjâl and Muâakkim ibn-â-Tufail ibn-Subâi', nicknamed the Muâakkim al-Yamâmah. Khâlid, seeing something glittering among them, turned to his men and said, “Know ye Moslems that Allah has spared you the trouble of your enemy. Do ye not see how they have drawn the swords one against the other? I suppose there is discord among them, and their force will be used on themselves.” Mujjâ'ah, fettered in his chains, shouted, “No, these are Indian swords which they, for fear of being broken, hold up to the sun in order to render the blades flexible.” They then met. The first to meet the Moslems was ar-Rajjâl ibn-‘Unfuwah, who was immediately killed by Allah’s help. Many of the distinguished men and “Koran-readers” among the Moslems fell martyrs. The Moslems then returned and went back, but Allah favored them with a victory and made the people of al-Yamâmah take to flight. The Moslems pursued them,

¹ Cf. Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 146; ibn-Sâ'd in *Skizzen*, vol. iv, pp. 13-14; Athîr, vol. ii, pp. 228-229; Sprenger, vol. iii, p. 306.

² Cf. Ibn-Duraid, p. 23.

inflicting horrible death on them. Muḥakkim was hit by an arrow shot by ‘Abd-ar-Rahmān ibn-abi-Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq, the brother of ‘A’ishah through her father, and he fell dead. The “infidels” took refuge in al-Ḥadīkah which was since that day called “Ḥadīkat al-Maut.”¹ In al-Ḥadīkah, Musailimah was killed by Allah’s help. Banu-‘Amr ibn-Lu’ai ibn-Ğâlib said that he was killed by Khidâsh ibn-Bashîr ibn-al-Asamm of the banu-Ma’îş ibn-‘Âmir ibn-Lu’ai; but certain *Anṣâr* say that he was killed by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Zaid ibn-Thâ’labah of the banu-l-Ḥârith ibn-al-Khazraj, who was shown a vision of the call for prayer.² Still others assert that he was killed by abu-Dujânah Simâk ibn-Kharashah who later fell as martyr; and others, by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Âsim, a brother of Ḥabîb ibn-Zaid of the 89 banu-Mabdhûl of the banu-an-Najjâr. This Ḥabîb had his hands and feet once cut off by Musailimah. Walîshi ibn-Harb al-Ḥabashi, the murderer of Ḥamzah, claimed that he was the one who killed Musailimah, and used to say, “I killed the best of all people and the worst of all people.” Some believe that all those mentioned above took part in killing Musailimah. Among those who claimed having killed Musailimah, was Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân and the banu-Umaiyyah credited him for it.

Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashķi quotes from one who was present when ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân asked a man of the banu-Hanîfah who witnessed the battle of al-Yamâmah as to who was the one who killed Musailimah, to which the latter replied, “He was killed by one whose description is as follows:—” “By Allah”, exclaimed ‘Abd-al-Malik, “thou hast decided the question of his killing in favor of Mu‘âwiyah.”

According to a report, when the false Prophet was seized

¹ “The park of death.”

² Hishâm, p. 308; ibn-Duraid, pp. 268-269.

by the throat, he shouted, "O banu-Hanîfah, fight for your relatives!" which he repeated until Allah brought about his death.

'Abd-al-Wâjîd ibn-Ghiyâth from Hishâm ibn-'Urwah's father:—The Arabs forsook the true faith, and abu-Bakr sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walid who met them and said, "By Allah, I shall never cease until I come face to face with Musailimah!" The *Ansâr* objected saying, "This idea is of your own and was not given out by abu-Bakr; take us back to al-Madinah that we may give rest to our horses." "I shall, by Allah, never cease," repeated Khâlid," until I come face to face with Musailimah!" Consequently, the *Ansâr* left him. They then said to themselves, "What is this that we have done? If our friends win the victory, we will be reviled; and if they are defeated, we would be the cause of their defeat." Thus they returned and joined Khâlid. The Moslems and the "polytheists" met, and the former took to flight until they got to their place of abode where as-Sâ'ib ibn-al-'Auwâm stood up and addressed them saying, "Ye have reached, O people, your place of abode; and after his own place of abode, man has no place to flee to!"¹ Finally, Allah caused the defeat of the "polytheists," and Musailimah was killed. Their watch-word on that occasion was "O people of the 'Sûrah of the Cow'!"

I was told by one of the inhabitants of al-Yamâmah that some one, who was under the protection of the banu-Hanîfah, repeated the following verse when Muâakkim was killed:

"If I escape from it, I escape from that which is a calamity; otherwise out of the same vessel I shall drink."

90

Mujjâ'ah makes terms. By this time, the Moslems were

¹ An Arabic proverb.

worn out by war and entirely exhausted. But Mujjā'ah said to Khālid, "Most of the people of al-Yamāmah did not go out to fight you, and what ye have killed is only the small minority. In spite of that they have exhausted your utmost effort as I see. Nevertheless I am ready to make terms with you on their behalf." Accordingly, he made terms with Khālid, agreeing to give one-half of the captives, gold, silver, coats of mail and horses. Having trusted him, Khālid sent him back to his men. As soon as he entered al-Yamāmah he ordered the boys, women and aged men of al-Yamāmah to put on their arms and hold the forts. As Khālid and the Moslems looked toward them, they entertained no doubt that they were fighters, and they said, "Mujjā'ah has told us the truth." Then Mujjā'ah came out to the Moslems' camp and said, "The people refused the terms which I made with you, and there are the fortifications of al-'Ird manned to their fullest capacity. But I kept urging them until they agreed to make terms on one-fourth of the captives and one-half of the gold, silver, coats of mail and horses." Both parties agreed on these terms, and Khālid accepted them and signed his name. Mujjā'ali then came with Khālid to al-Yamāmah. Seeing those left in it, Khālid turned to Mujjā'ah and said, "Thou hast cheated me, Mujjā'ah." The people of al-Yamāmah at last accepted Islām, and the *sadaakah* was taken from them.

Khālid reinforces al-'Alā'. Khālid received the message of abu-Bakr directing him to reinforce al-'Alā' ibn-al-Haḍrami. Accordingly, he started for al-Bahrain and left in his place over al-Yamāmah Samurah ibn-'Amr al-'Anbari. The conquest of al-Yamāmah was effected in the year 12.

A description of Musailimah. I was told by abu-Rabāh al-Yamāmi, on the authority of certain *sheikhs* from al-Yamāmah, that Musailimah, the false Prophet, was short, exceedingly pale, with a camois and flat nose. He was

nicknamed abu-Thumâmah, and according to others, abu-Thumâlah. His *muezzin*¹ was one, Hujair, who in calling to prayer used to chant, “I testify that Musailimah *claims* to be the Prophet of Allah.” Remarking on this, some one said, “Hujair has expressed it eloquently,” which phrase has since become a proverb.

Those who fell martyrs in al-Yamâmah. Among those who suffered martyrdom in al-Yamâmah were abu-Hudhaifah ibn-‘Utbah ibn-Rabi‘ah ibn-‘Abd-Shams, whose first name was Hushaim, and some say Mihsham;² Sâlim, a freedman of abu-Hudhaifah surnamed abu-Abdallâh, and who was a freedman³ of Thubaitah daughter of Ya‘âr⁴ of 91 the *Anṣâr* (and others say Nubaithah who was a woman); Khâlid ibn-Asid ibn-abi-l-‘Îş ibn-Umaiyyah; ‘Abdallâh, i. e., Al-Ḥakam ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Âşî ibn-Umaiyyah, who, according to others, was killed in the battle of Mu’tah; Shujâ‘ ibn-Wahb al-Asadi, an ally of the banu-Umaiyyah, whose surname was abu-Wahb; at-Tufail ibn-‘Amr ad-Dausi of al-Azd; Yazid ibn-Ruķaish al-Asadi, an ally of the banu-Umaiyyah; Makhramah ibn-Shurâiḥ al-Ḥâdrami, an ally of the banu-Umaiyyah, as-Sâ‘ib ibn-al-‘Auwâm, a brother of az-Zubair ibn-al-‘Auwâm: al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-Shams ibn-al-Mughirah-l-Makhzûmi; as-Sâ‘ib ibn-‘Uthmân ibn-Mâz’ûn al-Jumâhi; and Zaid ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb ibn-Nufail, a brother of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, who, according to some, was killed by abu-Maryam al-Hanafi whose proper name was Șubaiḥ ibn-Muharrish. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, Zaid was killed by Labid ibn-Burghuth al-‘Ijli, who later came to ‘Umar and ‘Umar said to him, “Thou art the sacks” (his name, Labîd,

¹ The chanter who calls to prayer from the minaret.

² Hishâm, p. 165.

³ Hishâm, pp. 422 and 486.

⁴ *Ibid.*, p. 322.

meaning sacks). The surname of Zaid was abu-'Abd-ar-Rahmân, and he was the senior of 'Umar. According to some, the proper name of abu-Maryam was Iyâs ibn-Şubâilî, and he was the first in the time of 'Umar to hold the position of *kâdi* in al-Başrah. He died in Sanbil which lies in al-Ahwâz. Other martyrs were abu-Kais ibn-al-Hârith ibn-'Adî ibn-Sâlîm; 'Abdallâh ibn-al-Hârith ibn-Kâis; Salît ibn-'Amr, a brother of Suhail ibn-'Amr of the banu-'Amr ibn-Lu'âi; and Iyâs ibn-al-Bukair al-Kinâni. Among the *Anṣâr*, the following suffered martyrdom: 'Abbâd ibn-al-Hârith ibn-'Adî of the banu-Jahjâba of al-Aus; 'Abbâd ibn-Bishr ibn-Wâksh al-Ashhâli of al-Aus, surnamed abu-ar-Râbî', and according to others, abu-Bishr; Mâlik ibn-Aus ibn-'Atîk al-Ashhâli; abu-'Akîl ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-Thâ'labah ibn-Baihân al-Balawî, an ally of the banu-Jahjâba, and whose proper name was 'Abd-al-'Uzza, but who was called by the Prophet " 'Abd-ar-Rahmân the enemy of the idols"; 92 Surâkâh ibn-Kâ'b ibn-'Abd-al-'Uzza an-Najjâri of al-Khazraj; 'Umârah ibn-Hazm ibn-Zaid ibn-Laudhân an-Najjâri (who is supposed by others to have died in the time of Mu'âwiyyah); Hâbib ibn-'Amr ibn-Mîhsân an-Najjâri; Ma'n ibn-'Adî ibn-al-Jadd ibn-al-'Ajlân al-Balawî of the Kuḍâ'ah, and an ally of the *Anṣâr*; Thâbit ibn-Kâis ibn-Shammâs ibn-abi-Zuhair the *khaṭîb* of the Prophet and who was of the banu-l-Hârith ibn-al-Khazraj (whose surname was abu-Muhammad, and who at that time was the commander of the *Anṣâr*); abu-Hannah ibn-Ghuzaiyah ibn-'Amr one of the banu-Mâzin ibn-an-Najjâr; al-'Âsi ibn-Thâ'labah ad-Dausi of al-Azd, an ally of the *Anṣâr*; abu-Dujânah Simâk ibn-Aus ibn-Kharashah ibn-Laudhân as-Sâ'idi of al-Khazraj; abu-Usaid Mâlik ibn-Râbî'ah as-Sâ'idi (others say he died in al-Madinah, year 60); 'Abdallâh ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-Ubai ibn-Mâlik (whose first name was al-Hubâb but who was given by the Prophet his father's name. His

father played the hypocrite in religion. He is the one called ibn-Ubai ibn-Salûl, Salûl being the mother of Ubai and of [the clan of] Khuzâ'ah, and he bears her name. His father was Mâlik ibn-al-Ḥârith of the banu-l-Khazraj (others say he suffered martyrdom in the battle of Juwâtha at al-Bâḥrâin); 'Ukbah ibn-'Âmir ibn-Nâbi' of the banu-Salimah of al-Khazraj; and al-Ḥârith ibn-Kâ'b ibn-'Amr of the banu-an-Najjâr. The Prophet had sent Ḥabib ibn-Zâid ibn-Âṣim of the banu-Mabdûl ibn-'Amr ibn-Ghanm ibn-Mâzin ibn-an-Najjâr, together with 'Abdallâh ibn-Wâhâb al-Aslami to Musailimah. Musailimah did not molest 'Abdallâh, but cut off the hands and feet of Ḥabib. The mother of Ḥabib was Nusaibah, daughter of Kâ'b. According to al-Wâkidi, the two men [sent by the Prophet] came from 'Umân in the company of 'Amr ibn-al-'Âṣi. Musailimah drove them back. 'Amr and all those in his company escaped, with the exception of these two who were captured. In the battle of al-Yamâmah, Nusaibah took part in the fight and returned with a number of wounds inflicted on her. She is the mother of Ḥabib and 'Abdallâh, the sons of Zâid. She also took part in the battle of Uhud, and was one of the two women who 93 "swore allegiance" in the day of al-Ākâbah.¹ Other martyrs of the battle of al-Yamâmah were 'Â'idh ibn-Mâ'iṣ az-Zurâkî of al-Khazraj and Yazid ibn-Thâbit al-Khazrajî, a brother of Zâid ibn-Thâbit, the authority on "The Laws of Heritage."²

Regarding the number of those that fell as martyrs in al-Yamâmah, there is no agreement. The minimum estimate mentioned is 700, the maximum 1,700, while others assert that they were 1,200.

¹ Hishâm, pp. 312 seq.

² Hâjar, vol. ii, pp. 40 seq.; Ibn-Khallikân, *Wafayât al-Ā'yân*, vol. i, p. 372, note 2.

Mujjâ'ah is assigned al-Ghûrah and other fiefs. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from Hishâm ibn-Ismâ'îl:—There came to the Prophet Mujjâ'ah-l-Yamâmi to whom the Prophet gave a fief and wrote the following statement: “In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This statement is written by Muhammad, the Messenger of Allah, to Mujjâ'ah ibn-Murârah ibn-Sulmi. I give thee as fief al-Ghûrah, Ghurâbah,¹ and al-Ḥubal. If any one objects, refer him to me.” (Al-Ghûrah is the chief village of al-Ghurâbât and is close to Kârât). After the death of the Prophet, Mujjâ'ah came to abu-Bakr, who assigned him as fief al-Khiḍrimah. Later he came to ‘Umar, who assigned to him ar-Raiya. After that he came to ‘Uthmân, who assigned to him another fief “the name of which,” says al-Hârith,² “I do not remember.”

Furât ibn-Haiyân gets a fief. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from ‘Adî ibn-Hâtim:—The Prophet assigned to Furât ibn-Haiyân al-‘Ijli a piece of land in al-Yamâmah.

The “park of death.” Muhammad ibn-Thumâl al-Yamâmi from certain *sheikhs*:—The Ḥadîkah was called Ḥadîkat al-Maut [the park of death] because of the great number of people that were slain in it. In the time of al-Mâ'mûn, Ishâk ibn-abi-Khamîshâh, a freedman of Kais, built in it a cathedral mosque. The Ḥadîkah before that time was known as Ubâdâ.

According to Muhammad ibn-Thumâl, the Қâṣr al-Ward³ was named after al-Ward ibn-as-Samîn ibn-‘Ubâid al-Ḥanâfi. According to another, the fortification was called Mu'tîk [i. e., emancipator] because of its strength, indicat-

94

¹ Bakri, vol. ii, p. 703: “‘Awânah”.

² Ibn-Murrah-l-Ḥanâfi, one of the intermediary reporters of this tradition.

³ Yâkût, *Marâsid*, under *Ward*.

ing thereby that he who takes refuge in it is safe from his enemy.

Ar-Raiya spring. Ar-Raiya was a spring from which the aş-Sa'fûkah, as well as al-Khuyaibah and al-Khiḍrimah, got their drinking water, aş-Sa'fûkah¹ being a crown-land thus called after one of the agents over it, whose name was Sa'fûk.

¹ Bakri, p. 607.

CHAPTER XIX

THE APOSTASY OF THE ARABS IN THE CALIPHATE OF ABU-BAKR AŞ-ŞİDDİK

Abu-Bakr threatens those who withhold ṣadakah. When abu-Bakr was proclaimed caliph, certain Arab tribes apostatized from Islâm and withheld the ṣadakah. Some of them, however, said, “We shall observe prayer but not pay zakât.” In reference to that abu-Bakr said, “If they refuse me a one-year ṣadakah,¹ I shall surely fight against them.” According to other reports he said, “If they refuse me a two-year ṣadakah.”

‘Abdallâh ibn-Şâlih al-‘Ijli from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Abdallâh ibn-Mas‘ûd said, “After the death of the Prophet we found ourselves in a state in which we would have perished had not Allah favored us with abu-Bakr. By the consensus of opinion, we agreed not to fight on a female camel that had entered on its second year or a male camel that had entered on its third year, but appropriate for ourselves the income of Kura ‘Arabiyyah² and worship Allah until the right course is revealed unto us.” Allah gave orders to abu-Bakr to fight them. Then, by Allah, abu-Bakr was not satisfied by anything but one of two:—a humiliating plan or an evacuating war. As for the humiliating plan, it was that they acknowledge that those of their number who were killed went to hell, and that our property that fell into their hands should be returned to us; and the evacuating war was that they leave their homes.

¹ Ar. ‘ikâl, see an-Nasâ’i, *Sunan*, vol. i, p. 335.

² Yahâya ibn-Adam, p. 122; Bakri, p. 657.

The delegation of Buzâkhah. Ibrâhîm ibn-Muhammad from Târik ibn-Shihâb:—A delegation from Buzâkhah came to abu-Bakr and he gave them their choice between “the evacuating war” or “the humiliating peace”. To this they replied, “‘The evacuating war’ we have known what it is, what is then ‘the humiliating peace?’” “It is,” said abu-Bakr, “that we deprive you of the coats of mail and horses, and keep the booty we took from you; and that ye return the booty ye took from us, pay bloodwit for those of us who were slain and consider those of you who were slain to be in hell-fire.”

Shuja' ibn-Mukhallad al-Fallâs from 'Â'ishah the “mother of the Believers” :—The latter said, “After the death of the Prophet, what befell my father¹ would have softened the firm mountains if it had befallen them. Hypocrisy in al-Madinah exalted itself, and the Arabs apostatized from their faith. By Allah, not a point they disagreed upon, which my father did not cause to disappear as something without which Islam could do [?].”

Abu-Bakr dispatches an army. Abu-Bakr set out to al-Kaşşah² in the land which belongs to Muħârib in order to direct the armies marching against the apostates. He was accompanied by the Moslems. Those who went against the Moslems were Khârijah ibn-Ḥiṣn ibn-Ḥudhaifah ibn-Badr al-Fazâri³ and Manzûr ibn-Zabbân ibn-Saiyâr al-Fazâri of the banu-l-'Usharâ', who were joined with the tribe of Ghâṭafân. The fight raged fiercely but the “polytheists” were put to flight, and abu-Bakr sent Ṭalḥah ibn-'Ubaidallâh at-Tâimi in their pursuit. Talḥah fell upon them at the lower part of Thanâya 'Ausajah where he killed

¹ Abu-Bakr.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 1870: “dhu-l-Kaşşah.”

³ Ibn-Sa'd, vol. iii¹, p. 37.

only one of them, all the rest having fled away, and he could not catch up with them. This made Khârijah ibn-Ḥiṣn repeat, "Woe to the Arabs because of ibn-abi-Ḳuhâfah [i. e. abu-Bakr]."

While abu-Bakr was at al-Kaṣṣah, he set Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ibn-al-Mughirah al-Makhzûmi in command over the people,¹ and sent over the *Ansâr* Thâbit ibn-Kais ibn-Shammâs al-Anṣâri, who was one of those that [later] suffered martyrdom in the battle of al-Yamâmah. Thâbit, however, was subordinate to Khâlid. Abu-Bakr ordered Khâlid to direct his course towards Ṭulaiḥah ibn-Khuwailid al-Asadi, who had claimed to be a prophet² and was then at Buzâkhah. This Buzâkhah is a spring belonging to the banu-Asad ibn-Khuzaimah. Khâlid set out against him and sent before him 'Ukkâshah ibn-Miḥṣan al-Asadi, an ally of the banu-'Abd-Shams, together with Thâbit ibn-Akram al-Balawi, an ally of the *Ansâr*. Ḥibâl ibn-Khuwailid³ met them and was slain by them. Having heard the news, Ṭulaiḥah with his brother, Salamah, set out and, meeting 'Ukkâshah and Thâbit, slew them both. Regarding this event Ṭulaiḥah sang:

"As I saw their faces I thought of my brother Ḥibâl
and was sure that I was going to avenge his death.

It was on the evening of that day that I left ibn-Akram in his grave,
together with 'Ukkâshah al-Ghanmi, by the battlefield."

The Moslems and their enemy at last met and a fierce battle was fought. With Ṭulaiḥah in the fight was 'Uyainah ibn-Ḥiṣn ibn-Ḥudhaifah ibn-Badr at the head of 700 men of the banu-Fazârah. When 'Uyainah saw the swords of the

96

¹ Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 145.

² He imitated Muhammad by composing *saj'* or rhyming prose, see Tabari, vol. i, p. 1738; and Goldziher, *Muhammedanische Studien*, vol. ii, p. 4001.

³ Hishâm, p. 453.

Moslems butchering the "polytheists," he came to Ȧl-Ȧlāhah asking, "Canst thou not see what the army of abu-l-Faṣil¹ is doing, and did not Gabriel bring thee any message?" "Yes" said Ȧl-Ȧlāhah, "Gabriel came to me and said 'Thou wilt have a grinding stone as he has, and a day that thou wilt never forget!'"² "By Allah," cried Ȧyainah, "I believe that thou wilt have a day which thou wilt never forget. O banu-Fazārah, this is a false prophet." Saying this, he left Ȧl-Ȧlāhah's army which was soon after defeated. The Moslems were victorious. Ȧyainah ibn-Ȧiṣn was taken captive and brought to al-Madinah. Abu-Bakr spared his life and set him free. Ȧl-Ȧlāhah ibn-Khuwailid took to flight and entered a tent of his, where he took a bath and went out. Then he rode on his horse, intending to visit the sacred places, and came to Makkah, then to al-Madinah professing Islām. According to others, he came to Syria, was taken hold of by those of the Moslems who were on a campaign, and sent to abu-Bakr in al-Madinah, where he became Moslem. Later, he distinguished himself in the conquest of al-‘Irāk and Nihāwand. One day, ‘Umar said to him, "Didst thou kill the faithful servant ‘Ukkāshah ibn-Miḥṣan?" And he replied, "I have been the means of bringing about the welfare of ‘Ukkāshah ibn-Miḥṣan; and he has been the means of bringing about³ my misery. I beg Allah's pardon upon me."

Dâ’ūd ibn-Ȧibâl al-Asadi told me on the authority of certain *sheikhs* among his people that ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb said to Ȧl-Ȧlāhah, "Thou didst lie before Allah when thou didst claim that he revealed to thee the text, 'Allah has nothing to do with the dust on your faces and

¹ Khālid's surname was abu-Sulaimān, see Nawāwi, p. 224; and ibn-Kutaibah, *Kitāb al-Ma’ārif*, p. 90.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 1897; Athir, vol. ii, p. 264.

³ Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 1898.

the ugliness of your hinder parts. When ye therefore mention Allah, be abstemious and stand upright, for, verily, froth is on the surface of what is pure.''"¹ "Commander of the Believers'", replied Tulaihah, "this is one of the corruptions of unbelief which has altogether been destroyed by Islâm. I am not, therefore, to be scolded for holding a part of it." Umar remained silent.

Khâlid in Rammân and Abânain. Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd came to Rammân² and Abânain³ where the remnant of the army of Buzâkhah stood. They refrained from fighting against him and swore allegiance before him to abu-Bakr.

Banu-‘Âmir ibn-Sa‘sa‘ah embrace Islâm. Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd sent Hishâm ibn-al-‘Âsi ibn-Wâ'il as-Sahmi, a brother of ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsi, one of the early Moslems and one of the *Emigrants* to Abyssinia, to the banu-‘Âmir ibn Sa‘sa‘ah. Banu-‘Âmir did not resist him and professed Islâm and practised the call to prayer. So he left them.

Kurrah's life spared. Kurrah ibn-Hubairah-l-Kushairi, having refused to pay *sadakah* and reinforced Tulaihah, was taken by Hishâm ibn-al-‘Âsi to Khâlid. The latter carried him to abu-Bakr to whom Kurrah said, "By Allah I never forsook my faith since I became a believer. As ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsi on his way back from ‘Umân passed by me, I treated him hospitably and was loyal to him." ‘Amr was questioned by abu-Bakr regarding that, and he corroborated the statement. Consequently, abu-Bakr spared Kurrah's life.

Others assert that Khâlid advanced to the land of the banu-‘Âmir, took Kurrah captive and sent him to abu-Bakr.

The battle of al-Ghamr. Then Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd ad-

¹ Freytag, *Prov.*, vol. i, p. 174, no. 80, and p. 731, no. 63.

² Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 815; Bakri, p. 412.

³ or Abânân; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 75; Bakri, p. 63.

vanced to al-Ghamr¹ where a band of the banu-Asad, Ghāṭafān and others had gathered under the leadership of Khārijah ibn-Ḥiṣn ibn-Hudhaifah. According to others, they had on different days different leaders, and each party had its own leader drawn from its own ranks. They fought against Khālid and the Moslems, with the result that some of them were killed and the others took to flight. With reference to the battle of al-Ghamr says al-Ḥuṭai'ah-l-‘Absi:

“Yea, may all short and humble lances be sacrificed,
in favor of the horsemen’s lances at al-Ghamr!”²

Khālid meets abu-Shajarah. Thence Khālid moved to Jau Kurākīr.³ Others say he moved to an-Nuṣrah. There a crowd was gathered by the banu-Sulaim and put under the leadership of abu-Shajarah ‘Amr ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Uzza as-Sulami whose mother was al-Khansā’. They fought against Khālid, and one of the Moslems fell a martyr. By Allah’s help at last, the “polytheists” troops were dispersed, and Khālid had on that day the apostates burned. When abu-Bakr was told about it, he said, “I shall not sheathe a sword that Allah had unsheathed against the ‘unbelievers.’” abu-Shajarah accepted Islām, and coming to ‘Umar found him distributing alms among the poor, so he begged for some. ‘Umar asked him, “Art thou not the one who said:

“I quenched my lance’s thirst on Khālid’s troops,
and I hope after this that my life will be prolonged?”

Saying this, he lashed him with the whip.⁴ “Islām, O ‘Commander of the Believers,’ ” replied abu-Shajarah, “has blotted all this out.”

¹ *Skizzen*, vol. vi, p. 11, note 1.

² Bakri, p. 696, and p. 718, line 12.

³ Cf. Yākūt, vol. ii, p. 161, lines 12-13.

⁴ Tabari, vol. i, p. 1907.

Al-Fujâ'ah put to death by fire. There came to abu-Bakr one, al-Fujâ'ah, whose proper name was Bujair ibn-Iyâs ibn-'Abdallâh as-Sulami, and said to him, "Give me horse and arms that I may fight against the apostates." Abu-Bakr gave him horse and arms. Al-Fujâ'ah began to molest the people, killing both Moslems and apostates. He, moreover, gathered a large body of men. Abu-Bakr wrote to Turaifah ibn-Hâjizah, a brother of Ma'n ibn-Hâjizah, ordering him to go against him. This, ibn-Hâjizah did and captured him. He then sent him to abu-Bakr, who ordered him burned in the neighborhood of al-Muṣalla [place of prayer]. Others say that abu-Bakr wrote to Ma'n concerning al-Fujâ'ah, and Ma'n directed against him his brother, Turaifah, who captured him.

Khâlid in al-Buṭâh and al-Ba'ûdah. Later, Khâlid set out against those of the banu-Tamîm who were in al-Buṭâh¹ and al-Ba'ûdah. They fought against him, but he dispersed them² killing Mâlik ibn-Nuwairah, a brother of Mutammam ibn-Nuwairah. This Mâlik was the Prophet's 'âmil for the *ṣadakahs* of the banu-Hanzalah. When the Prophet died Mâlik held whatever was in his keeping and said to banu-Hanzalah, "Keep your own money."

Mâlik beheaded. According to other reports, Khâlid met nobody in either al-Buṭâh or al-Ba'ûdah, but he sent detachments among the banu-Tamîm, one of which was under Dirâr ibn-al-Azwar al-Asadi. Dirâr met Mâlik and, as a result of the conflict which ensued, Dirâr took Mâlik and some others captive, and brought them before Khâlid. In accordance with Khâlid's orders, their heads were cut off,³ Dirâr with his own hand cutting off that of Mâlik. Ac-

¹ Yâkût, vol. i, p. 661.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 1924.

³ Cf. Hâjar, vol. iii, p. 722; abu-l-Fida, *al-Mukhtaṣar*, vol. i, p. 158, (Cairo, 1325).

cording to certain reports, Mâlik said to Khâlid, "By Allah, I did not apostatize!" And abu-Katâdah-l-Anṣâri gave witness that the banu-Hanzalah had laid down their arms and made the public call to prayer. Hearing this, 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb said to abu-Bakr, "Thou hast sent a man who kills Moslems and tortures by fire!"

99

It is reported that Mutammam ibn-Nuwaîrah once came to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb who asked him, "How far did thy sorrow over they brother, Mâlik, carry thee?" "I wept over him for one year," said Mutammam, "until my sound eye envied the one that had gone; and never did I see fire without feeling as if my grief was strong enough to kill me, because he always left his fire burning till the morning, lest a guest should come and fail to locate his place." 'Umar then asked for a description of him, and Muttamam said, "He used to ride a restive steed and lead a slow-paced camel, while he would be between two water bags exuding water in the chilly night, wrapped up in a loose garment, and armed with a long lance. Thus would he go through the night until the morn. His face was a fragment of a moon."¹ "Sing me," said 'Umar, "some of what thou hast composed regarding him." And Mutammam repeated the elegy in which he said:

"For a long time we were boon companions like the two fellow-drinkers of Jadhîmah,
that people said, 'They will never be separated!'"²

"If I could write good poetry" remarked 'Umar, "I would have written an elegy on my brother, Zaid." "It is not a parallel case, 'Commander of the Believers' ", answered Mutammam, "had my brother met the same death that thy brother has met, I would not have mourned over him."

¹ Cf. De Slane, *Ibn-Khallikân*, vol. iii, pp. 651-652.

² *Aghâni*, vol. xiv, pp. 70-71.

“ Nobody did ever console me,” said ‘Umar, “ as well as thou didst.”¹

Sajâh the Prophetess. Umm-Şâdir Sajâh, daughter of Aus ibn-Ḥîkî ibn-Usâmah ibn-al-Ghanîz ibn-Yarbû‘ ibn-Ḥanżalah ibn-Mâlik ibn-Zaid Manât ibn-Tamîm (others say she was Sajâh, daughter of al-Ḥârith ibn-‘Ukfân ibn-Suwaid ibn-Khâlid ibn-Usâmah), claimed to be a prophetess and a soothsayer.² She was followed by some of the banu-Tamîm and some of her uncles on her mother’s side of the banu-Taghlib. One day she composed the following rhyming sentences: “ The Lord of heavens orders you to carry out against ar-Ribâb³ invasions.” She invaded them but was defeated by them, they being the only ones who fought against her.⁴ She then came to Musailimah-l-Kadhdhâb [the false Prophet] at Hajar and married him,⁵ making her religion one with his. When he was killed, she returned to her brethren and there she died. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, however, Sajâh accepted Islâm and emigrated to al-Bâṣrah and remained a good Moslem. ‘Abd-al-A‘la ibn-Ḥammâd an-Narsi heard it said by certain *sheikhs* of al-Bâṣrah that Samurah ibn-Jundab al-Fazâri led her funeral service as he was the governor of al-Bâṣrah under Mu‘âwiyah before the arrival of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ziyâd from Khurâsân to assume the office of governor of al-Bâṣrah. Ibn-al-Kalbi added that the *muezzin* of Sajâh was al-Janâbah⁶ ibn-Ṭâriķ ibn-‘Amr ibn-Hauṭ ar-Riyâḥî, and others say⁷ it was Shabâth ibn-Rib‘î ar-Riyâḥî.

100

¹ Ibn-Kutaibah, *Kitâb ash-Shî‘r*, pp. 193-194.

² Ar. *kâhin*; see *Skizzen*, vol. iii, p. 130; Goldziher, *Abhandlungen zur Arabischen Philologie*, vol. i, pp. 107-108; Zâidân, vol. iii, pp. 16-18; J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, vol. i, p. 230.

³ The confederate tribes of Ṭai, ‘Adi and ‘Ukl.

⁴ *Skizzen*, vol. vi, p. 14.

⁵ *Aghâni*, vol. xii, p. 157; abu-l-Fida, vol. i, p. 157 (Cairo, 1325).

⁶ Dhahabi, *Mushtabih*, p. 141.

⁷ Duraid, p. 137.

The insurrection of Khawlân. Khawlân in al-Yaman having apostatized, 'Umar sent against them Ya'la ibn-Munyah (Munyah, his mother, was of the banu-Mâzin ibn-Manṣûr ibn-'Ikrimah ibn-Khaṣafah ibn-Ḳais ibn-'Ailân ibn-Mudâr, and his father was Umâiyah ibn-abi-'Ubaidah, one of the sons of Mâlik ibn-Ḥanżalah ibn-Mâlik, an ally of the banu-Naufal ibn-'Abd-Manâf) who won a great victory over them and carried away booty and captives. According to others, however, he met no resistance, and all of them returned to Islâm.

CHAPTER XX

THE APOSTASY OF THE BANU-WALI'AH AND AL-ASH'ATH IBN-MA'DIKARIB IBN-MU'ÂWIYAH-L-KINDI

The cause of the insurrection of Kindah. The Prophet sent Ziyâd ibn-Labîd al-Bayâdi of the *Anṣâr* as governor to Ḥâdramaut; later extending his power over the Kindah. According to others, it was abu-Bâkr aş-Siddîq who extended his power over the Kindah. This Ziyâd ibn-Labîd was a resolute and sturdy man, and took young she-camels as *sadakah* from a certain man of the banu-Kindah. The Kindah man asked him to return them and take something else, but having marked them with the *sadakah* brand, Labîd refused his request. Labîd was approached by al-Ash'ath ibn-Kâis, but still he refused saying, “Never will I return a thing that has been branded with the mark.” This caused an uprising of all Kindah against him with the exception of as-Sâkûn who still adhered to his side. Hence the verse of their poet:

“It was we that came to the rescue of the faith,
when our people miserably went astray and we supported ibn-umm-Ziyâd.

101

From the right claim of al-Bayâdi we sought not to deviate,
and the piety of Allah was our best provision.”

Banu-'Amr gathered against Labîd. Against Labîd were assembled the banu-'Amr ibn-Mu'âwiyah ibn-al-Ḥârith al-Kindi. Labîd, at the head of the Moslems, attacked them during the night time and killed many, among whom were Mikhwas, Mishrah, Jamad and Abda'ah the sons of Ma'dikarib ibn-Wali'ah ibn-Shurahbîl ibn-Mu'âwiyah ibn-Ḥujr

al-Karid (Karid in their dialect means horse) ibn-al-Hârith al-Wallâdah ibn-'Amr ibn-Mu'âwiyah ibn-al-Hârith. These four brothers were in possession of so many valleys that they were called the "four kings." Previous to this, they had presented themselves before the Prophet, but later on they apostatized. Their sister, al-'Amarradah, was killed by one who mistook her for a man.

Ziyâd fights against al-Ash'ath. As Ziyâd returned with captives and booty, he passed by al-Ash'ath ibn-Kais and his people. Seeing him, the women and children began to cry¹ which made al-Ash'ath burn with indignation, and set out with a band of his men.² He fell upon Ziyâd and his companions, and many Moslems were lost. The Moslems were then defeated, and all the great men of Kindah rallied to the support of al-Ash'ath ibn-Kais. Seeing this, Ziyâd wrote to abu-Bakr asking for reinforcement. Abu-Bakr wrote to al-Muhâjir ibn-abi-Umayyah, ordering him to reinforce Ziyâd. Ziyâd and al-Muhâjir, at the head of the Moslems, met al-Ash'ath and dispelled his men, and attacking his companions, made a fearful slaughter among them. Thence al-Ash'ath's men took refuge in a fortification of theirs, an-Nujair, where the Moslems besieged them. The siege was pressed until they were exhausted and al-Ash'ath sought safety for a certain number of his men. He did not include himself in that number because al-Jifshîsh³ al-Kindi, whose name was Ma'dân ibn-al-Aswad ibn-Ma'dikarib, holding him by the waist, said, "Include me in that number."⁴ Thus al-Ash'ath excluded himself in favor of al-Jifshîsh. Al-Ash'ath presented himself before Ziyâd ibn-

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2005.

² Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 149.

³ Jafshîsh in Fairûzâbâdi, *al-Kâmûs*, vol. ii, p. 276.

⁴ Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2009.

Labid and al-Muhâjir who sent him to abu-Bakr. The latter favored him by giving to him in marriage his sister umm-Farwah,¹ daughter of abu-Kuhâfah, who later gave birth to Muhammad, Ishâk, Kuraibah, Hubâbah and 102 Ja'dah. According to others, abu-Bakr gave him in marriage his sister Kuraibah; and when he married her, he came to the market, and every slaughtered camel he saw, he cut its two heel-tendons, paid its price and gave it to the people to eat. After living in al-Madînah, he set out on a razzia to Syria and al-'Irâk. His death took place at al-Kûfah where his funeral service was conducted by al-Hasan ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib, after the latter had been reconciled with Mu'âwiyah. This al-Ash'ath was surnamed abu-Muhammad and nicknamed "Urf an-Nâr" [the fire-crest].

The insurrection of the banu-Wali'ah and al-Ash'ath. According to other reports, the banu-Wali'ah apostatized before the Prophet's death. When Ziyâd ibn-Labid heard of his death, he called the people to swear allegiance to abu-Bakr, which they all did with the exception of the banu-Wali'ah. Ziyâd fell upon them in the night time and killed them. Al-Ash'ath apostatized and fortified himself in an-Nujair where he was besieged by Ziyâd ibn-Labid and al-Muhâjir who joined hands against him. Abu-Bakr sent 'Ikrimah ibn-abi-Jahl, after his departure from 'Umân, to reinforce them; but on his arrival, an-Nujair was already reduced. Abu-Bakr requested the Moslems to share the booty with him, which they did.

Ath-Thabjâ' and Hind severely punished. It is reported that certain women at an-Nujair having rejoiced at the death of the Prophet, abu-Bakr wrote ordering that their hands and feet be cut off. Among these women were ath-Thabjâ' al-Hadramiyah, and Hind, daughter of Yamîn, the Jewess.

¹ Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2012.

The Prophet assigns governors to Ṣan‘ā', Kindah, Ḥadramaut and aṣ-Ṣadif. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from certain *sheikhs* of al-Yaman:—The Prophet made Khālid ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Aṣi governor of Ṣan‘ā', but he was driven out of it by al-‘Ansi, the false Prophet. Over the Kindah, he assigned al-Muhājir ibn-abi-Umayyah; over Ḥadramaut and aṣ-Ṣadif, Ziyād ibn-Labid al-Anṣāri. Aṣ-Ṣadif were the descendants of Mālik ibn-Murrati‘ ibn-Mu‘āwiyah ibn-Kindah.¹ They were called Ṣadif because Murrati‘ married a woman from Ḥadramaut and made it a condition that she would take up her abode with him, and in case she bore a child he would not force her to remain away from her people's home. She did bear a child, Mālik, and the judge decided that Murrati‘ should send her back to her people. When Mālik left him with her, Murrati‘ said, “Mālik turned away [Ar.-ṣadafa] from me.” Hence the name aṣ-Ṣadif.

The insurrection of the banu-‘Amr. ‘Abd-ar-Razzāk said that he was told by certain *sheikhs* from al-Yaman that abu-Bakr wrote to Ziyād ibn-Labid and to al-Muhājir ibn-abi-Umayyah-l-Makhzūmi who was then over Kindah, ordering them to come together and work hand in hand and with one accord in order to secure for him the caliphate and fight against him who refrains from paying *ṣadakah*, and that they should get the help of the Believers against the Unbelievers and of the obedient against the disobedient and transgressors. Once they took as *ṣadakah* from a Kindah man a youthful she-camel. He asked them to change it for another. Al-Muhājir allowed it, but Ziyād insisted on keeping the camel saying. “Never will I return it after being stamped with the *ṣadakah* brand.” Therefore, the banu-‘Amr ibn-Mu‘āwiyah gathered a large body of men.

¹ Khallikān, vol. iv, pp. 595-596.

Then said Ziyâd ibn-Labid to al-Muhâjir, “Thou dost see this crowd. It is not wise to have us all leave our position. Separate, therefore, thyself with a band of men from the main army, and that will keep our plans concealed. Then I will attack these ‘unbelievers’ in their homes at night.” Ziyâd was resolute and sturdy. He went against the banu-‘Amr and, under the cover of the night, fell upon them and some of them began to kill the others. At last Ziyâd and al-Muhâjir met accompanied by the captives and prisoners. They were intercepted by al-Ash‘ath ibn-Kais and the leading men of Kindah, who fought a fierce battle against them. At last the Kindis fortified themselves in an-Nujair, where the siege was pressed against them until they were exhausted and greatly damaged and al-Ash‘ath surrendered. Some say that the Ḥadramaut had come to reinforce the Kindah but were met by Ziyâd and al-Muhâjir who defeated them.

The apostasy of Khaulân. Now Khaulân apostatized, and abu-Bakr directed against them Ya‘la ibn-Munyah who fought against them until they yielded and agreed to give *sadakah*. Then al-Muhâjir received abu-Bakr’s letter conferring on him the governorship of San‘â’ and its adjoining districts, making his province border on what Ziyâd already held.¹ Thus was al-Yaman divided among three: al-Muhâjir, Ziyâd and Ya‘la. The land between the extreme limit of al-Hijâz and the extreme limit of Najrân was assigned to abu-Sufyân ibn-Ḥarb.

The story of al-Ash‘ath. Abu-Naṣr at-Tammâr from Ibrâhîm an-Nakha‘î:— Al-Ash‘ath ibn-Kais al-Kindi, together with some of the Kindah tribe, apostatized and were besieged. Al-Ash‘ath secured safety for 70 of his men but did not include himself among them. He was therefore brought before abu-Bakr who said to him, “We shall cer-

¹ Caetani, vol. ii, p. 804.

tainly kill thee, as thou art under no safe conduct, having excluded thyself from that group." "Nay," answered al-Ash'ath, "Thou, successor of the Messenger of Allah, wilt rather favor me with a wife." This abu-Bakr did, giving him his own sister in marriage.

Three things abu-Bakr wished he had done. Al-Ķāsim ibn-Sallām abu-'Ubaid¹ from abu-Bakr as-Šiddīk:—The latter said, "I wish I had done three things that I did not do:—I wish I had cut off the head of al-Ash'ath ibn-Ķais when he was brought before me, because it seemed to me there was no sort of evil to be done which he would not attempt to do or help to bring about; I wish I had killed rather than burnt al-Fujā'ah when he was brought before me; and I wish I had directed 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb to al-Ίrāk as I had directed Khālid to Syria, and thus would have extended both my right and left arms in the cause of Allah."²

The captives of an-Nujair ransomed. 'Abdallāh ibn-Ṣāliḥ al-'Ijli from ash-Sha'bi:—Abu-Bakr returned the captives of an-Nujair by ransom receiving 400 *dirhams* for each head. In order to pay for them, al-Ash'ath ibn-Ķais had to borrow from the merchants of al-Madinah. After paying the ransom of the captives, he returned the loan. Al-Ash'ath ibn-Ķais wrote the following elegy for Bashir ibn-al-Audāh, who was one of the delegates to the Prophet and who later apostatized, Yazid ibn-Amānāt and those slain in the battle of an-Nujair:—

"By my life—and life is not an insignificant thing to me—
I had the greatest right to hold tenaciously to those who fell dead.
There is no wonder except when they divide their captives;
and the world after them is not safe for me.
I am like the camel that lost her young and her milk flows,
when she longs for them and comes to the bag, stuffed with straw.
Let the tears of my eyes, therefore, flow
for the loss of the noble ibn-Amānāt and the generous Bashir."

¹ Bakri, p. 747, line 14.

² Ya'kūbī, vol. ii, pp. 155-156; Mas'ūdī, vol. iv, pp. 184-185.

CHAPTER XXI

AL-ASWAD AL-‘ANSI AND THOSE IN AL-YAMAN WHO APOSTATIZED WITH HIM 105

Al-Aswad al-‘Ansi claims to be a prophet. Al-Aswad ibn-Ka‘b ibn-‘Auf al-‘Ansi played the soothsayer [Ar. *kâhin*] and claimed to be a prophet. He was followed by the ‘Ans tribe which was named after Zaid ibn-Mâlik ibn-Udad ibn-Yashjub ibn-‘Arîb¹ ibn-Zaid ibn-Kahlân ibn-Saba, who was the brother of Murâd ibn-Mâlik, Khâlid ibn-Mâlik and Sa‘d al-‘Ashirah ibn-Mâlik, together with others outside the ‘Ans tribe. Al-Aswad took for himself the name of “Rahmân [the merciful of] al-Yaman,” as Musailimah had taken the name of “Rahmân al-Yamâmah.”² He had a trained donkey that would bow on hearing his injunction, “Bow before thy Lord,” and that would kneel on hearing “Kneel”. Therefore, al-Aswad was called “dhu-l-Ḥimâr”³ [he of the donkey]. Others say he was called “dhu-l-Khimâr” [the veiled one] because he always appeared with a veil and turban.⁴ I was told by others from al-Yaman that he was called al-Aswad because the color of his face was black, his proper name being ‘Aihalah.

The Prophet invites him to Islâm. In the year in which the Prophet died, he sent Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Bajali, who

¹ Wüstenfeld, *Register*, p. 86.

² Hishâm, p. 200, line 3.

³ Mas‘ûdi, *at-Tanbih*, pp. 276-277.

⁴ Diyârbakri, vol. ii, p. 173.

had in that same year accepted Islâm, against al-Aswad, inviting him to Islâm. But al-Aswad refused. Other reports deny that the Prophet sent Jarir to al-Yaman.

Al-Aswad as governor of Ṣan‘â’. Al-Aswad moved against Ṣan‘â’ and reduced it, driving Khâlid ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Âsi from it. Others say he rather drove al-Muhâjir ibn-abi-Umayyah, and took quarters with Ziyâd ibn-Labid al-Bayâdî, with whom he remained until he received a message from abu-Bakr ordering him to go to the aid of Ziyâd. When the work of Ziyâd and al-Aswad was done, abu-Bakr conferred on the latter the governorship of Ṣan‘â’ and its provinces. Al-Aswad, however, was haughty and he oppressed al-Abnâ’, i. e., the descendants of the Persians who were originally sent to al-Yaman by Kisra in the company of ibn-dhi-Yazan and under the leadership of Wahriz. Al-Aswad made them serve him and compelled them to do things against their will. Moreover, he married al-Marzûbânah, the wife of Bâdhâm their king, who was their governor under Abarwîz.¹ This made the Prophet direct against him Kais ibn-Hubairah-l-Makshûh al-Murâdi (called al-Makshûh because he was cauterized on his side on account of a disease) instructing him to win over to his side al-Abnâ’. With al-Makshûh, the Prophet sent Farwah ibn-Musaik al-Murâdi. No sooner had they arrived at al-Yaman, than the news of the death of the Prophet reached them. Kais left on al-Aswad the impression that he concurred with his opinion, and so he got his consent to enter Ṣan‘â’. Accordingly, Kais entered Ṣan‘â’ with a group of men including among others men of [the clan of] Madhhij and some from Hamdân. He then won over to his side one of al-Abnâ’, Fairûz ibn-ad-Dailami, who had accepted Islâm. Kais and Fairûz then brought the chief of al-Abnâ’ (whose

¹ “Barwiz” in Caetani, vol. iv, p. 490.

name according to some was Bâdhâm, and according to others, Bâdhâm was dead by this time and his successor was one Dâdhawaih.¹ The latter view is more authentic). Dâdhawaih accepted Islâm.

Al-Aswad slain. Kais met Thât ibn-dhi-l-Hirrah²-l-Ḥimyari and won him over to his side. Many missionaries were sent by Dâdhawaih among al-Abnâ' who accepted Islâm and conspired to take al-Aswad unawares and slay him. They plotted with his wife who hated him, and she pointed out a gutter leading to his place. Through this they entered before daybreak. Some say they dug a hole through the wall of his house, through a crack,³ and found him sleeping under the influence of drink. Kais slew him and he began to bellow like a bull, so much so that his guard scared by the noise asked, "What is the matter with Rahmân al-Yaman?" "The inspiration," answered his wife, "is upon him." Thus they were quieted. Kais severed his head, and, early in the morning, climbed the city wall and shouted, "Allah is great! Allah is great! I testify that there is no god but Allah and that Muḥammad is the Prophet of Allah, and that al-Aswad, the false Prophet, is the enemy of Allah!" As the followers of al-Aswad gathered, Kais cast the head to them and they dispersed with the exception of a few. At this the men of Kais opened the door and put the rest of the followers of al-'Ansi to the sword, and none escaped except those who accepted Islâm.

According to some reports, however, it was Fairûz ibn-ad-Dailami who killed al-Aswad, Kais only giving the last stroke and severing his head. Certain scholars assert that

¹ "Dâdhawaih" in Nawâwi, p. 232.

² Hajar, vol. i, p. 345: "Bâb ibn-dhi-l-Jirrah".

³ Caetani, vol. ii, p. 683; Tabârî, vol. i, p. 1865; Fida, vol. i, p. 155; Diyârbakri, vol. ii, p. 173.

the death of Kais took place five days before the expiration of the Prophet, who on his death-bed said: "Allah has brought about the death of al-Aswad al-'Ansi through the righteous man Fairûz ibn-ad-Dailami," and that the news of the conquest came to abu-Bakr ten days after ¹⁰⁷ he had been proclaimed caliph.

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from an-Nu'mân ibn-Burzuj, one of al-Abnâ':—The Prophet's 'âmil, whom al-Aswad drove out of Ṣan'â', was Abân ibn-Sa'id ibn-al-'Âsi; and the one who killed al-Aswad was Fairûz ibn-ad-Dailami.¹ When both Kais and Fairûz at al-Madinah claimed having killed him, 'Umar pointed to Fairûz saying, "It was this lion who killed him!"


Kais suspected of the murder of Dâdhawaih. Kais was charged with having killed Dâdhawaih, and abu-Bakr received the information that he was intent on expelling al-Abnâ' from Ṣan'â'. Abu-Bakr's anger was thereby aroused, and he wrote to al-Muhâjir ibn-abi-Umayyah at his entry to Ṣan'â' as abu-Bakr's 'âmil, instructing him to bring Kais before him. When Kais was brought before abu-Bakr, he was requested by him to swear fifty oaths near the Prophet's pulpit that he did not kill Dâdhawaih. This he did, and was consequently set free by abu-Bakr, who directed him to Syria with those of the Moslems summoned for the invasion of the Greeks.²

¹ Mirkhondi, *Raudat as-Ṣafa*, vol. ii, p. 679.

² Ar. *ar-Rûm* = the East Romans, the Byzantines.

PART II

SYRIA



CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF SYRIA

The “tying of the three banners.” When abu-Bakr was done with the case of those who apostatized, he saw fit¹ to direct his troops against Syria. To this effect he wrote to the people of Makkah, at-Tâ’if, al-Yaman, and all the Arabs in Najd and al-Hijâz calling them for a “holy war” and arousing their desire in it and in the obtainable booty from the Greeks. Accordingly, people, including those actuated by greed as well as those actuated by the hope of divine remuneration, hastened to abu-Bakr from all quarters, and flocked to al-Madînah. Abu-Bakr gave three banners² to three men [appointed them commanders] namely: Khâlid ibn-Sa’id ibn-al-‘Âsi ibn-Umaiyyah, Shurâhbil ibn-Hasanah, an ally of the banu-Jumâh and ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsi ibn-Wâ’il as-Sahmi. (Shurâhbil, according to al-Wâkidi, was the son of ‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Muṭâ‘ al-Kindi, Hasanah being his mother and a freedmaid of Ma’mar ibn-Hâbîb ibn-Wâhb ibn-Hudhâfah ibn-Jumâh. But according to al-Kalbi, Shurâhbil was the son of Rabi‘ah ibn-al-Muṭâ‘ descended from Sûfah, i. e., al-Ghauth ibn-Murr ibn-Udd ibn-Tâbikhah.)³ The tying of these banners took place on 108 Thursday the first of Šafâr, year 13, after the troops had camped at al-Jurf throughout the month of Muâarrâm with abu-‘Ubaydah ibn-al-Jarrâh leading their prayers. Abu-

¹ Cf. Ya’kûbi, vol. ii, p. 149.

² Zaidân, vol. i, pp. 135-136.

³ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2079.

Bakr wanted to give a banner to abu-'Ubaidah; but the latter begged to be relieved. Others claim that he did give one to him, but that report is not confirmed. The fact is that when 'Umar became caliph, he conferred on him the governorship of all Syria.

Abu-'Ubaidah commander-in-chief. Abu-Mikhnaf states that 'Umar said to the commanders, "If ye altogether are to lead a fight, your commander will be abu-'Ubaidah 'Âmir ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-Jarrâh al-Fihri, otherwise Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân."¹ Others assert that 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi acted only as a reinforcement for the Moslems and commanded only those who joined him.

Abu-Bakr replaces Khâlid by Arwa. The assignment of Khâlid ibn-Sa'îd by abu-Bakr to the leadership displeased 'Umar who approached abu-Bakr with a view to dismissing him, charging him with being "a vain-seeking man who tries to make his way through dispute and bigotry."² Accordingly abu-Bakr dismissed Khâlid and directed abu-Arwa ad-Dausi to take the banner from his hand. Abu-Arwa met him at dhu-l-Marwah where he received the banner from him and carried it back to abu-Bakr. Abu-Bakr handed it to Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân³ who left, with his brother Mu'âwiyah carrying the banner before him. Others say that the banner was delivered to Yazid at dhu-l-Marwah whence he started at the head of Khâlid's army. Khâlid went with the army of Shurâhibil for the divine remuneration.⁴

Abu-Bakr gives instructions to the commanders. Abu-Bakr instructed 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi to follow the way of Ailah

¹ Abu-Ismâ'il al-Bâṣrî, *Futûh ash-Shâm*, p. 5; Hâjar, vol. iii, pp. 1352-1353.

² *Skizzen*, vol. vi, p. 62, note 1; Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 149.

³ Mas'ûdi, vol. iv, pp. 186-187.

⁴ As a volunteer.

with Palestine¹ for objective. Yazid he instructed to follow the way of Tabûk. To Shurahbil, he wrote to follow the way of Tabûk, too. At the outset each one of the commanders had three thousand men under his leadership, but abu-Bakr kept on sending reinforcements until each one had 7,500. Later the total was increased to 24,000.

It is reported on the authority of al-Wâkidi that abu-Bakr assigned 'Amr to Palestine, Shurahbil to the Jordan, and Yazid to Damascus saying, "When ye all fight together, your commander is the one in whose province ye are fighting." It is also reported that to 'Amr he gave oral instructions to lead the prayers in case the armies are united, and to have each commander lead the prayer of his own army 109 when the armies are separate. Abu-Bakr ordered the commanders to see that each tribe flies a banner of its own.

Abu-Bakr directs Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd to Syria. On his arrival in the first district of Palestine, 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi sent a message to abu-Bakr informing him of the great number of the enemy, their great armament, the wide extent of their land and the enthusiasm of their troops. Abu-Bakr, thereupon, wrote to Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ibn-al-Mughîrah-l-Makhzûmi—who was at that time in al-'Irâk—directing him to go to Syria. According to some, he thereby made him a commander over the commanders in the war. According to others, Khâlid only commanded his men who accompanied him; but whenever the Moslems met for a battle, the commanders would choose him as their chief for his valor and strategy and the auspiciousness of his counsel.

The battle of Dâthîn. The first conflict between the Moslems and the enemy took place in Dâthîn,² one of the

¹ Ar. *Philasîn*. For a description of these provinces see al-Yâkûbi *Kitâb al-Buldân*, p. 325 seq.; Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 913.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 2108: "ad-Dâthînah, and some say ad-Dâthîn"; cf. Caetani, vol. ii, pp. 1138-1139.

villages of Ghazzah, which lay on the way between the Moslems and the residence of the patrician¹ of Ghazzah. Here the battle raged furiously, but at last Allah gave victory to his friends and defeat to his enemies whom he dispersed. All this took place before the arrival of Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd in Syria.

The battle of al-'Arabah. Thence Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân went in quest of the patrician, but hearing that a large host of Greeks were gathered in al-'Arabah, which lay in Palestine, he directed against them abu-Umâmah as-Şûdai ibn-'Ajlân al-Bâhili, who, falling upon them, put most of them to the sword and went his way. Regarding this battle of al-'Arabah, abu-Mikhnaf reports that six of the Greek leaders at the head of 3,000 men camped at al-'Arabah when abu-Umâmah with a body of Moslems advanced against them and defeated them, killing one of their leaders. Thence he pursued them to ad-Dubbiyah (i. e. ad-Dâbiyah)² where he inflicted another defeat on them, and the Moslems carried off a large booty.

According to a tradition communicated by abu-Hafs ash-Shâmi on the authority of certain *sheikhs* from Syria, the first conflict of the Moslems was the Battle of al-'Arabah before which no fighting at all took place since they left al-Hijâz. In no place between al-Hijâz and al-'Arabah did they pass without establishing their authority and taking possession of it without resistance.

¹ A leader of an army, from the Latin “*patricius*”.

² De Goeje, *Mémoire sur la Conquête de la Syrie*, p. 31.

CHAPTER II

THE ADVANCE OF KHÂLID IBN-AL-WALÎD ON SYRIA AND 110 THE PLACES HE REDUCED ON HIS WAY

Khâlid takes 'Ain at-Tamr and Sandaudâ' by force.

When Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd received abu-Bakr's letter at al-Hirah, he left in his place al-Muthanna ibn-Hârithah ash-Shaibâni over the district of al-Kûfah, and set out at the head of 800 men in Rabî' II, year 13. (Some give 600 and others 500 as the number of men.) On his way, he passed through 'Ain at-Tamr and reduced it by force. (According to others, he received abu-Bakr's message in 'Ain at-Tamr after having subdued it.) From 'Ain at-Tamr Khâlid made his way to Sandaudâ¹ in which lived some of the Kindah and Iyâd tribes and non-Arabs.² These people fought against him; but Khâlid won the victory and left in the city Sa'd ibn-'Amr ibn-Harâm al-Ansâri whose descendants still live in it. Khâlid, having learnt that a body of the banu-Taghlib ibn-Wâ'il at al-Muâdayah and al-Huṣaid had apostatized and were led by Rabî'ah ibn-Bujair, made his way to them. They fought against him; but he put them to flight and took captives and booty. The captives he sent to abu-Bakr, and among them was umm-Hâbib as-Sâhbâ', daughter of Hâbib ibn-Bujair, and [later] the mother of 'Umar ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib.

Khâlid crosses the desert to Suwa. Then Khâlid made an incursion on Kurâkir which was a spring belonging to the

¹ Başri, p. 59: "Sandawa"; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2109.

² Ar. 'Ajam; see *Muh. Stud.*, p. 101 *seq.*

Kalb tribe, and thence crossed the desert to Suwa¹ which was also a spring held conjointly by the Kalb and some men of the Bahrâ'. Here Khâlid killed Ḥurkûş ibn-an-Nu'mân al-Bahrâni of the Ķudâ'ah tribe and swept off all their possessions. When Khâlid wanted to cross the desert, he gave the camels all the water they could drink and then thrust into the camels' lips spears, which he left for them to drag,² lest they should ruminate and get thirsty again. The quantity of water he carried along, though big, was exhausted on the way. So Khâlid had to slay the camels one after the other and drink with his men the water from their bellies. Khâlid had a guide named Râfi' ibn-'Umair at-Tâ'i whom the poet meant when he said :

III

“ How wonderful has Râfi' been,
who succeeded in finding the way from Kurâkir to Suwa,
to the water from which the coward who attempts to reach it re-
turns before attaining it.
No human being before thee ever did that! ”

When the Moslems arrived in Suwa they found Ḥurkûş and a band of men drinking and singing. Ḥurkûş himself was saying :

“ Again give me to drink before abu-Bakr's army is on,
our death may be at hand while we are unaware.”³

As the Moslems killed him, his blood flowed into the basin from which he had been drinking; and some report that his head, too, fell therein. It is claimed by others,⁴ however, that the one who sang this verse was one of those of the banu-Taghlib whom Khâlid had attacked with Rabî'ah ibn-Bujair.

¹ Başri, p. 63: “ Shuwa ”.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 2123: “ He muzzled their mouths ”, and so Diyârbakri, vol. ii, p. 257; Caetani, vol. ii, p. 1196.

³ Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2124; *Mémoire*, p. 46; Diyârbakri, vol. ii, p. 25.

⁴ Başri, p. 62 *seq.*

Khâlid in Karkîsiya. According to al-Wâkidi, Khâlid started from Suwa to al-Kawâthil thence to Karkîsiya whose chief met him with a large host. Khâlid left him alone, turned to the mainland and went his way.

Arakah makes terms. Another place to which Khâlid came was Arakah¹ (i. e. Arak) whose people he attacked and besieged. The city surrendered and made terms, offering a certain sum for the Moslems.

Dûmat al-Jandal, Kuşam, Tadmur and al-Karyatain taken. Dûmat al-Jandal² he then reached and conquered. Then he came to Kuşam in which the banu-Mashja'ah ibn-at-Taim ibn-an-Namir ibn-Wabarrah ibn-Taghlib ibn-Hulwân ibn-'Imrân ibn-al-Hâfi ibn-Kuḍâ'ah came to terms with him. Khâlid wrote them a promise of security and advanced to Tadmur³ [Palmyra]. Tadmur's inhabitants held out against him and took to their fortifications. At last they sought to surrender and he wrote them a statement guaranteeing their safety on condition that they be considered *dhimmah* people,⁴ that they entertain Moslems and that they submit to them. Khâlid then pushed to al-Karyatain, 112 whose people resisted him but were defeated, losing a large booty.

Hûwârîn reduced. Khâlid proceeded to Hûwârîn⁵ in Sanîr and made a raid on its cattle. Its inhabitants, having been reinforced by the inhabitants of Ba'labakk and of Buşra (the capital of Haurân) stood out against him. The victory was won by Khâlid who took some as captives and killed others.

¹ Başri, p. 67; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2109; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 21.

² Balâdhuri, part I, chap. XIII.

³ Guy Le Strange, *Palestine under the Moslems*, pp. 540-542.

⁴ Christians, Jews and Sabians with whom a covenant has been made, who pay a poll tax and for whose security Moslems are responsible.

⁵ Başri, p. 68.

Ghassân attacked. Thence he came to Marj Râhit and led an incursion against Ghassân on their Easter day—they being Christians. He took some captive and killed others.

Thaniyat al-'Ukâb. Khâlid then directed Busr ibn-abî Arâtât al-Âmirî of the Kûraish and Hâbib ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri to the Ghûtâh¹ of Damascus where they attacked many villages. Khâlid arrived at Thaniyat in Damascus, the Thaniyat al-'Ukâb of to-day, and stood there for one hour, spreading his banner. This banner was the one the Prophet used, and was black in color; and because the Arabs call a banner “*'ukâb*,” the Thaniyat was known since as Thaniyat al-'Ukâb. Others say that it was thus called because a vulture [Ar. *'ukâb*] happened to descend on it at that time. But the first explanation is more reliable. I heard it said by some that at that place stood a stone image of a vulture. But there is no truth in that statement.

Khâlid meets abu-'Ubaidah. Khâlid camped at the East [Sharkî] gate of Damascus; and according to others, at the Jâbiyah gate. The bishop of Damascus offered him gifts and homage and said to Khâlid, “Keep this covenant² for me.” Khâlid promised to do so. Then Khâlid went until he met the Moslems who were at Kanât Buṣra. According to others, however, he came to the Jâbiyah where abu-'Ubaidah was with a band of Moslems. Here they met and went together to Buṣra.

¹ A place in Damascus noted for its orchards; ibn-Jubair, *Rihlah*, p. 261; Le Strange, p. 33.

² What covenant is meant is not clear. This tradition may have been confused with one that comes later and speaks of the agreement between Khâlid and the bishop. Cf. Caetani, vol. ii, pp. 1204-1205.

CHAPTER III

THE CONQUEST OF BUŞRA

Buṣra comes to terms. When Khâlid ibn-al-Walid at the head of the Moslems arrived in Buṣra,¹ all the Moslems gathered against it and put Khâlid in chief command. 113 They drew close to it and fought its patrician until he was driven with his armed men inside the town. Others assert that since Buṣra lay within the district of Damascus and, consequently under the rule and commandership of Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân, it was he who held the chief command. At last its people came to terms stipulating that their lives, property and children be safe, and agreeing to pay the poll-tax. According to some reporters, the inhabitants of Buṣra made terms agreeing to pay for each adult one *dînâr* and one *jarîb*² of wheat.

Thus the Moslems conquered all the region of Haurân [Auranitis] and subdued it.

Ma'âb surrenders. Abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâḥ, at the head of a heavy detachment composed of the commanders' troops that had joined him, led the way to Ma'âb [Moab] in the district of al-Balkâ' where the enemy was massed. Ma'âb surrendered and made terms similar to those made by Buṣra. According to others, however, the conquest of Ma'âb was effected before that of Buṣra. Still others assert that abu-'Ubaidah conquered Ma'âb when he was the commander of all the Moslem forces in Syria in the days of 'Umar.

¹ Eski-Shâm or Old Damascus; Baedeker, *Palestine and Syria*, p. 201 (ed. 1894).

² Mawardi, p. 265, says that *al-jarîb* is a measure of land 10 x 10 rods. It is also a measure of wheat that varies in different localities.

CHAPTER IV

THE BATTLE OF AJNÂDÎN (OR AJNÂDAIN)

The enemy routed. The battle of Ajnâdîn¹ ensued. In this battle about 100,000 Greeks took part, the majority of whom were massed one band after the other by Heraclius [Hirakî], the rest having come from the neighboring districts. On that day, Heraclius was in Hîmş [Emesa]. Against this army, the Moslems fought a violent battle, and Khâlid ibn-al-Wâlid particularly distinguished himself. At last, by Allah's help, the enemies of Allah were routed and shattered into pieces, a great many being slaughtered.

The martyrs. Those who suffered martyrdom on that day were 'Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair ibn-'Abd-al-Mu'ttalib ibn-Hâshim, 'Amr ibn-Sâ'id ibn-al-'Âşî ibn-Umaiyyah, his brother Abân ibn-Sâ'id (according to the most authentic report. Others, however, claim that Abân died in the year 29), Tûlaib ibn-'Umair ibn-Wâhîb ibn-'Abd ibn-Ķusai (who fought a duel with an "unbeliever" who gave him a blow that severed his right hand making his sword fall down with the palm. In this condition he was surrounded and killed by the Greeks. His mother Arwa, daughter of 'Abd-al-Mu'ttalib, was the Prophet's aunt. His surname was abu-'Adî), and Salamah ibn-Hishâm ibn-al-Mughirah. According to others, Salamah was killed at Marj as-Suffar. Other martyrs were: 'Ikrimah ibn-abi-Jâhl ibn-Hishâm al-Makhzûmi, Habbâr ibn-Sufyân ibn-'Abd-al-Asad al-Makhzûmi (who, according to others, was killed in the battle of

114

¹ *Mémoire*, p. 50 seq.; *Skizzen*, vol. vi, p. 54.

Mu'tah), Nu'aim ibn-'Abdallâh an-Nâlhâm al-'Adawi (who, according to others, was killed in the battle of al-Yarmûk), Hishâm ibn-al-'Âsi ibn-Wâ'il as-Sahmi (who is also supposed by others to have been slain in the battle of al-Yarmûk), Jundub ibn-'Amr ad-Dausi, Sa'id ibn-al-Hârith, al-Hârith ibn-al-Hârith, and al-Hajjâj ibn-al-Hârith ibn-Kâis ibn-'Adi as-Sahmi. According to Hishâm ibn-Muhammad al-Kalbi, an-Nâlhâm was killed in the battle of Mu'tah.

Sa'id ibn-al-Hârith ibn-Kâis was slain in the battle of al-Yarmûk; Tamîm ibn-al-Hârith, in the battle of Ajnâdin; his brother, 'Ubaidallâh ibn 'Abd-al-Asad, in al-Yarmûk; and al-Hârith ibn-Hishâm ibn-al-Mughirah, in Ajnâdin.

Heraclius flees to Antioch. When the news of this battle came to Heraclius, his heart was filled with cowardice and he was confounded. Consequently, he took to flight to Antioch [Antâkiyah] from Hîmş [Emesa]. It was mentioned by someone that his flight from Hîmş to Antioch coincided with the advance of the Moslems to Syria. This battle of Ajnâdin took place on Monday twelve days before the end of Jumâda I, year 13. Some, however, say two days after the beginning of Jumâda II, and others two days before its end.

After that, the Greeks massed an army at Yâkûşah which was a valley with al-Fauwârah at its mouth. There the Moslems met them, dispelled them and put them to flight with a great slaughter. Their remnants fled to the cities of Syria. The death of abu-Bakr took place in Jumâda II, year 13, and the Moslems received the news in al-Yâkûşah.¹

¹ *Mémoire*, p. 64.

THE BATTLE OF FIHL IN THE PROVINCE OF THE JORDAN

Abu-'Ubaidah commander-in-chief. The battle of Fihl¹ in the province of the Jordan was fought two days before the end of dhu-l-Ka'dah and five months after the proclamation of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb as caliph. The commander-in-chief was abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrāḥ, to whom 'Umar had sent a letter with 'Amir ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ, a brother of Sa'd ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ, conferring on him the governorship of Syria and the chief command.²

Some say that the appointment of abu-'Ubaidah to the governorship of Syria was received when Damascus was under siege. Khālid being the chief commander in time of war, abu-'Ubaidah concealed the appointment from him for many days.³ When asked by Khālid for the reason, abu-'Ubaidah said, "I hated to dishearten thee and weaken thy position as thou stoodst facing an enemy."

Terms made after the victory. The way this battle came about was that when Heraclius came to Antioch he summoned the Greeks and the inhabitants of Mesopotamia to go forth to war, putting them under the command of one of his men in whom he trusted. These met the Moslems at Fihl in the province of the Jordan and a most fierce and bloody battle ensued, which ended, by Allah's help, in the victory of the Moslems. The Greek patrician with about

¹ Fah̄l or Fihl, ancient Pella; *Mémoire*, p. 73.

² *Ibid.*, p. 106.

³ Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2146 and 2147.

10,000 men was slaughtered, and the rest of the army distributed themselves in the cities of Syria, some of them joining Heraclius. The inhabitants of Filh took to the fortifications where they were besieged by the Moslems until they sought to surrender, agreeing to pay tax on their heads and *kharâj* on their lands. The Moslems promised them the security of life and property, agreeing not to demolish their walls. The contract was made by abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh, but according to others, by Shurahbil ibn-Hasanah.

CHAPTER VI

THE PROVINCE OF THE JORDAN

Tiberias makes terms. Hafṣ ibn-‘Umar al-‘Umari from al-Haitham ibn-‘Adi :—Shurahbil conquered all the province of the Jordan [al-Urdunn] by force, with the exception of Tiberias, whose inhabitants came to terms, agreeing to give up one-half of their homes and churches.¹ 1116

‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsi and then abu-‘Ubaidah in chief command. Abu-Hafṣ ad-Dimashķi from abu-Bishr — the *muezzin* of the mosque at Damascus—and others :—When the Moslems arrived in Damascus, each commander used to direct his forces to a special region which he would make the object of his incursions. Thus ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsi used to go against Palestine, Shurahbil against the Jordan province and Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān against the province of Damascus. In case the enemy was massed in one group, they would all combine against him, each [commander] hastening to the support and the reinforcement of the other. In the early days of abu-Bakr, when they would join forces, the commander-in-chief would be ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsi. This was the case until the arrival of Khâlid ibn-al-Walid, who became the commander of the Moslems in every battle. Abu-‘Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâḥ later assumed the chief command in the whole of Syria, and the commanders acknowledged him as their chief for war and peace in behalf of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb. This was brought about when ‘Umar was

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2159.

proclaimed caliph and wrote to Khâlid dismissing him and assigning abu-'Ubaidah.

Shurahbil and then 'Amr seizes Tiberias. Shurahbil ibn-Hasanah took Tiberias [Tabaraiyah] by capitulation after a siege of some days. He guaranteed for the inhabitants the safety of their lives, possessions, children, churches and houses with the exception of what they should evacuate and desert, setting aside a special spot for a Moslem mosque. Later, in the caliphate of 'Umar, the people of Tiberias violated the covenant and were joined by many Greeks and others. Abu-'Ubaidah ordered 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi to attack them, so he marched against them at the head of 4,000 men. 'Amr took the city by capitulation, the terms being similar to those of Shurahbil. According to others, however, it was Shurahbil also who conquered it the second time.

Shurahbil subdues all the Jordan province. In addition to that, Shurahbil took easy possession of all the cities of the Jordan with their fortifications, which, with no resistance, capitulated on terms similar to those of Tiberias. Thus did he take possession of Baisân, [Bethshean, Scythopolis] Sûsiyah, Afîk, Jarash, Bait-Râs, Kadas, and al-Jaulân, and subdue the district of the Jordan and all its land.

According to abu-Hâfṣ on the authority of al-Wâdîn ibn-'Aṭâ', Shurahbil conquered Acre, Tyre and Saffûriyah.

The sea-coasts reduced. It is stated by abu-Bîshr, the *mueszin*, that abu-'Ubaidah directed 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi to the sea-coasts of the province of the Jordan. There the Greeks became too numerous for him being recruited by men from the district under Heraclius who was then at Constantinople. 'Amr, therefore, wrote to abu-'Ubaidah asking for reinforcements. The latter sent Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân who went forth, having his brother, Mu'âwiyah, in the van of the army. The littoral of the Jordan was conquered by Yazid and 'Amr to whom abu-'Ubaidah wrote regarding its con-

quest. In that campaign Mu'âwiyah distinguished himself and left a great impression.

Mu'âwiyah transplants people. Abu-Alyasa' al-Anṭâki from certain *sheikhs* from Antioch and the Jordan:—A body of Persians were transplanted in the year 42 by Mu'âwiyah from Ba'labakk, Ḥimṣ and Antioch to the sea-coasts of the Jordan, i. e., Tyre, Acre and other places; and he transplanted in the same year, or one year before or after, certain Asâwirah¹ from al-Baṣrah and al-Kûfah and certain Persians from Ba'labakk and Ḥimṣ to Antioch. One of the Persian leaders was Muslim ibn-'Abdallâh, grandfather of 'Abdallâh ibn-Ḥabîb ibn-an-Nu'mân ibn-Muslim al-Anṭâki.

Mu'âwiyah makes repairs in Acre and Tyre. According to a tradition communicated to me by Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd on the authority of al-Wâkidi, and by Hishâm ibn-al-Laith aş-Şûri on the authority of certain *sheikhs* from Syria, when Mu'âwiyah came to sail from Acre to Cyprus he made repairs in Acre ['Akka] and in Tyre [Sûr]. Later both cities were rebuilt by 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân, after having fallen into ruins.

Hishâm ibn-al-Laith from our *sheikhs* who said, “When we took up our abode in Tyre and the littoral, there were Arab troops and many Greeks already there. Later, people from other regions came and settled with us, and that was the case with all the sea-coast of Syria.”

Artisans settled along the sea-coast. Muḥammad ibn-Sâlim al-Anṭâki from contemporaneous *sheikhs*:—In the year 49 the Greeks left for the sea-coast. Industry at that time was confined to Egypt. Consequently, and in accordance with Mu'âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân's orders, certain artisans and carpenters were gathered and settled along the coast. As for the industry of the Jordan province it was all confined to Acre.

¹ Persian armed cavalry.

Hishâm moves the industry to Tyre. Abu-l-Khatṭâb al-Azdi mentioned the case of a descendant of abu-Mu'ait who lived in Acre and ran mills and workshops. Hishâm ibn-'Abd-al-Malik wanted him to sell them to him; but the man refused. Hishâm therefore moved the industry¹ to Tyre where he ran an inn and a workshop.

118

Tyre a naval base. According to al-Wâkidi, the ships used to be in Acre until the time of the banu-Marwân who moved them to Tyre, where they are until to-day.² In the year 247, al-Mutawakkil gave orders that the ships be stationed in Acre and all along the coast, and he manned them with fighters.

¹ Ar. *ṣinâ'ah*; Ya'kûbi, p. 327: “*dâr aṣ-ṣinâ'ah*” which means arsenal. The reference may be to the industry of making ships. Cf. Le Strange, p. 342 *seq.*

² Ibn-Jubair, p. 305.

CHAPTER VII

THE BATTLE OF MARJ AS-SUFFAR

The “unbelievers” put to flight. The Greeks met in great numbers and were reinforced by Heraclius. The Moslems encountered them at Marj as-Şuffar on their way to Damascus on the first of Muḥarram, year 14.¹ The battle that ensued was so violent that blood flowed along with water and turned the wheels of the mill. Of the Moslems about 4,000 were wounded. At last the “unbelievers” took to flight and were dispersed, disregarding everything until they came to Damascus and Jerusalem. On that day, Khālid ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Aṣi ibn-Umayyah (surnamed abu-Sa‘id) fell a martyr. In the evening previous to the day in the morning of which the battle was fought, he was married to umm-Ḥakim, the daughter of al-Ḥārith ibn-Hishām al-Makhzūmi, and the wife of ‘Ikrimah ibn-abī-Jahl.² Hearing the news of his death, umm-Ḥakim pulled out the post of the tent and fought with it. On that day, according to some report, she killed seven and had her face still covered with the ointment perfumed with saffron³ [with which women anointed themselves on the first night of matrimony].

According to the report of abu-Mikhnaf, this battle of Marj took place twenty days after the battle of Ajnādīn;

¹ *Mémoire*, pp. 79-80.

² Ibn-Sa‘id, vol. iv¹, p. 71.

³ Tabari, vol. i, p. 3169; *Aghāni*, vol. vi, pp. 6-7; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 322.
182

the conquest of Damascus followed it, and after the conquest of Damascus the battle of Fihl took place. The report of al-Wâkîdi, however, is more authentic.

It was regarding the battle of Marj that Khâlid ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Âsi said :

“ Isn’t there a horseman who, tired of stabbing,
would lend me his lance for the battle of Marj as-Suffar? ”

Referring to this battle, ‘Abdallâh ibn-Kâmil ibn-Ḥabîb ibn-‘Amîrah ibn-Khufâf ibn-Amru’i-l-Ḳais ibn-Buhthah ibn-Sulaim said :

“ The tribes of Mâlik took part, but ‘Amîrah disappeared
from my sight in the battle of Marj as-Suffar, ”

meaning Mâlik ibn-Khufâf.

119

The story of the Samşâmah sword. According to Hishâm ibn-Muhammad al-Kalbi, in the battle of Marj, Khâlid ibn-Sa‘id suffered martyrdom with his sword aş-Şamşâmah hanging down from his neck. The Prophet had sent him as ‘âmil to al-Yaman, and on his way he passed by the kindred of ‘Amr ibn-Mâdikarib az-Zubâidi of Madhârij and attacked them, taking as captives the wife of ‘Amr and other kinsmen. ‘Amr proposed that Khâlid grants them their liberty and they would accept Islâm. And so it was. ‘Amr offered Khâlid his own sword, aş-Şamşâmah,¹ saying :

“ A friend whom I offered as present not because of any hatred
but because presents are for those of noble birth. ”

“ A friend whom I did not betray and who did not betray me,
and so my qualities and fellow-drinkers did not. ”

“ I bestowed it on a nobleman of Kuraish
who was pleased with it and by which he was protected against the
evil men. ”

This sword Mu‘âwiyah took from the neck of Khâlid when

¹ *Aghâni*, vol. xiv, pp. 27, 31, 32.

he fell martyr in the battle of Marj. Mu'âwiyah kept it, but its possession was later disputed by Sa'id ibn-al-Âşı ibn-Sa'id ibn-al-Âşı ibn-Umaiyah. 'Uthmân decided the case in favor of the latter, who kept it until the battle of ad-Dâr in which Marwân was struck on the nape of the neck and Sa'id fell unconscious by a blow. A Juhainah man took the Şamşâmah. The Juhainah man kept it, and one day he gave it to a polisher to polish it. The polisher could not believe that one of the Juhainah could possess such a sword, so he took it to Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam, the governor of al-Madinah, who asked the Juhainah man for an explanation, and he told its story. "By Allah," exclaimed Marwân, "in the battle of ad-Dâr, my sword was stolen from me, and so was that of Sa'id ibn-al-Âşı." Then came Sa'id and recognizing his sword took it, carved his name on it, and sent it to 'Amr ibn-Sa'id al-Ashdâk, the governor of Makkah. Sa'id perished, and the sword was left with 'Amr ibn-Sa'id. When 'Amr ibn-Sa'id was killed at Damascus and his belongings were stolen, his brother on the father's side, Muhammad ibn-Sa'id, took the sword, which later passed to Yaḥya ibn-Sa'id. At the death of Yaḥya, it passed to 120 'Anbasah ibn-Sa'id ibn-al-Âşı and then to Sa'id ibn-'Amr ibn-Sa'id. When the last perished, the sword went to Muḥammad ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'id whose descendants live now in Bârik. Then it went to Abân ibn-Yaḥya ibn-Sa'id who decked it with an ornament of gold and kept it with the mother of a child [concubine] of his. At last Aiyûb ibn-abi-Aiyûb ibn-Sa'id sold it to al-Mahdi the "Commander of the Believers" for over 80,000 [dirhams]. Al-Mahdi put the ornament of gold back on it. When it came finally into the possession of Müsa-l-Hâdi, the "Commander of the Believers," he admired it and ordered the poet abu-l-Haul to describe it, upon which the latter said:

“ He who acquired the Șamșâmah of ‘Amr az-Zubaidi
is the best of all men—Mûsa-l-Amin.
It is the sword of ‘Amr which as we know
is the best that a scabbard ever sheathed.
Green in color between the edges of which is a garment
of poison in which death is clad.
If one unsheathes it, its brilliancy dazzles
that of the sun, so that the sun would scarcely be seen.
When the one to be smitten is at hand,
it does not matter whether the left or the right hand applies it.
What a good sword it is for him, who wants to defend his honor,
to smite with in the battle, and what a good companion!”¹

Later on, al-Wâthik-Billâh, the “ Commander of the Believers,” called a polisher and ordered him to temper it. On doing so, the sword was changed.

¹ Cf. De Slane, *ibn-Khallikân*, vol. iii, p. 637.

CHAPTER VIII

THE CONQUEST OF DAMASCUS AND ITS PROVINCE

The positions taken by the different generals. When the Moslems were done with the fight against those who were gathered at al-Marj, they stayed there for fifteen days at the end of which they returned [sic] to Damascus [Dimashk]. This took place fourteen days before the end of Muḥarram, year 14. Al-Ghūṭah and its churches the Moslems took by force. The inhabitants of Damascus be-took themselves to the fortifications and closed the gate of the city. Khālid ibn-al-Walid at the head of some 5,000 men whom abu-'Ubaidah had put under his command, 121 camped at al-Bāb ash-Sharkī [the east gate]. Some assert that Khālid was the chief commander but was dismissed when Damascus was under siege. The convent by which Khālid camped was called Dair Khālid.¹ 'Amr ibn-al-'Āṣi camped at the Tūma gate; Shurahbil, at the Faradis gate, abu-'Ubaidah at the Jābiyah gate, and Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān from the Ṣaghīr gate to the one known as Kaisān gate.² Abu-ad-Dardā' appointed 'Uwaimir ibn-'Āmir al-Khazraji commander of a frontier garrison settled in the fortification³ at Barzah.⁴

The statement written by Khālid. The bishop⁵ who had

¹ Diyārbakri, vol. ii, p. 259.

² H. Lammens, *MFO*, vol. iii¹, p. 256; Kremer, *Topographie von Damaskus*, the chart next to page 36.

³ *Mémoire*, p. 90.

⁴ Jubair, p. 274; Yâkūt, vol. i, p. 563.

⁵ Caetani, vol. iii, p. 364, note 2.

provided Khâlid with food at the beginning of the siege was wont to stand on the wall. Once Khâlid called him, and when he came, Khâlid greeted him and talked with him. The bishop one day said to him, "Abu-Sulaimân, thy case is prospering and thou hast a promise to fulfil for me; let us make terms for this city." Thereupon, Khâlid called for an inkhorn and parchment and wrote:—

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is what Khâlid would grant to the inhabitants of Damascus, if he enters therein: he promises to give them security for their lives, property and churches. Their city-wall shall not be demolished; neither shall any Moslem be quartered in their houses. Thereunto we give to them the pact of Allah and the protection of his Prophet, the caliphs and the 'Believers'. So long as they pay the poll-tax, nothing but good shall befall them."

The Moslems enter the city. One night, a friend of the bishop came to Khâlid and informed him of the fact that it was the night of a feast¹ for the inhabitants of the city, that they were all busy and that they had blocked the Sharķi gate with stones and left it unguarded. He then suggested that Khâlid should procure a ladder. Certain occupants of the convent, by which Khâlid's army camped, brought him two ladders on which some Moslems climbed to the highest part of the wall, and descended to the gate which was guarded only by one or two men. The Moslems co-operated and opened the door. This took place at sunrise.

In the meantime, abu-'Ubaidah had managed to open the Jâbiyah gate and sent certain Moslems over its wall. This made the Greek fighters pour to his side and lead a violent fight against the Moslems. At last, however, the Greeks took to flight. Then abu-'Ubaidah at the head of

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2152.

the Moslems opened the Jâbiyah gate by force and made their entrance through it. Abu-'Ubaidah and Khâlid ibn-al-Walid met at al-Mâksalât which was the quarter of the coppersmiths in Damascus. The same spot is mentioned in a poem by Hassân ibn-Thâbit under the name of al-Barîş:

122

“He who calls at al-Barîş for a drink,
[is given the water of Barada mixed with dainty wine].”¹

According to other reports, one night the Greeks carried out through the Jâbiyah gate a corpse. A number of their brave and armed men accompanied the funeral. The rest of them stood at the gate to prevent the Moslems from opening it and entering until their Greek comrades should have returned from the burial of the dead man, thus taking advantage of the Moslems' state of unmindfulness. But the Moslems knew of them and fought with them at the gate a most fierce and bloody conflict which ended in the opening of the gate by the Moslems at sunrise. Seeing that abu-'Ubaidah was on the point of entering the city, the bishop hurried to Khâlid and capitulated. He then opened the Sharķi gate and entered with Khâlid, with the statement which Khâlid had written him unfolded in his hand. Regarding that, certain Moslems remarked, “By Allah, Khâlid is not the commander. How could his terms then be binding?” To this, abu-'Ubaidah replied, “Even the lowest of the Moslems can make binding terms on their behalf.” And sanctioning the capitulation made by Khâlid, he signed it, not taking into account the fact that a part of the city was taken by force.² Thus all Damascus was considered as having capitulated. Abu-'Ubaidah wrote to 'Umar regarding that and forwarded the message. Then

¹ Hassân, *Diwân*, p. 17.

² Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 159; Lammens, *MFO*, vol. iii¹, p. 250.

the gates of the city were opened and all the Moslems met within.

According to the report of abu-Mikhnaf and others, Khâlid entered the city by assault, whereas abu-'Ubaidah entered it by capitulation, and they both met at the Zaiyâtîn [market of oil-dealers].¹²³ The former report however, is more authentic.

Al-Haitham ibn-'Adi claimed that the people of Damascus capitulated agreeing to give up one-half of their homes and churches. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd reported that abu-'Abdal-lâh al-Wâkidi said, "I have read the statement issued by Khâlid ibn-al-Walid to the people of Damascus and found no mention in it of 'half the homes and churches'. I do not know where the one who reported it got his information. The fact is that when Damascus was taken possession of, a great number of its inhabitants fled to Heraclius who was then at Antioch, leaving many vacant dwellings behind that were later occupied by the Moslems."

Some one reported that it was abu-'Ubaidah who had his quarters at the Sharķî gate, and Khâlid at the Jâbiyah gate; but this view is erroneous.

The date of the conquest. According to al-Wâkidi, the conquest of Damascus was effected in Rajab, year 14,¹ but the date which Khâlid's statement of capitulation bears was Rabi' II, year 15. The explanation is that Khâlid wrote the statement with no date, but when the Moslems were preparing to set out against those gathered for their fight in al-Yarmûk, the bishop came to Khâlid asking him to renew the statement and add as witnesses abu-'Ubaidah and the Moslems. Khâlid granted the request and inserted the names of abu-'Ubaidah, Yazîd ibn-abi-Sufyân, Shurahbîl ibn-Hasanah and others as witnesses. The date he put was the one in which the statement was renewed.

¹ Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 159.

The city considered as having capitulated. Al-Ķâsim ibn-Sallâm from Sa'îd ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz at-Tanûkhi:—124 Yazîd entered Damascus by capitulation through the Sharkî gate. At al-Mâksalât the two Moslem commanders met, and the whole city was considered as having capitulated.

The siege conducted for four months. Al-Ķâsim from abu-l-Ash'ath aş-Şan'âni or abu-'Uthmân aş-Şan'âni:— Abu-'Ubaidah spent at the Jâbiyah gate four months¹ conducting the siege.

The case of a church. Abu-'Ubaid from Rajâ' ibn-abî-Salamah:—Hâssân ibn-Mâlik presented to 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz the case of a church that one of the commanders had bestowed on him as fief, and the possession of which was contested by the non-Arabs of Damascus. Regarding that, 'Umar said, "If it is included in the fifteen churches mentioned in their covenant, thou hast no claim on it."

The following was stated by Ȑamrah on the authority of 'Ali ibn-abî-Hamalah, "The non-Arabs of Damascus disputed with us the right to a church at Damascus that was assigned by someone as fief to the banu-Nâṣr, and the case was presented to 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz who took the church from us and returned it to the Christians. When Yazîd ibn-'Abd-al-Mâlik, however, came to power he gave it back to the banu-Nâṣr."

The poll-tax. Abu-'Ubaid from al-Auzâ'i who said:— "At the outset, the poll-tax in Syria consisted of one *jarîb* and one *dînâr* per head. 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb made it four *dînârs* on those who had gold and forty *dirhams* on those who had silver, arranging them in ranks according to the wealth of the rich, the poverty of the poor and the medium possessions of the middle class."

Hishâm heard it said by our *sheikhs* that the Jews were

Ya'kûbi. *Bu'dâن*, p. 325: "one year".

for the Christians as *dhimmis* paying *kharâj* to them, and were, therefore, included in the capitulation.

According to certain reports, one of the terms imposed by Khâlid ibn-al-Wâlid on the inhabitants of Damascus, when they capitulated, was that every man should give as poll-tax one *dînâr* and one *jarîb* of wheat, together with vinegar and oil for feeding the Moslems.

‘Amr an-Nâkîd from Aslam, the freedman of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb:—‘Umar wrote to the commanders of the provinces of Syria [Ar. *ajnâd*] instructing them to levy a tax on every adult, making it forty *dirhams* on those who possessed silver, and four *dînârs* on those who possessed gold. Moreover, he ordered that in the way of providing the Moslems with wheat and oil, they have to give every Moslem in Syria and Mesopotamia [Ar. al-Jazîrah] two *modii*¹ of wheat and three *kîsts*¹ of oil per month. He also assessed on them grease and honey, the quantity of which I do not know; and for every Moslem in Egypt per month one *irdabb*¹ [of wheat], clothing, and the right of being entertained as guest for three days.

‘Amr ibn-Hammâd ibn-abi-Hanîfah from Aslam:—‘Umar assessed as poll-tax four *dînârs* on those who possessed gold, and forty *dirhams* on those who possessed silver, in addition to offering the Moslems a subsistence tribute and providing them with three-days’ entertainment.

A similar tradition was communicated to me by Muṣ‘ab on the authority of Aslam.

The cathedral of St. John. It is reported that when Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân came to power, he desired to add the church of St. John to the mosque² in Damascus; but the

¹ Ar. *mudi*, Latin *modius*, is 17 *sâ’s*; a *kîst* is half a *sâ’*; an *irdabb* is 24 *sâ’s*.

² Al-Mâkkari, *Nafh at-Tib*, vol. i, p. 368.

Christians refused. So he refrained. Later, when 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân was in power, he made the same request for the enlargement of the mosque offering them money in exchange; but they refused to deliver the church to him. In his turn, al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik called the Christians and offered them large sums for the church, and when they refused, he threatened them saying, "If ye do not agree, I will surely tear it down." To this someone replied, "He, 'Commander of the Believers', who tears down a church will lose his wits and be affected with some blight." Al-Walid, being angered at what was said, ordered that a spade be brought and began demolishing the walls with his own hand, while he had a robe of yellow silk on him. He then called workmen and house-razers and they pulled the church down. Thus it was included in the mosque. When 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz became caliph, the Christians complained of what al-Walid had done for their church. 'Umar wrote to his *'âmil* ordering him to return to the Christians that part which he had added to the mosque from their church. The people of Damascus disliked the idea saying, "Shall we destroy our mosque after we have called to prayer and held service in it? And can a Christian church be returned [to its former owners]?" Among the Moslems were at that time Sulaimân ibn-Hâbib al-Muâribî and other canonists. They then came to the Christians and proposed to turn over to them all the churches of al-Ghûtah that had been taken by force and were in the hands of the Moslems, provided they give up the church of St. John and cease to assert their claim on it. The Christians rather seemed to favor the proposition and consented to it. 'Umar's *'âmil* communicated the news to 'Umar who was pleased and signed the agreement. Next to the tower of the Mosque of Damascus at the southern porch stands an inscription on marble near the roof which was

part of that which was built by the order of al-Walid the "Commander of the Believers" in the year 86.

The wall of Damascus. I myself heard Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr say, "The wall around the city of Damascus remained standing until it was demolished by 'Abdallâh ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-'Abbâs after the question between Marwân and the banu-Umayyah had been settled."

Buṣra, Adhri'ât, al-Bathaniyah and other places reduced. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashķî from the *muezzin* of the Damascus Mosque and other men:—At the arrival of Khâlid, the Moslems gathered their forces against Buṣra, and it capitulated. They then were dispersed throughout all Ḥaurân which they subdued. The chief of Adhri'ât came to them offering to capitulate on the same terms on which the people of Buṣra had capitulated and agreeing to make all the land of al-Bathaniyah¹ a *kharâj* land. The request was granted, and Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân entered the city and made a covenant with its people. Thus the two districts of Ḥaurân and al-Bathaniyah came under the full control of the Moslems. Thence they came to Palestine and the Jordan, invading what had not yet been reduced. Yazid marched against 'Âmmân and made an easy conquest of it, making terms of capitulation similar to those of Buṣra. Besides, he effected the complete conquest of the province of al-Balkâ'. When abu-'Ubaidah came to power, all that was already conquered. At the conquest of Damascus, abu-'Ubaidah was the commander-in-chief; but the terms of capitulation were made by Khâlid, abu-'Ubaidah concurring.

'Arandal, ash-Sharât and the sea-coast reduced. During the governorship of abu-'Ubaidah, Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân went and took possession of 'Arandal² by capitulation. He

¹ Modern Nuṣrah in Ḥaurân.

² The correct form is Gharandal; Ya'kûbi, *Buldân*, p. 326; Baedeker, p. 150.

also subdued the province of ash-Sharât with its mountains. It is stated by Sa'id ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz on the authority of al-Wâdîn that after the [second] conquest of Damascus Yazid came to Sidon, 'Irqâh,¹ Jubail, and Bierût (which lie on the sea-coast) ² with his brother, Mu'âwiyah, leading the van of the army. These cities he conquered with great facility, expelling many of their inhabitants. The conquest of 'Irqâh was effected by Mu'âwiyah himself when Yazid was governor. Toward the close of the caliphate of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb or the beginning of the caliphate of 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân, the Greeks restored some of these coast-towns, and Mu'âwiyah again marched against those towns and conquered them. He then made repairs in them and stationed garrisons in them among whom he distributed the fiefs.

Tripoli captured. When 'Uthmân was made caliph and Mu'âwiyah became governor of Syria, the latter directed Sufyân ibn-Mujîb al-Azdi to Tripoli [Aṭrâbulus] which was a combination of three cities.³ Sufyân erected on a plain a few miles from the city a fort which was called Hisn Sufyân [Sufyân fort], intercepted the recruits from the sea as well as from the land and laid siege to the city. When the siege was pressed hard against them, the inhabitants of Tripoli met in one of the three fortifications and wrote to the king of the Greeks asking for relief through reinforcement or ships on which they might escape and flee to him. Accordingly, the king sent them many ships which they boarded in the night time and fled away. When Sufyân arose in the morning—he having been accustomed to sleep

¹ "Arqâh" in Hamadhâni, *Buldân*, p. 105; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 801; Correggi: 'Arqaq".

² *Journal Asiatique*, 1859, vol. i, p. 120, note 1.

³ As its Greek name designates.

every night in his fort, and fortify the Moslems in it, and to rise up in the morning against the enemy—he discovered that the fortification in which the people of Tripoli were was vacant. Immediately he entered it and sent the news of the conquest to Mu‘âwiyah. Mu‘âwiyah made it a dwelling-place for a large body of Jews. It is this fortification in which the harbor of the city is to-day. Later ‘Abd-al-Malik built it and made it stronger.

Mu‘âwiyah used to send every year to Tripoli a large body of troops to guard the city and used to assign it to a different ‘âmil; but in case the sea was closed, the ‘âmil with a small band would stay and the rest would return. This state of affairs lasted until ‘Abd-al-Malik began to rule. In the days of the latter, one of the Greek patricians with a large body of men came to the city and asked for a promise of safety, agreeing to settle therein and pay *kharâj*. His request was granted. He had not been there two years or two years and a few months when he took advantage of the absence of the troops from the city, shut its gate and killed the ‘âmil, taking his soldiers and many Jews as captives. He then made his way together with his followers to the land of the Greeks [Asia Minor]. Later the Moslems caught him on the sea going to a Moslem coast-town with a large number of ships, and killed him. Others say they took him captive and sent him to ‘Abd-al-Malik who killed and crucified him. I heard someone say that ‘Abd-al-Malik sent someone who besieged him in Tripoli until he surrendered and was carried before ‘Abd-al-Malik who killed and crucified him. Some of his followers took to flight and got as far as the land of the Greeks.

‘Ali ibn-Muhammad al-Madâ’ini related on the authority of ‘Attâb ibn-Ibrâhim that Tripoli was conquered by Sufyân ibn-Mujib, that its inhabitants violated the covenant in the days of ‘Abd-al-Malik and that it was reduced by al-Walîd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik in his reign.

The Mediterranean littoral reduced. Abu-Ḥafṣ ash-Shāmi from al-Waḍīn :—At first Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān directed Mu‘awiyah against the littoral of the province of Damascus excluding Tripoli whose possession he did not covet. Mu‘awiyah sometimes spent on the reduction of the fort a few days—two or more—in the course of which he was resisted either slightly or strongly before he could take it.

When the Moslems conquered a city, whether so situated as to overlook a wide territory or on the coast, they would station in it whatever number of Moslems was necessary; and if the enemy in it should start a revolt the Moslems would flock to it for reinforcement. But when ‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān became caliph he wrote to Mu‘awiyah instructing him to fortify the coast-cities and man them, and to give fiefs to those whom he settled in them. Mu‘awiyah did accordingly.

Abu-Ḥafṣ from Sa‘id ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz who said :—“I heard it said by some that after the death of his brother Yazid, Mu‘awiyah wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb describing the condition of the coast-towns. ‘Umar wrote back ordering that their fortifications be repaired, that garrisons be stationed in them, that watchmen be posted on their towers and that means be taken for lighting the fire on the towers to announce the approach of the enemy. ‘Umar gave Mu‘awiyah no permission to carry out a naval campaign. But Mu‘awiyah insisted so much that ‘Uthmān allowed him to carry out a sea expedition and instructed him to keep ready in the coast-cities troops in addition to those already in them, whether he wanted to set out on the campaign in person or send some one else on it. He also instructed him to give the garrison lands and distribute among them whatever houses had been evacuated, and to establish new mosques and enlarge those that had been established before his caliphate.”

According to al-Waḍīn, after that, men from all quarters moved to the coast cities.

'Alkamah nominated governor of Haurān. Al-'Abbās ibn-Hishām al-Kalbi from Ja'far ibn-Kilāb al-Kilābi:—¹²⁹ 'Alkamah ibn-'Ulāthah ibn-'Auf ibn-al-Aḥwāṣ ibn-Ja'far ibn-Kilāb was assigned by 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb to the governorship of Haurān and he was made responsible to Mu'āwiyah. This position he held until his death. Before his death he heard that al-Ḥuṭai'ah-l-'Absi was coming to visit him; so 'Alkamah bequeathed to him in his will a share equal to one of his sons' shares. Hence the poem of al-Ḥuṭai'ah: ¹

"Between me and becoming rich—had I only reached thee, when thou
wert still living—
there would have been an interval of only a few nights."

Kubbash farm. I was told by certain learned men among whom was a neighbor of Hishām ibn-'Ammār that abu-Sufyān ibn-Ḥarb possessed in the pre-Islamic period, in which he carried on trade with Syria, a village in al-Balkā' called Kubbash. This village passed into the possession of Mu'āwiyah and his son, and at the beginning of the [Abbasid] dynasty, it was confiscated and possessed by certain sons of al-Mahdi, the "Commander of the Believers." Then it passed into the hands of certain oil-sellers of al-Kūfah known as the banu-Nu'aim.

The Prophet gives fief to Tamīm and Nu'aim. 'Abbās ibn-Hishām from his grandfather:—Once came Tamīm ibn-Aus of the banu-ad-Dār ibn-Ḥāni' ibn-Ḥabīb of [the tribe of] Lakhm, surnamed abu-Ruṣayyah, with his brother Nu'aim ibn-Aus, to the Prophet who gave them as fief Ḥibra, Bait-'Ainūn ² and Masjid Ibrāhīm, and to that end he wrote

¹ Goldziher: "Der Diwān des Ḡarwal b. Aus al-Ḥuṭej'a" in *ZDMG*, vol. xlvi, p. 30.

² Ibn-Duraid, p. 226.

a statement. When Syria was subdued, all that was restored to them. When Sulaimân ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik used to pass near this land he would not stop in it saying, “ I am afraid the curse of the Prophet will follow me.”

‘Umar gives stipends to diseased Christians. Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr told me he heard it said by certain *sheikhs* that on his way to al-Jâbiyah in the province of Damascus, ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb passed by certain Christians smitten with elephantiasis¹ and he ordered that they be given something out of the *ṣadakahs* and that food stipends be assigned to them.

Dair Khâlid. Hishâm reported that he heard it said by al-Walid ibn-Muslim that Khâlid ibn-al-Walid made a condition in favor of the convent known as Dair Khâlid, when its occupants offered him a ladder to climb to the city wall, to the effect that their *kharâj* be reduced. The condition was enforced by abu-‘Ubaidah.

The terms with Ba’labakk. When abu-‘Ubaidah was done with Damascus, he advanced to Himş. On his way, 130 he passed through Ba’labakk whose inhabitants sought to secure safety and capitulate. Abu-‘Ubaidah made terms guaranteeing the safety of their lives, possessions and churches. To that end he wrote the following statement :

“ In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a statement of security to so and so, son of so and so, and to the inhabitants of Ba’labakk—Greeks, Persians and Arabs—for their lives, possessions, churches and houses, inside and outside the city and also for their mills. The Greeks are entitled to give pasture to their cattle within a space of 15 miles, yet are not to abide in any inhabited town. After Rabi‘ and Jumâda I shall have passed, they are at

¹ Ar. *mujadhdhamîn*, see *Kâmûs*, *Tâj al-‘Arûs* and *Nihâyah*; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 933, translates: “ *mutilati* ”.

liberty to go where they will. Whosoever of them adopts Islâm, shall have the same rights as we and be bound by the same obligations; and their merchants are entitled to go whither they will in the countries that have become ours through capitulation. Those of them who do not adopt Islâm¹ are bound to pay poll-tax and *kharâj*. Allah is witness and his witness is sufficient."

¹ Cf. Zaidân, vol. iv, p. 122, Margoliouth's translation.

CHAPTER IX

HIMS

The inhabitants capitulate. ‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from abu-Mikhnaf:— When abu-‘Ubaidah was through with Damascus, he sent ahead of him Khâlid ibn-al-Wâlid and Milhân ibn-Zaiyâr at-Ṭâ'i and then he followed them. When they met in Ḫimṣ [Emesa],¹ the people of the city resisted them, but finally sought refuge in the city and asked for safety and capitulation. They capitulated to abu-‘Ubaidah agreeing to pay 170,000 *dînârs*.²

As-Simt captures Ḫimṣ. According to al-Wâkidi and others, as the Moslems stood at the gates of Damascus there appeared a dense band of the enemy’s horsemen. The troops of the Moslems set out and met them between Bait-Lihya and ath-Thaniyah. The enemy was defeated and took to flight in the direction of Ḫimṣ via Ḳâra. The Moslems pursued them to Ḫimṣ but found that they had turned away from it. The people of Ḫimṣ saw the Moslems and, being scared because Heraclius had run away from them and because of what they heard regarding the Moslems’ power, valor and victory, they submitted and hastened to seek the promise of security. The Moslems guaranteed their safety and refrained from killing them. The people of Ḫimṣ offered them food for their animals and for themselves and the Moslems camped on the Orontes [al-Urunṭ, or al-Urund] (the river which empties its water in the sea near

131

¹ Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 335; *Skizzen*, vol. vi, p. 60.

² Ya’kûbi, vol. ii, p. 160.

Antioch). The commander of the Moslems at that time was as-Simt ibn-al-Aswad al-Kindi.

When abu-'Ubaidah was through with Damascus, he left over it in his place Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān, came to Hims via Ba'labakk, and encamped at the Rastan gate. The people of Hims capitulated, and he guaranteed the safety of their lives, possessions, city-wall, churches, and wells excluding one-fourth of St. John's Church which was to be turned into a mosque. He made it a condition on those of them who would not embrace Islām to pay *kharāj*.¹

According to certain reports, it was as-Simt ibn-al-Aswad al-Kindi who made the terms with the people of Hims. When abu-'Ubaidah arrived, he caused the terms to take effect. As-Simt divided the city into lots, each marked for one Moslem to build his house. He also made them settle in every place whose occupants had evacuated it and in every yard that was deserted.

The terms with Hamâh, Shaizar, Fâmiyah and other places. Abu-Hafṣ ad-Dimashķi from Sa'id ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz:—When abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâḥ effected the conquest of Damascus, he left over it as his lieutenant Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyān; over the province of Palestine, 'Amr ibn-al-'Âṣi; and over the province of the Jordan, Shurâḥibl. He then advanced to Hims whose people capitulated on the same terms as those of Ba'labakk. Leaving over Hims 'Ubâdah ibn-âṣ-Sâmit al-Anṣâri, he pushed towards Hamâh [Epiphania] whose people met him offering their submission. He made terms with them, stipulating that they pay tax on their heads and *kharâj* on their land. Thence he proceeded towards Shaizar. The people of Shaizar [Larissa] went out to meet him bowing² before him and

¹ Nöldeke, *ZDMG*, vol. xxix, p. 76 *seq*; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 432, note 2.

² Ar. *kaffara*; see *GGA*, 1863, p. 1348; *Kashshâf*, vol. i, p. 22.

accompanied by players on the tambourines and singers. They agreed to terms similar to those made with the people of Ḥamâh. Abu-'Ubaidah's horsemen reached as far as az-Zarrâ'ah and al-Ḳastal. He then passed through Ma'-arrat Ḥimṣ [Ma'arrat an-Nu'mân] which was named after an-Nu'mân ibn-Bashîr.¹ Its people came out playing on tambourines and singing before him. Thence he came to Fâmiyah whose people met him in the same way and consented to pay poll-tax and *kharâj*. Thus was the question of Ḥimṣ brought to an end, and Ḥimṣ and Kinnasrîn became parts of one whole.²

The "Junds" and "Awâsim." There is a disagreement regarding the name "Jund"³ [as applied to the military districts of Syria]. According to some, Palestine was called "Jund" by the Moslems because it was a collection of many provinces, and so was each of Damascus, Jordan, Ḥimṣ and Kinnasrîn. According to others, each district which had an army that received its monthly allowance in it was called "Jund." Thus Mesopotamia belonged to Kinnasrîn; but 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân made it a separate "Jund," that is, made its army take its allowance from its *kharâj*. 'Abd-al-Malik was asked to do so by Muḥammad ibn-Marwân. Down to the time of Yazid ibn-Mu'âwiyah, Kinnasrîn and its districts were included in the province of Ḥimṣ; but Yazid constituted Kinnasrîn, Antioch, Manbij and their districts as one "Jund." When ar-Rashid Hâ-rûn ibn-al-Mahdi was made caliph, he set Kinnasrîn apart and made of it and its districts one "Jund." He also separated Manbij, Dulûk, Ra'bân, Kûrus, Antioch and Tîzin and called them "al-'Awâsim"⁴ because these were

¹ Yâkût, *al-Muṣṭarik*, p. 401.

² Cf. Caetani, vol. iii, p. 790, line 7.

³ The same word is commonly used for "troops".

⁴ Zaidân, vol. i, p. 153; the word means "those that give protection."

the cities to which the Moslems resorted after making an invasion and leaving the frontier cities, and where they were safe and protected. The chief city of "al-'Awâsim" he made Manbij [Hierapolis]. In this city 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Sâlih ibn-'Ali lived in the year 173 and erected many buildings.

Al-Lâdhikîyah entered. Abu-Hafṣ ad-Dimashķi from Sa'id ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz, and Mûsa ibn-Ibrâhîm at-Tanûkhi from certain *sheikhs* of Hîmş:—Abu-'Ubaidah appointed in his place over Hîmş 'Ubâdah ibn-âṣ-Şâmit al-Anṣâri who left for al-Lâdhikîyah.¹ Its people resisted him and the city had a massive gate that could be opened only by a number of men. Seeing how difficult it was to reduce the city, 'Ubâdah encamped at a distance from it and ordered that trenches like canals be dug, each one large enough to conceal a man with his horse. The Moslems made special effort and got the work done. They then pretended to be returning to Hîmş; but no sooner had the night fallen with its darkness, than they returned to their camp and trenches, while the people of al-Lâdhikîyah were negligent of them being under the impression that the Moslems had left them. Early in the morning, they opened their gate and drove forth their cattle; but how terrified they were to meet the Moslems and see them enter through the gate! Thus was the city taken by force. 'Ubâdah entered the fort and then climbed its wall and called "Allah is great" etc. Certain Christians of al-Lâdhikîyah fled to al-Yusaiyid, and later sought to surrender, agreeing to return to their lands. They were assigned to lands, and a fixed *kharâj*² was assessed to be paid by them every year whether they should increase or decrease in number. Their church was left for

133

¹ Laodicea; Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 338.

² *Kharâj mukâṭa'ah*. See Berchem, *La Propriété Territoriale*, p. 45.

them. The Moslems, following the order of 'Ubâdah, erected in al-Lâdhikîyah a cathedral mosque that was later enlarged.

Al-Lâdhikîyah destroyed and rebuilt. In the year 100, when 'Abd-al-'Azîz was caliph, the Greeks made a descent by sea on the coast of al-Lâdhikîyah. They destroyed the city and took its inhabitants prisoners. 'Umar ordered that it be rebuilt and fortified and asked the [Greek] "tyrant"¹ to accept ransom for the Moslem prisoners. But this was not carried out till after his death in the year 101. The city was completed and garrisoned by the order of Yazîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malik.

According to a tradition communicated by one from al-Lâdhikîyah, 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz fortified the city and finished its work before he died. All what Yazîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malik did was to repair the city and increase its garrison.

Baldah taken by assault. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashķî from Sa'îd ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz and Sa'îd ibn-Sulaimân al-Ḥimṣî:—'Ubâdah with the Moslems appeared at the coast and took by assault a city called Baldah lying two parasangs from Jabalah. The city was later destroyed and its inhabitants evacuated it. Jabalah, which was a fortification for the Greeks and was deserted by them when the Moslems conquered Ḥimṣ, was established by Mu'âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân and guarded by a garrison.

The fort of Jabalah. Sufyân ibn-Muhammad al-Bahrâni from certain *sheikhs*:—Mu'âwiyah erected for Jabalah² a fort outside the older Greek fort which was now inhabited by monks and others devoted to religious exercises.

¹ Ar. *tâghiyah*, an appellation of the Byzantine emperor used by the Arabian writers.

² Gabala, Gibellus Major, or Zibel; Le Strange, pp. 459-460.

Anṭartūs reduced. Sufyān ibn-Muhammad from his father and *sheikhs* :—‘Ubādah with the Moslems conquered Anṭartūs [Tortosa] which was a fortified town and which was evacuated by its holders. Mu‘āwiyah built Anṭartūs and fortified it¹ giving the fiefs to the holders of the fort. The same thing he did with Marakiyah and Bulunyās.

Guards stationed in the littoral towns. Abu-Ḥafṣ ad-Dimashķi from his *sheikhs* :—Abu-‘Ubaidah effected the conquest of al-Lâdhikiyah, Jabalah and Anṭartūs through ‘Ubādah ibn-āṣ-Sâmit and used to put them in charge of a guard until the time in which the sea was closed.² When Mu‘āwiyah stationed garrisons in the coast cities and fortified them, he put garrisons in, and fortified these cities, too, and treated them as the other littoral towns.

Salamyah. It was reported to me by a *sheikh* from Hims that close to Salamyah [Salaminias] lay a city called Mu‘takifah which one day was completely destroyed by an earthquake and only one hundred of its inhabitants survived. The survivors erected one hundred houses and lived in them. This new settlement was called *Silm Mi‘ah*³ which name was corrupted into Salamyah. Later there came to this place Sâliḥ ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abbâs, fortified it and lived in it with his sons. Many of his descendants still have their abode in it. According to ibn-Sâhm al-Anṭâki, however, Salamyah is an ancient Greek name.

Marwân destroys the wall of Hims. I was told by Muhammad ibn-Muṣaffa-l-Himšî that the wall of Hims was destroyed by Marwân ibn-Muhammad, because in his retreat before the people of Khurâsân, he passed by the

¹ Yâkût, vol. i, p. 388.

² The guard was posted in them so long as the sea was open for navigation, *i. e.*, until winter time.

³ “The safety of one hundred.”

people of Hims, who had broken off from their allegiance, and they carried away some of his baggage, property and armories.

Al-Faḍl ibn-Kârin and Mûsa ibn-Bugha as governors of Hims. The city of Hims had stones for pavement. In the days of Alīmad ibn-Muhammad ibn-abi-Ishâk al-Mu'tâsim-Billâh, the people rose against his 'âmil over them, al-Faḍl ibn-Kârin at-Tabari, a brother of Mayazdiyâr ibn-Kârin,¹ and in accordance with his orders the pavement was removed. They rebelled again, repaved the city and fought against al-Faḍl ibn-Kârin until they worsted him. After robbing him of his money and wives, they put him to death and crucified him. Al-Mu'tâsim directed against them Mûsa ibn-Bugha-l-Kâbir [the Elder] his freedman, and the inhabitants including a large number of Christians and Jews, fought against him. After a fearful slaughter, Mûsa put the survivors to flight, pursued them to the city and entered it by force. This took place in the year 250.

Hims is the seat of a large granary that receives wheat and oil from the cities of the coast and other places that were given out as fiefs for their holders and recorded for them as such in special record books.

¹ Cf. Athîr, vol. vii, p. 88.

THE BATTLE OF AL-YARMÛK

A description of the battle. Heraclius gathered large bodies of Greeks, Syrians, Mesopotamians and Armenians numbering about 200,000.¹ This army he put under the command of one of his choice men² and sent as a vanguard Jabalah ibn-al-Aiham al-Ghassâni at the head of the "naturalized" Arabs [*mustâ'ribah*] of Syria of the tribes of Lakhm, Judhâm and others, resolving to fight the Moslems so that he might either win or withdraw to the land of the Greeks³ and live in Constantinople. The Moslems gathered together and the Greek army marched against them. The battle they fought at al-Yarmûk was of the fiercest and bloodiest kind.⁴ Al-Yarmûk [Hieromax] is a river. In this battle 24,000 Moslems took part. The Greeks and their followers in this battle tied themselves to each other by chains, so that no one might set his hope on flight. By Allah's help, some 70,000 of them were put to death, and their remnants took to flight, reaching as far as Palestine, Antioch, Aleppo, Mesopotamia and Armenia. In the battle of al-Yarmûk certain Moslem women took part and fought violently. Among them was Hind, daughter of 'Utbah and

¹ De Goeje, *Mémoire sur la Conquête de la Syrie*, p. 107.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 2347.

³ *i. e.*, Asia Minor; Arabic—*Bilâd ar-Rûm*.

⁴ Al-Bâṣri, *Futûh ash-Shâm*, p. 130 *seq.*; Pseudo-Wâkidi, *Futûh ash-Shâm*, vol. ii, pp. 32-35.

mother of Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân, who repeatedly exclaimed, “Cut the arms of these ‘uncircumcised’ with your swords!” Her husband abu-Sufyân had come to Syria as a volunteer desiring to see his sons, and so he brought his wife with him. He then returned to al-Madinah where he died. year 31, at the age of 88. Others say he died in Syria. When the news of his death was carried to his daughter, umm-Habibah, she waited until the third day on which she ordered some yellow paint and covered with it her arms and face saying, “I would not have done that, had I not heard the Prophet say, ‘A woman should not be in mourning for more than three days over anyone except her husband.’” It is stated that she did likewise when she received the news of her brother Yazîd’s death. But Allah knows best.

Those who lost an eye or suffered martyrdom. Abu-Sufyân ibn-Harb was one-eyed. He had lost his eye in the battle of at-Tâ’if. In the battle of al-Yarmûk, however, al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ḳais, Hâshim ibn-‘Utbah ibn-abi-Wâkîkâs az-Zuhri (i. e. al-Mirkâl) and Ḳais ibn-Makshûl, each lost an eye. In this battle ‘Âmir ibn-abi-Wâkîkâs az-Zuhri fell a martyr. It is this ‘Âmir who once carried the letter of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb assigning abu-‘Ubaidah to the governorship of Syria. Others say he was a victim of the plague; still others report that he suffered martyrdom in the battle of Ajnâdîn; but all that is not true.

Habîb ibn-Maslamah pursues the fugitives. Abu-‘Ubaidah put Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamah-ı-Fîrî at the head of a cavalry detachment charged with pursuing the fugitive enemy,¹ and Ḥabîb set out killing every man whom he could reach.

The story of Jabalah. Jabalah ibn-al-Aiham sided with the *Ansâr* saying, “Ye are our brethren and the sons of our

¹ Athir, vol. i, p. 179.

fathers," and professed Islâm. After the arrival of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭâb in Syria, year 17, Jabalah had a dispute with one of the Muzainah and knocked out his eye. 'Umar ordered that he be punished, upon which Jabalah said, "Is his eye like mine? Never, by Allah, shall I abide in a town where I am under authority." He then apostatized and went to the land of the Greeks. This Jabalah was the king of Ghassân¹ and the successor of al-Hârith ibn-abi-Shimr.

According to another report, when Jabalah came to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭâb, he was still a Christian. 'Umar asked him to accept Islam and pay *ṣadakah*; but he refused saying, "I shall keep my faith and pay *ṣadakah*." 'Umar's answer was, "If thou keepest thy faith, thou hast to pay poll-tax." The man refused, and 'Umar added, "We have only three alternatives for thee: Islâm, tax or going whither thou will-est." Accordingly, Jabalah left with 30,000 men to the land of the Greeks [Asia Minor]. 'Ubâdah ibn-aṣ-Ṣâmit gently reproved 'Umar saying, "If thou hadst accepted *ṣadakah* from him and treated him in a friendly way, he would have become Moslem."

In the year 21, 'Umar directed 'Umair ibn-Sa'd al-Anṣâri at the head of a great army against the land of the Greeks, and put him in command of the summer expedition² which was the first of its kind. 'Umar instructed him to treat Jabalah ibn-al-Aiham very kindly and to try and appeal to him through the blood relationship between them, so that he should come back to the land of the Moslems with the understanding that he would keep his own faith and pay the amount of *ṣadakah* he had agreed to pay. 'Umair marched until he came to the land of the Greeks and proposed to

¹ Nöldeke: "Die Ghassânischen Fürsten" in *Abhandlungen der Königlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften* (Berlin), 1887, No. II, p. 45 seq.

² Zaidân, vol. i, p. 155; Kudâmah, *Kitâb al-Kharâj* in ibn-Khurdâdhbih, *Kitâb al-Masâlik*, p. 259.

Jabalah what he was ordered by 'Umar to propose; but Jabalah refused the offer and insisted on staying in the land of the Greeks. 'Umair then came into a place called al-Himâr—a valley—which he destroyed putting its inhabitants to the sword. Hence the proverb, “In a more ruined state than the hollow of Himâr.”¹ 137

Heraclius' adieu to Syria. When Heraclius received the news about the troops in al-Yarmûk and the destruction of his army by the Moslems, he fled from Antioch to Constantinople, and as he passed ad-Darb² he turned and said, “Peace unto thee, O Syria, and what an excellent country this is for the enemy!”³—referring to the numerous pastures in Syria.

The battle of al-Yarmûk took place in Rajab, year 15.⁴

Hubâsh loses his leg. According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi, among those who witnessed the battle of al-Yarmûk was Hubâsh ibn-Kais al-Kushairi, who killed many of the ‘uncircumcised’ and lost his leg without feeling it. At last he began to look for it. Hence the verse of Sauwâr ibn-Aufa:

“Among us were ibn-‘Attâb and the one who went seeking his leg; and among us was one who offered protection to the quarter,”

—referring to dhu-l-Rukaibah.⁵

Christians and Jews prefer Moslem rule. Abu-Hâfs ad-Dimashkî from Sa‘îd ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz:—When Heraclius massed his troops against the Moslems and the Mos-

¹ Bakri, vol. i, p. 254. Freytag, *Proverbia*, vol. i, p. 231, no. 66.

² The pass of Taurus.

³ Tabari vol. i, pp. 2395 and 2396.

⁴ The date of the Yarmûk is confused by some Arabian historians with that of Ajnâdin, Jumâda ii, year 13; see Athîr, vol. ii, p. 315.

⁵ Kâmûs: “his name was Mâlik”.

lems heard that they were coming to meet them at al-Yarmūk, the Moslems refunded to the inhabitants of Ḥimṣ the *kharāj*¹ they had taken from them saying, "We are too busy to support and protect you. Take care of yourselves." But the people of Ḥimṣ replied, "We like your rule and justice far better than the state of oppression and tyranny² in which we were. The army of Heraclius we shall indeed, with your 'āmil's help, repulse from the city." The Jews rose and said, "We swear by the Thorah, no governor of Heraclius shall enter the city of Ḥimṣ unless we are first vanquished and exhausted!" Saying this, they closed the gates of the city and guarded them. The inhabitants of the other cities—Christian and Jew—that had capitulated to the Moslems, did the same, saying, "If Heraclius and his followers win over the Moslems we would return to our previous condition, otherwise we shall retain our present state so long as numbers are with the Moslems." When by Allah's help the "unbelievers" were defeated and the Moslems won, they opened the gates of their cities, went out with the singers and music players who began to play, and paid the *kharāj*.

Abu-'Ubaidah reduces Kinnasrīn and Antioch. Abu-'Ubaidah marched against the province of Kinnasrīn and Antioch and reduced it.

Shurahbīl transferred to Ḥimṣ. Al-'Abbās ibn-Hishām al-Kalbi from his grandfather:—As-Simṭ ibn-al-Aswad al-Kindi distinguished himself as a fighter in the battle of al-Yarmūk and particularly in Syria and Ḥimṣ. It was he who divided the houses of Ḥimṣ among its people. His son Shurahbīl was in al-Kūfah disputing the leadership over the Kindah tribe with al-Ash'ath ibn-Kāis al-Kindi. Now,

138

¹ Yūsuf, p. 81.

² Barhebraeus, *Chron. Eccles.*, vol. i, p. 274.

as-Simṭ appeared before 'Umar saying, “‘Commander of the Believers’, I see thou dost not separate even captives from one another, yet thou hast separated me from my son. Change his position, if thou pleasest, to Syria, or mine to al-Kūfah.’” “Well,” said 'Umar, “I shall change his position to Syria.” Accordingly, Shurahbil took up his abode in Ḥimṣ with his father.

CHAPTER XI

PALESTINE

Places conquered by 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı. Abu-Hafṣ ad-Dimashķi from learned *sheikhs* :—The first conflict between Moslems and Greeks took place in the caliphate of abu-Bakr in the province of Palestine, the one in chief command over the Moslems being 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı. Later on in the caliphate of abu-Bakr, 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı effected the conquest of Ghazzah, then Sabastiyah¹ and Nâbulus [Neapolis] with the stipulation that he guaranteed to the inhabitants the safety of their lives, their possessions and their houses on condition that they pay poll-tax, and *kharâj* on their land. He then conquered Ludd [Lydda] and its district, and then Yubna [Jabneh or Jabneel], 'Amawâs [Emmaus] and Bait-Jabrin² [Eleutheropolis] where he took for himself an estate³ which he named 'Ajlân after a freedman of his. He then conquered Yâfa [Jaffa] which according to others was conquered by Mu'âwiyah. 'Amr also conquered Rafah and made similar terms with it.

The conquest of Jerusalem. As 'Amr was besieging Iliyâ', *i. e.*, Jerusalem in the year 16, abu-'Ubaidah after reducing Kinnasrin and its environs, came to him, and according to a report, sent him from Jerusalem to Antioch whose people had violated the covenant. 'Amr reduced the

¹ *i. e.*, Samaria; abu-l-Fida, vol. i, p. 160.

² Athir, vol. ii, p. 390.

³ Yâkût, vol. i, p. 19, line 12.

city and returned [to Jerusalem]. Only two or three days after his return, the inhabitants of Jerusalem asked to capitulate to abu-'Ubaidah on the same terms as those of the cities of Syria as regards tax and *kharâj*, and to have 139 the same treatment as their equals elsewhere, provided the one to make the contract be 'Umar ibn-al-Khattâb in person, Abu-'Ubaidah communicated this in writing to 'Umar who came first to al-Jâbiyah in Damascus and then to Jerusalem. He made the terms of capitulation with the people of Jerusalem to take effect and gave them a written statement. The conquest of Jerusalem took place in the year 17.

A different account has been reported regarding the conquest of Jerusalem.

Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from Yazid ibn-âbi-Hâbîb:— Khâlid ibn-Thâbit al-Fahmi was sent by 'Umar ibn-al-Khattâb, who was at that time in al-Jâbiyah, at the head of an army to Jerusalem. After fighting with the inhabitants, they agreed to pay something on what was within their fortified city and to deliver to the Moslems all what was outside. 'Umar came and concurred, after which he returned to al-Madinah.¹

Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr from al-Auzâ'i:— Abu-'Ubaidah reduced Kinnasrîn and its districts in the year 16; after which he came to Palestine and camped in Jerusalem, whose people asked him to make terms with them, which he did in the year 17, with the stipulation that 'Umar would come in person, put the terms into effect and write a statement of them to the people.

'Umar welcomed by the people of Adhri'ât. Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr from 'Abdallâh ibn-Kais:—The latter said, “ I was one of those who went with abu-'Ubaidah to meet 'Umar as he was coming to Syria. As 'Umar was passing,

he was met by the singers and tambourine players of the inhabitants of Adhri'ât¹ with swords and myrtle. Seeing that, 'Umar shouted 'Keep still! Stop them!' But abu-'Ubaidah replied, 'This is their custom (or some other word like it), "Commander of the Believers," and if thou shouldst stop them from doing it, they would take that as indicating thy intention to violate their covenant.' 'Well, then, said 'Umar, 'let them go on.'

The plague of 'Amawâs. The plague of 'Amawâs [Emmaus] occurred in the year 18. To it a great many Moslems fell victim, among whom was abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh (who was 58 years old and a commander in the army) and Mu'âdh ibn-Jabal of the banu-Salimah of al-Khazraj who was surnamed abu-'Abd-ar-Rahmân and who died in the district of al-Ukhuwânah in the province of the Jordan, aged 38. This Muâdh, abu-'Ubaidah on his death-bed had appointed as his successor. According to others he appointed 'Iyâd ibn-Ghanm al-Fihri. Some others say he appointed 'Amr ibn-al-Âsi who appointed his own son as successor and departed for Egypt. Al-Faâl ibn-al-'Abbâs ibn-'Abd-al-Mu'tâlib, surnamed abu-Muhammad, fell, according to some, as martyr in Ajnâdîn; but the fact is that he was a victim to the plague at 'Amawâs. Other victims were Shurâhbil ibn-Hasanah, surnamed abu-'Abdallâh (who died 69 years old); Suhail ibn-'Amr of the banu-'Âmir ibn-Lu'ai, surnamed abu-Yazîd; and al-Hârith ibn-Hishâm ibn-al-Mughîrah-l-Makhzûmi (who, according to others, fell a martyr in the battle of Ajnâdîn).

Yazîd ibn-abi-Sufyân governor of Syria. When 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb received the news of the death of abu-'Ubaidah, he wrote to Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân appointing him in his place as governor of Syria, and ordering him to

¹ Edrei of Numbers xxi: 33.

invade Қaisâriyah [Caesarea]. According to others, however, Yazîd was appointed by 'Umar as governor of the Jordan and Palestine; abu-ad-Dardâ', of Damascus; and 'Ubâdah ibn-âs-Şâmit, of Ȧimş.

The conquest of Kaisâriyah. Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkîdi:—There is difference of opinion regarding the conquest of Қaisâriyah [Caesarea]. Some say Mu'âwiyah subdued it; others, 'Iyâd ibn-Ghanm, after the death of abu-'Ubaidah whose successor he was; and still others 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi. According to some, 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi left for Egypt and appointed his son 'Abdallâh to succeed him. The truth in all that, on which scholars agree, is that the first to lay siege to the city was 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi who made his descent on it in Jumâda I, year 13. 'Amr would camp around it as long as he could, and whenever the Moslem forces wanted to combine against their enemy, he would go to them. Thus he witnessed the battles of Ajnâdin, Fihl, al-Marj, Damascus and al-Yarmûk. He then returned to Palestine and after taking Jerusalem laid siege to Қaisâriyah. From Қaisâriyah he left for Egypt. After abu-'Ubaidah, Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân became governor of Syria, and he appointed his brother to press the siege. Smitten by the plague, Yazid returned to Damascus where he died.

Other than al-Wâkîdi state that 'Umar appointed Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân to the governorship of Palestine together with the other provinces of Syria and ordered him to invade Қaisâriyah which had already been besieged. Yazid went against it with 17,000 men. Its people resisted; and he laid the siege. In the last part of the year 18, he fell ill and departed for Damascus leaving his brother Mu'âwiyah in his place at Қaisâriyah. Mu'âwiyah reduced the city¹

¹ Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 172.

and wrote to Yazid to that effect, and the latter communicated the news to 'Umar.

Mu'âwiyah nominated governor of Syria. At the death of Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân, 'Umar wrote to Mu'âwiyah making him governor in his [Yazid's] place, upon which abu-Sufyân thanked 'Umar saying, " May the tie of relationship be made stronger by thy kind behavior!"

Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr from Tamîm ibn-'Atîyah :—'Umar made Mu'âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân governor of Syria after Yazid, and appointed with him two men of the Prophet's Companions for conducting prayer and performing the duties of *kâdi*: abu-ad-Dardâ' to act as *kâdi* and to conduct prayer at Damascus and the Jordan, and 'Ubâdah to act as *kâdi* and conduct prayer at Hîmş and Kînnasîn.

Mu'âwiyah besieges Kaisâriyah. Muhammâd ibn-Sâ'd from al-Wâkîdi :— When 'Umar ibn-al-Khatîb made Mu'âwiyah governor of Syria, the latter besieged Kaisâriyah until he reduced it, the city having been under siege for seven years. Its conquest took place in Shauwâl, year 19.

Muhammad ibn-Sâ'd from 'Abdallâh ibn-'Âmir :— Mu'âwiyah besieged Kaisâriyah until he lost all hope of reducing it. Previous to this, the city had been besieged by 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı and his son. When Mu'âwiyah at last took it by storm, he found in it 700,000 [*sic!*] soldiers with fixed stipends, 30,000 Samaritans and 20,000 Jews. He found in the city 300 markets, all in good shape. It was guarded every night by 100,000 men stationed on its wall. The city was reduced in the following way :—A Jew named Yûsuf came to the Moslems at night and pointed out to them a road through a tunnel the water in which would reach a man's waist; in consideration for which information, safety was guaranteed him and his relatives. Mu'âwiyah sanctioned the conditions [made to Yûsuf] and the Moslems entered the city by night, calling "Allah is great!"

The Greeks seeking to flee through the tunnel found it occupied by Moslems. The Moslems opened the city gate and Mu'awiyah with his men went in. Many Arabs were in the city [as prisoners?]. One of them was a woman, Shakrâ', whom Hassân ibn-Thâbit referred to when he said:

"Shakrâ' says, 'If thou shouldst relinquish wine,
thou wouldest become rich in number.'¹"

Others say her name was Sha'thâ'.

142

The captives from Kaisâriyah. Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkidi:—The prisoners from Kaisâriyah [Caesarea] amounted to 4,000. When Mu'awiyah sent them to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb the latter gave orders that they be settled in al-Jurf. They were then distributed among the orphans of the *Anṣâr*, and some were used as clerks and manual laborers for the Moslems. The daughters of abu-Umâmah As'ad ibn-Zurârah, having been given by abu-Bakr two servants from the prisoners of 'Ain at-Tamr who were now dead, 'Umar assigned to the daughters two of the captives of Kaisâriyah to take the place of the two dead servants.

Mu'awiyah forwarded two men of the Judhâm to carry the news of the conquest to 'Umar. Fearing that they might not hasten enough, he forwarded a man of the Khath'am who exerted all effort in walking by day and by night repeating:

"The two brothers of Judhâm have brought insomnia on me,
the brother of Hishm and the brother of Harâm.
How can I sleep so long as they are ahead of me?

They are going along and the midday heat is becoming vehement."²"

At last he got ahead of them and presented himself before

¹ Cf. Hassân ibn-Thâbit, *Dîwân*, p. 61; al-Mubarrad, *al-Kâmil*, p. 148.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 2397.

‘Umar who, hearing the news of the conquest, exclaimed “Allah is great!”

Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr from one whose name I do not remember:—Kaisâriyah was taken by storm in the year 19. Hearing the news of its capture, ‘Umar exclaimed, “Kaisâriyah is taken by storm. Allah is great!” and so did the rest of the Moslems. The city was besieged for seven years and was finally reduced by Mu‘âwiyah.

The death of Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân took place at the end of the year 18, in Damascus.

The date of the conquest of Kaisâriyah. Those who claim that Mu‘âwiyah reduced Kaisâriyah in the days of his brother believe that it was not reduced before the end of the year 18; but those who claim that it was reduced while he was governor of Syria believe that it was reduced in the year 19. Of the two views, the latter is the tenable one. According to still other reports, the city was reduced in the early part of the year 20.

Askalân reduced. ‘Umar ibn-al-Ķhatṭâb wrote to Mu‘âwiyah instructing him to follow up the conquest of what was left in Palestine. Accordingly, Mu‘âwiyah conquered ‘Askalân [Ascalon] which capitulated after some resistance. According to others, however, it was ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsi who first conquered the city. Later, its inhabitants violated the covenant and were reinforced by the Greeks. It was then that Mu‘âwiyah reduced it, settled garrisons of cavalry in it and put it in charge of a guard.

‘Abd-al-Malik makes repairs in ‘Askalân, Kaisâriyah and other places. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from certain *sheikhs* of ‘Askalân:—The Greeks destroyed ‘Askalân and expelled its inhabitants in the days of ibn-az-Zubair. When ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân became ruler, he rebuilt the city and fortified it, and made repairs in Kaisâriyah, too.

Muhammad ibn-Muṣaffa from abu-Sulaimân ar-Ramli’s

father:—In the days of ibn-az-Zubair the Greeks went out against Kaisâriyah and devastated it and razed its mosque to the ground. When ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân was settled in his rule, he made repairs in Kaisâriyah, restored its mosque and left a garrison in it. Moreover, he built Tyre and outer Acre which had shared the same fate as Kaisâriyah.

Sulaimân ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik builds ar-Ramlah. The following tradition was communicated to me by certain men well versed in the conditions of Syria:—Al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik made Sulaimân ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik governor of the province of Palestine. Sulaimân took up his abode in Ludd and then founded the city of ar-Ramlah and fortified it.¹ The first thing he built in it was his palace and the house known as Dâr as-Şabbâghin [the house of the dyers] in the middle of which he made a cistern. He then planned the mosque and began its construction, but he became caliph before its completion. After becoming caliph, he continued its construction which was completed by ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz who reduced the original plan, saying, “The inhabitants of ar-Ramlah should be satisfied with the size thereof to which I have reduced it.”

After having erected a house for himself, Sulaimân permitted the people to build their houses, which they did. He dug for the inhabitants of ar-Ramlah their canal which is called Baradah, and he dug also wells. The one he appointed to oversee the expenses of his palace in ar-Ramlah and of the cathedral mosque² was one of his clerks, a certain Christian of Ludd named al-Bâtriq ibn-an-Naka.³

¹ Ar. *massara*—“to make a city a boundary line between two things;” see *an-Nihâyah*; Le Strange, p. 303, translates: “made it his capital.”

² Mukaddasi, p. 164.

³ “Ibn-Baka” in Hamadhâni, *Buldân*, p. 102.

Before Sulaimân there was no such city as ar-Ramîlah, and its site was sand [Ar. *raml*].

The Dâr as-Şabbâghîn passed to the hands of the heirs of Şâlih ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-Abbâs, because it was confiscated with the possessions of the banu-Umayyah.

The expenses of the wells and canal of ar-Ramîlah, after the time of Sulaimân ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, were met by the banu-Umayyah. But when the banu-l-Abbâs assumed the caliphate, they paid the expenses. The order for these expenses was issued yearly by every caliph; but when al-Mu‘taşim became caliph, he gave a permanent decree for these expenses, thereby doing away with the necessity of issuing an order every time by the caliph. It became thereafter a current expense which the ‘âmîls paid and kept an account of.

“Reduction” and “restoration” in the kharâj. There are in Palestine special places containing documents from the caliphs, set aside from the records of the *kharâj* of the common people and containing a statement of the “reduction” and “restoration”, the explanation of which is the following:—Certain estates having been abandoned in the caliphate of ar-Rashid and deserted by their occupants, ar-Rashid sent Harthamah ibn-A‘yan to cultivate them. Harthamah asked some of their old tenants and farmers to go back to them with the understanding that he would reduce their *kharâj* and would deal with them more leniently. Those who went back are those to whom the “reductions” were made. Others came after that and their old lands were restored to them. These are the ones to whom the “restorations” were made.

Fiefs in ‘Askalân. The following tradition was related to me by Bakr ibn-al-Haitham:—“I met a man of the Arabs in ‘Askalân who said that his grandfather was one of those settled in ‘Askalân by ‘Abd-al-Malik and was given

a fief in it as one of the garrison of cavalry to whom fiefs were assigned. He also showed me a piece of land, saying, 'This is one of the fiefs given by 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân'. I heard Muhammâd ibn-Yûsuf al-Fâryâbi¹ say:—'Here in 'Askalân are fiefs which were given out by the orders of 'Umar and 'Uthmân, and it matters not who takes possession of them.' "

¹ "Fâryâbi" in *Tabari*, vol. iii, p. 2557.

CHAPTER XII

THE PROVINCE OF KINNASRÎN AND THE CITIES CALLED AL-‘AWÂŞIM

Kinnasrîn capitulates. Abu-‘Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh, after being through with al-Yarmûk, went to the province of Hims and passed from one place to the other examining it.¹ Then he went to Kinnasrîn [Chalcis] with Khâlid ibn-al-Wâlid commanding the van of his army.² The inhabitants of the city of Kinnasrin resisted at first, then they sought refuge in their stronghold and asked to capitulate. Abu-‘Ubaidah made terms with them similar to those of Hims. Thus the Moslems effected the conquest of the land of Kinnasrîn with its villages. The Hâdir³ Kinnasrîn had been settled by the Tanûkh tribe since they came to Syria and pitched their tents in it. They later built their houses in it. These, abu-‘Ubaidah summoned to Islâm. Some of them accepted it, but the banu-Salîh ibn-Hulwân ibn-‘Imrân ibn-al-Hâfi ibn-Kuđâ‘ah remained Christian.⁴ 145

Certain sons of Yazîd ibn-Ḥunain at-Tâ’i-l-Anṭâki from their *sheikhs* :—A group of men from this Hâdir Kinnasrîn embraced Islâm in the caliphate of al-Mahdi who inscribed on their hands in green color the word “Kinnasrîn.”

¹ Ar. *istâkrâha*, Caetani, vol. iii, p. 790, translates: “rinovo con gli abitanti il primitivo trattato.”

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 2393.

³ “A place where people alight and take up their abode by a constant source of water,” T. ‘A. Cf. Wâkidi, *Futûh*, vol. ii, pp. 35-39.

⁴ Cf. Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 184.

Kinnasrîn violates the covenant. Thence abu-'Ubaidah departed bent upon Aleppo [Halab], but hearing that the people of Kinnasrîn had violated the covenant and proved perfidious, he directed against them as-Simt ibn-al-Aswad al-Kindi who reduced the city after besieging them.

Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr ad-Dimashkî from 'Abd-ar-Râhmân ibn-Ghanm:—"We kept our post against Kinnasrîn with as-Simt (or, perhaps he said Shuralîbil ibn-as-Simt) as our leader. When he reduced the city, he carried off cows and sheep as booty. One part of the booty he distributed among us and the remaining part was treated according to the laws governing the spoils [Ar. *maghnâm*¹]."

The Hâdir Taiyi'. The Hâdir Taiyi'² was of old origin. It dates back to the disastrous war termed *Harb al-Fasâd*³ which tore up the tribe of Taiyi' some of whom then came and established themselves on the two mountains [*al-Jabalain*, *i. e.*, Aja and Salma]. Under these circumstances a large body of the Taiyi' were dispersed over the country and some came and settled [near Kinnasrîn]. When abu-'Ubaidah came to them, some became Moslems and many made terms agreeing to pay poll-tax, a little after which they all accepted Islâm with the exception of a few.

The Hâdir of Aleppo. Close by the city of Aleppo stood a settlement called the Hâdir Halab in which different Arab tribes including Tanûkh lived. Abu-'Ubaidah made terms with them in which they agreed to pay poll-tax. Later they embraced Islâm and lived with their descendants in the same place until a little after the death of ar-Rashid. The inhabitants of this Hâdir once fought against the people of

¹ Mawardi, p. 240 *seq.*

² *Mushtarak*, p. 118.

³ In which many atrocities were committed by both parties. See "Annotations on *al-Kâmûs*," by Muhammed ibn-â-Taiyib al-Fâsi.

the city of Aleppo and tried to drive them out of their city. The Hâshim tribe of the people of Aleppo wrote to all the Arab tribes of the vicinity asking for help. The first to come to their support and aid was al-'Abbâs ibn-Zufar ibn-'Âsim al-Hilâli (according to his maternal pedigree, because umm-'Abdallâh ibn-al-'Abbâs was Lubâbah, daughter of al-Hârith ibn-Hazn ibn-Bujair ibn-al-Huzam of the Hilâl tribe). The people of that Hâdir could not resist this al-'Abbâs and his men. They were therefore expelled from their Hâdir, and that at the time of the insurrection of Muhammâd ibn-ar-Rashîd; and their Hâdir was destroyed. They moved to Kinnasrîn whose people met them with food and clothing. No sooner had they entered the city, than they attempted to subjugate it and were therefore driven out. Thus they were dispersed over the land, some settling in Takrit (whom I myself have seen) and others in Armenia and various other regions.

Al-'Abbâs ibn-Zufar in Aleppo. I was told by al-Mutawakkil that he heard a *sheikh* of the banu-Şâlih ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-'Abbâs say to al-Mu'tâsim, in the year in which the latter invaded 'Ammûriyah,¹ that when al-'Abbâs ibn-Zufar al-Hilâli arrived in Aleppo for the support of the Hashimites, some of their women called him saying, "Our hope, uncle, is in Allah and in thee!" To this al-'Abbâs answered, "There is no danger, if it be the will of Allah; may Allah disappoint me, if I should disappoint you!"

Hiyâr bani-l-Kâ'kâ'. Hiyâr bani-l-Kâ'kâ' was a well-known town in pre-Islamic time. In it was the stopping place of al-Mundhir ibn-Mâ' as-Samâ' al-Lakhmi, the king of al-Hirah. It was also settled by the banu-l-Kâ'kâ' ibn-Khulaid . . . ibn-Baghið, who chose it for their abode and after whom it was thus called.

¹ *Mushtarik*, p. 317; *Yâkût*, vol. iii, p. 730.

'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân had given to al-Kâ'kâ' a part of this Hiyâr as fief, and to al-Kâ'kâ' s uncle, al-'Abbâs ibn-Jaz' ibn-al-Hârith other fiefs which he exempted from the *kharâj*¹ and assessed it on al-Yaman. They were also exempt after he died. All or most of them were waste land. The daughter of this al-'Abbâs, Wallâdah, lived with 'Abd-al-Malik and brought forth al-Walid and Sulaimân.

Abu-'Ubaidah reduces Aleppo. Abu-'Ubaidah set out for Aleppo sending before him 'Iyâd ibn-Ghanm al-Fihri. (The name of the latter's father was 'Abd-Ghanm; but when 'Iyâd accepted Islâm, he hated to be called 'Abd-Ghanm,² so he said, "I am 'Iyâd ibn-Ghanm"). Abu-'Ubaidah, finding the people in a fortified position, camped around the city; but no sooner had he done so, than they sought to capitulate and make terms regarding the safety of their lives, their possessions, city wall, churches, homes and the fort. All this was granted them with the exception of a site for the mosque. The one to make the terms was 'Iyâd; and abu-'Ubaidah sanctioned them.

Some reporters claim that they capitulated, agreeing to share with the Moslems half of their homes and churches provided their lives be spared. Others assert that abu-'Ubaidah found nobody in Aleppo, its inhabitants having moved to Antioch. From there they agreed in writing with abu-'Ubaidah on the terms of peace. When the terms were concluded, they returned to Aleppo.

Antioch reduced by abu-'Ubaidah. Abu-'Ubaidah set out from Aleppo for Antioch [Anqâkiyah] in which a large body of men from the province of Kinnasrin had fortified themselves. On his arrival at Mahrûbah, which lay about

¹ Ar. *aughara*. See Zaidân, vol. ii, p. 133.

² One of the pre-Islamic gods.

two parasangs from Antioch, the troops of the enemy met him; and he dispersed them and forced them to seek refuge in the city. Abu-'Ubaidah invested the city at all its gates, most of the army being at the Bâb Fâris and Bâb al-Bahr [sea gate]. At last they capitulated, agreeing to pay poll-tax or evacuate the place. Some of them did leave; but others remained, and to the latter abu-'Ubaidah guaranteed safety, assessing one *dînâr* and one *jarîb* [of wheat] on every adult. Later, they violated the contract, which made abu-'Ubaidah send against them 'Iyâd ibn-Ghaïm and Hâbib ibn-Maslamah, who reduced the city and made terms identical with the previous ones. Some say, however, that they violated the contract after abu-'Ubaidah's return to Palestine. So he sent from Jerusalem 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı who reduced it and returned to Jerusalem whose people, after a short time, sought to capitulate and make peace.

A garrison stationed in Antioch. Muḥammad ibn-Sâhm al-Anṭâki from certain *sheikhs* of the frontier cities:—Antioch was highly esteemed by 'Umar and 'Uthmân. When it was therefore reduced, 'Umar wrote to abu-'Ubaidah saying, “Station in Antioch Moslems of strong determination and good management. Let them be its garrison, and never stop their allowances.” When he made Mu'âwiyah governor, 'Umar wrote to him something to that effect. Later 'Uthmân instructed Mu'âwiyah to station in it troops that would never leave and to assign them fiefs, which Mu'âwiyah did. The following was said by abu-Sâhm, “As a child, while I was standing on the bridge of Antioch spanning the Orontes [Ar. al-Urunṭ] I heard an aged man of Antioch say, ‘This piece of land is a fief from 'Uthmân to certain men that were in the army sent by abu-'Ubaidah. It was allotted them in the time in which Mu'âwiyah was, according to 'Uthmân's assignment, the governor of Syria.’”

Muslim ibn-‘Abdallâh loses his life. Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân transplanted to Antioch in the year 42 some Persians and others from Ba‘labakk, Hims, al-Baṣrah and al-Kûfah.¹ One of those transplanted was Muslim ibn-‘Abdallâh, the grandfather of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḥabib ibn-an-Nu‘mân ibn-Muslim al-Anṭâki. This Muslim was killed at one of the gates of Antioch which is known to-day as Bâb Muslim. His death was brought about when the Greeks started from the coast and set up their camp against Antioch and one of the “uncircumcised” threw a stone on Muslim, who was then on the city wall, and killed him.

Seleucia given as fief. According to a tradition communicated to me by certain *sheikhs* from Antioch, among whom was ibn-Burd al-Fâkih, al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik gave as fief to some of the troops of Antioch the land of Seleucia [Ar. Salûkiyah] lying at the sea-coast. Moreover, he fixed the tax on a *filthur* (i. e., *jarîb*) one *dînâr* and one modius² of wheat. They cultivated the land; and the terms were carried into effect. He also built the fort of Seleucia.

Baghrâs. The land of Baghrâs [Pagrae] belonged to Maslaimah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik who gave it as an unalienable legacy³ to be used in the cause of righteousness. The same man owned ‘Ain as-Sallaur with its lake and al-Iskandariyah [Alexandria] which latter passed as fief into the hands of Raja‘, a freedman of al-Mahdi, to be inherited by his [al-Mahdi] sons Maṇṣûr and Ibrâhim, later to Ibrâhim ibn-Sa‘id al-Jauhari, then by purchase to Alḥmad ibn-abi-Duwâd al-Iyâdi, and lastly to al-Mutawakkil, “the Commander of the Believers.”

¹ “Misrân” used for the last two localities.

² De Goeje, gloss. to *Biblio. Geog. Arab.*, vol. iv, pp. 352-353; C. H. Becker, *Papyri Schott-Reinhardt*, vol. i, p. 31.

³ Ar. *wakf*.

Maslamah gives fiefs to Rabî'ah. According to a tradition communicated to me by ibn-Burd al-Anṭâki and others, certain men of the Rabî'ah tribe were assigned fiefs by Maslamah ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, which were later confiscated, passed to al-Ma'mûn and put in charge of Şâlih al-Khâzin, the proprietor of the "Dâr[-Şâlih]" in Antioch.

Abu-'Ubaidah reduces Ma'arrat Mişrîn and other places.

Abu-'Ubaidah, hearing that a large body of Greeks were assembled between Ma'arrat Mişrîn¹ and Aleppo, met them and killed many patricians, dispersing the whole army and carrying away captives and booty. Thus he effected the conquest of Ma'arrat Mişrîn and made terms similar to the terms of Aleppo. His cavalry roamed about until they got to Bûlka and reduced the villages of al-Jûmah, Sarmin,² Martahwân³ and Tîzîn.⁴ The occupants of the convents of Tabâya⁵ and al-Fasilah capitulated, agreeing to entertain whomever of the Moslems passed by them. The Khunâşirah Christians, too, came to abu-'Ubaidah and made terms. Thus did all the land of Kinnasrîn and Antioch fall into the hands of abu-'Ubaidah. I learnt from al-'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm on the authority of his father that the Khunâşirah were thus called after one, Khunâşîr ibn-'Amr ibn-al-Hârith al-Kalbi — later al-Kinâni — who was their chief.

Buṭnân Ḥabîb was so called after Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamah-l-Fîhri who was sent from Aleppo either by abu-'Ubaidah or Iyâd ibn-Ghanm to Buṭnân, where he reduced a fort that later bore his name.

¹ Known also as Ma'arrat Kinnasrîn and Ma'arrat Naşrîn. Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 574.

² *Ibid.*, vol. iii, p. 83.

³ Lammens, *MFO*, vol. i, p. 242; Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 487.

⁴ or Tûzîn. Yâkût, vol. i, p. 907.

⁵ ? No diacritical points.

The treaty with Kûrus. Abu-'Ubaidah set out bent upon Kûrus¹ [Cyrrhus], sending at the head of the vanguard 'Iyâd. The latter was met by one of the monks of Kûrus, who asked to capitulate on behalf of its people. 'Iyâd sent the monk to abu-'Ubaidah, who was now between Jabrîn² and Tall A'zâz.³ Abu-'Ubaidah accepted the capitulation and proceeded to Kûrus where he signed a covenant with its people, granting them the same rights granted to the people of Antioch. To the monk, he wrote a special statement regarding a village that he owned called Sharķîna.⁴ He then distributed his cavalry and subdued all the province of Kûrus to the end of the frontier of Niķâbulus (Nicepholis).

Kûrus a frontier garrison for Antioch. Kûrus was for Antioch the seat of a garrison that kept watch on the enemy. To it came every year a detachment⁵ from the Antioch army to act as garrison. Later, one of the four divisions into which the army of Antioch was divided⁶ was moved to it; and the periodical detachments were no more sent there.

Salmân fort. Salmân ibn-Râbî'ah-l-Bâhili was in the army of abu-'Ubaidah, together with abu-Umâmah aş-Sudâi ibn-'Ajlân, a Companion of the Prophet. This Salmân occupied a fort in Kûrus which was called after him Hîşn [fort] Salmân. He then returned from Syria, together with others, to reinforce Sa'd ibn-abi-Wâkkâş in al-'Irâk. 150 According to others, Salmân ibn-Râbî'ah had led an invasion

¹ Ya'kûbi, *Buldân*, p. 363; Rustah, p. 107.

² Jibrîn or Jibrin Kûrasîâya. Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 19.

³ or Tall 'Azâz. Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 667.

⁴ The word is uncertain, cf. "Sorqanié, Surkanyâ" in Lammens, "Villages Yézidis," *MFO*, vol. ii, p. 382.

⁵ Ar. *tâli'ah*, 1,500-2,000 men who came in spring and returned in winter.

⁶ Zaidân, vol. i, p. 120.

against the Greeks after the conquest of al-'Irâk and before he started for Armenia. On setting out from the district of Mar'ash, he encamped near this fort and it was called after him. This Salmân together with Ziyâd¹ were among the Slavs whom Marwân ibn-Muhammad stationed in the frontier fortresses.² I heard someone say that this Salmân was a Slav and that the fort was named after him.

Manbij, Dulûk and Ra'bân make terms. Abu-'Ubaidah advanced to Halab as-Sâjûr³ and sent before him 'Iyâd to Manbij [Hierapolis]. When abu-'Ubaidah came up to 'Iyâd, he found that the people of Manbij had capitulated on terms similar to those of Antioch. Abu-'Ubaidah carried the terms into effect and sent 'Iyâd ibn-Ghanm to the region of Dulûk and Ra'bân, whose inhabitants capitulated on terms similar to those of Manbij. One condition imposed on them was that they search for news regarding the Greeks and forward it in writing to the Moslems. To every district abu-'Ubaidah conquered, he assigned a 'âmil and sent with him some Moslems. But in the dangerous places he posted garrisons.

Bâlis and Kâşirîn captured. Abu-'Ubaidah proceeded until he got to 'Arâjin.⁴ The van of the army he sent to Bâlis [Barbalissus]; and to Kâşirîn he sent an army under Habib ibn-Maslamah. Bâlis and Kâşirîn² belonged to two brothers of the Greek nobility to whom were given as fiefs the adjacent villages and who were made guardians of the Greek towns of Syria that lay between Bâlis and Kâşirîn. When the Moslem armies reached these towns, their inhabi-

¹ The one after whom Hîsn Ziyâd was named; Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 276.

² Ar *thughûr*; Zaidân, vol. i, pp. 153-155.

³ Yâkût, vol. i, p. 315; *Mus'hârik*, p. 142.

⁴ Sometimes 'Arshîn; Lammens, *MFO*, vol. i, p. 240, note 3.

⁵ Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 16.

tants capitulated, agreeing to pay poll-tax or evacuate the places. Most of them left for the Byzantine Empire, Mesopotamia and the village of Jisr Manbij [or Kal'at an-Najm]. At this time there was no bridge [Ar. *jisr*]. It was first put up for the summer expeditions in the days of 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân. Others claim that it is of ancient origin.

Abu-'Ubaidah stationed in Bâlis a body of fighting men and settled in the city some Arabs, who were in Syria and who, after the advent of the Moslems to Syria, had accepted Islâm, together with others who were not among the forces sent to the frontiers, but who had emigrated from the deserts and belonged to the Kais tribe. In Kâsîrîn, he settled others who, either themselves or their descendants, refused to stay in it. Abu-'Ubaidah reached as far as the Euphrates and then returned to Palestine.

151

Maslamah canal. Bâlis and the villages attached to it on its upper, middle, and lower extremities were tithe-lands watered only by rain. When Maslamah ibn-'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân led an expedition against the Greeks from the side of the Mesopotamian frontier fortresses, he camped at Bâlis whose inhabitants, together with those of Buwailis, Kâsîrîn, 'Âbidîn, and Siffin (which were villages attached to Bâlis) came to him, together with the inhabitants of the upper extremity, and they all asked him to dig for them a canal from the Euphrates to irrigate their land, agreeing to offer him one-third of the produce of the land, after taking away the usual tithe for the government.¹ Maslamah consented and dug the canal called Nahr Maslamah; and the people lived up to their promise. Moreover, Maslamah repaired and strengthened the city wall. According to others, Maslamah himself started the idea and proposed the terms.

¹ Ar. *Sulṭân*.

Bâlis and its villages as fief. At the death of Maslamah, Bâlis with its villages passed into the hands of his heirs, who held them until the appearance of the “blessed dynasty” [Abbasid], at which time ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Ali confiscated the possessions of the banu-Umayyah, including Bâlis and its villages. Abu-l-‘Abbâs the “Commander of the Believers,” assigned Bâlis and its villages as fief to Sulaimân ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-al-‘Abbâs, from whom they passed to his son, Muhammâd ibn-Sulaimân. Muhammâd’s brother, Ja‘far ibn-Sulaimân, repeatedly calumniated his brother to ar-Rashîd, the “Commander of the Believers,” stating that he used to spend many times the income of the possessions and [crown-] domains he held, for the purpose of attaining his ambition,¹ and upon the slaves and other dependents he kept. He added that it was legal for the “Commander of the Believers” to appropriate the money of his brother. These letters ar-Rashîd ordered preserved. Now, when Muhammâd died, Ja‘far’s letters were brought out and used as an argument against him. Muhammâd had no other brother from his father and mother than Ja‘far. The latter acknowledged that they were his letters; and so the possessions passed to ar-Rashîd, who gave Bâlis and its villages as fief to al-Ma’mûn, after whom they passed to his son.

Mu‘âdh advises against the division of the land. Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr from ‘Abdallâh ibn-Kâis al-Hamdâni:—When ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭâb came to al-Jâbiyah and wanted to divide the land among the Moslems, on the ground that it was taken by force, Mu‘âdh ibn-Jâbal objected saying, “By Allah, if thou dividest the land, the result will certainly be unfavorable. The great part will be in the hands of these people, who will pass away, and the whole will 152 become the possession of one man. Others will come after

¹ The caliphate; Athîr, vol. vi, p. 82.

them, who will bravely defend Islâm, but find nothing left. Seek therefore some plan that suits those who come first as well as those who come last." 'Umar acted according to the suggestion of Mu'âdh.

The chief of Buṣra tells a lie regarding the tax. Al-Ḥusain ibn-'Ali ibn-al-Aswad al-'Ijli from Salamah-l-Juhani's uncle:—The chief of Buṣra recounted that he had capitulated to the Moslems, agreeing to offer food, oil and vinegar. 'Umar asked that a statement be written down to that effect; but abu-'Ubaidah showed that the chief of Buṣra was telling an untruth and said, "The fact is that we made terms by which certain things should be sent to the winter quarters of the Moslems." Then 'Umar decreed that a poll-tax be assessed graded according to the various classes,¹ and that *kharâj* be imposed upon the land.

'Umar fixes the tax. Al-Ḥusain from Aslam, a freedman of 'Umar:—'Umar wrote to the tax-collectors instructing them to levy poll-tax only on those who were adult, and he fixed it at four *dînârs* on those who possessed gold. He also assessed on them a subsistence tax by which each Moslem in Syria and Mesopotamia would receive two modii of wheat, and three *kîsts* of oil, and the right to be entertained as a guest for three days.

The tithe-lands of Syria. Abu-Ḥafṣ ash-Shâmi from Makhûl²:—Every piece of "tithe-land" in Syria is one whose people had evacuated it, and which had been given as fief to the Moslems, who, by the permission of the governors, cultivated it after it had lain as waste land claimed by no one.

¹ De Goeje, *Mémoire*, p. 150.

² Ḥajar, vol. iii, p. 935.

CHAPTER XIII

CYPRUS

The first conquest of Cyprus. According to al-Wâkidi and others, the first expedition against Cyprus was led in sea by Mu'âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân. This was the first time the Moslems sailed in the Mediterranean. Mu'âwiyah had asked 'Umar's permission to lead a naval expedition, but 'Umar refused.¹ When 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân became caliph, Mu'âwiyah wrote again asking permission to invade Cyprus, informing him about its proximity and the ease of acquiring it. In answer to this, 'Uthmân wrote, "I have seen the answer 'Umar gave when thou madest the request from him to lead a sea-expedition." In the year 27, Mu'âwiyah again wrote to 'Uthmân, referring to the ease with which the sea could be crossed to Cyprus. 'Uthmân wrote back this time saying, "If thou sailest with thy wife, we allow thee to do so; otherwise, not." Accordingly, Mu'âwiyah embarked from Acre with a large number of ships, accompanied by his wife Fâkhitah daughter of Ƙarażah² ibn-'Abd-'Amr ibn-Naufal ibn-'Abd-Manâf ibn-Ƙuṣai. Likewise, 'Ubâdah ibn-aş-Şâmit took his wife umm-Harâm of the *Anṣâr*, daughter of Milhân. This took place in the year 28, after the cessation of the rainy season; others say, in the year 29. When the Moslems arrived in Cyprus and landed on its shore (Cyprus being an island 80 x 80 parasangs), its

153

¹ Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2820-2821.

² Duraid, p. 55.

Archon [Urkûn] demanded to make terms of capitulation, which was considered unavoidable by the people. Mu'âwiyah made terms with them on 7,200 *dinârs* to be paid annually by them. Similar terms had been made with them by the Greeks. Thus the people of Cyprus pay two tributes. It was made a condition that the Moslems would not prevent them from paying the tribute to the Greeks; on the other hand the Moslems made it a condition that they would not fight¹ those who may come after them to subjugate the Cyprians, and that the Cyprians would keep the Moslems informed regarding the movement of their enemy—the Greeks. Thus when the Moslems used to undertake an expedition by sea, they did not molest the Cyprians. They were not supported by the Cyprians; nor did the Cyprians support any one against them.

The second invasion by Mu'âwiyah. In the year 32, however, the Cyprians offered ships as an aid to the Greeks in an expedition in the sea. Consequently, Mu'âwiyah invaded them in the year 33 with 500 ships. He took Cyprus by force, slaughtering and taking prisoners. He then confirmed them in the terms that were previously made, and sent to the island 12,000 men of those whose names were recorded in the register [Ar. *dîwân*]² and erected mosques in it. Moreover, Mu'âwiyah transplanted from Ba'labakk a group of men, and erected a city on the island, whose inhabitants were assigned special stipends until the death of Mu'âwiyah. His son Yazîd, who succeeded him, sent the troops back and ordered the city destroyed.

According to other reports, the second invasion of Cyprus by Mu'âwiyah was carried out in the year 35.

Why Yazid withdrew the troops. Muhammad ibn-Muâsaffâ-l-Himîsi from al-Wâlid:—Yazîd ibn-Mu'âwiyah

¹ Athîr, vol. iii, p. 74.

² And theretore received stipends; al-Mu'tarrizi, *al-Mughrib*, p. 187.

was offered a large and considerable sum of money as 154
bribe; and that was why he withdrew the troops from
Cyprus, upon which the Cyprians destroyed their city and
Mosques.

Umm-Harâm dies in Cyprus. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from ‘Abd-as-Salām ibn-Mūsa’s father:—When Cyprus was invaded for the first time, umm-Harâm, daughter of Milḥān, sailed with her husband, ‘Ubādah ibn-aṣ-Ṣāmit. On their arrival in Cyprus, she disembarked and was offered a mule to ride upon. As she was riding, the mule stumbled; and she was killed. Her tomb in Cyprus is called “the Tomb of the Righteous Woman.”¹

Some of those who took part in the campaign. Among those who joined the campaign with Mu‘āwiyah were the following:—Abu-Aiyûb Khâlid ibn-Zaid ibn-Kulaib al-Anṣâri, abu-ad-Dardâ’, abu-Dharr al-Ghifâri, ‘Ubādah ibn-aṣ-Ṣāmit, Faḍâlah ibn-‘Ubaid al-Anṣâri, ‘Umair ibn-Sa‘d ibn-‘Ubaid al-Anṣâri, Wâthilah ibn-al-Asqâ‘ al-Kinâni, ‘Abdallâh ibn-Bishr al-Mâzini, Shaddâd ibn-Aus ibn-Thâbit (a nephew of Ḥassân ibn-Thâbit), al-Miḳdâd, Ka‘b al-Hâbr ibn-Mâti² and Jubair ibn-Nufair al-Hâdrami.

Mu‘āwiyah makes permanent peace. Hishâm ibn-‘Ammâr ad-Dimashkî from Ṣafwân ibn-‘Amr:—Mu‘āwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân personally carried out the invasion of Cyprus and was accompanied by his wife. Its conquest, effected by Allah, was complete; and the booty he brought to the Moslems was great. The raids of the Moslems were repeated until Mu‘āwiyah in his caliphate concluded permanent terms with the Cyprians to the effect that they pay 7,000 *dînârs* and give advice and warnings to the Moslems regarding their enemy, the Greeks. This or something like it was agreed upon.

¹ *JRAS*, 1897, pp. 81-101.

² Nawâwi, p. 523; ibn-Ḳutaibah, *Kitâb al-Mâ’ârif*, p. 219.

The Cyprians expelled and returned. Al-Walid ibn-Yazid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik expelled many of the Cyprians to Syria, because of a charge of suspicion brought against them. When the Moslems disapproved of the act, Yazid ibn-al-Walid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik returned them to their home. In the caliphate of ar-Rashid, an invasion was led against them by Ḥumaid ibn-Ma‘yūf al-Hamdāni because of a rebellion they had started; and many were carried off as prisoners. Later they behaved properly towards the Moslems; and, by ar-Rashid’s orders, their prisoners were returned.

The tax increased. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from al-Wākidi:—The terms between Mu‘āwiyah and the Cyprians were kept in force until the time of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān who added 1,000 *dinārs* to their tax. That was the case until the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azīz who cancelled the addition. When Hishām ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik, however, came to power, he restored it; and it was kept until the caliphate of abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣūr, who expressed himself as follows: “We shall, above everyone else, do justice to them, and not enrich ourselves by oppressing them.” Accordingly, he restored the terms made by Mu‘āwiyah.

‘Abd-al-Malik wants to annul the treaty. The following was communicated to me by certain Syrian scholars and abu-‘Ubaid al-Kāsim ibn-Sallām:—During the governorship of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣāliḥ ibn-‘Ali ibn-‘Abdallāh ibn-‘Abbās over the frontier cities [Ar. *thughūr*], the Cyprians started a rebellion; and he, therefore, desired to break the covenant made with them. The canonists were numerous, among whom were the following whose opinions he sought: al-Laith ibn-Sa‘d, Mālik ibn-Anas, Sufyān ibn-‘Uyainah, Mūsa ibn-A‘yan, Ismā‘il ibn-‘Aiyāsh, Yalīya ibn-Ḥamzah, abu-Ishāk al-Fazārī, and Makhlad ibn-al-Husain. They all answered him.

The opinion of al-Laith. The following is a quotation from the letter of al-Laith ibn-Sa'd: "The Cyprians are being constantly charged by us with infidelity to Moslems and loyalty to Allah's enemies, the Greeks. Allah himself has said: ¹ 'Or if thou fear treachery from any people, cast off their treaty in like manner.' He did not say, 'cast not off their treaty until thou art sure of their treachery.' I, therefore, consider it best that thou castest off their treaty and givest them a respite of one year for enforcing the law. Those of them who desire to go and settle in a Moslem land and become *dhimmis*, paying the *kharâj*, may do so; those who desire to emigrate to the land of the Greeks may do so; and those who desire to remain in Cyprus, with the understanding they are hostile, may do so and be considered an enemy to be fought and attacked. To give them a respite of one year would be enough to refute any protest they may make, and to prove our loyalty to the covenant."

The opinion of Mâlik. The following statement was written by Mâlik ibn-Anas:—"Our peace with the Cyprians is of old standing and carefully observed [? Ar. *mutaṣâḥar*] by the governors placed over them, because they considered the terms a humiliation and belittlement to the Cyprians, and a source of strength to the Moslems, in view of the tax paid to them and the chance they had of attacking their enemy. Yet I know of no governor who broke their terms or expelled them from their city. I, therefore, consider it best to hesitate in breaking their covenant and casting off their treaty until the evidence [of disloyalty] is well established against them, for Allah says: ² 'Observe, therefore, the engagement with them through the whole time of their treaty.' If, after that, they do not behave properly and abandon their deceit, and thou art convinced of their perfidy,

¹ Kor., 8:60.

² Kor., 9:4.

then thou mayest attack them. In that case, the attack would be justified and would be crowned with success; and they would suffer humiliation and disgrace, by Allah's will."

The opinion of Sufyān ibn-‘Uyainah. This is what Sufyān ibn-‘Uyainah wrote: "We know of no one who made a covenant with the Prophet and violated it, without having the Prophet consider it legal to put him to death, except the people of Makkah. Their case was a favor on the part of the Prophet. Their violation consisted in rendering aid to their allies against the Khuzā‘ah, the Prophet's allies. One of the terms stipulated against the people of Najrān was not to practise usury; but when they did practise it, ‘Umar decreed that they be expelled. Thus by 'the consensus of opinion' [Ar. *ijmā‘*], he who violates a covenant forfeits the right of being entitled to security."

The opinion of Mūsa ibn-A‘yan. Mūsa ibn-A‘yan wrote:—"Similar cases took place in the past, but in each case the governors would grant a period of respite: and so far as I know, none of the early men ever broke a covenant with the Cyprians or any other people. It may be that the common people and the mass among the Cyprians had no hand in what their leaders did. I, therefore, consider it best to abide by the covenant and fulfil the conditions thereof, in spite of what they have done. I have heard al-Auzā‘i say regarding the case of some, who, after making terms with the Moslems, conveyed information about their secret things and pointed them out to the 'unbelievers': 'If they are *dhimmis*, they have thereby violated their covenant and forfeited their claim on security, making it right for the governor to kill or crucify them, if he so desires; but if they had been taken by capitulation and are not entitled to the Moslem's security, then the governor would cast off their treaty, for Allah loveth not the machinations of the deceivers.'¹"

¹ Cf. Kor., 12: 52.

Ismâ'il ibn-'Aiyâsh's opinion. The following is what Ismâ'il ibn-'Aiyâsh wrote: "The people of Cyprus are humiliated and oppressed and they are subjugated, together with their wives, by the Greeks. It is therefore proper for us to defend and protect them. In the covenant of the people of Taflîs, Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah wrote, 'In case something should arise to divert the attention of the Moslems from you and some enemy should subjugate you, that would not be a violation of your covenant, so long as ye keep loyal to the Moslems.' I, therefore, consider it best that they be left on their covenant and the security promised them, especially because when al-Walîd ibn-Yazîd expelled them to Syria, the Moslems considered the act outrageous, and the canonists disapproved of it; so much so that when Yazid ibn-al-Walîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malik came to power, he restored them to Cyprus, which act was approved of by the Moslems and considered just."

Yâhya ibn-Hamzah's opinion. The following was the statement issued by Yâhya ibn-Hamzah: "The case of Cyprus is parallel to that of 'Arbassûs¹ in which it has a good example and a precedent to be followed. This is the case of 'Arbassûs: 'Umair ibn-Sâ'd once came to 'Umar ibn-al-Khatîb saying, 'There lies between us and the Greeks a city called 'Arbassûs, whose people disclose to our enemy our secrets, but do not disclose to us our enemy's.' 'Umar replied, 'When thou goest there, propose to give them for every ewe they possess two; for every cow, two; and for everything, two. If they consent, give that to them, expel them from the city and raze it to the ground. But if they refuse, then cast off their treaty to them and give them one year at the expiration of which thou mayest destroy the city.' 'Umair went to the city; and its people refused the

¹ Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 633.

offer. He, therefore, gave them one year at the expiration of which he destroyed it. The people of 'Arbassûs had a covenant similar to that of the people of Cyprus. To leave the Cypriots on the terms made with them and to have the Moslems use in their own cause what they receive [as tax] from the Cypriots is preferable. All holders of covenant, for the sake of whom the Moslems are not supposed to fight and on whom the Moslem regulations are not binding, are not *dhimmis* but 'people of tribute'¹ to be spared so long as they are worthy, to be treated according to the covenant so long as they abide by it and consent to it, and to be forgiven so long as they pay their dues. It is reported that Mu'âdh ibn-Jabal always hated to have the enemy capitulate on definite terms unless the Moslems were by the force of circumstances compelled to make terms, because no one could tell whether such capitulation would be of value and strength for the Moslems."

The opinion of abu-Ishâk and Makhlad. Abu-Ishâk al-Fazâri and Makhlad ibn-al-Husain wrote as follows:— "We can find nothing more similar to the case of Cyprus than the case of 'Arbassûs and the decision of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb regarding it. 'Umar gave them two alternatives to choose from: a double fold of what they possessed and the evacuation of the city, or a respite of one year after casting off their treaty. Having rejected the former proposition, they were given one year at the end of which the city was destroyed. Al-Auzâ'i repeated a tradition to the effect that when Cyprus was conquered, the *status quo* of the people was kept, and terms were made on 14,000 *dînârs* of which 7,000 should go to the Moslems and 7,000 to the Greeks; and it was stipulated that the Cypriots should not

¹ Ar. *ahl fidyah*, who are governed by their own laws and pay something to be let alone. For *fidyah* see at-Tahâawi, *Kashf Iṣṭilâhât al-Funân*, vol. ii, p. 1157.

disclose to the Greeks the condition of the Moslems.¹ Al-Auzâ'i used to say, 'The Cypriots did not abide by the terms they made with us.' But we consider them as 'people bound to us by covenant,' whose terms of peace involve rights to them and obligations on them, and the violation of which is legal only if they do some thing that shows their perfidy and treachery." 158

¹ Evidently there is a mistake in the text. The negative particle "*la*" is superfluous.

CHAPTER XIV

THE SAMARITANS

The terms made by abu-'Ubaidah. Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr from Ṣafwâن ibn-'Amr:— Abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh made terms with the Samaritans in the provinces of the Jordan and Palestine, who acted as spies and guides for the Moslems, stipulating that they pay tax on their persons but nothing on their lands. When Yazîd ibn-Mu'âwiya, however, assumed power he assessed *kharâj* on their lands.

The tax imposed by Yazîd. I was informed by certain men well versed in the conditions of the Jordan and Palestine that Yazîd ibn-Mu'âwiya assessed *kharâj* on the lands of the Samaritans in the Jordan, and levied on every man two *dînârs* as poll-tax. He also assessed *kharâj* on their lands in Palestine and levied five *dînârs* on every man.

Sects. The Samaritans are Jews and are divided into two classes, one is called ad-Dustân [Dositheans] and the other al-Kûshân.¹

Their lands become crown-land. There was in Palestine in the early part of the caliphate of ar-Rashîd a devastating plague which in some cases would attack all the members of a household. As a result, their land was rendered waste and useless. Ar-Rashîd put it in charge of some who cultivated it and [by gifts] attracted the farmers and tenants into it, thus making it crown domains. In these places the

¹ Cf. Al-Mâkrizi, *al-Khitâṭ*, vol. iv, p. 371; *The Jewish Encyclopaedia*, s. v. "Samaritans"; J. A. Montgomery, *History of the Samaritans*, p. 253 seq.; De Sacy, *Chrestom.*, vol. i, pp. 305, 341-344.

Samaritans lived. One of those villages called Bait-Mâma, which lay in the district of Nâbulus and whose inhabitants were Samaritans, made a complaint in the year 246 to the effect that they were poor and unable to pay the five-dînâr *kharâj*, upon which al-Mutawakkil gave orders that it be reduced again to three.

Mu'âwiyah spares the hostages. Hishâm ibn-'Ammâr from ̄afwân ibn-'Amr and Sa'îd ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz:— 159 The Greeks made peace with Mu'âwiyah with the stipulation that he pay them a certain sum of money. Mu'âwiyah took hostages from them and held them in Ba'labakk. The Greeks proved perfidious to Mu'âwiyah, but still the Moslems did not consider it legal to put the hostages in their hands to death; and so they set them free, saying, “Loyalty against perfidy is better than perfidy against perfidy.” According to Hishâm, al-Auzâ'i, among other authorities, maintains the same view.

CHAPTER XV

AL-JARÂJIMAH

The treaty with al-Jarâjimah. I am informed by certain sheikhs from Antioch that al-Jarâjimah¹ were the inhabitants of a town called al-Jurjûmah² lying between Baiyâs and Bûka on mount al-Lukâm [Amanus] near Ma'din az-Zâj [vitriol pit]. While the Greeks held the authority over Syria and Antioch, the Jarâjimah were under the rule of the patrician and governor of Antioch. When abu-'Ubaidah came and reduced Antioch, they confined themselves to their city and, in their anxiety to save their lives, they tried to go and join the Greeks. The Moslems took no note of them, nor did any one call their attention to them. When later the people of Antioch violated their covenant and acted treacherously, abu-'Ubaidah sent and conquered Antioch once more, after which he made Habib ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri its governor. Habib attacked al-Jurjûmah, whose people did not resist but immediately sought for peace and capitulation. Terms were made providing that al-Jarâjimah would act as helpers to the Moslems, and as spies and frontier garrison in Mount al-Lukâm. On the other hand it was stipulated that they pay no tax, and that they keep for themselves the booty³ they take from the enemy in case they fight with the Moslems. In these terms were in-

¹ Less correctly Jurâjimah. They are identical with the Mardaites; Lammens, *MFO*, vol. i, p. 17.

² *Encyclopaedia of Islâm*, vol. i, s. v., "Djarâdjima".

³ Ar. *nafl*. See Muṣarrizi, p. 80.

cluded besides the Jarâjimah all those who lived in their city, as well as the merchants, employees and dependents, whether Nabateans or not, together with the inhabitants of the villages. These were called “*ar-Rawâdif*”¹ because they were included in the terms with the Jarâjimah though not of their number. Others say they were so called because they came riding behind al-Jarâjimah when the latter presented themselves in the Moslem camp. On certain occasions, al-Jarâjimah acted properly with respect to the [Moslem] governors; but on others, they deviated from the right path and held friendly communications with the 160 Greeks.

‘Abd-al-Malik agrees to pay them a certain sum. In the days of ibn-az-Zubair, when Marwân ibn-al-Hâkam died and ‘Abd-al-Malik, who was appointed by Marwân as heir-apparent, wished to succeed Marwân in the caliphate and was ready to leave for al-‘Irâk to fight against al-Muṣ‘ab ibn-az-Zubair, certain Greek horsemen went forth to Mt. al-Lukâm under a Greek leader and started for the Lebanon, after having been joined by a large body of al-Jarâjimah, Nabateans, and runaway slaves once possessed by the Moslems. Under these conditions, ‘Abd-al-Malik had to make terms with them, agreeing to pay 1,000 *dînârs* per week. He also made terms with the Greek “tyrant,” agreeing to pay him a sum of money, because ‘Abd-al-Malik was too busy to fight against him, and because he feared that in case the “tyrant” came to Syria, he might overpower him. In this, he followed the precedent of Mu‘âwiyah who, being engaged in the fight in al-‘Irâk, agreed to pay something to them [the Greeks], and took hostages from them, whom he held in Ba‘labakk. All this synchronized with the attempt made by ‘Amr ibn-Sa‘îd ibn-al-‘Âsî to secure the caliphate

¹ Followers, dependents.

and his closing the gates of Damascus immediately after 'Abd-al-Malik had left the city, which made 'Abd-al-Malik still more busy. This took place in the year 70.

Suhaim slays the Greek general. After that, 'Abd-al-Malik sent to the Greek leader Suhaim ibn-al-Muhâjir who, in disguise and through gentle behavior, succeeded in reaching him. Suhaim played the act of a friend and won the favor of the leader by censuring 'Abd-al-Malik, cursing him and dwelling on his weak points; so much so that the leader put full confidence in Suhaim and was wholly deceived. At the favorable moment, however, Suhaim fell upon him with a band of troops and freedmen of 'Abd-al-Malik, who had been previously prepared for the attack and stationed in a convenient place, and killed him together with the Greeks who were with him. Suhaim thereupon announced publicly a promise of security to those who had joined the Greek leader. Accordingly, the Jarâjimah were partly scattered among the villages of Hims and Damascus: but the majority returned to their city on al-Lukâm. In like manner, the Nabateans returned to their villages, and the slaves to their masters.

Maimûn al-Jurjumâni. One of these slaves was Maimûn al-Jurjumâni, a Greek slave, who belonged to the banu-umm-al-Hakam (umm-al-Hakam being the sister of Mu'âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân) who were of the tribe of Thâkîf. The slave was named after al-Jarâjimah, because he mixed with them and rebelled with them in Mt. Lebanon. Hearing 161 of his strength and valor, 'Abd-al-Malik asked his masters to set him free, which they did. He was then put by 'Abd-al-Malik at the head of a regiment of troops and stationed at Antioch. With 1,000 men from Antioch, he and Maslamah ibn-'Abd-al-Malik led an invasion to at-Tuwânah.¹

¹ Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 554.

After fighting valiantly and standing gallantly, he fell a martyr. This so much grieved 'Abd-al-Malik that he sent a large army against the Greeks to avenge his death.

Al-Walid makes terms with them. In the year 89, al-Jarājimah gathered themselves into their city and were joined by a host of Greeks from Alexandretta [İskandarūnah] and Rūsis.¹ Consequently, al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik sent against them Maslamah ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, who fell upon them with a host of Moslems and reduced their city on the following terms: Al-Jarājimah may settle wherever they wished in Syria, each one of them receiving eight *dīnārs*, and each family receiving the fixed provisions of wheat and oil, *i. e.*, two modii of wheat and two *kīsts* of oil; neither they nor any of their children or women should be compelled to leave Christianity; they may put on Moslem dress; and no poll-tax may be assessed on them, their children or women. On the other hand, they should take part in the Moslem campaigns and be allowed to keep for themselves the booty from those whom they kill in a duel; and the same amount taken from the possession of the Moslems should be taken [as tax] from their articles of trade and from the possessions of the wealthy among them. Then Maslamah destroyed their city and settled them in Mt. al-Ḥuwār, Sunḥi al-Lūlūn [?] and 'Amk Tizīn. Some of them left for Ḥims. The patrician of al-Jurjūmah accompanied by a body of men, after taking up his abode in Antioch fled to the Byzantine Empire.

Al-Wāthīk cancels the poll-tax. When a certain 'āmil held al-Jarājimah of Antioch responsible for poll-tax, they brought their case before al-Wāthīk-Billāh at the time of his caliphate, and he ordered it cancelled.

Al-Mutawakkil levies tax. I was informed by a writer

¹ Yâkūt, vol. ii, p. 840.

in whom I have full confidence that al-Mutawakkil ordered that poll-tax be levied on these Jarâjimah and that the regular allowance for food be given them, because, among other things, they were of value in the frontier garrisons.

Al-Jarâjimah molest the summer expeditions. It is claimed by abu-l-Khaṭṭâb al-Azdi that in the days of 'Abd-al-Malik, the people of al-Jurjûmah used to make razzias against the villages of Antioch and al-'Amk: and whenever 162 the summer expedition was carried out, al-Jarâjimah would cut off those who lagged behind or followed, together with any whom they could cut off at the rear of the army. They went so far against the Moslems that, by 'Abd-al-Malik's orders, certain people from Antioch and some Nabateans were given stipends, placed as garrisons and set behind the armies of the summer expeditions in order to repel al-Jarâjimah from the rear. Therefore those set behind the armies of the summer expedition were called *rawâdîf*, *i. c.*, followers, and to every one of them eight *dînârs* were assigned. The former account is more authentic.

Az-Zuṭṭ. Abu-l-Hâfs ash-Shâmi from Makhûl:— Mu'âwiyah transplanted in the year 49 or 50 to the sea-coast some of the Zuṭṭ and Sayâbijah¹ of al-Bâṣrah, and made some of them settle in Antioch. According to abu-Hâfṣ, there is in Antioch a quarter known by the name of az-Zuṭṭ. Some of their descendants are in Bûka in the province of Antioch and are known by the name of az-Zuṭṭ.

Some of the Zuṭṭ of as-Sind² were carried by Muḥammad ibn-al-Kâsim to al-Hajjâj, who sent them to Syria, and were later transplanted by al-Walîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malik to Antioch.

Lebanon rebels. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkîdî:—

¹ *Kâmil*, p. 41, line 3; p. 82, line 17; De Goeje, *Mémoires sur les Migrations des Tsiganes*, pp. 1-32, 86-91.

² Haukal, p. 226.

Some people in Lebanon rebelled, complaining of the collector of the *kharâj* of Ba'labakk. This made Sâlih ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-'Abbâs send against them troops who destroyed their fighting power, and the rest were allowed to retain their [Christian] faith. Sâlih sent the latter back to their villages and expelled some of the natives of Lebanon. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm related to me on the authority of Muhammad ibn-Kathîr that Sâlih received a long communication from al-Auzâ'i, of which the following extract has been preserved: "Thou hast heard of the expulsion of the *dhimmis* from Mt. Lebanon, although they did not side with those who rebelled, and of whom many were killed by thee and the rest returned to their villages. How didst thou then punish the many for the fault of the few and make them leave their homes and possessions in spite of Allah's decree:¹ 'Nor shall any sinning one bear the burden of another,' which is the most rightful thing to abide by and follow! The command worthy of the strictest observance and obedience is that of the Prophet who says, 'If one oppresses a man bound to us by covenant and charges him with more than he can do, I am the one to overcome him by arguments.'"² To this he added other citations.

The frontier and littoral towns fortified. Muhammad ibn-Sâhm al-Anṭâki from abu-İshâk al-Fazâri:—The banu-Umaiyyah used to direct their summer and winter campaigns against the Greeks beyond the frontier cities of Syria and Mesopotamia by means of Syrians and Mesopotamians, and they used to station the ships for the invasion and to post the guard on the coast, giving up or delaying the invasion at the time in which the enemy was strong and wide awake [?]. When abu-Jâ'far al-Manṣûr began his rule, he examined the

¹ Kor., 6: 164.

² As-Suyûti, *Kanz al-'Ummâl*, I, 270.

forts and cities of the coast, peopled and fortified them, and rebuilt those of them that were in need of being rebuilt. The same thing he did with the frontier cities. When al-Mahdi became caliph, he carried the work in the remaining cities and forts to completion and strengthened the garrisons. Mu'âwiyah ibn-'Amr states, "What we saw of the efforts of Hârûn the 'Commander of the Believers' in conducting invasions, and of his penetrating insight in carrying on the holy war was really great. The industries he established were not established heretofore. He distributed possessions in the frontier and coast cities. He brought distress on the Greeks and humiliated them." Caliph al-Mutawakkil ordered in the year 247 that ships be stationed on all the sea-coast and that garrisons be posted on it.

CHAPTER XVI

THE FRONTIER FORTRESSES¹ OF SYRIA

Moslem razzias beyond the frontiers. I was informed by certain *sheikhs* from Antioch and by others that in the days of 'Umar and 'Uthmân, and after their time, the frontier cities of Syria included Antioch and other cities called later al-'Awâsim by ar-Rashîd. The Moslems used to lead their raids beyond these cities as they now raid what is beyond Tarsûs. Between Alexandretta and Tarsûs lay Greek forts and frontier garrisons, similar to those through which the Moslems now pass, and the inhabitants of which would sometimes, because of fear, leave them and flee to the Byzantine Empire, and sometimes, Byzantine fighters would be brought and stationed in them. It is said that when Heraclius left Antioch, he joined to himself the people of these towns, so that the Moslems might not be able to go between Antioch and the land of the Byzantines through a cultivated land.

Ibn-Taibûn² al-Baghrâsi from certain *sheikhs* :—The latter said, “ What is known to us is that Heraclius moved the men from these forts, which he shattered. So, when the Moslems made their raids, they found them vacant. In certain cases the Greeks would make an ambush by these forts and take by surprise those of the army who were held back or cut off. Thus the leaders of the summer and winter campaigns, on entering the Greek land, would leave heavy troops in these forts until their return.”

164

¹ Ar. *thughâr*; see İştakhri, pp. 55-56.

² ? Lacking in diacritical points.

The first to pass through ad-Darb. Regarding the first one to cross ad-Darb,¹ i. e., Darb Baghrâs² there is a disagreement. Some assert that the first was Maisarah ibn-Masrûk al-‘Absi who was despatched by abu-‘Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh and who met a host of Greeks accompanied by the “naturalized” [*mustâ’ribah*] Arabs of the Ghassân, Tannûkh and Iyâd, trying to follow Heraclius [in Asia Minor]. Maisarah fell upon them and wrought a bloody massacre among them. He was later joined by Mâlik al-Ashtar an-Nakha‘i sent as a reinforcement by abu-‘Ubaidah from Antioch.

According to others, the first to cross ad-Darb was ‘Umar ibn-Sa‘d al-Anṣâri, when he was sent in connection with the case of Jabalah ibn-al-Aiham.

According to abu-l-Khaṭṭâb al-Azdi, abu-‘Ubaidah himself led the summer expedition passing through al-Maṣṣîṣah and then through Tarsûs whose people, together with those of the fortified cities lying beyond, had evacuated their places. Thus abu-‘Ubaidah entered the land of the [Greek] enemy and carried his campaign as far as Zandah. According to others, abu-‘Ubaidah did not himself go, but sent Maisarah ibn-Masrûk, who reached as far as Zandah.

Mu‘âwiyah and the forts. Abu-Sâlih al-Farrâ‘ from one supposed by him to have been ‘Ubâdah ibn-Nusai:—When Mu‘âwiyah in the year 25 invaded ‘Ammûriyah [Amorium], he found the forts between Antioch and Tarsûs all vacant. He therefore left in those forts some men from Syria, Mesopotamia and Kinnasrin until he had finished his expedition. One or two years later, he sent Yazid ibn-al-Hurr al-‘Absi at the head of the summer expedition and

¹ “Gr. *Derbe* near the Cilician gates which were the chief mountain pass from the direction of the countries occupied by the Arabs into the territory of the Greeks”—Lane. See Caetani, vol. iii, p. 805.

² Perhaps Bailân pass of to-day.

instructed him to do the same thing, which Yazid did. All the governors used to do the same.

The same authority says, “I read in the book of *Magħazi Mu'awiyah* [Mu'awiyah's campaigns] that Mu'awiyah in the year 31 led an invasion setting out from near al-Maṣṣiṣah and penetrating as far as Darauliyah. On his return, he destroyed all the fortresses [belonging to the Greeks] between the latter place and Antioch. 165

Al-Maṣṣiṣah. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wākidi and others:—In the year 84, 'Abdallāh ibn-'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān led the summer campaign, entered through the Darb Antākiyah and reached al-Maṣṣiṣah [Mopsuestia], where he rebuilt the fort on its old foundations. In this city, he caused troops to settle, among whom were 300 chosen from those known to be among the most valorous and strong. The Moslems had never lived in this town before. He also built a mosque in it over Tall al-Ḥiṣn [the hill of the fort], and then led his army to the invasion of Sinān fort, which he reduced. He then sent Yazid ibn-Hunain at-Ṭā'i-l-Antāki who led an incursion and returned.

Abu-l-Khaṭṭāb al-Azdi holds that the first Moslem to build the fort of al-Maṣṣiṣah¹ was 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān through his son 'Abdallāh in the year 84 on its old foundation. Its building and manning were completed in the year 85. In this fortified town stood a church which was converted into a granary. The troops [Ar. *ṭawāli*] from Antioch, numbering 1,500-2,000, used to go up to it every year and spend the winter in it, at the end of which they would leave.

When 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz came to the granary of al-Maṣṣiṣah, he wanted to destroy the town together with the forts that lay between it and Antioch saying, “I hate

¹ Hamadhāni, p. 112.

to see the Greeks besieging its people." When he, however, learned that the town was built to check the Greek advance on Antioch, and that, in case it was destroyed, nothing would remain to stop the enemy from taking Antioch, he desisted and erected for its people a cathedral mosque in the Kafarbaiya quarter. In the mosque, he made a cistern whereon his name was inscribed. In the caliphate of al-Mu'taşim-Billâh, the mosque, which was called *Masjid al-Ḥiṣn* [the fort mosque], fell into ruins.

Hishâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik built the part outside the city wall [Ar. *rabad*]; and Marwân ibn-Muhammad built, to the east of *Jaiḥâن*,¹ al-Khuṣûṣ [wood houses], around which he erected a wall with a wooden gate, and dug a moat.

When abu-l-‘Abbâs became caliph, he assigned stipends for 400 men to be added to the garrison at al-Maṣṣîshah, and distributed fiefs among them. When al-Mansûr became caliph, he assigned stipends for 400 men at al-Maṣṣîshah. In the year 139, al-Mansûr ordered that the city of al-Maṣṣîshah, the wall of which had become shattered by earthquakes and whose population within the walls had become few in number, be well populated. Accordingly, in the year 140, he built the wall of the city, made its inhabitants settle in it and called it al-Ma'mûrah. Moreover, al-Manṣûr erected a cathedral mosque in it on the site of a heathen temple, and made it many times the size of the mosque of ‘Umar. Al-Ma'mûn enlarged the mosque in the governorship of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Tâhir ibn-al-Husain over al-Maghrib. Al-Manṣûr assigned stipends for 1,000 men of its inhabitants. Besides, he transplanted [into it] the inhabitants of al-Khuṣûṣ, who were Persians, Slavs and Christian Nabateans—all of whom were settled in al-Khuṣûṣ by Marwân—gave them in it lots marked for dwell-

¹ Pyramus river.

ings in exchange for and of the same measure as their old homes, pulled down their old dwellings and helped them to build the new ones. To these soldiers, who received stipends, al-Manṣûr gave fiefs and dwellings.

When al-Mahdi became caliph, he assigned stipends for 2,000 men at al-Maṣṣiṣah but gave them no fiefs, because the city was already manned with troops and volunteers. The periodical contingents [*tawâli'*] used to come from Antioch every year until the city was governed by Sâlim al-Barallusi, who assigned in their place¹ stipends for 500 fighters, making a special rate of 10 *dînârs* for each. Thus the people of the city were multiplied and strengthened. This took place when al-Mahdi held the caliphate.

Muhammad ibn-Sâhm from the *sheikhs* of the frontier region:—In the days of the “blessed dynasty”, the Greeks pressed the inhabitants of al-Maṣṣiṣah so hard that they left the city. After that Sâliḥ ibn-‘Ali sent to it Jabril ibn-Yahya-l-Bajali who peopled it and made Moslems settle in it in the year 140. Ar-Rashid² built Kafarbaiya; but according to others it was begun in the caliphate of al-Mahdi, and ar-Rashid changed the plan of its construction and fortified it with a moat. Its inhabitants complained to al-Ma’mûn concerning the rent³ paid for the houses therein, and he abolished it. The houses were like inns. By order of al-Ma’mûn, a wall was commenced around the city and raised high, but not completed before his death. Al-Mu’tâsim-Billâh ordered that the wall be finished and raised to its proper height.

Al-Muthakkab. Al-Muthâkkab⁴ was fortified by Hishâm

¹ Read *maudi'aha* in place of *maudi'ahu*.

² Mas'ûdi, vol. viii, p. 295.

³ Ar *ghallah*, *i. e.*, rent due for houses built on the state property.

⁴ İstakhri, p. 63; Haukal, p. 121.

ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik who entrusted the work to H̄assân ibn-Mâhawaih al-Anṭâki. As the moat was being dug, a leg-bone of extraordinary length was found and sent to Hishâm.

Kaṭarghâsh, Mûrah and Baghrâs. Hishâm also had Kaṭarghâsh fort built by ‘Abd-al-‘Aziz ibn-Haiyân al-Anṭâki. He also had Mûrah fort erected by a man from Antioch. This last fort was built because the Greeks had interfered with one of his messengers at Darb al-Lukâm near al-‘Akabah-l-Baidâ. In this fort, he stationed forty men and a body of al-Jarâjimah. In Baghrâs [Pagrae], he established a garrison of fifty men and built a fort for it. Hishâm, moreover, built the Bûka fort in the province of Antioch, which was recently renewed and repaired.

After the Greeks had made a raid on the littoral of the province of Antioch in the caliphate of al-Mu’tâsim-Billâh, a fort was built on that littoral by Muḥammad ibn-Yûsuf al-Marwazi, surnamed abu-Sa’id.

‘Umar intends to destroy al-Mâssîshah. Dâ’ûd ibn-‘Abd-al-Ḥamîd, the *kâdi* of ar-Râkkâh, from a grandfather of his:—‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Aziz intended to destroy al-Mâssîshah and move its inhabitants because they suffered so much from the Greeks; but he died before he could accomplish it.

‘Akabat an-Nisâ’. I was informed by certain men from Antioch and Baghrâs that when Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik invaded ‘Ammûriyah, he took his wives with him; and other men in his army did the same. The banu-Umayyah used to do that in order to infuse enthusiasm in the army by making them jealous for their harem. As Maslamah was passing through ‘Akabat¹ Baghrâs on a narrow road that bordered on a valley, a stretcher in which a woman was carried fell down to the foot of the mountain. This made

¹ A place difficult of ascent.

Maslamah order that all women should go on foot. So they did; and that 'Akabat was, therefore, called “'Akabat an-Nisâ'” [the women's 'Akabat]. Previous to this, al-Mu'tâsim had built on the edge of that road a low stone wall.

The roads of Antioch cleared of lions by means of buffaloes. It was stated by abu-an-Nu'mân al-Anṭâki that the road between Antioch and al-Mâssîshah was frequented by lions which molested the passers-by. When al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik came to rule, the complaint was made to him, and he sent 4,000 buffaloes by which the required result was attained through Allah's help.

Muhammad ibn-al-Kâsim ath-Thâkâfi, the 'âmil of al-Ḥajjâj over as-Sind, had sent from as-Sind thousands of buffaloes to al-Ḥajjâj, who gave al-Walid 4,000 of them, and left the rest in the jungles of Kaskar.¹ When Yazîd ibn-al-Muhallab was deposed and killed, and the possessions of the banu-l-Muhallab were confiscated by Yazîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, the latter carried away 4,000 buffaloes, which were in the districts of Dijlah² and Kaskar. These, too, Yazîd sent to al-Mâssîshah together with az-Zuṭṭ sent there. Thus the buffaloes at al-Mâssîshah were originally 8,000. At the time of the insurrection of Marwân ibn-Muhammad ibn-Marwân the people of Antioch and Ķinnasrîn brought under their control and took possession of many of these animals; but when al-Manṣûr became caliph he ordered that they be restored to al-Mâssîshah. As for the buffaloes of Antioch, they were first brought by az-Zuṭṭ, and so were the first ones of Bûka.

Jisr al-Walid. According to abu-l-Khatṭâb, the bridge on the road of Adhanah [Adana] from al-Mâssîshah lay nine

¹ Hamadhâni, *Buldân*, p. 196; ibn-Khurdâdhbih, p. 7.

² Yâlkût, vol. iii. p. 745.

miles from the latter, was built in the year 125, and was called *Jisr al-Walid* after al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, who was slain.

Adhanah. According to abu-an-Nu'mân al-Anṭâki and others, Adhanah was built in the year 141 or 142 as the Khurâsân troops under Maslamah ibn-Yâhiya-l-Bajali, and the Syrian troops under Mâlik ibn-Adham al-Bâhili (all sent by Sâlih ibn-'Ali) were camping in it.

Saihân castle. In the year 165, al-Mahdi sent his son Hârûn ar-Rashîd on an expedition to the Greek Empire. After camping at al-Khalij, ar-Rashîd went forth and repaired al-Mâssîshâ and its mosque, increasing its garrison and arming its inhabitants. He also built the castle which lay by Saihân [Sarus river] near Adhanah bridge. Previous to this, al-Manṣûr had sent Sâlih ibn-'Ali on an invasion to the Greek Empire, and the latter sent Hilâl ibn-Daigham, at the head of a group of men from Damascus, the Jordan and other places, who built this castle; but the structure not being firm, ar-Rashîd dismantled the castle and rebuilt it.

Abu-Sulaim rebuilds Adhanah. In the year 194¹ abu-Sulaim Faraj al-Khâdim built Adhanah well and strong, fortified it, and chose men from Khurâsân and others to live in it, giving them an increase of stipends. All that was done by the order of Muḥammad ibn-ar-Rashîd. He also made repairs in Kaṣr Saihân. When ar-Rashîd died in the year 193, his 'âmil for collecting tithes from the frontier fortresses was abu-Sulaim who was now confirmed in his position by Muḥammad [ibn-ar-Rashîd]. To this abu-Sulaim belonged the residence in Antioch [that bears his name].

Tarsîs and al-Hadath. Muḥammiad ibn-Sa'd from al-

¹ "193" in Yâkût, vol. i, p. 179, line 19.

Wâkidi:—In the year 162, al-Hasan ibn-Kalṭabah at-Tâ'i invaded the land of the Greeks at the head of an army of the people of Khurâsân, Mauṣil,¹ and Syria, reinforced by men from al-Yaman and volunteers from al-‘Irâk and al-Hijâz. He started near Tarsûs and called the attention of al-Mahdi to the great troubles spared to Islâm, and the good chance it afforded to frustrate and avert the enemies' intrigues and plans by building Tarsûs, fortifying it and stationing a garrison in it. In that campaign, al-Hasan distinguished himself and subdued the land of the Greeks, and was therefore called ash-Shaiṭân [the devil]. Among his men in the invasion were Mandal al-‘Anazi—the traditionist of the school of al-Kûfah—and Mu‘tamir ibn-Sulaimân al-Bâṣri.

Muhammad ibn-Sâ‘d from Sa‘d ibn-al-Hasan:—When al-Hasan left the land of the Greeks, he camped at Marj [plain of] Tarsûs from which he rode to the city, which was then in ruins. After examining it, he went around it in all directions and estimated that it could be inhabited by one hundred thousand.² When he appeared before al-Mahdi, he described the condition of the city and referred to the strength that Islâm and the Moslems would acquire, and to the anger and disappointment that will ensue to the enemy, if the city were rebuilt and manned with a garrison. He also told al-Mahdi something about al-Hadath that encouraged him to build it. Accordingly, al-Mahdi ordered him to build Tarsûs and start with al-Hadath, which was immediately built. Al-Mahdi then ordered that Tarsûs be built. In the year 171, ar-Rashid heard that the Greeks had enjoined one another to set forth to Tarsûs in order to fortify it and station troops in it. He therefore sent in the year 171 Harthamah ibn-A‘yan as commander of the summer campaign and ordered him to build Tarsûs, settle people

Hamadhâni, *Buldân*, pp. 26-27, 128.

² *Ibid.*, p. 113.

in it and make it a fortified boundary town¹ between the two countries. Harthamah did so, putting the work, in accordance with ar-Rashid's orders, in charge of Faraj ibn-Sulaim al-Khâdim. Faraj put someone in charge, went to Madinat as-Salâm² and sent the first garrison, numbering 3,000, whom he chose from among the people of Khurâsân. The garrison came to Tarsûs. He then sent the second garrison that numbered 2,000 men, 1,000 of whom were from al-Maṣṣîshah and 1,000 from Antioch, promising each man an increment of ten *dînârs* on his original stipend. The second garrison camped with the first at al-Madâ'in near Bâb al-Jihâd, from the beginning of Muḥarram in the year 172, until the construction of the city of Tarsûs with its fortification and mosque was completed. Faraj measured the land between the two rivers and found it to be 4,000 lots, each lot being twenty *dhirâs* square. These lots he gave as fiefs to the inhabitants of Tarsûs. In Rabi' II, 172, the two garrisons settled in the city.

Yazîd ibn-Makhlad governor of Tarsûs. 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣâliḥ appointed Yazîd ibn-Makhlad al-Fazâri as *âmil* over Tarsûs. Those of the inhabitants who came from Khurâsân were afraid of him, because he belonged to the clan of al-Hubairiyah, and drove him from the city. He appointed abu-l-Fawâris to succeed him and 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Ṣâliḥ confirmed abu-l-Fawâris in his position. This took place in the year 173.

Sîsiyah. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'îd from al-Wâkîdi:—In the year 194 or 193, the inhabitants of Sîsiyah [or Sîs, later Little Armenia] evacuated their homes and went as far as the mountainous region of the Greeks.³ This Sîsiyah was

¹ Ar. *mîṣr*.

² City of peace, *i. e.*, Baghîdâd.

³ Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 217, gives the date 93 or 94 and reads *a'âli ar-Rûm* instead of *a'la ar-Rûm*.

the city of Tall 'Ain-Zarbah and was rebuilt in the caliphate of al-Mutawakkil by 'Ali ibn-Yahya-l-Armani [the Armenian]. It was later ruined by the Greeks.

Antioch burnt. The one who burnt Antioch—condemned as it was to burning¹—in the land of the Greeks was 'Abdâs ibn-al-Walid ibn-'Abd- al-Malik.

Tall Jubair. Tall Jubair was so called after a Persian from Antioch who fought a battle in it. The Tall lies less than 10 miles from Tarsûs.

Dhu-l-Kilâ'. The name of the fort known as *dhu-l-Kilâ'* is really a corruption of *dhu-l-Kilâ'* [the fort of the castles], which name was given to it because it was made up of three castles. The explanation of its name in the Greek tongue is “the fortress with the stars.”

Kanîsat aş-Sulh. Kanîsat aş-Sulh [the church of peace] was so called because when the Greeks came to ar-Rashid to capitulate, they made it their headquarters.

Marj Husain. Marj Husain was named after Husain ibn-Muslim al-Anṭâki who fought a battle in it and defeated the enemy.

Damâlu. In the year 163, al-Mahdi sent on a campaign his son, Hârûn ar-Rashid, who laid siege to *Damâlu* (colloquial *Samâlu*). Its people asked a promise of security for ten of their nobility including the *Comes*.² Ar-Rashid consented. One of their terms stipulated that they be never separated from one another. Therefore they were settled in *Baghdâd* near *Bâb ash-Shaimmâsiyah*.³ Their quarter

¹ Hamadhâni, *Buldân*, p. 37: Said Makhûl, “Four cities are of the cities of Paradise; Makkah, al-Madinah, Iliyâ’ [Jerusalem] and Damascus; and four of the cities of fire: Antioch, at-Tuwânah, Constantinople and San‘â’.”

² Ar. *al-Kûmis* = a leader of two hundred: Zaidân, vol. i, p. 118; Kudâmah, pp. 255-256.

³ Le Strange, *Baghdâd*, p. 202.

they called Samálu, and it is still known as such. Others say that they surrendered to al-Mahdi who spared their lives and gathered them in that place, ordering that it be called Samálu. According to ar-Rashid's orders, those who were left in the fort were sold publicly.

One Abyssinian, who was heard cursing ar-Rashid and the Moslems, was crucified on one of the towers of the fort.

'Ain Zarbah and al-Hârûniyah. Ahmad ibn-al-Hârith al-Wâsiṭi from al-Wâkidi:—In the year 180, ar-Rashid ordered that the city of 'Ain Zarbah [Anazarbus] be built and fortified. He summoned to it a regiment from Khurâsân and others, to whom he gave houses as fiefs. In the year 183, he ordered al-Hârûniyah built. It was accordingly built and manned with a garrison and with volunteers that emigrated to it. The city was named after him. Others say that Hârûn started its erection in the caliphate of al-Mahdi, but completed it in his own caliphate.

Kanîsat as-Saudâ'. The city of Kanîsat as-Saudâ' [black church] had been built by the Greeks of black stone since the earliest of days, and had an old fort that was destroyed in the general havoc. Ar-Rashid ordered that this city be rebuilt and fortified. He also summoned to it troops, allowing them larger stipends. I was told by 'Azzûn ibn-Sâ'd, one of the inhabitants of the frontier region, that the Greeks once invaded it—as al-Kâsim ibn-ar-Rashid was staying in Dâbîk—and carried away its cattle and a number of prisoners. They were pursued by the people of al-Mâssîshâ and its volunteers, who saved all that had been carried away and killed many of the Greeks, sending the rest of them back in distress and disorder. Then al-Kâsim sent some one to fortify the city, make repairs in it and increase its garrison. For this purpose some of az-Zuṭṭ, previously transplanted by al-Mu'tâsim to 'Ain Zarbah and its environs from al-Batâ'ilî, which lay between Wâsît and al-Bâsrah, and which they had conquered, were available.

Abu-Ishâk al-Fazâri's opinion on the land of ath-Thaghr.

I was informed by abu-Şâlih al-Anṭâki that abu-Ishâk al-Fazâri hated to buy land in the frontier region [*ath-Thaghr*] because he said, “Those who first wrested this land from the Greeks did not divide it among themselves, and it later passed to others. Thus it had been transmitted to others and attached to itself a suspicion that the wise man would do well to avoid.”

Tithe-exemptions annulled by al-Mutawakkil. In *ath-Thaghr*, so many pieces of land were exempt from the tithe that the total income of tithes was diminished to such an extent that it could not meet the expenses. By al-Mutawakkil's orders, therefore, all these exemptions were in the year 243 abolished.



PART III
MESOPOTAMIA

CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF MESOPOTAMIA [AL-JAZIRAH]

172

Iyâd its governor. Dâ'ûd ibn-'Abd-al-Hamîd the *kâdi* of ar-Râkîah from Maimûn ibn-Mîhrân:—All of Mesopotamia was conquered by Iyâd ibn-Ghanm who, after the death of abu-'Ubaidah, was made its ruler by 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb. Abu-'Ubaidah had appointed Iyâd to be his successor over Syria, but 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb appointed first Yazid ibn-abi-Sufyân then Mu'âwiyah over Syria, and ordered Iyâd¹ to invade Mesopotamia.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Sulaimân ibn-'Atâ' al-Kurashi:—Abu-'Ubaidah sent Iyâd ibn-Ghanm to Mesopotamia, and died while Iyâd was still there. 'Umar then assigned Iyâd after abu-'Ubaidah as governor of Mesopotamia.

The terms with ar-Ruha. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Sulaimân ibn-'Atâ':—When Iyâd ibn-Ghanm, who was sent by abu-'Ubaidah, reduced ar-Ruha² [Edessa, modern Urfa], he stood at its gate riding on a brown horse; and the inhabitants made terms stipulating that they should keep their cathedral and the buildings around it, and agreeing not to start a new church other than what they already had, to give succor to the Moslems against their enemy, and to forfeit their right of protection in case they fail to keep any of these conditions. Similar terms to those of ar-Ruha were made by the people of Mesopotamia.

¹ R. Duval, "Histoire d'Edesse," in *Journal Asiatique*, Juillet-Août, 1891, pp. 106 seq.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 2505.

The version of al-Wâkidi. Muhammad ibn-Sa'd states on the authority of al-Wâkidi that the most authentic report he heard regarding 'Iyâd was that abu-'Ubaidah, in the year 18, fell victim to the plague of Emmaus ['Amawâs] after appointing 'Iyâd as his successor [over Syria]. 'Iyâd received a letter from 'Umar, conferring upon him the governorship of Hims, Kinnasrin and Mesopotamia. On Thursday the middle of Sha'bân, year 18, he marched to Mesopotamia at the head of 5,000 men, the van of the army being led by Maisarah ibn-Masrûk al-'Absi, the right wing by Sa'id ibn-'Âmir ibn-Hidhyam al-Jumâhi and the left by 173 Šafwân ibn-al-Mu'âṭâl as-Sulami. Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd was on the left wing. Others assert that after Abu-'Ubaidah, Khâlid never marched under any man's flag but remained in Hims, where he died in the year 21 after designating 'Umar to execute his will.¹ Some claim that he died in al-Madinah; but that he died in Hims is the more authentic report.²

The terms with ar-Rakkah. The van of 'Iyâd's army arrived in ar-Rakkah³ and made a raid on its environs, where Beduin Arabs were encamped with a group of peasants, carrying off much booty. Those who escaped took to flight and entered the city of ar-Rakkah. 'Iyâd advanced with his troops until he arrived, with his troops in military array,⁴ at Bâb ar-Ruha—one of the gates of the city. For an hour the Moslems were shot at, and some of them were wounded. In order to escape the enemy's stones and arrows, 'Iyâd withdrew, and, after going round the city on

¹ Hajar, vol. i, pp. 853-854.

² Yâkût, vol. ii, pp. 74-75.

³ Athîr, vol. ii, p. 439.

⁴ Ar. *ta'bî'ah*. See Wüstenfeld, "Die Taktik des Aelianus," in *Abhandlungen des Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften*, Göttingen, 1880.

horseback, he stationed horse-guards at its gates. He then returned to the main army and sent bands of soldiers¹ who went around, bringing back with them prisoners from the villages and large quantities of food. It was the proper time for reaping the harvest. This condition having lasted for five or six days the patrician of the city asked for peace from 'Iyâd, who made terms with him, guaranteeing for the population the security of their lives, children, possessions and city. 'Iyâd said, "The land is ours; we have subdued and secured it". However, he left it in their hands on the *kharâj* basis. That part of the land which was not wanted and rejected by the *dhimmis*, he turned over to the Moslems on the tithe basis. Moreover, 'Iyâd assessed poll-tax to the amount of one *dînâr* per annum on every man, holding women and boys exempt. In addition to the *dînâr*, he levied on them *kafîzes*² of wheat, and some oil, vinegar and honey. When Mu'âwiyah came to power, he laid that as a regular tax upon them. The people then opened the city gates and established a market for the Moslems at the Ruha gate. The following is the statement issued by 'Iyâd:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is what 'Iyâd ibn-Ghamm gave to the people of ar-Râkkah when he entered the city. He gave them security for their lives and possessions. Their churches shall not be destroyed or occupied, so long as they pay the tax assessed on them and enter in no intrigue. It is stipulated that they build no new church or place of worship, or pub-

¹ Ar. *sarâya* who, according to al-Mas'ûdi, *Kitâb at-Tanbih*, p. 279, were bands of soldiers varying between 3 and 500 persons, that go forth at night.

² A measure of capacity consisting of ten *makkûks*; cf. Mawardi, p. 265.

licly strike clappers,¹ or openly celebrate Easter Monday² or show the cross in public. Thereunto, Allah is witness and Allah is a sufficient witness. Signed by 'Iyâd's own signature."

Others report that 'Iyâd assessed four *dînârs* on every adult of ar-Râkkah; but the fact is that 'Umar wrote after 174 this to 'Umair ibn-Sa'd, his governor, instructing him to assess four *dînârs* on every man, as it was the case with those who possessed gold.

The terms with ar-Ruha. 'Iyâd then advanced against Harrân and encamped at Bâjuddah, whence he sent forth the van of the army. The people of Harrân closed the city gates, shutting the troops out. 'Iyâd followed up the van and when he camped at Harrân, the Harnâniyah from among its inhabitants sent him a word saying that they had under their control a part of the city and asking him to go to ar-Ruha, promising to accept whatever terms he may make with it, and leaving him free to negotiate with the Christians of Harrân. Hearing that, the Christians sent him word, consenting to what had been proposed and offered by al-Harnâniyah. Accordingly, 'Iyâd advanced to ar-Ruha whose people gathered against and shot at the Moslems for an hour. The fighters made a sally, but the Moslems put them to flight and forced them to seek refuge in the city. No sooner had that taken place than they offered to capitulate and make peace. To this, 'Iyâd consented and wrote them the following statement:³

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful.

¹ Ar. *nâkûs*.

² Ar *bâ'ûth*, used to-day for the Christian festival of Monday after Easter, is defined by *Kâmûs*, *Tâj al-'Arûs* and *Lisân al-'Arab* as corresponding to the Moslem prayer in which a petition for rain is offered. Cf. S. Fraenkel, *Dic Aramäischen Fremdwörter im Arabischen*, p. 277.

³ Cf. Yûsuf, p. 23.

This is a statement from 'Iyâd ibn-Ghanm to the bishop of ar-Ruha. If ye open before me the city gate and agree to offer to me for every man one *dînâr* and two modii of wheat, then I grant you safety for your persons, possessions and those dependent on you. It is incumbent on you to guide the one who goes astray, to repair the bridges and roads, and give good counsel to the Moslems. Thereunto, Allah is witness; and he is sufficient."

Dâ'ûd ibn-'Abd-al-Hamid from a grandfather of his:—The statement of 'Iyâd to the inhabitants of ar-Ruha ran as follows:—

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a statement from 'Iyâl ibn-Ghanm and his accompanying Moslems to the inhabitants of ar-Ruha. I have granted them security for their lives, possessions, offspring, women, city and mills, so long as they give what they rightly owe. They are bound to repair our bridges, and guide those of us who go astray. Thereunto, Allah and his angels and the Moslems are witnesses."

Harrân and Sumaisât capitulate. 'Iyâd then came to Harrân and directed Safwân ibn-al-Mu'aṭṭal and Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamaḥ-ı-Fihri to Sumaisât.¹ With the people of Harrân, he made terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. Its inhabitants opened the city gates for him, and he assigned a governor over it. He then came to Sumaisât and found Safwân ibn-al-Mu'aṭṭal and Ḥabîb ibn-Maslamaḥ directing their operations against it, after having reduced many of its villages and forts. The people of Sumaisât made terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. 'Iyâd used to make incursions from ar-Ruha and return to it. 175

All Mesopotamia reduced by 'Iyâd. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'ûd from az-Zuhri:—In the days of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, not

¹ Samosata: İştâkhri, p. 62.

a foot was left in Mesopotamia unsubdued by 'Iyâd ibn-Ghamm who reduced Ḥarrân, ar-Ruha, ar-Râkka, Ḳarkîsiya [Circesium] Naṣîbin [Nisibis] and Sinjâr.

Muḥammad [ibn-Sa'd] from Thâbit ibn-al-Ḥajjâj:—'Iyâd effected the conquest of ar-Râkka, Ḥarrân, ar-Ruha, Naṣîbin, Maiyâfârikîn, Ḳarkîsiya, and all the villages and towns of the Euphrates by capitulation; but all the open fields by force.

Muḥammad [ibn-Sa'd] from Râshid ibn-Sa'd:—'Iyâd effected the conquest of Mesopotamia and its towns by capitulation; but its land, by force.

The terms with Harrân. Someone reported that when 'Iyâd came to Ḥarrân from ar-Râkka, he found it deserted, its inhabitants having moved to ar-Ruha. When ar-Ruha was captured, the people of Ḥarrân in it made terms regarding their city similar to those of ar-Ruha.

Sarûj and other places subdued by 'Iyâd. Abu-Aiyûb ar-Râkki-1-Mu'addab from al-Ḥajjâj ibn-abi-Manî' ar-Ruṣâfi's¹ grandfather:—'Iyâd captured ar-Râkka, then ar-Ruha, then Ḥarrân, and then Sumaisât on the same terms of capitulation. Thence he came to Sarûj,² Râskîfa³ and al-Arâl al-Baidâ', subdued their land, and made terms with the holders of their forts similar to those of ar-Ruha. The people of Sumaisât after that rebelled, which made him, on hearing it, return and besiege the city until he reduced it. Having heard that the inhabitants of ar-Ruha had broken their covenant, he camped around the city, upon which they opened their city gates. He entered the city and left in it his 'âmil with a small band. Thence he came to the villages of the Euphrates⁴ which are

¹ Dhahabi, *Mushtabih*, p. 225; *Mushtarik*, p. 206.

² Baṭnân; see *ZDMG*, vol. xxx, p. 354.

³ R. Payne Smith, *Thesaurus Syriacus*, cols. 3902 and 2910.

⁴ *Kuraiyât* or *Koryât al-Furât*; cf. Hamadhâni, *Buldân*, p. 136.

Jisr Manbij and its dependents, which he reduced on similar terms. 'Ain al-Wardah or Ra's al-'Ain¹ to which he came next held out against him; so he left it. He then came to Tall Mauzin² and took it on the same terms as ar-Ruha. That took place in the year 19. Against Karkisiya, 'Iyâd directed Hâbib ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri who took the city by a capitulation similar to that of ar-Rakkah. 'Iyâd captured Âmid without fighting and on terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. He captured Maiyâfâriqin on the same terms. He also reduced the fort of Kafartûtha.³ After a conflict, he reduced Naşîbin and the terms concluded were similar to those of ar-Ruha. Tûr 'Abdin,⁴ Hîşn Mâridin and Dâra⁵ he took on the same terms. Karda and Bâzabda he conquered on the same terms as those of Naşîbin. The patrician of az-Zawazân came to 'Iyâd and made terms regarding his lands, agreeing to pay tax. All that took place in the year 19 and in a part of Muharram, year 20. He then advanced to Arzan and took possession of it on terms similar to those of Naşîbin. He then passed through ad-Darb into Badlis which he left for Khilât with whose patrician he made terms. Finally, he got to al-'Ain al-Hâmiyah in Armenia beyond which he did not go. On his way back, he made the chief of Badlis responsible for the *kharâj* of Khilât with its poll-tax and what was due on its patrician. He then proceeded to ar-Rakkah, and on to Hîşn whose governorship had been entrusted to him by 'Umar. In the year 20, he died. 'Umar after that appointed Sa'id ibn-'Âmir ibn-Hidhyam, who died after a short time. 'Umar

¹ Hoffman, *Syrische Akten Persischer Märtyrer*, p. 183.

² Hoffman, *op. cit.*, p. 224, note 1778.

³ R. Payne Smith, *op. cit.*, col. 1801.

⁴ R. Payne Smith, *op. cit.*, col. 1451.

⁵ Hoffman, *op. cit.*, p. 46.

then appointed 'Umair ibn-Sa'd al-Anṣâri, who succeeded in capturing 'Ain al-Wardah after a severe conflict.

'Ain al-Wardah or Ra's al-'Ain captured. Al-Wâkidi from abu-Wahb al-Jaishâni Dailam ibn-al-Muwassa¹ :—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wrote to 'Iyâd instructing him to send 'Umair ibn-Sa'd to 'Ain al-Wardah. This he did. The van of the army went ahead, assailed a group of peasants and carried away some of the enemy's cattle as booty. The inhabitants of the city closed their gates and set up the mangonels¹ on them. Many Moslems were killed by stones and arrows. Then one of the patricians of the city appeared and cursed the Moslems saying, "We are different from what ye have met heretofore!" At last the city was taken by capitulation.

Anir ibn-Muhammad from a grandfather of al-Hajjâj ibn-abi-Manî² :—Ra's al-'Ain² held out against 'Iyâd ibn-Ghamm; but 'Umair ibn-Sa'd, who was 'Umar's governor over Mesopotamia, reduced it after a fierce resistance on 177 the part of its inhabitants. The Moslems entered by force; but terms of capitulation were drawn up stipulating that the land be held by them and the tax be imposed on their persons to the amount of four *dînârs* per head. Their women and children were not taken as captives.

The following statement was made by al-Hajjâj: "I heard it said by certain *sheikhs* from Ra's al-'Ain that when 'Umair entered the city he shouted, 'Never mind; never mind; [come] to me! [come] to me!' and that constituted a guarantee of security for them."

It is claimed by al-Haitham ibn-'Adi that 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb sent abu-Mûsa-l-Ash'ari to 'Ain al-Wardah, which

¹ Ar. *'arrâdah*: see *Hamâsah* (ed. Freytag), p. 307.

² Another name for 'Ain-al-Wardah. See al-Mas'ûdi, *Tanbîh*, p. 54; Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 764.

he invaded with the troops of Mesopotamia after the death of 'Iyâd. The fact is that 'Umair captured it by force and did not take any captives. He only imposed *kharâj* and poll-tax. The view of Haitham is not shared by any other authority.

According to al-Hajjâj ibn-abi-Manî', a part of the inhabitants of Ra's al-'Ain having vacated it, the Moslems utilized their lands and cultivated them according to the fief system.

Sinjâr captured. Muhammad ibn-al-Mufaḍḍal al-Mauṣili from certain *sheikhs* of Sinjâr:—Sinjâr¹ was held by the Greeks. Kisra—[Chosroes] known as Abarwîz—wanted to put to death one hundred Persians who were brought before him because of rebellion and disobedience. Someone having interceded in their behalf, he ordered them sent to Sinjâr, which he was then attempting to reduce. Two of them died, and 98 arrived there, joined the troops who were encamped against the city, and were the first to capture it. There they settled and multiplied. When 'Iyâd was through with Khilâṭ and was going to Mesopotamia, he sent an expedition to Sinjâr, took the city by capitulation, and settled it with Arabs.

Mauṣil. Some reports claim that 'Iyâd reduced one of the forts of Mauṣil, but that is not confirmed.

According to ibn-al-Kalbi, 'Umair ibn-Sa'd, the 'âmil of 'Umar is identical with 'Umar ibn-Sa'd ibn-Shuhaid ibn-'Amr one of al-Aus; but according to al-Wâkîdi, he is 'Umair ibn-Sa'd ibn-'Ubaid whose father, Sa'd, was killed in the battle of al-Kâdisiyah. This Sa'd, according to the Kufite school, is one of those who compiled the Koran in the time of the Prophet.

Khâlid dismissed. Al-Wâkîdi states that some reports

¹ Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 158.

claim that Khâlid ibn-al-Walid ruled in 'Umar's name a part of Mesopotamia; and once as he was in a bath, at Âmid [Diyârbakr], or at some other place, he daubed himself with a substance containing wine, which made 'Umar dismiss him. This, however, is not confirmed.

178

The tax on Mesopotamia. 'Amr an-Nâkîd from Maimûn ibn-Mîhrân:—For some time, oil and vinegar and food were taken for the benefit of the Moslems in Mesopotamia, which tax was later reduced through the sympathy of 'Umar and fixed at 48, 24, and 12 dirhams. In addition to the poll-tax, every one had to provide two *mudds* of wheat two *kists* of oil and two *kists* of vinegar.

Mosques erected. I was informed by a number of the inhabitants of ar-Râkîkah that when 'Iyâd died and Sa'id ibn-'Âmir ibn-Hidhyam became governor of Mesopotamia, the latter erected the mosque of ar-Râkîkah and that of ar-Ruha, after which he died. The mosques in Diyâr Muðar and Diyâr Rabî'ah were erected by 'Umair ibn-Sa'd.

Mu'âwiyah settles Arab tribes. When Mu'âwiyah ruled over Syria and Mesopotamia in the name of 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân, he was instructed by him to settle the Arabs in places far from the cities and villages, and allow them to utilize the lands unpossessed by anyone. Accordingly, he caused the banu-Tamîm to settle at ar-Râbiyah; and a promiscuous multitude of Kais and Asad and others, in al-Mâzîhîn and al-Mudaibir.¹ The same thing he did in Diyâr Muðar. In like manner, he stationed the Rabî'ah in their Diyâr. The cities and villages and frontier garrisons he put in charge of some, who received stipends in order to guard them and protect them, and whom he put there with his 'âmils.

Scorpions in Nasîbîn. Abu-Hafṣ ash-Shâmi from Ham

¹ Kudâmah, p. 246.

mâd ibn-‘Amr an-Nâṣîbî:—The ‘âmil of Naṣîbîn wrote to Mu‘âwiyah, ‘Uthmân’s governor over Syria and Mesopotamia, complaining that some of the Moslems in his company had fallen victim to the scorpions. Mu‘âwiyah wrote back instructing him to demand of the inhabitants in each quarter of the city a fixed number of scorpions to be brought every evening. This he did. They used to bring the scorpions before him, and he would order that they be killed.

Ḳarkisiya, the Euphrates forts and other places reduced.
 Abu-‘Aiyûb al-Mu‘addab ar-Râkki from abu-‘Abdallâh al-Karkasâni’s *sheikhs*:—When ‘Umair ibn-Sa‘d captured Ra’s al-‘Ain he made his way across and beyond al-Khâbûr¹ to 179 Ḳarkisiya whose people had violated the covenant. With them he made terms similar to those made before, and then advanced against the forts along the course of the Euphrates one after the other, which he reduced all on the same terms as Ḳarkisiya. In none of them did he meet severe resistance. Some of them would sometimes throw stones at him. When he was through with Talbas² and ‘Anât,³ he came to an-Na’ûsah, Âlûsah⁴ and Hit where he found out that ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsîr, the ‘âmil of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb over al-Kûfah, had sent an army for the invasion of the region above al-Anbâr, under the leadership of Sa‘d ibn-‘Amr ibn-Ḥarâm al-Anṣâri. The holders of these forts had come to Sa‘d and demanded peace, which he arranged with them, retaining one-half of the church of Hit. ‘Umair, therefore, kept on his way to ar-Râkka.

I learned from certain scholars that the one who went against Hit and the forts beyond in al-Kûfah was Midlâj

¹ A tributary of the Euphrates; *Tanbih*, p. 54.

² Vowels uncertain; Caetani, vol. iv, p. 222.

³ Hoffman, *op. cit.*, p. 137, note 1162.

⁴ Yâkût, vol. i, p. 65.

ibn-'Amr as-Sulami, an ally of the banu-'Abd-Shams and one of the Companions, who effected their capture. This Midlâj built al-Hadithah on the Euphrates. His descendants were at Hit. The memory of one of them, surnamed abu-Hârûn, still lives there. Others assert that Midlâj was sent by Sa'd ibn-'Amr ibn-Hârâm; but Allah knows best.

Nahr Sa'id. In the place of Nahr Sa'id—the canal named after Sa'id ibn-'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân (who was nicknamed Sa'id al-Khair and who practised asceticism)—once stood a jungle frequented by lions. Al-Walid gave it to him [Sa'id] as fief, and he dug out the canal and erected the buildings that stand there. According to others, it was 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Aziz who gave it as fief.

Ar-Râfikah. There is no trace that ar-Râfikah is an old city. It was built by al-Manṣûr the "Commander of the Believers" in the year 155, according to the plan of his city in Baghîdâh. Al-Manṣûr stationed in it an army of the people of Khurâsân and entrusted it to al-Mahdi, who was at that time the heir-apparent. Later, ar-Rashîd built its castles. Between ar-Râkkah and ar-Râfikah lay a wide tract of sown land to which 'Ali ibn-Sulaimân ibn-'Ali moved the markets of ar-Râkkah when he came as governor to Mesopotamia. Previous to this, the greatest market of ar-Râkkah was called Sûk Hishâm al-'Atîk [the old market of Hishâm]. When ar-Rashîd visited ar-Râkkah, he increased the number of these markets, whose income together with that from the confiscated towns, is still collected to-day.

Ruṣâfat Hishâm and al-Hani wa-l-Mari. As for Ruṣâfat Hishâm,¹ it was built by Hishâm ibn-'Abd-al-Malîk who previous to its building, used to stop at az-Zaitûnâh. Hi-

¹ or ar-Ruṣâfat bi-ash-Shâm; Yâkût, vol. ii. p. 784. Ruṣâfat means causeway.

shâm dug out al-Hani wa-l-Mari [canals], thus making the crown-land known as al-Hani-wa-l-Mari tillable land. He founded in it Wâsiṭ ar-Râkkah.¹ This same land was confiscated at the beginning of the [Abbasid] dynasty and passed into the hands of umm-Ja'far Zubaidah, daughter of Ja'far ibn-al-Manṣûr, who built in it the fief house that bears her name, and settled more people in it.

Ar-Râḥbah. There is no trace that ar-Râḥbah, which lies below Karkisiya, is an old city, it having been built by Mâlik ibn-Tauk ibn-'Attâb² at-Taghlabi in the caliphate of al-Ma'mûn.

Adhramah. Adhramah in Diyâr Rabî'ah was an old village which al-Hasan ibn-'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb at-Taghlabi took from its chief and in which he built a castle, thus fortifying it.

Kafartûtha. Kafartûtha³ was an old fort that was occupied by the offspring of abu-Rimthah, who made a town of it and foritfied it.

Diyâr Rabî'ah and al-Barriyah. Mu'âfa ibn-Tâ'ûs from his father:—The latter said, “I asked certain *sheikhs* regarding the tithes of Balad and Diyâr Rabî'ah and al-Barriyah⁴ and was told that they were the tithes of lands held by the Arabs when they embraced Islâm, or reclaimed by them from waste lands unpossessed by any one or given up by the Christians, and which have consequently become waste and covered with brushwood. These lands were given to the Arabs as fiefs.”

'Ain ar-Rûmîyah. Abu-'Affân ar-Rakkî from certain *sheikhs* of the writers of ar-Râkkah and others:—'Ain ar-

¹ Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 889.

² Cf. Mahâsin, vol. ii, p. 34.

³ R. Payne Smith, col. 1801.

⁴ The desert part of Mesopotamia. Yâkût, vol. i, p. 601; Bakri, p. 566.

Rûmiyah together with its spring belonged to al-Wâlid ibn-'Ukbâh ibn-abi-Mu'aît who gave it to abu-Zubaid at-Tâ'i from whom it passed to abu-l-'Abbâs the "Commander of the Believers." Abu-l-'Abbâs gave it as fief to Maimûn ibn-Íamzah, the freedman of 'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-'Abbâs, from whose heirs ar-Rashid bought it. It lies in the district of ar-Râkka.

Ghâbat ibn-Hubairah. Ghâbat ibn-Hubairah [the forest of ibn-Hubairah] was first given as fief to ibn-Hubairah, but later confiscated and assigned as fief to Bishr ibn-Maimûn, the builder of at-Tâkât¹ [archways or arcades] at Baghîdâh in the vicinity of Bâb ash-Shâm [the Syrian gate]. This Ghâbat was later bought by ar-Rashid. It lies in the province of Sarûj.

'A'ishah fief. The fief which was given by Hishâm to his daughter, 'A'ishah, at Râskifa and which bore her name was also confiscated.

Sala'ûs and Kafarjadda. 'Abd-al-Malik and Hishâm owned a village called Sala'ûs and half of another called Kafarjadda which lay in the province of ar-Ruha.

181

Tall'Afrâ', Tall Madhâba, al-Muṣalla and Rabâd Harrân. In Harrân, al-Ghamr ibn-Yazid owned Tall 'Afra', the land of Tall Madhâba,² and Ard al-Muṣalla [place of prayer], together with the confiscated lands and the workshops in Rabâd Harrân.

Marj 'Abd-al-Wâhid. Before al-Hadath and Zibaṭrah were built, Marj³ 'Abd-al-Wâhid was a pasturing place reserved for the Moslems⁴; but when these two were built, the Moslems could do without the Marj, which was peopled

¹ Cf. Le Strange, *Baghdâd during the Abbasid Caliphate*, p. 130.

² Lacking in diacritical points.

³ The word means meadow.

⁴ Ar. *hima*; see Mawardi, p. 324.

and later added by al-Husain al-Khâdim in the caliphate of ar-Rashid to al-Aḥwâz. After that, some people unjustly took possession of it and of its farms, in which condition it remained until ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ṭâhir came to Syria and returned it to the crown-lands. Abu-Aiyûb ar-Râkķî heard it said that ‘Abd-al-Wâhid, after whom the Marj was named, was ‘Abd-al-Wâhid ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-al-Ḥakam ibn-abi-l-‘Âṣî, a cousin of ‘Abd-al-Malik. He owned the Marj, but turned it into a pasture land exclusively for the Moslems. He is the one whom al-Katâmi lauded, saying:

“If fate would overlook only ‘Abd-al-Wâhid,
let not the case of all the other inhabitants of the city grieve thee.”

CHAPTER II

THE CHRISTIANS OF THE BANU-TAGHLIB IBN-WÂ'IL

*'Umar doubles their *sadakah*.* Shaibân ibn-Farrûkh from as-Saffâh ash-Shaibâni:—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wanted to collect the poll-tax from the Christian tribe, banu-Taghlib; but they took to flight and some of them went to a distant land. An-Nu'mân ibn-Zur'ah (or Zur'ah ibn-an-Nu'mân) addressed 'Umar saying: “I plead in Allah's name for the banu-Taghlib. They are a body of Arabs too proud to pay poll-tax, but severe in warfare. Let not thy enemy, therefore, be enriched by them to thy disadvantage.”¹ Thereupon 'Umar called them back and doubled the *sadakah* laid on them.

Neither Moslems, nor of the “people of the Book.” Shaibân from ibn-'Abbâs:—The latter said, “What is slaughtered by the Christians of the banu-Taghlib shall not be eaten, and their women shall not be taken as wives [by us]. They are neither of us nor of the ‘people of the Book’.”¹⁸²

'Umair consults 'Umar. 'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from 'Awânah ibn-al-Hâkam and abu-Mikhnaf:—'Umair ibn-Sa'd wrote to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb informing him that he had come to the regions on the Syrian slope of the Euphrates and captured 'Ânât and the other forts of [i. e., along the course of] the Euphrates; and that when he wished to constrain the banu-Taghlib of that region to accept Islâm, they refused and were on the point of leaving for some Byzantine territory: no one on the Syrian slope of the Euphrates whom he wished to constrain to Islâm had before the banu-

¹ Cf. Yûsuf, p. 68.

Taghlib showed such tenacity and asked permission to emigrate. 'Umair asked 'Umar's advice on this matter. 'Umar wrote back ordering him to double on all their pasturing cattle¹ and land the amount of *ṣadakah* ordinarily taken from Moslems; and if they should refuse to pay that, he ought to war with them until he annihilates them or they accept Islâm. They accepted to pay a double *ṣadakah*² saying, "So long as it is not the tax of the 'uncircumcized,' we shall pay it and retain our faith."³

The terms with the banu-Taghlib. 'Amr an-Nâkid from Dâ'ûd ibn-Kurdûs:—After having crossed the Euphrates and decided to leave for the land of the Greeks, the banu-Taghlib made terms with 'Umar ibn-al-Khattâb, agreeing not to immerse [baptize] a child or compel him to accept their faith, and to pay a double *ṣadakah*. Dâ'ûd ibn-Kurdûs used to repeat that they had no claim to security [*dhimmah*], because they used immersion in their ritual—referring to baptism.

*Only they pay double *ṣadakah*.* Al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from az-Zuhri:—None of the "people of the Book" pay *ṣadakah* on their cattle except the Christian banu-Taghlib or—he perhaps said—the Christian Arabs, whose whole possessions consist of cattle. These pay twice what the Moslems pay.

Zur'ah intercedes in their behalf. Sa'id ibn-Sulaimân Sa'dawaih from Zur'ah ibn-an-Nu'mân:—The latter interceded with 'Umar in favor of the Christians of the banu-Taghlib, saying, "They are Arabs too proud to pay the poll-tax, and are possessors of tillable land and cattle." 'Umar had decided to take tax from them and they became dispersed in the whole country. At last, 'Umar made terms

¹ Cf. Yûsuf, p. 68.

² Cf. ibn-Anas. *al-Mudawwanah-l-Kubra*, vol. ii, p. 42.

³ MFO, vol. iii, pp. 159, 162.

with them, stipulating that they pay double what the Moslems pay in the form of *ṣadaḳah* on the land and cattle, and that they do not christen their children. 183

What 'Ali would do. According to Mughîrah, 'Ali used to repeat, "If I should have the time to deal with the banu-Taghlib, I would have my own way with them. Their fighters I would surely put to death, and their children I would take as captives, because by christening their children they violated the covenant and are no more in our trust [*dhimmah*]."

What Ziyâd said. Abu-Naṣr at-Tammâr from Ziyâd ibn-Ḥudair al-Asadi:—The latter said, "I was sent by 'Umar to the Christians of the banu-Taghlib in order to collect from them half the tithe on their possessions, and was warned against collecting tithes from a Moslem, or from a *dhimmi* that pays *kharâj*."

'Uthmân withdraws his word. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'īd from Muḥammad ibn-Ībrâhîm ibn-al-Ḥârîth:—'Uthmân gave orders that nothing be accepted from the banu-Taghlib as tax except the tithe on gold and silver. Having, however, learned the fact that 'Umar took from them a double *ṣadaḳah*, he withdrew his word.

The tax on banu-Taghlib. According to al-Wâkîdi, it is said by Sufyân ath-Thaurî, al-Auzâ'i, Mâlik ibn-Anas, ibn-abi-Lailah, ibn-abi-Dhi'b, abu-Ḥanîfah and abu-Yûsuf that from one of the banu-Taghlib is collected double what is collected from a Moslem, on land, cattle and possessions. But if he is a child or idiot, a double *ṣadaḳah*—according to the school of al-Īrâk—is taken on his land, and nothing on his cattle; and according to the school of al-Hijâz, a double *ṣadaḳah* is taken on his cattle and his land. They all, however, agree that what is taken from the banu-Taghlib should be spent in the same way as *kharâj*, because it is a substitute for tax.

CHAPTER III

THE FORTIFICATIONS OF THE MESOPOTAMIAN FRONTIER

Shimshâṭ. When ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân became caliph, he wrote to Mu‘âwiyah conferring on him the governorship of Syria, and assigned ‘Umair ibn-Sa‘d al-Anṣâri as governor of Mesopotamia. Later he dismissed the latter and combined both Syria and Mesopotamia, including their frontier fortifications [*thughûr*] under Mu‘âwiyah, in the meantime ordering Mu‘âwiyah to invade or send someone to invade Shimshâṭ,¹ *i. e.*, Armenia IV. Accordingly, Mu‘âwiyah sent thereto Ḥabîb ibn-Mâslamah-1-Fihri and Ṣafwân ibn-Mu‘âṭṭal as-Sulami who, after a few days of camping around it, reduced it and made terms similar to those of ar-Ruha. Ṣafwân took up his abode in Armenia until his death towards the end of Mu‘âwiyah’s caliphate. It is held by others that Mu‘âwiyah himself led the invasion with these two in his company, that he then conferred its governorship on Ṣafwân, who lived in it until his death. After stopping in Malaṭyah in the year 133, Constantine the “tyrant” camped around Shimshâṭ with hostile intentions, but effected nothing. After making a raid on the surrounding places, he departed. Shimshâṭ was included in the *kharâj*-land until the time of al-Mutawakkil who changed it into a tithe-land, putting it on the same level with the other frontier fortresses.

Kamkh. After the conquest of Shimshâṭ, Ḥabîb ibn-

¹ Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 319.

Maslamah attacked Ḥiṣn Kamkh¹ but failed to reduce it. Ṣafwān too attacked it and failed. In the year 59—the year in which he died—Ṣafwān made another attempt on it, at which time he was accompanied by ‘Umair ibn-al-Ḥubāb² as-Sulami, who climbed the wall and kept struggling single-handed until the Greeks gave way and the Moslems climbed up. Thus the reduction of Kamkh was due to ‘Umair ibn-al-Ḥubāb and was the thing in which he boasted and others boasted for him. Later, however, the Greeks succeeded in taking it; but it was recaptured by Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik. Thus the fort passed back and forth from the hands of the Moslems to the hands of the Greeks until the year 149 in which al-Mansūr left Baghīdād for Ḥadīthat al-Mauṣil from which he sent al-Ḥasan ibn-Ḳalṭabah and after him Muḥammad ibn-al-Ash‘ath, both under the leadership of al-‘Abbās ibn-Muḥammad, for the invasion of Kamkh. Muḥammad ibn-al-Ash‘ath died at 185 Ḵāmid.³ Al-‘Abbās and al-Ḥasan advanced to Maṭāyah⁴ from which they took provisions, and then camped around Kamkh. Al-‘Abbās ordered that mangonels be set upon the fort. The holders of the fort covered it with cypress wood to protect it against the mangonel stones, and killed by the stones they hurled two hundred Moslems. The Moslems then set their mantelets⁵ and fought severely until they captured it. Among those in the company of al-‘Abbās ibn-Muḥammad ibn-‘Ali in this campaign was Maṭār al-Warrāk. Once more the Greeks took Kamkh fort, and in the year 177 an attack against it was led by Muḥammad ibn-

¹ Ḥaukal, pp. 129, 130.

² Cf. Maḥāsin, vol. i. p. 204; Duraid, p. 187.

³ Diyārbakr.

⁴ Yākūt, vol. iv, pp. 633-634.

⁵ Ar. *dabbābah*; Zaidān, vol. i. p. 143.

‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-abi-‘Amrah-l-Ansârî, the ‘âmil of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Şâlîh over Shimshâṭ, which resulted in its reduction. The fort was entered on the 14th of Rabi‘ II, 177, and was held by the Moslems until the time of the civil war led by Muḥammad ibn-ar-Rashîd, at which time its holders fled away and the Greeks took possession of it. Some hold that the fort was delivered to the Greeks by ‘Ubaidallâh ibn-al-Akṭâ who, thereby, saved his son who was held by them as prisoner. In the caliphate of al-Ma’mûn, ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ṭâhir reduced it; and it was in the hands of the Moslems until certain Christians from Shimshâṭ, Kâlikâla together with Biḳrât ibn-Ashûṭ, the patrician of Khilâṭ, succeeded by subtle means in transferring it to the Greeks, and in this wise winning their favor which the Christians desired because they held crown-lands in the province of Shimshâṭ.

Malatyah. Ḥâbib ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri was sent by ‘Iyâḍ ibn-Ghanîm from Shimshâṭ to Malaṭyah¹ whose conquest he effected. The city was later lost to the Moslems. When Mu‘âwiyah became governor of Syria and Mesopotamia, he sent again Ḥâbib ibn-Maslamah who took it by force and stationed in it a Moslem company of horsemen to keep post on the frontier and a ‘âmil. When Mu‘âwiyah visited it on his way to the land of the Greeks, he stationed in it a garrison from Syria, Mesopotamia and other places. It became one of the headquarters for the summer expeditions. In the days of ‘Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubâir, its inhabitants having left it, the Greeks came and devastated it; but they soon after evacuated it, and it was occupied by Armenian and Nabatean [Aramean] Christians.

Turandah. Muḥammad ibn-Sâ‘d from al-Wâkidi:— After its invasion by ‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik in the

¹ Yâkût, vol. iv, pp. 633-634.

year 83, the Moslems settled in Turandah¹ and built their houses in it. This Turandah is three days' journey from Malatyah and lies in the interior of the Byzantine Empire. Malatyah at this time was in ruins and inhabited by only a few Armenian *dhimmis* and others. In summer, a detachment of troops from Mesopotamia would come and stay in it until the rain and snow began to fall, at which time they would return. When 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz became 186 caliph, he made the inhabitants of Turandah, against their will, evacuate it, because he feared a raid of the enemy upon them. As they left, they carried away everything on their backs, leaving nothing behind and breaking even the jars of oil and vinegar. 'Umar settled them in Malatyah and destroyed Turandah, making Ja'wanah ibn-al-Hârith of the banu-'Âmir ibn-Şa'sâ'ah the governor of Malatyah.

The Greeks descend upon Malatyah. In the year 123, some 20,000 Greeks made a descent on Malatyah. Its inhabitants closed the gates; and the women appeared on the wall with turbans on their heads and took part in the fight. The people of Malatyah then sent a messenger to appeal for help. He rode on a post-mule and came to Hishâm ibn-'Abd-al-Malik who was then at ar-Ruṣâfah. Hishâm summoned the Moslems to the help of Malatyah, but hearing that the Greeks had withdrawn from it, he communicated the news to the messenger and sent him with horsemen to remain at the frontier in readiness for the enemy. Hishâm led an expedition in person, after which he alighted in Malatyah where he lay encamped until it was built. On his way, he passed through ar-Rakkâh which he entered with his sword at his side. This was the first time in his rule in which he carried his sword.

It is reported by al-Wâkîdi that in the year 133, Constan-

¹ Yâkût, vol. iii. p. 534.

tine the "tyrant" directed his march to Malatyah. Kamkh at that time was in Moslem hands; and its governor was one of the banu-Sulaim. The people of Kamkh having sent a call to the people of Malatyah for succor, 800 horsemen sallied forth from it to meet the Greeks. The Greek cavalry defeated them after a battle, and Constantine camped around Malatyah and invested it. At this time, Mesopotamia was the scene of a civil war and its 'âmil Mûsa ibn-Kâ'b was at Harrân. Therefore, when the people of Malatyah sent a messenger soliciting aid, nobody came. Hearing that, Constantine addressed the people of Malatyah saying, "O people of Malatyah, I would not have come to you had I not realized your state and the fact that your authorities [*sultân*] are too busy to help you. Make peace therefore with me and leave the city that I may destroy it and go my way." The people did not comply with his demand; so he set the mangonels. The siege was pressed so hard and the inhabitants were so exhausted that they asked Constantine for safe-conduct, which request he accepted. As they prepared to leave, they carried every light thing they could and threw what was too heavy into wells and hiding places. As they made their way out, all the Greeks stood in two rows from the city gates to the end of the line, with their swords unsheathed and the point of the one sword on the point of the one opposite to it, thus making an arch. The Greeks saw them off until they got to their place of safety, upon which they turned toward Mesopotamia where they settled in various places. Malatyah was then razed to the ground by the Greeks, who left nothing but a granary of which only one side was damaged. *Hişn* *Ḳalûdhiyah* was also destroyed by them.

Malatyah rebuilt. In the year 139, al-Mansûr wrote to Sâlih ibn-'Ali ordering him to rebuild and fortify Malatyah. He then deemed it best to send 'Abd-al-Wahhâb ibn-

Ibrâhîm al-Imâm as governor over Mesopotamia and its frontier fortresses. Accordingly, 'Abd-al-Wahhâb started in the year 140 at the head of troops from Khurâsân and was accompanied by al-Hasan ibn-Kâltabâh. He ordered the people of Syria and Mesopotamia to furnish contingents of troops, which they did to the number of 70,000. With these, he marched to the site of Malaťyah, gathered workmen from various places and started the construction. Al-Hasan ibn-Kâltabâh himself would sometimes carry a stone and hand it over to the mason. He would also provide the workers with dinners and suppers at his own expense, opening his kitchens to the public. 'Abd-al-Wahhâb was displeased at this and wrote to abu-Jâ'far stating that he ['Abd-al-Wahhâb] gave food to the people, but al-Hasan distributed many times more, his aim being to contend with him for superiority in beneficence, to spoil what he did, and to disparage him by means of extravagance and hypocrisy: and that al-Hasan had special heralds to go round calling people to his meals. To this, abu-Jâ'far replied, "Boy, al-Hasan feeds people on his own account: and thou feedest them on mine. What thou hast written was due to thy ignominy, deficient energy and base-mindedness." In the meantime, he wrote to al-Hasan: "Feed the people, but do not use a herald." Al-Hasan used to announce to the workmen that he who, in building a wall, got first to the crown of a cornice would receive so much." This made them put forth special effort to finish the work; and thus was Malaťyah with its mosque rebuilt in 6 months. For every group of ten to fifteen troops in the army, he built a house of two rooms below and two rooms above and a stable. At a distance of thirty miles from the city, he built a frontier castle and another on a rivulet called Kubâkib that empties its water into the Euphrates. Al-Mansûr settled in Malaťyah 4,000 fighters from Mesopotamia, Malaťyah being one of

the Mesopotamian frontier towns, adding to each man's stipend ten *dinârs*, and giving to each a bounty of one hundred *dinârs*, in addition to the pay allotted to the different tribes. 188 He stationed in the town the necessary garrison, assigned farms to the troops as fief and built the Kalûdhiyah fort.

Constantine desists from Jailhân. Constantine the "tyrant", at the head of an army of more than 100,000 men, came to Jailhân; but hearing of the great number of the Arabs, he desisted from it.

Naṣr ibn-Mâlik and Naṣr ibn-Sâ'd accompany 'Abd-al-Wahhâb. I heard it said that 'Abd-al-Wahhâb was accompanied in the expedition mentioned above by Naṣr ibn-Mâlik al-Khuzâ'i and Naṣr ibn-Sâ'd al-Kâtib, a freedman of al-Anṣâr. Hence the poet's words:

"Thou hadst on thy sides two Naṣrs: Naṣr ibn-Mâlik and Naṣr ibn-Sâ'd,
may thy victory [Ar. *naṣr*] be unparalleled!"

Muhammad ibn-Ibrâhîm goes against Malaṭyah. In the year 141, Muhammad ibn-Ibrâhîm was sent to invade Malaṭyah at the head of an army from the people of Khurâsân, with al-Musaiyab ibn-Zuhair leading the choice men of the army. He posted a body of horsemen in Malaṭyah so that the enemy should not covet its possession. Those of its old inhabitants who survived returned to it.

Ar-Rashîd humiliates the Greeks. In the days of ar-Rashîd, the Greeks attempted the conquest of Malaṭyah but to no avail. Ar-Rashîd led an invasion, overcame and humiliated them.

Mar'ash. When abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh was in Manbij, he sent Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd to the region of Mar'ash¹ whose fort Khâlid seized on the condition that its

¹ Germanicia. Mas'ûdi, vol. viii, p. 295; Haukal, p. 62.

holders be allowed to emigrate to another place, after which he destroyed it. When Sufyán ibn-‘Auf al- Ghāmīdī made an expedition against the Greeks in the year 30, he started from Mar‘ash and made a tour in the land of the Greeks. Mar‘ash was built by Mu‘āwiya and populated by him with troops. After the death of Yazid ibn-Mu‘āwiya, the Greeks reiterated their attacks on the city and so the inhabitants had to desert it. ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān, after the death of his father, Marwān ibn-al-Hakam, and after asserting his claim upon the caliphate, made terms with the Greeks, agreeing to pay them a certain sum. But in the year 74, Muḥammad ibn-Marwān attacked the Greeks, and thus the peace was broken.

In the year 75, Muḥammad ibn-Marwān once more led the summer campaign, and the Greeks went forth in Jumāda I from Mar‘ash to al-Āmāk [valleys]. The Moslems marched against them under Abān ibn-al-Valid ibn-‘Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu‘āiṭ accompanied by Dīnār ibn-Dīnār, a freedman of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān and a governor of Kinnasrin and its districts. The two armies met in ‘Āmāk [valley] Mar‘ash where a fierce battle was fought, resulting in the defeat of the Greeks. The Moslems chased them, massacring and capturing. In this same year, Dīnār came across a band of Greeks at Jisr [bridge] Yaghra about ten miles from Shimshāt, and routed them. Later al-‘Abbās ibn al-Valid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik came to Mar‘ash, built it, fortified it, moved people into it and erected in it a cathedral mosque. He imposed upon the people of Kinnasrin a contingent of troops to be sent to Mar‘ash.

When Marwān ibn-Muḥammad during his caliphate was busy fighting against Hims, the Greeks came against Mar‘ash and invested it until its inhabitants made terms to evacuate it. Accordingly, they together with their families left for Mesopotamia and the district of Kinnasrin, upon which

the Greeks destroyed the city. The 'āmit of Marwān over the city was at that time al-Kauthar ibn Zufar ibn al-Hārith al-Kilabi and the "tyrant" was Constantine son of Leon.¹ When Marwān was through with Hims and had destroyed its wall, he sent an army to rebuild Mar'ash. It was rebuilt and made into a city; but the Greeks led an insurrection and destroyed it.

In the caliphate of abu Ja'far al-Mansūr, Sālih ibn 'Ali rebuilt Mar'ash and fortified it. He invited men to settle in it, promising to increase their stipends. He was succeeded by al-Mahdi who increased its garrison and armed the people.

Muhammad ibn Sa'd — from al-Wākidī — Mikha'il [Michael] set out from Darb al-Hadath at the head of 80,000 men and came to 'Amik Mar'ash, killing, burning and carrying away the Moslems as captives. Thence he advanced to the gate of the city of Mar'ash in which there was 'Isā ibn 'Ali who in that year was on an expedition. The freedmen of 'Isā together with the inhabitants of the city and their troops sallied out against Michael and showered on him their lances and arrows. Michael gave way before them and they followed him until they were outside the city range; at which he turned upon them, killing eight of 'Isā's freedmen and chasing the rest back to the city. Having gone in, they closed its gates and Michael, after investing the city, departed and stopped at Jaihsūn. When Thūmāmah ibn al-Walid al-'Absī, who was then in Dabik and who in the year 161 led the summer expedition, heard of that, he despatched against Michael a strong detachment of cavalry most of whom lost their lives. This aroused the anger of al-Mahdi who began preparations for sending al-Hasan ibn Kalītabah on an expedition in the following year, i. e., 162.

¹ Ar. Kūstantīn ibn Alym. He was the successor of Heraclius. Mahāsim, vol. i, p. 84; Athr, vol. ii, p. 444.

Hiṣn al-Hadath and Darb al-Hadath. Hiṣn al-Hadath was one of the places reduced in the days of ‘Umar by Ḥabib ibn-Maslama who was sent by ‘Iyād ibn-Ghanīm. After that, Mu‘awiyah used to pay frequent attention to it. Darb al-Hadath was ominously called by the banū-Umayyah 190 “as-Salāmah” [safety] because they suffered a great calamity in it, the calamity being, according to some, the occurrence implied in the term ḥadath [which means occurrence]. Others assert that the Moslems met on the way a youth who fought against them with his companions, hence the name Darb al-Hadath.¹

At the time of the insurrection of Marwān ibn-Muhammad, the Greeks went and destroyed the city of al-Hadath and drove its people out as they had done in the case of Malaṭyah.

In the year 161, Michael went out to ‘Amlāq Mar‘ash, and al-Mahdi directed al-Ḥasan ibn-Kaḥṭabah to make a tour in the Byzantine Empire. Al-Ḥasan’s hand lay so heavily upon the people that they put his picture in their churches. His entrance to the land of the Greeks [Asia Minor] was through Darb al-Hadath where he examined the site of its city [al-Hadath] which he was told was evacuated by Michael. Al-Ḥasan chose that site for his city, and when he departed he spoke to al-Mahdi regarding the reconstruction of this city as well as that of Tarsūs. Al-Mahdi gave orders that al-Hadath be built first. Among the companions of al-Ḥasan in this campaign were Mādāl al-‘Anazi² —the Kufite traditionist, and Mu‘tamir ibn-Sulaimān al-Baṣrī. Al-Ḥadath was rebuilt by ‘Ali ibn-Sulaimān ibn-‘Ali, the governor of Mesopotamia and Kinnasrin, and was called al-Muḥammadiyah. The death of al-Mahdi

¹ “The pass of the youth.”

² Cf. Dhahabī, *Musṭabīh*, p. 377.

coincided with the completion of its building, so it is really al-Mahdiyah as well as al-Muhammadiyah. Brick was the material used in its construction. The death of al-Mahdi fell in the year 169.

Al-Mahdi was succeeded by his son Mûsa-l-Hâdi who dismissed 'Ali ibn-Sulaimân and conferred the governorship of Mesopotamia and Kinnasrin upon Muhammâd ibn-Ibrâhim ibn-Muhammâd ibn-'Ali. Since 'Ali ibn-Sulaimân had by this time completed the building of the city of al-Hadath, Muhammâd assigned to it troops from Syria, Mesopotamia and Khurâsân, fixing forty *dînârs* as the stipend of each soldier. To these he assigned the houses as fiefs, and bestowed three hundred *dirhams* on every one of them. The city was completed in 169.

According to abu-l-Khattâb, 'Ali ibn-Sulaimân assigned 4,000 paid troops to al-Hadath and settled them in it, transferring 2,000 men into it from Malatyah, Shimshât, Sumaisât, Kaisûm, Dulûk and Ra'bân.

It was stated by al-Wâkîdi that when the building of al-Hadath was completed, winter set in and rain and snow fell in great quantities. The houses of the city, not being strongly built or provided with the necessary precautions, had their walls soon covered with cracks and fell to pieces. The Greeks then occupied it and the troops together with the people that were in it were scattered. Hearing that, Mûsa conscripted a contingent of troops headed by al-Musaiyab [not al-Musaiyib] ibn-Zuhair, another by Rauh ibn-Hâtim and still another by Hamzah ibn-Mâlik. Mûsa, however, died before they were sent out.

After that, ar-Rashid became caliph, and he gave orders to rebuild the city, fortify it, station a garrison in it and assign to its fighters dwellings and lands as fiefs.

It was stated by others than al-Wâkîdi that when al-Hadath was built, one of the great patricians of the Greeks

made a descent upon it with a strong host. The city was built with bricks, one placed on top of the other, without mortar intervening and which were damaged by the snow. The 'âmil with all those in the city took to flight, and the enemy entered it, putting its mosque to flames, destroying the city and carrying away the movable possessions of the people. When ar-Rashid became caliph, he rebuilt it.

I was informed by one from Manbij that ar-Rashid wrote to Muḥammad ibn-Ibrâhim confirming him in the work he was doing. Thus the erection of the city of al-Ḥadath and its peopling were carried out by him on behalf of ar-Rashid. Later, Muḥammad was dismissed by ar-Rashid.

Rahwat Mâlik. In the year 46, Mâlik ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Khath‘ami, nicknamed Mâlik aş-Şawâ’if [summer expeditions] and who was a Palestinian, made an expedition to the Byzantine territory and returned with great booty. On his way back he stopped at a place called ar-Rahwat, fifteen miles from Darb al-Ḥadath. There he spent three days during which he sold the booty and divided its shares. Therefore the place was called Rahwat Mâlik.

Marj ‘Abd-al-Wâhid. Marj ‘Abd-al-Wâhid was a pasture-land devoted to the exclusive use of the Moslem cavalry, which after the erection of al-Ḥadath and Zibaṭrah was of no more use and therefore was changed into a sown land.

Zibaṭrah. Zibaṭrah was an old Greek fort that was reduced together with the old Ḥadath¹ fort by Ḥabib ibn-Maslamaḥ-l-Fihri. The fort stood until it was destroyed by the Greeks in the days of al-Walid ibn-Yazid. It was then rebuilt, but not so strongly, therefore the Greeks made another attack on it at the time of the insurrection of Mar-

¹ Caetani, vol. iv, p. 60, note 1.

wân ibn-Muhammad and destroyed it. Al-Manṣûr built it again and it was once more torn into pieces by the Greeks. It was then rebuilt by ar-Rashîd under the supervision of Muḥammad ibn-ibrâhîm who stationed a garrison in it. When al-Ma'mûn became caliph, the Greeks made another descent on it and tore it into pieces, after which they made a raid on the pasturing cattle of its holders and carried away some cattle. Al-Ma'mûn gave orders for repairing and fortifying it. In the year 210, the deputies of the Greek "tyrant" came asking for peace, which al-Ma'mûn refused. In pursuance of his orders, his 'âmîls [lieutenants] in the frontier fortresses made tours in Asia Minor where they wrought heavy slaughter, subdued the land and won many brilliant victories. One misfortune was the loss of the life of Yakzân ibn-'Abd-al-A'la ibn-Ahmad ibn-Yazîd ibn-Asîd as-Sulâmi.

In the days of al-Mu'tâsim-Billâh abu-İshâk ibn-ar-Rashîd, the Greeks made a sally against Zibaṭrah¹ in the course of which they killed the men, captured the women and destroyed the city. This greatly aroused the anger of al-Mu'tâsim who chased them as far as 'Ammûriyah, destroying many forts on the way. He camped against 'Ammûriyah until he reduced it, putting its fighters to death and carrying off the women and children as prisoners. He then destroyed 'Ammûriyah, and ordered that Zibaṭrah be rebuilt. He also fortified and garrisoned it. The Greeks after that tried to reduce it but failed.

Hisn Manṣûr. According to abu-'Amr al-Bâhili and others the Manṣûr fort was named after Manṣûr ibn-Jâwanah ibn-al-Hârith al-'Âmîri of Kâis who had charge of building and repairing it, and who occupied it in the days of Marwân with a large host of the troops of Syria and Mesopotamia in order to repulse the enemy.

¹Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 914.

This same Manṣûr was governor of ar-Ruha when its inhabitants rebelled in the early part of the [Abbasid] dynasty and were besieged by al-Manṣûr, the 'âmil of abu-l-'Abbâs over Mesopotamia and Armenia. When al-Manṣûr captured the city, Manṣûr took to flight; but when he was later given safe-conduct, he appeared on the scene. When 'Abdallâh ibn-'Ali dismissed abu-Ja'far al-Manṣûr, 'Abdallâh made Manṣûr the chief of the guard in his district. When 'Abdallâh fled to al-Bâṣrah, Manṣûr disappeared but was discovered in the year 141 and brought before al-Manṣûr, who, on his way from Jerusalem, put him to death at ar-Râkîkah. According to others, Manṣûr was given safe-conduct and appeared after the flight of [Abdallâh] ibn-'Ali. After this there were found letters on him directed to the Greeks and betraying Islâm. When al-Manṣûr, in the year 141, arrived at ar-Râkîkah from Jerusalem, he sent someone who brought him; and he was beheaded at ar-Râkîkah. Al-Manṣûr then departed for al-Hâshimiyah¹ at al-Kûfah.

In the caliphate of al-Mahdi, ar-Rashîd built the Manṣûr fort and stationed a garrison in it.

¹ Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 946; *Baghdâd under the Abbasid Caliphate*, p. 5.

CHAPTER IV

ARABIC MADE THE LANGUAGE OF THE STATE REGISTERS 193

GREEK remained the language of the state registers¹ until the reign of 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân, who in the year 81 ordered it changed. The reason was that a Greek clerk desiring to write something and finding no ink urined in the inkstand. Hearing this, 'Abd-al-Malik punished the man and gave orders to Sulaimân ibn-Sa'd to change the language of the registers. Sulaimân requested 'Abd-al-Malik to give him as subsidy the *kharâj* of the Jordan province for one year. 'Abd-al-Malik granted his request and assigned him to the governorship of the Jordan. No sooner had the year ended, than the change of the language was finished and Sulaimân brought the registers to 'Abd-al-Malik. The latter called Sarjûn [Sergius] and presented to him the new plan. Sarjûn was greatly chagrined and left 'Abd-al-Malik sorrowful. Meeting certain Greek clerks, he said to them, "Seek your livelihood in any other profession than this, for God has cut it off from you."

The total tax of the Jordan which was thus assigned as subsidy² was 180,000 *dînârs*, that of Palestine was 350,000; that of Damascus 400,000; that of Hims with Kinnasrîn and the regions called to-day al-'Awâsim, 800,000, and according to others 700,000.

¹ Ar. *diwân* which may also be used in the sense of office or bureau.

² Ar. *ma'ânah*: see Mubarrad, *Kâmil*, p. 76, last line.

PART IV
ARMENIA

CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF ARMENIA

TRADITIONS have been communicated to me by Muḥammad ibn-Ismā‘il of Bardha‘ah and others on the authority of abu-Barā’ ‘Anbasah ibn-Baḥr al-Armani; by Muḥammad ibn-Bishr al-Ḵāli on the authority of his *sheikhs*; by Barmak ibn-‘Abdallāh ad-Dabili, Muḥammad ibn-al-Mukhaiyis al-Khilāti and others on the authority of some well versed in the affairs of Armenia. These traditions I here-with transmit, having pieced them up together into one whole, to wit:—

The four provinces. Shimshāṭ, Kālikaṭala, Khilāṭ Arjish and Bajunais constituted Armenia IV; the district of al-Busfurrajān [Waspurakan], Dabil [Dwin], Sirāj Tair and Baghrawand constituted Armenia III; Jurzān [Georgia] constituted Armenia II; as-Sisajān and Arrān constituted Armenia I.¹ According to others, Shimshāṭ alone constituted Armenia IV; Kālikaṭala, Khilāṭ, Arjish and Bajunais, Armenia III; Sirāj Tair, Baghrawand, Dabil, and al-Busfurrajān, Armenia II; and as-Sisajān, Arrān [Albania], and Taflīs, Armenia I.² Jurzān and Arrān were held by the Khazar, while the rest of Armenia was held by the Greeks under the governorship of “the Lord of Armaniyākuṣ”.

Kubādh ibn-Fairūz builds many cities. Al-Khazar used from time to time to make raids and reach as far as ad-Dinawar. Because of it, Kubādh ibn-Fairūz *al-Malik*³

194

¹ *The Encyclopaedia of Islām*, vol. i, p. 444.

² Khurdādhbih, pp. 122-123.

³ *i. e.*, the king. He belonged to the Sassanian Dynasty.

despatched one of his great generals at the head of 12,000 men, who ravaged the land of Arrân and conquered the region lying between ar-Rass river and Sharwân. Қubâdh then followed him and built in Arrân the city of al-Bailakân, the city of Bardha'ah—which is the capital of the whole frontier region, and the city of Қabalâh, *i. e.*, al-Khazar. After that he erected Sudd al-Libn [brick dam] lying between the land of Sharwân and al-Lan gate. Along this Sudd, he established 360 cities which fell into ruins after the erection of the city of al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb.

Anûshirwân builds other cities. Қubâdh was succeeded by his son Anûshirwân Kisra who built the cities of ash-Shâbirân and Maskat, and later al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb¹ which was called Abwâb because it was built on a road in the mountain. He settled in the places he built a people whom he called as-Siyâsijûn.² In the land of Arrân, he established Abwâb Shakkan,³ al-Қamîbarân, and Abwâb ad-Dûdâniyah. Ad-Dûdâniyah are a tribe who claim to be descended from the banu-Dûdân ibn-Asad ibn-Khuaimah. He also built ad-Durdhûkiyah⁴ which consisted of twelve gates,⁵ each one of which was a castle of stone. In the land of Jurzân he established a city, Sughdabil, which he populated with a body of as-Sughd [Sogdians] and Persians, making it a fortified town. Next to the Greek lands in the region of Jurzân, he built a castle and called it Bâb Fairûzi-қubâdh; another called Bâb Lâdhiqâh; still another Bâb

¹ Derbend. See Meynard, *Dictionnaire de la Perse*, p. 68; Hamadhâni, pp. 286-288; Haukal, pp. 241-242.

² Cf. St. Martin, *Mémoires sur l'Arménie*, vol. i, pp. 207-214.

³ Hamadhâni, p. 288, "Shakka"; Yâkût, "Shaka"; Haukal, p. 254, "Shakka".

⁴ Hamadhâni, p. 288, "ad-Durzûkiyah"; St. Martin, vol. ii, p. 189.

⁵ Cf. Hamadhâni, p. 288.

Bârikâh which lies on the Tarabazundah sea [Black Sea]. He also erected Bâb al-Lân, Bâb Samsakhi,¹ al-Jardamân fort, and Samshulda fort. Moreover, Anûshirwân conquered all the forts of Armenia held by the Greeks, built and fortified the city of Dabil, built an-Nashawa—the capital of the al-Busfurrajân district, the fort of Waïş and other castles in the land of as-Sisajân including al-Kilâb and Sâhyûnis castles. In the forts and castles, he stationed Siyâsijîyah men noted for valor and efficiency in warfare.

Anûshirwân builds a wall between his domain and that of the Turks. Anûshirwân then wrote to the king of the Turks asking for reconciliation and peace and for action in unison. In order to assure him of his friendliness, Anûshirwân sought his daughter's hand and expressed a desire to be his son-in-law. Meanwhile, he sent him a maid of his, who was adopted by one of his wives, and said she was his daughter. Consequently, the Turk presented his daughter to Anûshirwân and came to see him. The two met at al-Barshaliyah where they caroused together for some days, and each felt the other was friendly to him and expressed his loyalty. Anûshirwân ordered some of his friends in whom he confided to wait for nightfall and set fire to a part of the Turkish camp, which they did. In the morning, the Turkish king complained to Anûshirwân; but the latter denied having ordered it or known that his men had done it. After a few nights, Anûshirwân gave his orders to repeat the act, and his men did. The Turk grumbled so much at the act that Anûshirwân had to show sympathy for him and apologized to him, upon which he was appeased. By order of Anûshirwân, fire was set in a corner of his own camp where nothing but cottages of straw and twigs stood. In the morning, Anûshirwân grumbled to the Turk, saying,

¹ Brosset, *Histoire de la Géorgie*, vol. i, p. 238.

“Thy men were on the point of destroying my camp; and thou rewardest me by throwing suspicion upon me!” The Turk swore that he knew no reason for the act, upon which Anûshirwân addressed him, saying, “Brother, thy troops and mine look with disfavor on the peace we made, because they have thereby lost the booty depending on razzias and wars that might be carried out between us. I fear they undertake things to corrupt our hearts after our mutual agreement of sincerity, so that we may once more have recourse to enmity after our new blood relationship and our friendship. I deem it wise, therefore, that thou allowest me to build a wall between thee and me with one gate through which none from us will go to you and from you to us, except the ones thou wishest and we wish.” The Turk accepted the proposal and left for his own land.

Anûshirwân commenced building the wall. He built the side of it that faced the sea with rock and lead. Its width he made 300 *dhirâs*, and its height reached the mountain heights. He ordered that stones be carried in boats and dropped into the sea, so that when they appeared above the surface, he could build on them. The wall extended over a distance of three miles in the sea. When the construction was completed, he fixed on its entrance iron gates and entrusted it to one hundred horsemen to guard it. Before this, it took 50,000 troops to guard the place. On this wall he also set a mantelet. The Khaâkân¹ was later told, “Anûshirwân has deceived thee and given thee for wife one who is not his daughter and fortified himself against thee”; but Khaâkân was no match for such wiles.

Anûshirwân assigns kings. Anûshirwân assigned kings, stationed them in different districts, and conferred on each one of them the governorship [made him Shâh] of one dis-

¹ The Turkish king.

trict. One of these was “*Khaḳān al-Jabal*” [lord of the mountain] who bore the title “*Şâhib as-Sarîr*”¹ [holder of the throne] and was named *Wahrâzân-shâh*. Another was the king of *Filân* surnamed *Filân-shâh*. Others were *Tabarsarân-shâh*, the king of *al-Lakz*—surnamed *Jarshân-shâh*—the king of *Maskat* (whose kingship has been abolished), the king of *Lîrân*—surnamed *Lîrân-shâh*—and the king of *Sharwân* called *Sharwân-shâh*. He also made the chief of *Bukh*² its king, and the chief of *Zirîkirân* its king. The kings of *Jabal al-Kabâk*³ he left over their kingdoms and made terms with them, stipulating that they pay an annual tribute. 197

Thus Armenia was in the hands of the Persians until the appearance of *Islâm*, at which time many *Siyâsijûn* abandoned their forts and cities which fell into ruins. The *Khazar* and Greeks thus got possession of what was once in their hands.

Kâlikala. At a certain period, the Greek princes were scattered about and some of them became like *Mulûk at-Tawâ'if*,⁴ and one ruled over *Armaniyâkûs*. After the death of the latter, his wife succeeded him and her name was *Kâli*.⁵ She built the city of *Kâlikala*⁶ which she named *Kâlikâlah*. The meaning of the word is “the benevolence of *Kâli*.” She set her picture on one of the city gates. The Arabs arabicized *Kâlikâlah* into *Kâlikala*.

Kâlikala reduced. When ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân became caliph, he wrote to Mu‘âwiyah, his ‘âmil over Syria and

¹ *Iştakhri*, p. 191, note f; *Mas'ûdi*, vol. ii, pp. 41-42.

² St. Martin, vol. i, p. 76.

³ Cf. Meynard, p. 437, “*Qabq* (Caucase)’.

⁴ Petty kings among whom the Persian kingdom was divided after Alexander. *Tabari*, vol. i, pp. 704-713.

⁵ *Hamadhâni*, p. 292.

⁶ *Armen. Karin*, modern Erzerum.

Mesopotamia together with their frontier cities, ordering him to send Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-l-Fihri into Armenia.¹ Ḥabib had left a good impression in connection with the conquest of Syria and the invasion of the Greeks. This fact was fully realized by ‘Umar, by ‘Uthmān and by ‘Uthmān’s successor. Others say that ‘Uthmān wrote [directly] to Ḥabib ordering him to make an expedition against Armenia. The former view is more authentic. Accordingly, Ḥabib went against it at the head of 6,000, but according to another estimate, 8,000, of the people of Syria and Mesopotamia. Arriving in Kālikāla, he camped around it; and when its people came out against him, he fought them and drove them to the city. They then asked for peace, agreeing to evacuate the place and pay the tax. Many of them left the city and went as far as Asia Minor. Ḥabib remained in the city with his men for a few months. He then learned that the patrician of Armaniyyākus had massed a large army against the Moslems and was reinforced by troops from al-Lān, Afkhāz and from Samandar in al-Khazar. Therefore, he wrote to ‘Uthmān asking for reinforcement. ‘Uthmān wrote to Mu‘āwiyah asking him to send to Ḥabib a body of men from Syria and Mesopotamia interested in the “holy war” and booty. Accordingly, Mu‘āwiyah sent 2,000 men who were settled in Kālikāla, given fiefs and stationed as horsemen guard to keep post in it. At the receipt of Ḥabib’s request, ‘Uthmān also wrote to Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Āṣi ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-‘Āṣi ibn-Umaiyah, his ‘āmil over al-Kūfah, ordering him to reinforce Ḥabib with an army headed by Salmān ibn-Rabi‘ah-l-Bāhili who bore the title “Salmān al-Khail” [the Salmān of horsemen] and who was generous, benevolent and of a warlike nature. Salmān set out at the head of 6,000 Kufites. The Greeks

¹ Müller, *Der Islam in Morgen- und Abendland*, pp. 259-260.

and their followers had already arrived and encamped on the Euphrates, before Ḥabib received the reinforcement. Taking advantage of the night, the Moslems swept over them and killed their chief. That evening Ḥabib's wife, umm-‘Abdallâh, daughter of Yazid of the Kalb tribe, asked Ḥabib, "Where shall I meet thee?" To this, Ḥabib replied, "Either at the tents of the 'tyrant',¹ or in Paradise!" When he got to those tents he found her there.

When the Moslems were done with their enemy, Salmân returned. The Kufite troops wanted to have a share in the booty but were refused, which led into a verbal dispute between Ḥabib and Salmân. Some Moslems threatened Salmân with death, regarding which the poet said :

"If ye kill Salmân, we kill your Ḥabib;
and if ye depart towards ibn-‘Affân, we would also depart."²

‘Uthmân was communicated with, and he wrote back, "The spoils belong wholly to the Syrians by right." Meanwhile, he wrote to Salmân ordering him to invade Arrân.

It is reported by others that in the caliphate of ‘Uthmân, Salmân ibn-Rabi‘ah went to Armenia, made captives and plundered, returning in the year 25 to al-Walid ibn-‘Ukbah at Ḥadîthat al-Mauṣil. Al-Walid received a letter from ‘Uthmân informing him that Mu‘âwiyah had written him to the effect that the Greeks were gathered against the Moslems in great numbers, and that the Moslems wanted reinforcements, and ordering him to send 8,000 men.³ Accordingly, al-Walid sent 8,000 men under Salmân ibn-Rabi‘ah-1-Bâhili. Mu‘âwiyah sent an equal number under Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah-1-Fihri. The two leaders reduced many

¹ Referring to the Greek general.

² Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2893-2894.

³ *Ibid.*, vol. i, pp. 2807-2808.

forts, carried away many prisoners and fell to dispute regarding the general leadership. The Syrians wanted to kill Salmân, hence the verse quoted above. The former report, however, is more authentic and was orally communicated to me by many from Kâlikala and in writing by al-‘Aṭṭâf ibn-Sufyân abu-l-Asbagh, the *kâdi* of Kâlikala.

Habib kills al-Mauriyân. Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from 'Abd-al-Ḥamid ibn-Ja'far's father:—Habib ibn-Maslamah besieged the inhabitants of Dabil and camped around the city. Al-Mauriyân ar-Rûmi¹ came against him; but under the cover of the night, Ḥabib killed him and plundered what was in his camp. Salmân then joined Ḥabib. The authorities of this tradition believe that Ḥabib fell upon the Greek at Kâlikala. 199

Kûsân subdues Kâlikala. Muhammad ibn-Bishr al-Ḳâli and ibn-Warz al-Ḳâli from the *sheikhs* of Kâlikala:—Ever since its conquest, the city of Kâlikala held out against attacks until the year 133 in which “the tyrant” set out, besieged Malaṭyah, destroyed its wall and expelled the Moslems that were in it to Mesopotamia, after which he encamped at Marj al-Ḥaṣa whence he directed Kûsân al-Armani against Kâlikala. Kûsân came and invested the city, whose inhabitants at that time were few and whose 'âmil was abu-Karimah. In the course of the siege, two Armenian brothers who lived in the city made a breach through a rampart in its wall, went out to Kûsân and brought him in to the city. Thus Kûsân subdued the city, killed [many], took captives and razed it to the ground, carrying off what he plundered to “the tyrant”. The captives he distributed among his companions.

Al-Mansûr rebuilds and al-Mu'tâsim fortifies Kâlikala.

¹ Patrician of Armaniyyâkûs which province is listed in De Goeje's edition of Balâdhuri as a name of person.

According to al-Wâkîdi, in the year 139 al-Manṣûr gave ransom¹ for those of the captives of Kâlikâla who survived; and he rebuilt Kâlikâla, populated it, and returned them into it. He also invited to it troops from Mesopotamia and other places to live in it. In the caliphate of al-Mu'tâsim-Billâh, the Greek “tyrant” came to Kâlikâla and threw projectiles on its wall until it was on the point of falling. Thereupon al-Mu'tâsim had to spend 500,000 *dirhams* to make the city strong again.

The patricians of Khilât and Muks. After having captured Kâlikâla, Ḥabîb marched to Mirbâla where the patrician of Khilât brought him a statement written by 'Iyâd ibn-Ghanm, who had guaranteed to the patrician the security of his life, possessions and country and had concluded a treaty with him stipulating that the patrician should pay tax. Ḥabîb sanctioned the terms of the statement. He then occupied a house between al-Harak² and Dasht al-Warak. The patrician of Khilât brought him the money he owed and offered a present which Ḥabîb refused to accept. Ḥabîb then visited Khilât and passed to aṣ-Ṣabâbah [?] ³ where he was met by the chief of Muks,⁴ one of the districts of al-Busfurrajân. Ḥabîb made peace with him in exchange for an annual tax to be paid for his land, sent a man with him and wrote him a statement of peace and safety. 200

Arjîsh, Bâjunais and at-Tirrikh. To the villages of Arjîsh and Bâjunais, Ḥabîb sent a body of men who subdued them and laid poll-tax on them. The leading men of these villages came to Ḥabîb and made a treaty agreeing to

¹ Ar. *fâda bihim*; see Mawardi, pp. 82 and 232.

² St. Martin, vol. i, p. 101.

³ Original not clear.

⁴ St. Martin, vol. i, p. 175.

pay the *kharâj* on their lands. As for at-Tirîkh lake, he did not interfere with it, and it was used by the public until Muhammad ibn-Marwân ibn-al-Hakam became governor of Mesopotamia and Armenia, upon which he took possession of its fish and sold them, making an income out of it. The lake after that became the property of Marwân ibn-Muhammad and was thus lost to Muhammad.

Dabil and other towns sue for peace. Ḥabib now came to Azdisâṭ,¹ the chief village of al-Hurmuz,² crossed Nahr al-Akrâd and encamped at Marj Dabil. Thence he sent the cavalry against Dabil and marched until he reached its gate. The people took to the fortifications and threw projectiles on him. Ḥabib set a mangonel against the city and used it until they sued for peace and capitulation. This he granted them. His cavalry wandered around, occupied Jurna,³ reached as far as Ashûsh, dhâṭ-al-Lujum, al-Jabal Kûntah⁴ and Wâdi-l-Aḥrâr and subdued all the villages of Dabil. He also despatched a force against Sirâj Tair and against Baghrawand whose patrician came and made terms, agreeing to pay an annual tribute, to be loyal to the Moslems, to entertain them, and to aid them against the enemy. The text of the treaty with Dabil ran as follows:

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a treaty of Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah with the Christians, Magians and Jews of Dabil, including those present and absent. I have granted you safety for your lives, possessions, churches, places of worship, and city wall. Thus ye are safe and we are bound to fulfil our covenant, so long as ye fulfil yours and pay poll-tax and *kharâj*. Thereunto

¹ “Aschdischad,” St. Martin, vol. i, p. 101; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 199. “Ardashâṭ”.

² Balâdhuri reads “Kîrmîz,” which is a clerical error.

³ *Marâṣid*, vol. i, p. 25.

⁴ Original not clear.

Allah is witness; and it suffices to have him for witness.
Signed by Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah."

An-Nashawa and al-Busfurrajān. Ḥabib after this proceeded to an-Nashawa and took possession of it on terms similar to those of Dabil. The patrician of al-Busfurrajān came and made terms regarding all of his country together with the land of Haṣātīltah¹ [?] and Afāristah [?], agreeing to pay a certain tax every year.

As-Sisajān. Ḥabib then moved to as-Sisajān² whose people resisted him but were defeated. He also conquered Wais; and made terms with the holders of the forts at as-Sisajān to the effect that they pay tax. He then proceeded to Jurzān.

201

Dhāt-al-Lujum. I was informed by certain *sheikhs* from Dabil, among whom was Barmak ibn-‘Abdallāh, that Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah marched with his men bent on Jurzān. When they got to dhāt-al-Lujum, they left some of their horses and mules to graze, leaving their bridles together in one place. Suddenly, a band of the “uncircumcised” fell upon them before they could bridle their animals. In the fight that ensued, the “uncircumcised” drove the Moslems away and seized the bridles together with as many horses and mules as they could. Later, the Moslems returned to them, massacred them and took back what has been carried away from them. That is why this spot was called “dhāt-al-Lujum” [the place of the bridles].

As Ḥabib was advancing against the patrician of Jurzān, he was met by a messenger of the patrician and the inhabitants of the town, who presented a written message and asked for a treaty of peace and security. Accordingly, Ḥabib wrote to them:—

¹ Certain diacritical points missing.

² Meynard, p. 335.

“Your messenger, Nukla,¹ came to me and my companions ‘the Believers’ saying on your behalf that we are a nation whom Allah has honored and given superiority, which Allah did, great praise be to Allah, and prayer and peace be on Muḥammad his Prophet and noblest creature! Ye also stated that ye would like to make peace with us. As for your present, I have estimated its value and considered it a part of your tax. I have made a treaty of peace with you and inserted one condition in it. If ye accept the condition and live up to it, well and good. Otherwise ‘announce ye a war waged by Allah and his Prophet²’. Peace be to those who follow the proper guidance.”

The treaty with the people of Taflis. Ḥabib thence proceeded to Taflis [Tiflis] and made the following statement of peace to its people:—

“In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a statement from Ḥabib ibn-Maslamah to the inhabitants of Taflis which lies in Manjalis³ at Jurzān al-Hurmuz,⁴ securing them safety for their lives, churches, convents, religious services and faith, provided they acknowledge their humiliation and pay tax to the amount of one *dīnār* on every household. Ye are not to combine more than one household into one in order to reduce the tax, nor are we to divide the same household into more than one in order to increase it. Ye owe us counsel and support against the enemies of Allah and his Prophet to the utmost of your ability, and are bound to entertain the needy Moslem for one night and provide him with that food used by ‘the people of the Book’ and which it is legal for us to partake of.

¹ Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2674.

² Kor., 2:279.

³ Brosset, vol. i, pp. 245, 248.

⁴ and not “Kirmiz” as Balādhuri has it. See Tabari, vol. i, p. 2674.

If a Moslem is cut off from his companions and falls into your hands, ye are bound to deliver him to the nearest body of the 'Believers', unless something stands in the way. 202
 If ye return to the obedience ¹ of Allah and observe prayer, ye are our brethren in faith, otherwise poll-tax is incumbent on you. In case an enemy of yours attacks and subjugates you while the Moslems are too busy to come to your aid, the Moslems are not held responsible, nor is it a violation of the covenant with you. The above are your rights and obligations to which Allah and his angels are witness and it is sufficient to have Allah for witness."

The following is a copy of the treaty made by al-Jarrâḥ ibn-'Abdallâh-1-Ḥakami with the people of Taflis:

"In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful. This is a treaty made by al-Jarrâḥ ibn-'Abdallâh with the inhabitants of Taflis in the district of Manjalis and the province of Jurzân. They have shown me the treaty made with them by Ḥabîb ibn-Maslama to the effect that they accept the humiliation of the tax, and that he made terms with them regarding lands belonging to them, vineyards, and mills, called Awâra and Sabina in the district of Manjalis, and regarding Ta'âm and Dîdûna in the district of Kûlûwît in the province of Jurzân, stipulating that they pay on these mills and vineyards a tax of 100 *dirhams* per annum without repeating it. These terms of peace and security I put into effect and ordered that the sum be never increased on them. Let no one, therefore, to whom this my treaty is read increase the tax on them; so Allah wills. Written by—."

Various places conquered by Ḥabîb. Ḥabîb conquered Hawârih, Kasfaryabs [?],² Kisâl, Khunân, Samsakhi, al-

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2675: "become Moslem".

² Lacking in diacritical points.

Jardamân, Kastasji,¹ Shaushit,² and Bâzalît,³ which capitulated on the terms that the lives of the inhabitants be spared, that places of worship and their walls be not molested and that they pay annual tribute on their lands and persons. The people of Kalarjît, Tharyâlît,⁴ Khâkhît,⁵ Khûkhît,⁶ Arṭâhâl,⁷ and Bâb al-Lâl⁸ also made terms with Ḥabib. Aṣ-Ṣanâriyah and ad-Dûdâniyah made terms, agreeing to pay an annual tax.

203

Al-Bailakân. By order of ‘Uthmân, Salmân ibn-Râbi‘ah-l-Bâhili proceeded to Arrân. Here he conquered the city of al-Bailakân which capitulated on terms stipulating that he guarantee the safety of their lives, possessions and city walls, and that they pay poll-tax and *kharâj*.

Bardha‘ah and other places. Thence Salmân advanced to Bardha‘ah and camped on ath-Thurthûr⁹ river which flows at a distance of less than one parasang from the city. The inhabitants closed their city gates against him; and he made an attempt on it for many days, making raids on its villages. It was the time for reaping the harvest. At last, its people made terms similar to those of al-Bailakân and opened their gates. Thus he made his entrance and occupied the city. Salmân then sent his cavalry which conquered Shifshîn, al-Misfawâن, Ūdh, al-Miṣryâن,¹⁰ al-Hur-

¹ Brosset, vol. i, p. 512.

² St. Martin: "Schauscheth"; cf. Kazwîni, vol. ii, p. 413, line 20.

³ Brosset, vol. i, pp. 45, 86.

⁴ "Thrialeth," Brosset, vol. i, pp. 248, 285.

⁵ "Kakheth" in Brosset, *l. l.*

⁶ "Kukhet," Brosset, vol. i, pp. 315, 349; St. Martin, vol. ii, p. 198.

⁷ Brosset, vol. i, p. 39.

⁸ St. Martin, vol. ii, p. 227.

⁹ St. Martin, vol. i, p. 87.

¹⁰ Text not clear.

ḥilyān and Tabār, all of which are districts. Other places in Arrān were reduced. The Kurds of al-Balāṣajān¹ he summoned to Islām; but they fought against him and were subjugated. Some were made to pay tax and others *sadakah*; but the latter were few.

Shamkūr. I was informed by some people from Bardha‘ah that Shamkūr was an ancient city to which Salmān ibn-Rabī‘ah sent someone who reduced it. It was well populated and flourishing until it was destroyed by as-Sāwardiyah, who after the departure of Yazīd ibn-Usaid from Armenia came together and became a source of trouble and misfortune. In the year 240, the city was rebuilt by Bugha, the freedman of al-Mu‘taṣim and the governor of Armenia, Adharbajān and Shimshāt. He settled in it people from al-Khazar who, because of their interest in Islām came, and sought security. He also transplanted merchants to it from Bardha‘ah and called it al-Mutawakkiliyah.

Kabalah and other places. Salmān thence advanced to the junction of ar-Rass and al-Kurr behind Bardij. Crossing al-Kurr he reduced Kabalah; and the chief of Shakkan and al-Ḳamībarān capitulated, agreeing to pay annual tax. In like manner did the people of Khaizān,² the king of Sharwān and the other kings of al-Jibāl, the people of Mas-kaṭ, ash-Shābirān and the city of al-Bāb capitulate. The city of al-Bāb was closed after him. Khākān with his cavalry met Salmān beyond al-Balanjar river. The latter was killed with 4,000 Moslems who in that critical position were heard shouting “Allah is great!”³

¹ Yākūt, vol. i, p. 173, and vol. ii, p. 780.

² St. Martin, vol. i, pp. 175 seq.; Yākūt, vol. ii, p. 507: “Khaizār”; Mas‘ūdi, vol. ii, pp. 39-40; Meynard, p. 350.

³ Ya‘kūbi, vol. ii, p. 194.

Salmân the first kâdi of al-Kûfah. This Salmân ibn-Rabi'ah was the first to hold the position of *kâdi* in al-Kûfah,¹ where he spent forty days without hearing a case. He transmitted traditions on 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb's authority. Says ibn-Jumânah-l-Bâhili referring to Salmân and Kûtaibah ibn-Muslim:

"We have two tombs one at Balanjar
and another at Ṣin-Istân [China] and what a tomb that is!
The one who lies in China has brought about conquests in all places;
and the merits of the other cause abundant rain to fall!"²

Among the companions of Salmân at Balanjar was Kâr-zah ibn-Kâ'b al-Anṣâri. It was he who carried the news of Salmân's death to 'Uthmân.

Habib put by 'Uthmân in charge of the frontier fortresses. Having made these conquests in Armenia, Habib reported his success to 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân who received his letter immediately after the news of Salmân's death. 'Uthmân was on the point of assigning Habib over all Armenia; but he then deemed it best to put him in charge of the campaigns on the frontiers of Syria and Mesopotamia, because of his efficiency in doing what he intended to do. 'Uthmân conferred on Hudhaifah ibn-al-Yamân al-'Absi the governorship of the frontier fortresses of Armenia; and the latter left for Bardha'ah and sent his 'âmils to the places that lay between it and Kâlîkala and up to Khaizân. He then received 'Uthmân's message instructing him to depart and leave in his place Silah ibn-Zufar al-'Absi who was in his company. Hudhaifah assigned Silah as his successor.

Habib returned to Syria and began his campaigns against the Greeks. He settled in Ḥimṣ, but Mu'âwiyah moved him to Damascus, where he died in the year 42, aged 35. Once

¹ Gottheil, *Egyptian Cadis*, page VI.

² For an explanation see ibn-Kûtaibah, *Kitâb al-Ma'ârif*, p. 221.

when 'Uthmân was besieged, Mu'âwiyah sent this Ḥabîb at the head of an army to his relief. Having arrived in Wâdi-l-Kûra, Ḥabîb heard of the death of 'Uthmân and took his way back.¹ 205

Various governors of Adharbajân and Armenia. 'Uthmân appointed al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu'bah governor of Adharbajân² and Armenia, but dismissed him later, and appointed al-Kâsim ibn-Rabî'ah ibn-Umaiyyah ibn-abi-âş-Salt ath-Thâkafî governor of Armenia. Others say he appointed 'Amr ibn-Mu'âwiyah ibn-al-Muntâfiķ al-'Ukâili governor of Armenia; and still others say that for 15 years after al-Mughîrah, one of the banu-Kilâb ruled over Armenia, and that he was succeeded by al-'Ukâili. Under 'Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib, al-Ash'âth ibn Ķais ruled over Armenia and Adharbajân. He was followed by 'Abdallâh ibn-Ḥâtim ibn-an-Nu'mân ibn-'Amr al-Bâhili who ruled over it in the name of Mu'âwiyah. 'Abdallâh died in it and was succeeded by his brother 'Abd-al-'Azîz ibn-Ḥâtim ibn-an-Nu'mân, who built the city of Dabil, fortified it and enlarged its mosque. He also built the city of an-Nashawa and repaired the city of Bardha'ah. Others say he rebuilt Bardha'ah and deepened the trenches around it. He also rebuilt the city of al-Bailâkân. These cities were dilapidated and ready to fall into ruins. According to others, it was Muḥammad ibn-Marwân who in the days of 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân rebuilt Bardha'ah. Al-Wâkîdi states that 'Abd-al-Malik built Bardha'ah under the supervision of Ḥâtim ibn-an-Nu'mân al-Bâhili or his son. This 'Abd-al-Malik appointed 'Uthmân ibn-al-Wâlid ibn-'Ukâbah ibn-abi-Mu'aiṭ to the governorship of Armenia.

¹ Ghazarian, "Armenien unter der Arab Herrschaft," *Zeitschrift für Armen. Philol.*, vol. ii, pp. 177-182 (Marburg, 1904).

² Meynard, pp. 14-17.

Armenia rebels. During the insurrection of ibn-az-Zubair, Armenia rose and its nobles¹ with their followers threw off their allegiance. When Muhammad ibn-Marwân held under his brother 'Abd-al-Malik the governorship of Armenia, he led the fight against them and won the victory, slaughtering and taking captives. Thus, he subdued the land. He promised those who survived higher stipends than the ordinary soldiers' pay. For that purpose they assembled in churches in the province of Khilât where he locked them in and put guards on the door, and then he frightened them. In this campaign umm-Yazid ibn-Usaid was taken captive from as-Sisajân, she being the daughter of as-Sisajân's patrician.

'Adi governor of Armenia. Sulaimân ibn-'Abd-al-Malik made 'Adi ibn-'Adi ibn-'Amîrah² -l-Kindi governor of Armenia. 'Adi ibn-'Amîrah was one of those who had left 'Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib and settled in ar-Râkîah. He was later made the governor of Armenia by 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz. This 'Adi was the one after whom the Nahr 'Adî at al-Bailâkân was named. According to others, the 'âmil of 'Umar was Hâtim ibn-an-Nu'mân, but that is not confirmed.

Mî'lak and al-Hârith as governors. Yazîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malik conferred the governorship on Mî'lak ibn-Şaffâr al-Bahrâni, but he later dismissed him and assigned al-Hârith ibn-'Amr at-Tâ'i, who made an incursion against the inhabitants of al-Lakz³ conquering the district of Hasmadân.⁴

Al-Jarrâh as governor. When al-Jarrâh ibn-'Abdallâh

¹ Ar. *aḥrâr*, the class that constituted the aristocracy of Armenia before the Persian rule; see Yâkût, vol. i, pp. 222, 438.

² Tabari, vol. ii, p. 887: "Umairah".

³ Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 364.

⁴ "Jashmadân," İstakhri, p. 187.

al-Hakāmi of Madhlīj became governor of Armenia, he stopped at Bardha‘ah where his attention was called to the different measures and weights used by the people and which he fixed according to the standards of justice and honesty introducing a new measure, called al-Jarrāhi, with which they deal until to-day. After crossing al-Kurr,¹ he marched until he went over the river known by the name of as-Samûr and came to al-Khazar, among whom he wrought a great slaughter. He also fought against the inhabitants of the land of Ḥamzīn² and made terms with them stipulating that they be transplanted to the district of Khaizān where he gave them two villages. He then attacked the people of Ghūmīk³ and captured some of them. Turning back, he came to Shakka, and his army spent the winter at Bardha‘ah and al-Bailakān. Al-Khazar assembled their troops and crossed ar-Rass.⁴ He fought against them in Saḥrā’ [desert] Warthān, and when they withdrew to the region of Ardabil⁵ he engaged them in battle at a distance of four parasangs from Armenia. After a three days’ battle, he suffered martyrdom together with his men, and therefore was the river called Nahr al-Jarrāḥ. A bridge spanning it also bore the same name.

Maslamah as governor. Hishām ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik after that appointed Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik to the governorship of Armenia, put at the head of the van of his [Maslamah’s] army Sa‘id ibn-‘Amr ibn-Aswad al-Jurashi, and accompanied him by Iṣhāk ibn-Muslim al-‘Ukaili with his brothers, Ja‘wanah ibn-al-Hārith ibn-Khālid of the banu-

¹ Iṣṭakhri, p. 187.

² “Ḥamrīn,” Maḥāsin, vol. i, p. 318.

³ “Ghumīk,” Iṣṭakhri, p. 185; cf. Maṣ‘ūdi, vol. ii, p. 40; “‘Amīk” in Yākūt, vol. i, p. 438.

⁴ Iṣṭakhri, p. 187; Yākūt, vol. ii, p. 779.

⁵ Meynard, pp. 21-22.

Rabi'ah ibn-'Âmir ibn-Ša'ša'ah, Dhufâfah and Khâlid—the two sons of 'Umair ibn-al-Hubâb as-Sulami—al-Furât ibn-Salmân al-Bâhili, and al-Walid ibn-al-Kâ'kâ' al-'Absi. Sa'id engaged in conflict with al-Khazar who were at this time besieging Warthân, and forced them to withdraw, putting them to flight. Al-Khazar came to Maimadh in Adharbaijân; and as Sa'id was preparing for the conflict with them, he received a message from Maslamah ibn-'Abd-al-Malik blaming him for attacking al-Khazar before his [Maslamah's] arrival, and informing him that he had assigned in his place over the army 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Muslim al-'Ukaili. As soon as Sa'id turned over the army to his successor, he was arrested by Maslamah's messenger who fettered him and carried him to Bardha'ah where he was thrown into its prison. Al-Khazar left and Maslamah followed them. When Maslamah communicated the news to Hishâm, Hishâm wrote back:

“Dost thou leave them at Maimadh where thou canst see them, and then seek them beyond the limit of soil [where sand begins]?”

Thereupon Hishâm ordered that al-Jurashi be released from prison.

Maslamah made peace with the people of Khaizân, and by his order, its fort was dismantled. He appropriated in it estates for himself. It is known to-day by the name of Hauz Khaizân. The kings of al-Jibâl also made peace with him. The Shâhs of Sharwân, Lîrân, Tabarsarân, Filân and Jarshân presented themselves before him; and so did the chief of Maskat. Maslamah, thereupon, betook himself to the city of al-Bâb, which he reduced. In its castle were a thousand families of al-Khazar whom he besieged and against whom he hurled stones and then pieces of iron shaped like stones. All that, however, was of no avail. He, therefore, resorted to the spring, the water of which Anû-

shirwân had conducted into their cistern, and slew on it cows and sheep throwing the contents of their stomachs and some assafoetida into the water. It did not take the water more than one night before it bred worms, became vitiated and corrupted. Therefore, the holders of the castle fled under the cover of the night and vacated the castle. In the city of al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb, 24,000 Syrians were settled by Maslamah ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik and assigned stipends. Accordingly, the inhabitants of al-Bâb to-day do not allow any ‘âmil to enter their city unless he has money to distribute among them. He, moreover, built a granary for food, another for barley, and an armory. He ordered that the cistern be filled with earth, repaired the city, and provided it with embattlements. In the company of Maslamah was Marwân ibn-Muhammad who took part in the attack against al-Khazar and distinguished himself in fighting. After Maslamah, Hishâm appointed Sa‘id al-Jurashi who spent two years in the frontier region.

Marwân as governor. Marwân ibn-Muhammad¹ then became the ruler of the frontier and took up his abode at Kisâl. Marwân was the one who built the city of Kisâl. This city lies 40 parasangs from Bardha‘ah and 20 from Taflis. Marwân then entered the country of al-Khazar next to Bâb al-Lân and made Asid² ibn-Zâfir as-Sulami abu-Yazîd, accompanied by the kings of al-Jibâl, enter it from the side of al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb. Then Marwân made an incursion on the Slavs who were in the land of al-Khazar and captured 20,000 families whom he settled in Khâkhît. When they later put their commander to death and took to flight, Marwân pursued and slaughtered them.

When the chief of al-Khazar learned of the great num-

¹ Brosset, vol. i, pp. 238 *seq.*

² “Usaid” in Duraid, p. 187, line before last.

ber of men with whom Marwân had swept over his land and of their equipment and strength, his heart was filled with cowardice and fear. When Marwân came close to him, he sent him a messenger inviting him to "Islâm or war", to which he replied, "I have accepted Islâm. Send therefore someone to present it to me." Marwân did so. The chief professed Islâm and made a treaty with Marwân according to which Marwân confirmed him as ruler of his kingdom. Marwân with a host of al-Khazar accompanied the chief; and al-Khazar were made to settle in the plain of the province of al-Lakz between as-Samûr and ash-Shâbirân.

The land of as-Sarîr. After that, Marwân made his entrance to the land of as-Sarîr, slaughtered its inhabitants, and reduced certain forts in it. Its king offered him submission and allegiance and made terms, agreeing to give every year 1,000 youths—500 lads and 500 maids—with black hair and eyebrows and with long eyelashes, together with 100,000 modii¹ to be poured in the granaries of al-Bâb. Marwân took from him a pledge.

The people of Tûmân made terms with Marwân, agreeing to give every year 100 youths—50 maids and 50 lads—each 5 spans in height, with black hair and eyebrows and with long eyelashes, together with 20,000 modii for the granaries.

The land of Zirîkirân. He then entered the land of Zirîkirân,² whose king made terms, agreeing to offer fifty youths, and 10,000 modii for the granaries every year. Thence he proceeded to the land of Hamzin which refused to make terms and whose fort, after an investment of one month, he reduced. He then set fire to the fort and de-

¹ Wheat measure.

² Original not clear.

stroyed it. The terms agreed upon were that they give 500 youths only once and not to be responsible for such a gift any more, and that they carry 30,000 modii every year to the granaries of al-Bâb. Then he advanced to Sindân,¹ which capitulated on condition that it offer 100 youths to be given by its chief only once and not to be responsible for such a gift in the future, together with 5,000 modii to be carried every year to the granaries of al-Bâb. On the followers of the Shâh of Tabarsarân, Marwân assessed 10,000 modii to be carried per annum to the granaries of al-Bâb; but on the Shâh of Filân he did not assess anything, because 209 of his distinction in warfare, ability in conflict and the praiseworthiness of his cause.

Al-Lakz, Khirsh and other places. Marwân thence made a descent on al-Lakz castle [whose chief] had refused to pay anything of what was assessed, had set out to meet the chief of al-Khazar and was killed by a shepherd who shot an arrow at him without knowing him. The people of al-Lakz then made terms, agreeing to give 20,000 modii to be carried to the granaries. Having appointed Khashram as-Sulami as their ruler, Marwân came to the castle of the chief of Sharwân which was called Khirsh and which lay on the sea shore. The chief rendered submission and agreed to leave the height.² Marwân imposed 10,000 modii on the people of Sharwân per annum, and made it a condition on their chief to be in the van of the army when the Moslems start the attack against al-Khazar, and in the rear when they return; and on the Shâh of Filân that he should only take part in the attack; on the Shâh of Tabarsarân that he be in the rear when the Moslems start, and in the van when they return.

¹ Lacking in diacritical points. d'Ohsson, p. 68; "Misdâr" in Mahâsin, vol. i, p. 318.

² Surrender the castle.

Thâbit rebels. Marwân then advanced to ad-Dûdâniyah and slaughtered its people. The news of the death of al-Walîd ibn-Yazîd then came to him, and Thâbit ibn-Nu‘aim al-Judhâmi rose against him.¹ Musâfir al-Ķâşâb, who was one of those established in al-Bâb by ad-Dâhhâk al-Khâriji [the rebel], now came to Thâbit, espoused his cause and was made by him governor over Armenia and Adharbâijân. Musâfir then came to Ardabil in disguise, where he was joined by a group of the ash-Shurât, and they all came to Bâjarwân² in which they found people with similar views and were joined by them. Thence they came to Warthân from whose inhabitants a large body of men, who held similar views, joined them; and they all crossed over to al-Bailakân where they were joined by a large crowd holding similar views. Marwân then came to Yûnân.³ Ishâk ibn-Muslim was made governor of Armenia by Marwân ibn-Muhammad and never ceased to fight against Musâfir who was at al-Kilâb castle in as-Sisajân.

When the “blessed dynasty” appeared and abu-Ja‘far al-Manṣûr was made ruler of Mesopotamia and Armenia in the caliphate of as-Saffâh abu-l-‘Abbâs, he sent against Musâfir and his followers a general from Khurâsân, who fought them until he overpowered them and slew Musâfir. The inhabitants of al-Bailakân, who had fortified themselves in al-Kilâb castle under the leadership of Ķadad ibn-Asfar al-Bailakâni, surrendered.

The governorship of Yazîd. When al-Manṣûr became caliph, he made Yazîd ibn-Usaid as-Sulâmi governor of Armenia. The latter reduced Bâb al-Lân and stationed in 210

¹ Tabari, vol. ii, pp. 1892 *seq.*

² Meynard, p. 74.

³ First syllable mutilated in the original; cf. İştakhri, p. 192; Haukal, p. 251.

it a cavalry guard with stipends. He also subdued aş-Şâniyyah, whose inhabitants paid *kharâj*. In compliance with al-Mansûr's orders, he married the daughter of the king of al-Khazar. She gave birth to a child which did not live; she herself died in child-birth. Yazid sent someone to the naphtha and salt mines of the land of Sharwân and levied tax on them. He put someone in charge of them. He also built the city of Arjil aş-Şughra [the Less] and Arjil al-Kubra [the Great], and settled people from Palestine in them.

Ash-Shamâkhiyah. Muḥammad ibn-Ismâ'il from certain *sheikhs* from Bardha'ah:—Ash-Shamâkhiyah¹ which lay in the province of Sharwân was thus called after ash-Shamâkh ibn-Shujâ', who was the king of Sharwân during the rule of Sa'id ibn-Sâlim² al-Bâhili over Armenia.

Al-Hasan suppresses the revolt. Muḥammad ibn-Ismâ'il from certain *sheikhs*:—After the dismissal of ibn-Usaid and Bakkâr ibn-Muslim al-‘Ukaili, and during the governorship of al-Hasan ibn-Kaḥtabah aṭ-Ṭâ'i, the Armenians broke off their allegiance under their chief Mûshâ'il³ al-Armani. Al-Mansûr sent reinforcements under ‘Amir ibn-Ismâ'il. Al-Hasan engaged himself in fight with Mûshâ'il and killed him, dispersing his troops. Things went on well with al-Hasan. The Nahr al-Hasan in al-Bailakân is named after this al-Hasan; and so are the Bâgh⁴ at Bardha'ah named Bâgh al-Hasan, and the crown-lands known as al-Hasaniyah.

Different governors of Armenia. ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Umârah ibn-Khuraim succeeded al-Hasan ibn-Kaḥtabah, and then

¹ Muḳaddasi, p. 276; İstakhri, p. 192; Meynard, p. 353: "Shamâkhi."

² "Salm," Tabari, vol. iii, p. 305.

³ St. Martin, vol. i, p. 342; Brosset, vol. i, p. 159.

⁴ Persian—garden, vineyard.

came Rauh ibn-Ḥâtim al-Muhallabi, Khuzaimah ibn-Khâzim, Yazîd ibn-Mazyad ash-Shaibâni, ‘Ubaiddallâh ibn-al-Mahdi, al-Faḍl ibn-Yahya, Sa‘îd ibn-Sâlim, and Muhammad ibn-Yazîd ibn-Mazyad. Of these rulers, Khuzaimah was the severest. It was he who introduced the system by which Dabil and an-Nashawa paid land tax according to the area, not the produce. The Armenian patricians did not cease to hold their lands as usual, each trying to protect his own region; and whenever a ‘âmil came to the frontier they would coax him; and if they found in him purity and severity, as well as force and equipment, they would give the *kharâj* and render submission, otherwise they would deem him weak and look down upon him.

211

The governorship of Khâlid ibn-Yazîd. In the caliphate of al-Mâ’mûn, the Armenian patricians were under the rule of Khâlid ibn-Yazid ibn-Mazyad, who accepted their presents and associated personally with them. This corrupted them and encouraged them against the ‘âmils of al-Mâ’mûn who came after him.

Al-Hasan ibn-‘Ali over the frontier region. Al-Mu’tâsim-Billâh appointed to the governorship of the frontier region al-Hasan ibn-‘Ali-l-Bâdhaghisi, better known as al-Mâ’mûni, who let its patricians and nobles go their way, and dealt so leniently with them that they became more disloyal to the Sultan and more severe on the people who came under their rule. Jurzân was subdued by Ishâk ibn-Ismâ‘il ibn-Shu‘aib, a freedman of the banu-Umayyah. Sahl ibn-Sanbât, the patrician, rose against the ‘âmil of Haidar ibn-Kâwus al-Afshîn over Armenia and killed his secretary and had a narrow escape by flight. Armenia after this was ruled by ‘âmils who would remit to its people what was due from them, and accept whatever *kharâj* could be offered.

The governorship of Yûsuf ibn-Muhammad. Two years

after al-Mutawakkil became caliph, he conferred the governorship of Armenia upon Yûsuf ibn-Muhammad ibn-Yûsuf al-Marwazi. As he passed through Khilât, Yûsuf seized its patrician Bukrât ibn-Ashût and carried him off to Surra-man-ra'a, which act greatly offended the patricians, nobles and feudal lords [Ar. *mutaghallibah*]. Later a 'âmil of his, named al-'Alâ' ibn-Alîmad, went to a convent at as-Sisajân, called Dair al-Alkdâh, which was highly respected and richly endowed with gifts by the Armenian Christians, and carried away all what was in it and oppressed its occupants. This act was too much for the patricians, who held communication with each other and urged each other to throw off their allegiance and rise in revolt. They instigated al-Khuwaithiyah,¹ who were "uncircumcised" and were known by the name of al-Artân, to fall upon Yûsuf, and urged them against him in revenge for the carrying-away of their patrician Bukrât. Meanwhile, every one of the patricians and feudal lords sent them horses and men to help them bring that about. Accordingly, they fell upon Yûsuf at Tarûn, after he had distributed his followers in the villages, and slew him, carrying away all that his camp contained.

The governorship of Bugha the Elder. Al-Mutawakkil assigned to the governorship of Armenia Bugha-l-Kabîr [the Elder] who, arriving in Badlis, seized Mûsa ibn-Zurârah who, in revenge for Bukrât, had favored and taken part in killing Yûsuf. Bugha warred against al-Khuwaithiyah, slaughtering a great number, and carrying many away as captives. He then invested Ashût ibn-Hamzah ibn-Jâjik, the patrician of al-Busfurrajân, at al-Bâk,² compelled him to surrender his castle and carried him as captive to Surra-

¹ St. Martin, vol. i, p. 100.

² Khurdâdhbih, p. 123, line 11; Tabari, vol. iii, p. 1410, line 3.

man-ra'a. He then advanced to Jurzân and succeeded in laying hold on Ishâk ibn-Ismâ'il, whom he kept in confinement until his death. Bugha reduced Jurzân, and carried away those Christians and non-Christians of Arrân, of the elevated region of Armenia,¹ and of as-Sisajân, who belonged to the revolutionary party. Thus the political state of affairs in that frontier region became so quiet as never before. In the year 241, he came to Surra-man-ra'a.

¹ Text corrupt.

PART V
NORTHERN AFRICA

CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF EGYPT AND AL-MAGHRIB [MAURITANIA]

'Amr moves against Egypt. After the battle of al-Yarmûk, 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı laid siege to Caesarea [Kaisâriyah]. When Yazîd ibn-abi-Sufyân assumed power, 'Amr left his son in his place at Caesarea and led, all of his own accord, an army of 3,500 to Egypt. 'Umar was angry because of it and wrote to him, rebuking and reprimanding him for following his own opinion, without consulting 'Umar, and ordering him to return home in case the message was received before his arrival in Egypt. 'Amr, however, received the message in al-'Arish.¹ It is asserted by others that 'Umar wrote to 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı, ordering him to proceed to Egypt. 'Amr received the message as he was besieging Caesarea. The one who delivered the message was Sharîk ibn-'Abdah, to whom 'Amr gave 1,000 *dînârs*, which Sharîk refused to accept. 'Amr asked him to conceal the matter and not disclose it to 'Umar.

Al-Fustât. The advance of 'Amr against Egypt took place in the year 19. He first stopped at al-'Arish and then proceeded to al-Faramâ',² in which were troops ready for the fight. 'Amr fought and defeated them, taking possession of their camp. Thence he advanced straight on to al-

¹ Al-Makrizi, *al-Khitâf*, vol. ii, p. 63 (Cairo, 1325): "Rafj"; Zaidân, *Târikh Misr al-Hadîth*, vol. i, p. 77; "Rafsh . . . modern Raf", ten hours' journey from al-'Arish."

² Pelusium. Mahâsin, vol. i, p. 8.

Fuṣṭāṭ and camped at the myrtle gardens, as the people of al-Fuṣṭāṭ had dug moats. The name of the city was Alyūnah,¹ but the Moslems called it Fuṣṭāṭ because they said, "This is the meeting place [Ar. *fusṭāṭ*] of the people, and the place where they assemble." Others say that 'Amr pitched a tent [also *fusṭāṭ*] in it, and it bore its name from it.²

As 'Amr ibn-al-Āṣi was besieging al-Fuṣṭāṭ, he was joined by az-Zubair ibn-al-'Auwām ibn-Khuwailid at the head of 10,000—others say 12,000 men—among whom were Khārijah ibn-Ḥudhāfah-l-'Adawi and 'Umair ibn-Wahb al-Jumāhi. Az-Zubair was on the point of leading an incursion and wanted to go to Antioch; but 'Umar said to him, "Abu-'Abdallāh, wouldest thou like to take the governorship of Egypt?" To this az-Zubair replied, "I do not care for it, but would like to go there on a holy war and co-operate with the Moslems. If I find that 'Amr has already reduced it, I would not interfere with his affairs, but would go to some sea-coast and keep post at it; but if I find him in the struggle, I shall fight on his side." With this understanding, he left.

Az-Zubair led the attack on one side, and 'Amr ibn-al-Āṣi on the other. Finally az-Zubair brought a ladder and climbed on it until, with his sword unsheathed, he looked down upon the fort and exclaimed, "Allah is great!" and so did the Moslems exclaim and follow him up. Thus he took the fort by assault, and the Moslems considered it legal to take all that was in it. 'Amr made its holders *dhimmis*, imposed a poll-tax on their person and *kharāj* on their land, and communicated that to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb who endorsed it. Az-Zubair marked certain lots in Misr [Old

¹ Yākūt, vol. i, pp. 355, 450.

² Makrīzi, vol. ii, pp. 75-76.

Cairo] for himself [*ikhtatṭa*] and built a well-known mansion in which ‘Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair resided when he invaded Ifrîkiyah¹ in the company of ibn-abi-Sarh. The ladder which az-Zubair used is still in Miṣr.

‘Affân ibn-Muslim from Hishâm ibn-‘Urwah:—Az-Zubair was sent to Miṣr; and when he was told there were in it warfare and pest, he replied, “We have come here only for warfare and pest.” The Moslems put ladders up and climbed on them.

‘Amr an-Nâqid from Yazîd ibn-abi-Ḥabîb:—‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âṣi entered Egypt with 3,500 men. When ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb heard about the situation in Egypt, he was affected with solicitude and fear and despatched az-Zubair ibn-al-‘Auwâm at the head of 12,000 men. Az-Zubair 214 took part in the conquest of Miṣr and marked out in it certain lots.²

The division of the land. ‘Amr an-Nâqid from Sufyân ibn-Wâhb al-Khaulâni:—When we conquered Miṣr without making a covenant with it, az-Zubair rose and said to ‘Amr, “Divide it”; but ‘Amr refused. Then az-Zubair said, “By Allah, thou shouldst divide it as the Prophet divided Khaibar.” ‘Amr wrote that to ‘Umar who wrote back, saying, “Leave it as it is, so that the descendants of the descendants³ may profit by it.”

A tradition to the same effect was communicated to me by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Wâhb on the authority of Sufyân ibn-Wâhb.

‘Amr and az-Zubair conquer Egypt. Al-Ķâsim ibn-Salâm from Yazîd ibn-abi-Ḥabîb:—‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âṣi entered

¹ Africa = Tunis. Ibn-‘Adhâri, *al-Bayân al-Mughrib*, vol. i, pp. 3 seq.

² Ar. *khitaṭ*. See Maḳrîzi, vol. ii, pp. 76 seq.

³ Ar. *ḥabal al-ḥabalah*. See Muṭarrizi, p. 105; Caetani, vol. iv, p. 247; Maḳrîzi, vol. ii, p. 72, line 23; p. 73, line 25; *an-Nihâyah*, vol. i, p. 198.

Egypt at the head of 3,500 men. Just before that, 'Umar was affected with solicitude and fear and sent az-Zubair ibn-al-'Auwâm at the head of 12,000 men. Az-Zubair took part with 'Amr in the conquest of Egypt and marked out for himself two lots in Miṣr and Alexandria.

Ibrâhîm ibn-Muslim al-Khawârizmî from 'Abdallâh ibn-'Amr ibn-al-'Âṣî:—The latter said, “There is a disagreement regarding the conquest of Miṣr: some say it was conquered by force, and others by capitulation. The fact is that my father [‘Amr ibn-al-'Âṣî] arrived in it and was resisted by the people of Alyûnah. He finally took possession of it by force and led the Moslems in. Az-Zubair was the first to climb its fort. The chief of Miṣr said to my father, ‘We have heard of what ye did in Syria and how ye assessed poll-tax on the Christians and Jews, leaving the land in the hands of its owners to utilize it and pay its *kharâj*. If ye treat us the same way, it would do you more good than to kill, capture and expel us.’ My father consulted with the Moslems and they all advised him to accept the terms, with the exception of a few men who asked him to divide the land among them. Accordingly, he assessed on every adult, excepting the poor, two *dînârs* as poll-tax, and on every land-owner, in addition to the two *dînârs*, three *irdabbs* of wheat, two *kîṣîs* of oil, two *kîṣîs* of honey and two *kîṣîs* of vinegar, to be given as a subsistence allowance to the Moslems, and gathered in the public house of provision [*dâr-ar-rîzk*], where it is divided among them. A census was taken of the Moslems, and the inhabitants of Miṣr were required to provide every one of the Moslems with a woolen upper gown, an upper cloak or turban, breeches and a pair of shoes¹ per annum. Instead of the woolen gown, a Coptic robe would do. To this end, a state-

¹ Dozy, *Noms des Vêtements*, s. v. *jubbah*, *burnus*, *'amâmah*, *sirwâl* and *khuff*.

ment was written, in which it was stipulated that so long as they lived up to these terms, their women and children would neither be sold nor taken captives, and their possessions and treasures would be kept in their hands.¹ The statement was submitted to 'Umar, the 'Commander of the Believers,' who endorsed it. Thus, the whole land became *kharâj*-land. Because, however, 'Amr signed the contract and the statement, some people thought that Misr was taken by capitulation.'²

After the king of Alyûnah had made arrangements for himself and for the people in his city, he made terms on behalf of all the Egyptians similar to the terms of Alyûnah. The Egyptians consented, saying, "If those of us who are protected by fortifications have accepted such terms, and were content with them, how much more should we be content who are weak and have no power of resistance." *Kharâj* was assessed on the land of Egypt to the amount of one *dînâr* and three *irdabbs* of wheat on every *jarîb*, and two *dînârs* on every adult. The statement was submitted to 'Umar ibn-al-Khattâb.

The terms made with 'Amr. 'Amr an-Nâkid from Yazid ibn-abi-Habib:—Al-Mukaukîs³ made terms with 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi, stipulating that 'Amr should let those of the Greeks go who wanted to leave, and keep those who wanted to stay, on certain conditions, which he specified, and that he would assess on the Copts, two *dînârs* per head. Hearing this, the king of the Greeks was enraged and sent his

¹ Gottheil, "Dhimmis and Moslems in Egypt", *O. T. and Semitic Studies*, vol. ii, p. 363.

² Maqrizi, vol. ii, pp. 72-74.

³ Ibn-Kurkub al-Yûnâni—perhaps Cyrus, the viceroy and archbishop of Alexandria under Heraclius. See Butler, *The Arab Conquest of Egypt*, pp. 508, 521; *Byzant. Zeitschrift*, year 1903, p. 1606; Casanova, *Mohammed et la Fin du Monde*, p. 26.

troops, who, closing the gates of Alexandria, announced to 'Umar their readiness for war. Al-Muḳauķis presented himself before 'Amr and said, "I have three requests to make: do not offer to the Greeks the same terms thou hast offered me, because they have distrusted me; do not violate the terms made with the Copts, for the violation was not started by them; and when I die, give orders that I be buried in a church at Alexandria (which he named)." 'Amr answered, "The last is the easiest¹ for me."

Bilhît, al-Khais, Sulṭais and Alexandria. Certain villages in Egypt resisted the advance of the Moslems, and 'Amr carried away some of their inhabitants as prisoners. These were the following: Bilhît,² al-Khais,³ and Sulṭais.⁴ Their captives were carried away to al-Madinah. 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb sent them back and made them, together with the Coptic community, *dhimmis*. The covenant they had, they did not violate. The following is the report of the conquest of Alexandria made by 'Amr to 'Umar: "Allah has given to us the possession of Alexandria by force and against its will, without covenant or contract". According to Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabîb, however, the city was taken by capitulation.

The tax of Egypt. Abu-Āiyûb ar-Râkķî from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabîb:—The *kharâj* and poll-tax which 'Amr raised from Egypt amounted to 2,000,000 *dînârs*; but that raised by 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd ibn-Abi-Sarh, 4,000,000. When 'Uthmân remarked to 'Amr, saying, "After thee the milch camels have yielded more milk", 'Amr replied, "This is because ye have emaciated their young".⁵

In the year 21, 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wrote to 'Amr ibn-

¹ Makrîzi, vol. i, p. 263.

² Cf. "Balhib" in Yâkût, vol. i, p. 733.

³ Butler, p. 289, and note; ibn-Duḳmâk, *Kitâb al-Intîṣâr li-Wâsîtat 'Ikâd al-Āmsâr*, vol. v, p. 118 (Bûlâk, 1893).

⁴ Cf. Duḳmâk, vol. v, pp. 118-119.

⁵ Makrîzi, vol. i, p. 159.

al-‘Aṣī informing him of the straits in which the inhabitants of al-Madinah were, and ordering him to transport by sea to al-Madinah all the food he had collected as *kharāj*. Accordingly, the food with the oil was carried there; and when it reached al-Jār,¹ it was received by Sa‘d al-Jār.² Later it was kept in a special house at al-Madinah and distributed among the Moslems by measure. At the time of the first insurrection, the supply was cut off. In the days of Mu‘awiyah and Yazid, it was again carried to al-Madinah. Then it was cut off until the time of ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwān, after which it was carried until the caliphate of abu-Ja‘far, or a little previous to that.

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Yazid ibn-abi-Habib:—After the first peace was made, the tax-payers in Egypt made new terms in the caliphate of ‘Umar, stipulating that instead of the wheat, oil, honey and vinegar they offered, they would pay two *dīnārs* in addition to the other two *dīnārs*. Each one thus was bound to pay four *dīnārs*; and they consented to that and preferred it.

‘Ain Shams, al-Faiyūm and other places reduced. Abu-Aiyūb ar-Rakkī from al-Jaishānī:—The latter said, “I heard it stated by a number of those who witnessed the conquest of Egypt that when ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Aṣī reduced al-Fustāṭ, he despatched to ‘Ain Shams³ ‘Abdallāh ibn-Hudhāfah as-Sahmi, who took possession of its land and made 217 terms with the inhabitants of its villages similar to those of al-Fustāṭ. Likewise ‘Amr despatched Khārijah ibn-Hudhāfah al-‘Adawi to al-Faiyūm,⁴ al-Ushmūnain, Ikh-

¹ Hamdānī, *Ṣifat Jazīrat al-‘Arab*, p. 47, line 17 (ed. Müller).

² Sa‘d al-Jāri mentioned in Dhahabi, *al-Musṭabih*, p. 81; cf. ibn-Sa‘d, vol. iii¹, p. 240; Yākūt, vol. ii. p. 6.

³ Heliopolis; confused by some historians with Bāb Alyūnah (Babylon); Butler, p. 212, note.

⁴ Makrīzī, vol. i, pp. 402-403.

mîm, al-Basharûdât¹ and the villages of upper Egypt, which he reduced on the same terms. 'Amr also sent 'Umair ibn-Wahb al-Jumâhi to Tinnîs, Dimyât, Tûnah, Damîrah, Shaṭa, Dîkahlah,² Bana and Bûsîr, which he reduced on the same terms. 'Amr also sent 'Ukbah ibn-'Âmir al-Juhani (others say 'Amr's freedman, Wardân after whom Sûk [market] Wardân in Egypt is named) to the rest of the villages in the lower part of the country; and he did the same. Thus did 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı effect the conquest of all Egypt and make its land *kharâj*-land."

The Copts have no covenant. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from Aiyûb ibn-abi-l-'Âliyah's father:—The latter said, "I heard 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı say from the pulpit, 'I have occupied this position and am bound to none of the Egyptian Copts by covenant or contract. If I want, I can kill; if I want, I can take one-fifth of the possessions; if I want, I can sell captives. The people of Antâbulus are excluded because they have a covenant which must be kept'."

Al-Maghrib and Egypt taken by force. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from Mûsa ibn-'Ali ibn-Rabâlî al-Lakhmi's father:—All al-Maghrib was taken by force.

Abu-'Ubaid from aş-Salt ibn-abi-'Âsim, the secretary of Hâiyân ibn-Shurâih:—The latter said that he read the letter of 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz to Hâiyân, his 'âmil over Egypt, stating that Egypt was taken by force, with no covenant or contract.

Poll-tax of the Copts not to be increased. Abu-'Ubaid from 'Ubâidallâh ibn-abi-Jâfar:—Mu'âwiyah wrote to Wardân, a freedman of 'Amr, ordering him to increase the poll-tax of every Copt by one *kîrâṭ*, but Wardân wrote back, "How can I increase it while it is stated in their covenant that their tax should not be increased?"

¹ Cf. Bakri, vol. i, p. 166.

² Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 581.

Egyptians overtaxed. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from ‘Abd-al-Ḥamid ibn-Ja‘far’s father:—The latter heard ‘Urwah ibn-az-Zubair say, “ I spent seven years in Egypt and was married in it. I found its people exhausted, being burdened with more than they could bear. The country was conquered by ‘Amr through capitulation, covenant and something assessed on the inhabitants.”

218

The statement of ‘Amr. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from ‘Ukbah ibn-‘Amir al-Juhani:—The Egyptians had a covenant and a contract. ‘Amr gave them a statement to the effect that they were secure with respect to their possessions, lives and children, and that none of them would be sold as slaves. He imposed on them a *kharāj* not to be increased, and promised to expel all fear of attack by an enemy. ‘Ukbah added, “ And I was a witness thereunto ”.

The division of the land. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Sufyān ibn-Wahb al-Khaulāni:—The latter said, “ At the conquest of Miṣr by us, which was effected without covenant, az-Zubair ibn-al-‘Auwām rose and said, ‘ ‘Amr, divide it between us! ’ ‘Amr replied, ‘By Allah, I will not divide it before I consult ‘Umar.’ He wrote to ‘Umar, and the latter wrote back, ‘Leave it as it is, so that the descendants of the descendants may profit by it.’ ”

*Its *kharāj*.* Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from Usāmah ibn-Zaid ibn-Aslam’s grandfather:—In the year 20, ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi, accompanied by az-Zubair, subdued Egypt. When Egypt was conquered, the people made terms, agreeing to pay something he imposed on them, which was two *dīnārs* on every man, excluding women and boys. The *kharāj* of Egypt during his governorship amounted to 2,000,000 *dīnārs*; but later it reached 4,000,000.

*Two *dīnārs* on each Copt.* Abu-‘Ubaid from Yazid ibn-abi-Ḥabib:—Al-Muḳauķis, the chief of Egypt, made terms with ‘Amr ibn-al-‘Āṣi, stipulating that each Copt pays two

dīnārs. Hearing this, Heraclius, the chief of the Greeks, was enraged with anger and sent the troops to Alexandria and closed its gates; but 'Amr reduced the city by force.

The poll-tax of the native village of umm-Ibrāhīm annulled. Ibn-al-Kattāt, *i. e.*, abu-Mas'ūd, from ash-Sha'bī:—'Ali ibn-al-Husain, or al-Husain himself, interceded with Mu'awiyah regarding the poll-tax of the fellow-villagers in 219 Egypt of the mother of Ibrāhīm,¹ the Prophet's son; and it was cancelled. The Prophet himself used to recommend that the Copts be favorably treated.

The Prophet recommends the Copts. 'Amr from Mālik, and al-Laith from a son of Ka'b ibn-Mālik:—The Prophet said, “If ye conquer Egypt, treat the Copts favorably, because they have *dhimmah* and blood-relationship.” It is stated by al-Laith that umm-Ismā'il² was a Copt.

'Umar confiscates 'Amr's possessions. Abu-l-Hasan al-Madā'ini from 'Abdallāh ibn-al-Mubārak:—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb used to record the possessions of his 'āmils at the time of their appointment; and whatsoever was later added was partly or wholly confiscated by him. He once wrote to 'Amr ibn-al-'Āṣi, “It has become revealed that thou ownest commodities, slaves, vases and animals which thou didst not possess when thou wert made governor of Egypt.” 'Amr wrote back, “Our land is a land of agriculture and trade; we, therefore, get as income more than what is necessary for our expenses.” To this, 'Umar replied, “I have had enough experience with the wicked 'āmils. Thy letter is the letter of one disturbed because justice has been meted out to him. Therefore, my suspicion has been aroused against thee, and I have sent to thee Muḥammad ibn-Maslānah with a view to dividing with thee what thou hast. Reveal to him thy secret.

¹ Māriyah, the Copt; Nawāwi, p. 853.

² The reference is to Hagar.

and give out whatever he demands of thee; thereby thou wouldst be spared his severity. What is concealed has been revealed.¹" Thus were 'Amr's possessions confiscated by 'Umar.

Al-Madâ'ini from 'Isa ibn-Yazîd:—When Muḥammad ibn-Maslamaḥ divided with 'Amr ibn-al-Āṣî his possessions, 'Amr made this remark, "An age in which the son of Ḥantamah² treats us in this manner is certainly an evil age. Al-Āṣî used to put on silk garments with brocade borders!" "Hush," said Muḥammad, "had it not been for this age of ibn-Ḥantamah which thou hatest, thou wouldst be found bending in the court-yard of thy house, at the feet of a goat, whose abundance of milk would please thee and scarcity would displease thee."³ "I beg thee by Allah," exclaimed 'Amr, "report not what I have just uttered to 'Umar. A conversation is always confidential." Muḥammad replied, "So long as 'Umar lives, I shall not mention anything that took place between us."

Egypt taken by force. 'Amr an-Nâkid from 'Abdallâh ibn-Hubairah:—Egypt was taken by force.

'Amr from ibn-An'am's grandfather (who witnessed the conquest of Egypt):—Egypt was taken by force without covenant or contract. 220

¹ Freytag, *Prov.*, vol. i, p. 160, number 33.

² 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb's mother; Nawâwi, p. 447.

³ Caetani, vol. iv, pp. 618-619.

CHAPTER II

THE CONQUEST OF ALEXANDRIA

The battle of al-Kiryaun. When 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı conquered Miṣr, he settled in it and wrote to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb soliciting his orders to march against Alexandria [al-Iskandariyah].¹ 'Umar wrote and ordered him to do so; so 'Amr marched against it in the year 21, leaving as his substitute [lieutenant] over Miṣr Khârijah ibn-Ḥudhâfah ibn-Ğhânim ibn-‘Âmir ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-‘Ubâid ibn-‘Awîj ibn-‘Adî ibn-Kâ'b ibn-Lu'ai ibn-Ğhâlib. In the meantime, those Greeks and Copts who lived below Alexandria had gathered and said, "Let us attack him in al-Fuṣṭât before he reaches here and makes an attempt on Alexandria." 'Amr met them at al-Kiryaun² and defeated them with a great slaughter. In their ranks were men from Sakha, Bilhît, al-Khais and Sulṭais, and others who came to their assistance and support.

Alexandria reduced. 'Amr kept his way until he arrived in Alexandria, whose inhabitants he found ready to resist him, but the Copts in it preferred peace. Al-Muḳauķis communicated with 'Amr and asked him for peace and a truce for a time; but 'Amr refused. Al-Muḳauķis then ordered that the women stand on the wall with their faces turned towards the city, and that the men stand armed, with their faces towards the Moslems, thus hoping to scare them

¹ Dukmâk, vol. v, p. 121.

² Chaereum, Butler, pp. 288-289; al-Idrisi, *Şifat al-Maghrib, as-Sûdân, Miṣr w-al-Andalus*, p. 160.

[Moslems]. ‘Amr sent word, saying, “We see what thou hast done. It was not by mere numbers that we conquered those we have conquered. We have met your king Heraclius, and there befell him what has befallen him.” Hearing this, al-Muḳauķis said to his followers, “These people are telling the truth. They have chased our king from his kingdom as far as Constantinople. It is much more preferable, therefore, that we submit.” His followers, however, spoke harshly to him and insisted on fighting. The Moslems fought fiercely against them and invested them for three months. At last, ‘Amr reduced the city¹ by the sword and plundered all that was in it, sparing its inhabitants of whom none was killed or taken captive. He reduced them to the position of *dhimmis* like the people of Alyūnah. He communicated the news of the victory to ‘Umar through Mu‘āwiyah ibn-Ḥudaij al-Kindi (later as-Sakūni) and sent with him the [usual] fifth.

221

Some state that al-Muḳauķis made terms with ‘Amr to the effect that he should pay 13,000 *dīnārs*, that those who prefer to leave Alexandria should leave, and those who prefer to stay should stay, and that two *dīnārs* be assessed on every adult Copt. To this end, ‘Amr wrote a statement. He then left in his place over Alexandria ‘Abdallāh ibn-Ḥudhāfah ibn-Ḳais ibn-‘Adi ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Sahm ibn-‘Amr ibn-Huṣaiṣ ibn-Ka‘b ibn-Lu‘ai at the head of a cavalry guard of the Moslems, and departed for al-Fuṣṭāt.²

x

Manuwl captures Alexandria. The Greeks wrote to Constantine, son of Heraclius, who was their king at that time, telling him how few the Moslems in Alexandria were, and how humiliating the Greeks’ condition was, and how they had to pay poll-tax. Constantine sent one of his men, called

¹ Cf. ibn-Iyās, *Ta’rīkh Misr*, vol. I, p. 22.

² Maḳrīzī, vol. i. pp. 263 *seq.*

Manuwil¹ [Manuel], with three hundred ships full of fighters. Manuwil entered Alexandria and killed all the guard that was in it, with the exception of a few who by the use of subtle means took to flight and escaped. This took place in the year 25. Hearing the news, 'Amr set out at the head of 15,000 men and found the Greek fighters doing mischief in the Egyptian villages next to Alexandria. The Moslems met them and for one hour were subjected to a shower of arrows, during which they were covered by their shields. They then advanced boldly and the battle raged with great ferocity until the "polytheists" were routed; and nothing could divert or stop them before they reached Alexandria. Here they fortified themselves and set mangonels. 'Amr made a heavy assault, set the ballistae and destroyed the walls of the city. He pressed the fight so hard until he entered the city by assault, killed the fighters and carried away the children as captives. Some of its Greek inhabitants left to join the Greeks somewhere else; and Allah's enemy, Manuwil, was killed. 'Amr and the Moslems destroyed the wall of Alexandria in pursuance of a vow that 'Amr had made to that effect, in case he reduced the city.

According to certain reports, this invasion took place in the year 23; and according to others, the insurrection took place in the years 23 and 25; but Allah knows best. 'Amr assessed on the land of Alexandria *kharâj*; and on its people, poll-tax.

Al-Mukaukis. Some report that al-Mukaukis forsook the people of Alexandria when they violated the covenant, but 'Amr reinstated him with his people on the terms of their first capitulation. Others assert that he died before this invasion.

Alexandria taken by capitulation. Muhammad ibn-Sa'd

¹ Butler, pp. 468-475.

from 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz:—The latter said, “ Not a town in al-Maghrib did we take by capitulation except three: Alexandria, Kafartîs and Sultais. 'Umar used to say, ‘ Whosoever of the inhabitants of these places accepts Islâm will be set free together with his possessions ’.”

How the dwellings were divided. ‘Amr an-Nâkîd from Yazid ibn-abi-Hâbîb:—‘Amr ibn-al-‘Âsî conquered Alexandria, and some Moslems took up their abode in it as a cavalry guard. Later, they withdrew, after which they made an assault and hastened to secure dwellings. Some of them would come to the houses they once occupied and find them already held by a fellow Moslem. Regarding this, ‘Amr remarked, “ I am afraid the dwellings would fall into ruins if different ones of you should occupy them in turn.” Consequently, when the invasion was made and the Moslems arrived in al-Kiryâun, he said, “ Go with Allah’s blessing. Whosoever of you sticks his lancet into a house, that house is his and his father’s sons’.” Thus, the Moslem would enter a house and stick his lancet into some apartment of it; then another would come and stand his lancet in the same house. The same house would thereby be in the possession of two or three persons,¹ which they would occupy until their withdrawal, at which the Greeks would come and occupy it.

Yazid ibn-abi-Hâbîb used to say, “ No money from the rent of these houses is legal.² They can neither be sold nor bequeathed, but they are dwelling-places for the Moslems during the time they hold their post as guard.”

The second conflict. During the second conflict with Alexandria, when Manuwîl, the Greek eunuch, came, the people closed the gates; but ‘Amr reduced it and destroyed its wall.

¹ Maķrîzî, vol. i, p. 269: “ tribes ”.

² Duķmâk, vol. v, p. 118.

'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd replaces 'Amr. No sooner had 'Amr returned to al-Fuṣṭâṭ after assigning his freedman, War-dâن, as governor of Alexandria, than he was dismissed. In the place of 'Amr, 'Uthmân appointed 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Sarh ibn-al-Ḥârith of the banu-'Amr ibn-Lu'ai,¹ 'Uthmân's foster-brother. That took place in the year 25.

According to others, 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd was in charge of the *kharâj* of Egypt in behalf of 'Uthmân. Between 'Abdallâh and 'Amr, a verbal dispute arose and 'Abdallâh wrote and accused 'Amr. 'Amr was dismissed by 'Uthmân, who assigned 'Abdallâh to both functions, and wrote him saying that Alexandria was taken once by force and revolted two times, and ordering him to station in it a cavalry guard that would never depart from it, and to assign abundant subsistence allowances to the guard, and change its personnel once in every six months.²

Ibn-Hurmuz. Muḥammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkidi:—ibn-Hurmuz al-A'raj al-Ķâri [the lame "reader"] used to say, "Your best coast, from the standpoint of guard, is Alexandria." At last he left al-Madinah and joined the guard stationed in Alexandria, where he died in the year 117.

The capitation tax. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Mûsa ibn-'Ali's father:—The capitation tax from Alexandria was 18,000 *dînârs*; but when Hishâm ibn-'Abd-al-Malik became caliph, it amounted to 36,000.³

'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd made governor. 'Amr from Yazîd ibn-abi-Ḥabib:—'Uthmân dismissed 'Amr ibn-al-Āṣi from Egypt and assigned in his place 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd. But when the Greeks occupied Alexandria, the Egyptians asked

¹ Nawâwi, pp. 345-347.

² Maḳrizi, vol. i, p. 270.

³ Maḳrizi, vol. i, p. 269.

‘Uthmân to keep ‘Amr until he was through with the fight against the Greeks, because he had special knowledge of warfare and inspired awe in the enemy. ‘Uthmân did so; and ‘Amr defeated the Greeks. ‘Uthmân then wanted ‘Amr to be in charge of the army, and ‘Abdallâh in charge of the *kharâj*; but ‘Amr refused, saying, “ My case is that of one who holds the horns of the cow while the chief milks it.” ‘Uthmân then appointed ibn-Sa‘d to the governorship of Egypt.

The Abyssinians of al-Bîma. For seven years after the conquest of Egypt, the Abyssinians of al-Bîma¹ kept up their resistance, and could not be subjugated because of the water with which they flooded their thickets.

The second conquest of Alexandria. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Wahb from Mûsa ibn-‘Ali’s father:—‘Amr conquered Alexandria for the second time by capitulation, which conquest took place in the caliphate of ‘Uthmân after the death of ‘Umar.

¹ Tabari, vol. iii, p. 1106.

CHAPTER III

THE CONQUEST OF BARKAH AND ZAWILAH

224

Barkah makes terms. Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from 'Abdallâh ibn-Hubairah:—After reducing Alexandria, 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi led his army intent upon the conquest of al-Maghrib [Mauritania] until he arrived in Barkah, the chief city of Antâbulus,¹ whose inhabitants made terms on a poll-tax of 13,000 *dinârs* to be raised as the price of those of their children whom they desired to sell.²

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from 'Abdallâh ibn-Hubairah:—After investing and fighting the people of Antâbulus and its city, Barkah,³ which lay between Egypt and Ifrikiyah [Africa = Tunis], 'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi made terms with them, stipulating that they pay a poll-tax which might include the price of those of their children whom they desired to sell. 'Amr wrote a statement to that effect.

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from Ishâk ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-abî Farwah:—The inhabitants of Barkah used to send their *kharâj* to the governor of Egypt without having anyone come to urge them for it.⁴ Their land was the most fertile land of al-Maghrib, and it never saw an insurrection.

Al-Wâkidi states that 'Abdallâh ibn-'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi used to say, “Had it not been for my possessions in al-

¹ Pentapolis. Khurdâdhbih, p. 91. Cf. Caetani, vol. iv, p. 534.

² Caetani in vol. iv, p. 533, nota, thinks it must have meant the right to offer to the Moslems their children as slaves according to a fixed price.

³ Barca. Butler, p. 429.

⁴ As-Suyûti, *Husn al-Muhâdarah*, vol. i, p. 86.

Hijâz, I would live in Barkâh, because I know of no place that is more safe or isolated than it."

'Amr's report to 'Umar. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Mu'âwiyah ibn-Şâlih:—'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi wrote to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb informing him that he had appointed 'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi' al-Fihri governor of al-Maghrib and that the latter had reached as far as Zawilah. He also informed him that peace prevailed among all between Zawilah¹ and Barkâh, that their allegiance was strong and that the Moslems among them had paid *ṣadakah* and the "people of the covenant" acknowledged the poll-tax imposed. 'Amr also wrote that he had assessed on the inhabitants of Zawilah and on those living in the region between his town and Zawilah, what he saw would be tolerated by them, and ordered all his 'âmils to collect *ṣadakah* from the rich to be distributed among the poor, and poll-tax from the *dhimmis* to be carried to 'Amr in Egypt, and to raise from Moslem lands the tithe and half the tithe, and from those who capitulated, what had been agreed upon. 225

The origin of the Berbers. Bakr ibn-al-Haitham once told me, "I asked 'Abdallâh ibn-Şâlih regarding the Berbers,² and he said, 'They claim to be the descendants of Barr ibn-Kais; but Kais had no son with the name, Barr. In fact they are descended from the race of the giants [Philistines] against whom David fought. In ancient times, their home was Palestine; and they were tent-dwellers. Later on, they came to al-Maghrib, where they multiplied'."

The Berbers of Luwâtah. Abu-'Ubaid al-Kâsim ibn-Sâlâm from Yazid ibn-abi-Hâbîb:—'Amr ibn-al-'Âsi made this a condition on the Berber inhabitants of Luwâtah³ at

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2646.

² See article on Berbers in the *Encyclopædia of Islâm*.

³ Butler, p. 430.

Barakah. "Ye have to sell your children and wives in order to pay the poll-tax on you." Commenting on this, al-Laith said, "If they were slaves, that would not be a legal thing for them to do."

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from Yazid ibn-abi-Habib:—'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz wrote regarding the Luwâtah women, "Whoever has a Luwâtah woman, let him either be engaged to her through her father, or return her to her people." Luwâtah¹ is a village inhabited by Berbers who had a covenant.

¹ Cf. Khurdâdhbih, pp. 90 and 91; ibn-Khaldûn, *Kitâb al-'Ibar fi Aîyâm al-'Arab w-al-'Ajam w-al-Barbar*, vol. ii², p. 128 (Bûlâk, 1284).

CHAPTER IV

THE CONQUEST OF TRIPOLI

Bakr ibn-al-Haitham from 'Ali ibn-abi-Talhah:—In the year 22,¹ 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı advanced to Tripoli.² He met resistance but reduced the place by force, carrying away many loads of fine silk brocade from its merchants. This booty he sold and divided its price among the Moslems. He wrote to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, “We have arrived in Tripoli which lies nine days from Ifrikiyah. If the ‘Commander of the Believers’ thinks it best to allow us to invade the latter, it will be well.” 'Umar wrote back, 226 ordering him not to go, saying, “This should not be called Ifrikiyah, but Mufarrikah,³ which is treacherous to others, and to which others are treacherous.” 'Umar wrote that because its inhabitants used to pay something to the king of the Greeks and often treated him treacherously, while the king of al-Andalus, who had made terms with them, treated them treacherously. These facts were known to 'Umar.

According to a tradition communicated to me by 'Amr an-Nâkîd on the authority of certain *sheikhs*, Tripoli was taken by 'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı through a covenant made by him.

¹ Weil, *Geschichte der Chalifen*, vol. i, p. 124 note: “year 23”.

² Tripolis; Ar. Aṭrâbulus. Yâkût, vol. i, p. 309; Ya'kûbi, *Buldân*, p. 346.

³ Pun on words. Mufarrikah means causing deviation from the right course.

CHAPTER V

THE CONQUEST OF IFRĪKIYAH

'Uthmân reinforces ibn-Sa'd. When 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Sarh was appointed governor over Egypt and al-Maghrib, he sent out the Moslems in cavalry detachments, and they plundered as far as the extremities of Ifrikiyah [modern Tunis]. 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân first hesitated to attack Ifrikiyah; but after consultation, he made up his mind to do so, and wrote to 'Abdallâh in the year 27 (others say 28, still others 29), ordering him to lead the attack, and reinforced him with a large army in which were Ma'bad ibn-al-'Abbâs ibn-'Abd-al-Mu'talib, Marwân ibn-al-Ḥakam ibn-abi-l-'Âşı ibn-Umaiyah and his brother al-Ḥârith ibn-al-Ḥakam, 'Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair ibn-al-'Auwâm, al-Miswar ibn-Makhramah ibn-Naufal ibn-Uhaib ibn-'Abd-Manâf ibn-Zuhrah ibn-Kilâb, 'Abd-ar-Râḥmân ibn-Zaid ibn-al-Khaṭâb, 'Abdallâh ibn-'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭâb, 'Âsim ibn-'Umar, 'Ubaidallâh ibn-'Umar, 'Abd-ar-Râḥmân ibn-abi-Bakr, 'Abdallâh ibn-'Amr ibn-al-'Âşı, Busr ibn-Abi-Ārṭâh ibn-'Uwaimir al-'Âmîri, and abu-Dhu'aib Khuwailid ibn-Khâlid al-Hudhali, the poet. Abu-Dhu'aib died in this campaign and ibn-az-Zubair had charge of the burial. In this campaign, a great host of the Arabs from the environs of al-Madînah took part.

The magnates of Ifrikiyah make terms with 'Abdallâh. Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from 'Abdallâh ibn-az-Zubair:—The latter said:—“ 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân sent us on an expedition against Ifrikiyah, whose patrician exercised authority from

Tripoli to Tanjah [Tangiers]. 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Sarh marched against him and occupied 'Akûbah. After a few days' fight, I was enabled to kill, by Allah's help, the patrician. His army took to flight and was torn to pieces. Ibn-abi-Sarh sent detachments and scattered them all over the country; and they carried away a large booty and drove before them all the cattle they could. Seeing that, the great men of Ifrikiyah met together and offered 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd 300 quintals¹ of gold provided he would let them alone and leave their land. Their request was granted."

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from ibn-Ka'b:—'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Sarh made terms with the patrician of Ifrikiyah, stipulating that the latter should pay 2,500,000 *dinârs*.

'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi'. Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from Mûsa ibn-Damrah-*l-Mâzini*'s father:—When 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd made terms with the patrician of Ifrikiyah, he returned to Egypt without appointing anyone to the governorship of Ifrikiyah, which at that time had no meeting-place² or central town. When 'Uthmân was murdered and Muhammad ibn-abi-Hudhaifah ibn-'Utbah ibn-Rabi'ah ruled over Egypt, he sent nobody to Ifrikiyah; but when Mu'âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân came to power, he assigned over Egypt Mu'âwiyah ibn-Hudaij as-Sakûni who, in the year 20, sent 'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi' ibn-'Abd-*Kais* ibn-Lâkit al-Fihri to Ifrikiyah. 'Ukbah invaded it and parceled it out into lots among the Moslems.

'Ukbah sent Busr ibn-abi-Arṭâh³ to a castle in al-Kairawân, which he reduced, killing and capturing many. It is now known as Kal'at Busr and lies near a city called Majjânah, near the silver mine.

¹ Ar. *kinṭâr* = "1,200 *dinârs*, and in the language of Barbar = 1,000 *mithkâls* of gold or silver"; *T.A.*

² Ar. *ḳairawân*. See De Goeje's edition of *Balâdhuri*, gloss., pp. 92-93.

³ *Makrîzi*, vol. i, p. 272, does not have "abi" in the name.

I heard it said that Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair sent Busr, who was then 82 years old, to this castle; and the latter reduced it. This Busr was born two years before the Prophet's death. 228 Others than al-Wâkîdi claim that Busr was one of those who transmitted traditions from the Prophet; but Allah knows better.

Various governors. It was stated by al-Wâkîdi that 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd held the governorship until Muḥammad ibn-abi-Ḥudhaifah assumed authority over Egypt, which he had made to rise in rebellion against 'Uthmân. Later on, 'Ali assigned Ḳais ibn-Sa'd ibn-'Ubâdah-l-Anṣâri as governor of Egypt, after which he dismissed him and chose Muḥammad ibn-abi-Bakr aş-Şiddîk. The latter he also dismissed and assigned Mâlik al-Ashtar, who was taken sick¹ at al-Kulzum [Suez]. 'Ali once more assigned Muḥammad ibn-abi-Bakr, who was later killed by Mu'âwiya ibn-Ḥudaij and burned in a donkey's belly.²

'Amr ibn-al-'Âṣi ruled in the name of Mu'âwiya ibn-abi-Sufyân. He died in Egypt on the feast of the breaking of the fast of Ramaḍân [*al-fitr*] in the year 42 (others say 43), and was succeeded by his son 'Abdallâh whom Mu'âwiya dismissed. Mu'âwiya assigned ibn-Ḥudaij, who spent four years in Egypt; at the close of which he made a razzia and plundered. After that he returned to Egypt and sent there 'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi' al-Fihri. Others say that 'Ukbah was appointed by Mu'âwiya over al-Maghrib; and so he invaded Ifrîkiyah at the head of 10,000 Moslems and reduced it. He parceled out its Kairawân³ in lots among the Moslems, the site being a thicket covered with tamarisk and other trees and which nobody could attempt because of

¹ Maḥâsin, vol. i, pp. 116-117.

² *Ibid.*, vol. i, p. 125; Khaldûn, vol. ii², p. 182.

³ 'Adhâri, vol. i, p. 12.

the beasts, snakes and deadly scorpions. This ibn-Nâfi' was a righteous man whose prayer was answered. He prayed to his Lord, who made the scorpions disappear; even the beasts had to carry their young and run away.

Al-Wâkidi says, "I once said to Mûsa ibn-'Ali, 'Thou hast seen the buildings in Ifrikiyah that are connected together and that we still see to-day. Who was it that built them?' And Mûsa replied, 'The first one was 'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi' al-Fihri who marked out the plans for the buildings, himself built a home, and the Moslems at the same time built houses and dwelling-places. He also built the cathedral mosque that is in Ifrikiyah.' "

It was in Ifrikiyah that Ma'bad ibn-al-'Abbâs fell a martyr in the campaign of ibn-abi-Sarî during the caliphate of 'Uthmân. Others say he met natural death during the war; but that he fell a martyr is the more authentic report.

According to al-Wâkidi and others, Mu'âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân dismissed Mu'âwiyah ibn-Hudaij¹ and conferred the governorship of Egypt and al-Maghrib on Maslamah ibn-Mukhallas al-Ansâri,² who appointed his freedman, abu-l-Muhâjir, governor of al-Maghrib. When Yazîd ibn-Mu'âwiyah, however, came to power, he reinstated 'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi' in his position, and the latter invaded as-Sûs al-Adna,³ which lay behind Tanjah. There he went about without being molested or fought by anybody. At last he departed.

Yazîd ibn-Mu'âwiyah died and his son Mu'âwiyah ibn-Yazîd, surnamed abu-Laila, was proclaimed caliph. Mu'âwiyah called a general public prayer meeting, and resigned the caliphate. He retired to his home where he died after

¹ 'Adhârî, vol. i, p. 14.

² Suyûti, *Husn*, vol. ii, 7.

³ *i. e.*, the nearer (= Dar'ah) in distinction from al-Akṣa—the farther; Ya'kûbi, *Buldân*, pp. 359-360.

two months. Later came the rule of Marwân ibn-al-Hakam and the insurrection of ibn-az-Zubair.

Then came 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân to power; and everything went smoothly with him. He assigned as 'âmil over Egypt his brother 'Abd-al-'Azîz who put over Ifrîkiyah Zuhair ibn-Ḳais al-Balawi.¹ Zuhair conquered Tûnis and left for Barkah. Hearing that a band of Greeks had landed from their ships and were doing mischief, he went against them with a cavalry detachment. On meeting them, he fell a martyr with his companions. His tomb is still there. His and his companions' tombs are called *Kubûr ash-Shuhadâ'* [the martyrs' tombs].

Then Ḥassân ibn-an-Nu'mân al-Ghassâni² became ruler. He made an incursion against al-Kâlinah,³ the queen of the Berbers. He was defeated by her and came and occupied certain castles within the territory of Barkah. These castles were included within one whose roof was an arched structure upon which one could cross over. Since then, these castles were called Ḳuṣûr Ḥassân.⁴

Ḥassân made another incursion, killed the queen and carried into captivity many Berbers whom he sent to 'Abd-al-'Azîz. Regarding these captives, the poet, abu-Mihjan Nusaib, used to say, "I have seen in 'Abd-al-'Azîz's home Berber captives who have faces more beautiful than which I never saw."

According to ibn-al-Kalbi, Hishâm assigned Kulthûm ibn-'Iyâd ibn-Wâlîwâl al-Ḳushairi to the governorship of Ifrîkiyah, whose people rebelled and put him to death. Ibn-al-Kalbi also states that Ifrîkiyah was subdued in pre-

¹ 'Adhâri, vol. i, p. 16.

² *Ibid.*, vol. i, pp. 18 seq.

³ Fem. of *kâhin* = soothsayer.

⁴ 'Adhâri, vol. i, p. 21.

Islamic times by Ifrīkīs ibn-Kāis ibn-Saīfī-l-Ḥimyārī and was named after him. He killed Jurjīr¹ [Gregory] its king and said regarding the Berbers, "How barbarous they are!" Hence the name, Berbers.

Al-Kairawān. According to a tradition communicated to me by certain inhabitants of Ifrīkiyah on the authority of their *sheikhs*, when 'Uqbah ibn-Nāfi' al-Fihri wanted to build al-Kairawān,² he began to think regarding the site of the mosque, and he saw in a dream as if a man called to prayer at a certain spot where he later erected the minaret. When he awoke, he started to erect the boundary marks 230 where he had seen the man stand, after which he built the mosque.

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wākīdī:—Muhammad ibn-al-Ash'ath al-Khuzā'fi ruled over Ifrīkiyah in the name of abu-l-'Abbās "the Commander of the Believers", and repaired the city of al-Kairawān with its mosque. He was later dismissed by al-Mansūr, who assigned 'Umar ibn-Hafṣ Hizārmard³ in his place.

¹ Cf. 'Adhārī, vol. i, pp. 5-6.

² İştakhri, pp. 39-40.

³ 'Adhārī, vol. i, p. 64. "Amr ibn-Hafṣ ibn-Ḳabisah."

CHAPTER VI

THE CONQUEST OF TANJAH [TANGIERS]

ACCORDING to al-Wâkîdi, 'Abd-al-'Azîz ibn-Marwân made Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair,¹ a freedman of the banu-Umaiyyah and who came originally from 'Ain at-Tamr (some say he belonged to the clan of Arâshah, a branch of the Bali; others say, to the clan of Lakhm) governor over Ifrikiyah. According to others, Mûsa ruled over it in the time of al-Wâlid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik, in the year 89. He reduced Tanjah and occupied it, he being the first to occupy and mark it in lots for the Moslems. His horsemen went as far as as-Sûs al-Adna,² which was over twenty days' journey from as-Sûs al-Âkṣa [the farther as-Sûs = modern Morocco]. Thus he subjugated as-Sûs al-Âkṣa, carrying many captives from the inhabitants and receiving homage. His 'âmil collected from them *ṣadâkah*. Later he assigned Târik ibn-Ziyâd, his freedman, over it [Tanjah and environs] and departed to Kairawân Ifrikiyah.

¹ 'Adhâri, vol. i, pp. 24 *seq.*

² *Ibid.*, vol. i, p. 27.

PART VI
ANDALUSIA

CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF ANDALUSIA

Târik crosses the Straits. According to al-Wâkidi, the first to invade Andalusia¹ was Târik ibn-Ziyâd,² the ‘âmil of Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair, and that was in the year 92. Târik was met by Ulyân, the commander of the Majâz³ al-Andalus, whom he promised safety provided he would transport him with his companions to Andalusia in his ships. When he arrived there, Târik was resisted by the people, but he effected the conquest of the land in the year 92.⁴ The king of Andalusia, it is claimed, belonged to the Ashbân [Spanish] people whose origin was from Iṣbahân.⁵ Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair wrote Târik a severe letter for risking the lives 231 of the Moslems and following his own opinion without consulting Mûsa as regards the campaign. In the meantime, he ordered him not to go beyond Cordova [Ar. Қurtubah].⁶ Mûsa himself proceeded to Cordova in Andalusia; and Târik sought and was reinstated in his favor. Târik then reduced the city of Tûlaitûlah,⁷ the capital of the kingdom

¹ Al-Andalus, Spain. Ya'kûbi, *Buldân*, pp. 353-355.

² 'Adhâri, vol. ii, pp. 11 *seq.*

³ "The straits separating Morocco from Andalusia," al-Marâkishi, *al-Mu'jib fi-Talkhis Akhbâr al-Maghrib*, p. 6.

⁴ 'Adhâri, vol. ii, pp. 5 *seq.*

⁵ Ispahan of Persia. The Arabs were misled to this conclusion by the accidental similarity between the two names. See Mas'ûdi, vol. ii, pp. 326-327.

⁶ Idrisi, *Šifat al-Maghrib*, pp. 208-214.

⁷ Toledo. Muḳaddasi, p. 235; Khurdâdhbih, p. 89.

of Andalusia and which lies next to France [Ar. *Faranjah*]. Here he carried off a wonderful table¹ which Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair, on his return in the year 96, offered as a present to al-Walîd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik in Damascus, who was sick at that time. When Sulaimân ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik came to power, he demanded 100,000 *dinârs* from Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair; but when Yazîd ibn-al-Muhallab interceded in Mûsa's behalf, he was spared.

Ismâ‘il governor of al-Maghrib. When ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz became caliph, he appointed over al-Maghrib Ismâ‘il ibn-‘Abdallâh ibn-abi-l-Muhâjîr, a freedman of the *banu-Makhzûm*, who behaved according to the best standards and invited the Berbers to Islâm. ‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz also wrote them letters to that effect, which were read to them in the different districts by Ismâ‘il. Thus did Islâm prevail over al-Maghrib.

Yazîd as governor. When Yazîd ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik assumed power, he appointed Yazîd ibn-abi-Muslim, a freedman of al-Hâjjâj ibn-Yûsuf, over Ifrikiyah and al-Maghrib. The latter arrived in Ifrikiyah in the year 102, and had his guard of Berbers. On the hand of every guard, he inscribed the word “Guard”,² which act displeased them and made them impatient with him. Some of them entered into a conspiracy and agreed to kill him. One evening, he went out for the sunset prayer, and they killed him in his place of worship. Yazid then appointed Bishr ibn-Safwân al-Kalbi. Bishr beheaded ‘Abdallâh ibn-Mûsa ibn-Nuṣair in revenge for Yazid [ibn-abi-Muslim] on the ground that he was suspected of killing him and arousing people against him.

Bishr and other governors. Hishâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik

¹ “Once owned by king Solomon”, *Marâkishi*, p. 8.

² Ar. *ħarasi*. ‘Adhârî, vol. i, p. 34.

again appointed Bishr ibn-Şafwân.¹ The latter died in al-Kairawân in the year 109. Hishâm appointed in his place 'Ubaidah ibn-'Abd-ar-Râhmân al-Kaisi,² after whom Hishâm appointed 'Abdallâh³ ibn-al-Ḥablâb, a freedman of the banu-Salûl. 'Abdallâh sent 'Abd-ar-Râhmân ibn-Ḥabîb ibn-abi-'Ubaidah ibn-'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi' al-Fihri to the invasion of as-Sûs⁴ and the land of as-Sûdân. The victories won by 'Abd-ar-Râhmân were unparalleled, and among the booty he carried away were two of the women slaves of that region, each with one bosom. These people are known by the name of Tarâjân.

After ibn-al-Ḥablâb, Hishâm appointed Kulthûm ibn-'Iyâq al-Kushairi who arrived in Ifrikiyah in the year 23⁵ and was killed in it. Hishâm appointed after Kulthûm, Ḥanżalah ibn-Şafwân al-Kalbi,⁶ a brother of Bishr, who fought against the Kharijites⁷ and died there while he held the governorship.

When al-Walîd ibn-Yazîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malik assumed power, 'Abd-ar-Râhmân ibn-Ḥabîb al-Fihri rose against him. 'Abd-ar-Râhmân was in good favor with the inhabitants of this frontier region [northern Africa and al-Maghrib], because of the good deeds done in it by his grandfather 'Ukbah ibn-Nâfi'. Consequently, 'Abd-ar-Râhmân subdued this region; and Ḥanżalah departed, leaving 'Abd-ar-Râhmân over it.

¹ 'Adhâri, vol. i, p. 35.

² *Ibid.*, vol. i, p. 36: "as-Sulami".

³ *Ibid.*, vol. i, p. 38: "'Ubaidallâh"; cf. Mahâsin, vol. i, p. 319.

⁴ Idrisi, *Şifat al-Maghrib*, p. 165.

⁵ 'Adhâri, vol. i, p. 41.

⁶ Al-Kindi, *Kitâb al-Wulât w-al-Kudât*, pp. 71-72, 80-82 (ed. Guest).

⁷ *Al-Khawârij*. Rebels led by the heretic 'Ukkâshah aş-Şufri; see 'Adhâri, vol. i, pp. 45-47.

When Yazid ibn-al-Walid assumed the caliphate, he did not send to al-Maghrib any 'âmil.

Then came Marwân ibn-Muhammad to power. 'Abd-ar-Râjîmân ibn-Hâbib communicated with him and professed homage and sent him presents. Marwân had a secretary, Khâlid ibn-Rabi'ah-l-Ifriki, who was a special friend of 'Abd-al-Hâmid ibn-Yahya and kept up a correspondence with him. Marwân confirmed 'Abd-ar-Râjîmân as governor of the region, and appointed after him Ilyâs ibn-Hâbib, and after that, Hâbib ibn-'Abd-ar-Râjîmân. After this, the Ibâdites¹ and the Berbers of the Khârijites had the upper hand.

Towards the end of abu-l-'Abbâs' caliphate, Muhammad ibn-al-Ash'ath al-Khuzâ'i came to Ifrikiyah as its ruler at the head of 70,000 men, according to others, 40,000. His rule lasted for four years, during which he repaired the city of al-Kairawân. At last, the troops of the city rose against him together with others. I heard it reported that the inhabitants of the town and the troops that were in it rose against him and he held out against them in his castle for 40 days, during which his followers from Khurâsân, and others who owed him allegiance, came to his help. Consequently, he succeeded in laying hold on those who fought against him. He then went over the names and put to death every one whose name was Mu'âwiyah, Sufyân, Marwân or any other name that is borne by anyone of the banu-Umayyah, sparing only those who had different names. He was thereupon dismissed by al-Mansûr.

'Umar ibn-Hâfṣ ibn-'Uthmân ibn-Ķabisah ibn-abi-Ṣufrah-l-'Ataki, known as Hizârmard, was then made governor by al-Mansûr, who had great admiration for him. 'Umar entered Ifrikiyah and launched in it a campaign that carried

¹ Ash-Shâhrastâni, *Kitâb al-Milâl w-an-Nihâl*, p. 100 (ed. Cureton).

him to the extremity of the land of the Berbers, where he built a city which he called al-'Abbâsiyah. Abu-Hâtim as-Saddarâti-l-Ibâqî (one of the inhabitants of Sad-darâtah and a freedman of the Kindah) fought against Hizârmard; and the latter suffered martyrdom together with some members of his family. The frontier region broke out in revolt, and the city he had established was destroyed.

Hizârmard was succeeded by Yazid ibn-Hâtim ibn-Ça-bîşah ibn-al-Muhallab,¹ who rebelled at the head of 50,000 men and was accompanied to Jerusalem by abu-Ja'far al-Manşûr who spent large sums of money on him. Yazid advanced until he met abu-Hâtim in Tripoli [Ar. Atrâbulus]. He killed him and made his entrance to Ifrikiyah, where everything went smoothly with him.

Yazîd ibn-Hâtim was succeeded by Rauh ibn-Hâtim, and the latter by al-Faḍl ibn-Rauh, who was slain by the troops that rose up against him.

I was informed by Ahmâd ibn-Nâqid, a freedman of the banu-l-Aghlab, that al-Aghlab ibn-Sâlim at-Tamîmi,² of Maru ar-Rûdh,³ was among those who came from Khurâ-sân with al-Musauwidah.⁴ Al-Aghlab was appointed by Mûsa-l-Hâdi governor of al-Maghrib. When al-Aghlab came to Kairawân Ifrikiyah, Ḥarîsh, who was once in the army of the frontier region of Tûnis, gathered a body of men, with whom he marched against him and besieged him. Al-Aghlab later made a sortie, and in the battle which followed was hit by an arrow and fell dead. Neither his followers nor those of Ḥarish knew of it. At last Ḥarish

¹ Kindi, pp. 111-117.

² *Ibid.*, p. 110.

³ Hamadhâni, *Buldân*, pp. 319-322.

⁴ The partisans of the Abbasid dynasty, so called because they wore black clothes.

was defeated with his army and were pursued by the men of al-Aghlab for three days, during which many were killed, including Ḥarish himself, who fell in a place called Sūk al-Āhad. Al-Aghlab after this was called "the martyr" [*ash-Shahid*].

Ibrāhīm ibn-al-Aghlab, one of the leading men of the Egyptian army, arose one day with twelve men and carried away from the treasury the exact value of their subsistence allowances and no more. They ran away to a place called az-Zāb which lay at a distance of more than ten days from al-Kairawān. The *‘āmil* of this frontier region, at that time under ar-Rashīd Hārūn, was Harthamah ibn-A‘yan.¹ Ibrāhīm ibn-al-Aghlab assumed the commandership of the troops that were in that region and offered presents to Harthamah, showing him kindness and telling him in writing that he did not rebel or disobey, but was rather forced to what he did by urgency and necessity. Harthamah assigned him to be governor of the region and intrusted to him its affairs. 234

When Harthamah's resignation from the governorship of this region [Ifrīkiyah] was accepted, he was succeeded by ibn-al-‘Akki,² whose rule was so bad that the people rose up against him. Ar-Rashīd consulted Harthamah regarding a man whom he could assign to that post and intrust to him its management, and Harthamah advised him that Ibrāhīm be reconciled, won over and appointed over the region. Accordingly, ar-Rashīd wrote to Ibrāhīm, stating that he had forgiven him his crime, excused his fault and thought it wise to assign him to the governorship of al-Maghrib as an act of favor, expecting to receive from him loyalty and good counsel. Ibrāhīm became ruler of the region and managed its affairs thoroughly.

¹ Kindi, p. 136.

² ‘Adhārī, vol. i. p. 80.

One of the city troops named 'Imrân ibn-Mujâlid rose in a revolt and was joined by the army of the region, who demanded that their subsistence allowances be given them, and laid siege to Ibrâhîm in al-Kairawân. Soon after that, those who pay allowances and stipends came bringing money from the *kharâj* of Egypt; and when the dues were given, they [the rebels] dispersed themselves. Ibrâhîm built al-Kâşr al-Abyâq [the white citadel] two miles to the *kiblah* of al-Kairawân, and parceled out the land around it among the Moslems, who established themselves and their residences there. Thus did that section become populated. Ibrâhîm also built a cathedral mosque with gypsum and brick and marble columns, and covered it with cedar wood, making it 200 *dhirâs* in length and almost 200 *dhirâs* in width. He bought slaves to the number of 5,000, emancipated them and made them settle around it. This city he called al-'Abbâsiyah, which is still flourishing to-day.

Al-'Abbâsiyah. Muŷammad ibn-al-Aghlab ibn-Ibrâhîm ibn-al-Aghlab¹ built in the year 239 a city near Tâhart² and named it al-'Abbâsiyah, too. This city was destroyed by Aflâh ibn-'Abd-al-Wahhâb al-Ibâdi, who wrote to the Umayyad chief of Andalusia, informing him of his act in order to win his favor. The Umayyad chief sent him 100,000 *dirhams*.

Bârah. There lies in al-Maghrib a land known as al-*Arâd al-Kabîrah*³ [the big land], situated at a distance of 15 days, more or less, from Barkâh. In it lies a city on the coast, called Bârah, whose inhabitants were Christians, but not Greeks. This city was invaded by Hâblah,⁴ the freed-

¹ 'Adhâri, vol. i, p. 107.

² Tabari, vol. iii, p. 562.

³ Idrîsi, *Sifat al-Maghrib*, p. 56.

⁴ "Hâyah" in Athîr, vol. vi, p. 370.

man of al-Aghlab, who failed to reduce it. It was later invaded by Khalfûn al-Barbari (supposed to have been a freedman of the Râbi'ah) who reduced it in the early part 235 of al-Mutawakkil's caliphate.

Al-Mufarraj ibn-Sallâm. After Khalfûn there arose one called al-Mufarraj ibn-Sallâm who conquered and brought under his control 24 forts. He then forwarded the news of the situation to the Master of the post¹ in Egypt, and told him that he and his followers could conduct no [public] prayer unless the *imâm* confirms him over his district and makes him its ruler, so that he may not be included in the category of usurpers. Al-Mufarraj erected a cathedral mosque. Finally his men rose up against him and killed him.

Sûrân. He was followed by Sûrân who sent his messenger to al-Mutawakkil, the "Commander of the Believers," asking for a confirmation and a letter of appointment to a governorship. Al-Mutawakkil, however, died before his messenger departed with the message to Sûrân.

Al-Muntasîr-Billâh died after holding the caliphate for six months. Then came al-Musta'in-Billâh Ahmâd ibn-Muhammad ibn-al-Mu'tasim who ordered his 'âmil over al-Maghrib, Ûtâmîsh, a freedman of the "Commander of the Believers", to confirm Sûrân; but no sooner had the messenger started from Surra-man-ra'a, than Ûtâmîsh was slain.² That region was after that governed by Waṣîf, a freedman of the caliph, who confirmed Sûrân in his position.

¹ *Sâhib al-barîd.* Ibn-at-Ûktâka, *al-Fâkhri*, p. 129.

² Tabari, vol. iii, pp. 1512, 1513.

PART VII
ISLANDS IN THE SEA

CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF CERTAIN ISLANDS IN THE SEA

Sicily. The first to invade Sicily¹ was Mu‘âwiyah ibn-Ḥudaij al-Kindi² in the days of Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân. It was continually invaded after that. The descendants of al-Aghlab ibn-Sâlim al-Ifriki conquered more than 20 cities in it, which are still in the hands of the Moslems. In the caliphate of al-Mutawakkil, Alḥmad ibn-Muhammad ibn-al-Aghlab reduced in it the Yânah castle and Ghalyânah³ fortress.

It is stated by al-Wâkidi that ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḳais ibn-Makhlad ad-Dizâkî plundered Sicily and carried off idols of gold and silver studded with pearls, which he sent to Mu‘âwiyah. Mu‘âwiyah sent them to al-Baṣrah to be carried into India and sold there with a view to getting a higher price for them.

Rhodes. Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân sent expeditions by sea and by land. He sent to Rhodes⁴ Junâdah ibn-abi-Umaiyah-l-Azdi. Junâdah was one of those on whose authority traditions were reported. He had chance to meet abu-Bakr, ‘Umar and Mu‘adh ibn-Jabal, and died in the year 80. Junâdah took Rhodes by force. Rhodes was a thicket in the sea. In pursuance of Mu‘âwiyah’s order, Junâdah caused Moslems to settle in it. This took place in the year 52.

¹ Ar. Sikilliyah. Idrisi, “Italy”, in *Nuzhat al-Mushtâk fi-Ikhtirâk al-Afâk*, pp. 57-58 (Rome, 1878).

² Kindi, pp. 17-19, 27-30.

³ Cf. Idrisi, “Italy”, p. 49; Amari, *Bibliotheca Arabo-Sicula*, p. 60.

⁴ Rûdis. See Kindi, p. 38.

Rhodes is one of the most fertile of all islands, and is about sixty miles in size. It is rich in olive trees, vineyards, fruits and fresh water.

Muhammad ibn-Sa'd from al-Wâkidi and others:—The Moslems occupied Rhodes for seven years, living in a fort made for them. At the death of Mu'âwiyah, Yazid wrote to Junâdah ordering him to destroy the fort and return. Mu'âwiyah used to alternate its occupants, making them live there in turns. Mujâhid ibn-Jabr¹ lived in it and taught the Koran.

Arwâd. In the year 54, Junâdah ibn-abi-Umaiyah reduced Arwâd,² and Mu'âwiyah made the Moslems settle in it. Among those who took part in conquering it was Mujâhid and Tubai',³ a son of Ka'b al-Ahbar's⁴ wife. It was here that Mujâhid taught Tubai' the Koran. Others say that he did it in Rhodes. This Arwâd is an island lying near Constantinople [al-Kuṣṭanṭîniyah].

Crete. Junâdah led a razzia against Crete [Ikriṭish],⁵ a part of which he conquered at the time of al-Wâlid. Later, the island was lost to the Moslems. In the caliphate of ar-Rashîd it was invaded again by Ḥumaid ibn-Ma'yûk al-Ḥamdâni, who reduced a part of it. In the caliphate of al-Ma'mûn, it was invaded by abu-Hafṣ 'Umar ibn-‘Isâ-l-An-dalusi, known by the name of al-Ikriṭishi, who first reduced one fort and occupied it. Then he kept on reducing one part after another until none of the Greeks were left. He also dismantled their forts.

¹ Kindi, p. 39.

² Tabari, vol. ii, p. 163.

³ Tabari, vol. ii, p. 163; Dhahabi, p. 69.

⁴ A Jewish rabbi of Ḥimyar converted to Islâm in the time of 'Umar. Muir, *Annals*, p. 236, note 1.

⁵ Idrisi, "Italy", p. 19; Rustah, p. 85; "Ikriṭiyah".

PART VIII

NUBIA

CHAPTER I

TERMS MADE WITH NUBIA

‘Ukbah leads the attack. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from abu-1-Khair:—When the Moslems subdued Egypt, ‘Amr ibn-al-Āṣi sent to the surrounding villages, in order to overrun and pillage them, a detachment of cavalry under ‘Ukbah ibn-Nāfi‘ al-Fihri (Nāfi‘ being a brother of al-Āṣi on his mother’s side). The cavalry entered the land of Nubia ¹ as 237 the summer expeditions of the Greeks do. The Moslems met in Nubia determined resistance. They were subjected to such severe showers of arrows until most of them were wounded and had to return with many wounds and blinded eyes. Therefore were the Nubians called the “archers of the eyes”.

The terms made. This state of affairs continued until ‘Abdallāh ibn-Sa‘d ibn-abi-Sarḥ ruled over Egypt. The Nubians asked for peace and conciliation from ‘Abdallāh, who granted their request, the terms being that they pay no tax but offer as a present three hundred slaves per annum; and that the Moslems offer them as a present food equivalent to the value of the slaves.

The Nubians as archers. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from a *sheikh* of the tribe of Ḥimyar:—The latter said, “I have been to Nubia twice during the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, and I never saw a people who are sharper in warfare than they. I heard one of them say to the Moslem, ‘Where do you want me to hit you with my arrow?’ and

¹ An-Nūbah. See Idrīsī, *Sifat al-Maghrib*, p. 19.

in case the Moslem would disdainfully say, 'In such a spot', the Nubian would never miss it. They were fond of fighting with arrows; but their arrows would scarcely ever hit on the ground.¹ One day, they arrayed themselves against us and we were desirous to carry the conflict with the sword; but they were too quick for us and shot their arrows, putting out our eyes. The eyes that were put out numbered 150. We at last thought that the best thing to do with such a people was to make peace. We could carry very little booty away from them; and their ability to inflict injury was great. 'Amr, however, refused to make peace with them and went on contending against them until he was dismissed and was succeeded by 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Sarh, who concluded peace with them."

According to al-Wâkidi, Mu'âwiyah ibn-Hudaij al-Kindi lost his eye in Nubia and thus became one-eyed.

The legality of selling their children as slaves. Abu-'Ubaid al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from Yazid ibn-abi-Habib:—The latter said, "Between us and the black tribes [Ar. *asâwid*], no treaty or covenant exists. Only a truce was arranged between us, according to which we agreed to give them some wheat and lentils, and they to give us slaves. It is all right to buy their slaves from them or from others."

Abu-'Ubaid from al-Laith ibn-Sa'd:—The latter said, "The terms we made with the Nubians stipulated only that we neither fight against them nor they against us, that they give slaves and we give them their value in terms of food. If they desire, therefore, to sell their wives or children, there is no reason why they should not be bought." 238

In a report of abu-l-Bukhturi and others, it is stated that 'Abdallâh ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Sarh made terms with the Nubians to the effect that they give four hundred slaves per

¹ i. e. they scarcely ever missed their aim.

year, whom they shall bring forth and for whom they shall receive food in exchange.

The caliph al-Mahdi ordered that Nubia be held responsible every year for 360 slaves and one giraffe, and that they be given wheat, vinegar, wine, clothes and mattresses or the value thereof.

The Nubians recently claimed that the tribute¹ is not due on them every year, and that it was demanded from them in the caliphate of al-Mahdi, at which time they told the caliph that the tribute was a part of what they took as slaves from their enemies and therefore they had, if they could not get enough slaves, to use their own children and offer them. Al-Mahdi ordered that they be tolerated, and that the tribute of one year be considered as if for three. No confirmation, however, could be found in the registers of al-Hadrâh;² but it was found in the register in Egypt.

Al-Kummi in al-Bujah. Al-Mutawakkil ordered one, Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallâh, known as al-Kummi, to be sent and put in charge of al-Ma‘din³ in Egypt. He also put him in charge of al-Kulzum [Suez], the road of al-Hijâz, and the furnishing of guides to the Egyptians when on holy pilgrimage. Arriving in al-Ma‘din, he conveyed provisions in ships from al-Kulzum to the land of al-Bujah. He then proceeded to a sea-coast, called ‘Aidhâb,⁴ where the ships met him. With these provisions, he and his followers were strengthened and fed until they came to the castle of the king of al-Bujah. Al-Kummi attacked him in

¹ Ar. *baqt*, Quatremère, *Mémoires Géographiques et Historiques sur l'Égypte*, vol. ii, pp. 42, 53.

² Perhaps al-Khadrâ'. See Idrisi, *Sifat al-Maghrib*, p. 84; Hamadhâni, *Buldân*, pp. 79-80.

³ The mine land. Maqrizi, vol. i, pp. 313, 318; Mas‘ûdi, *Tanbih*, p. 330.

⁴ Idrisi, *Sifat al-Maghrib*, p. 27.

small force, and the king of al-Bujah made a sally with his numerous men on camels fastened with girths. Al-Kummi brought bells and put them on his horses. As soon as the camels heard the bell sounds, they ran away with the al-Bujah men over hills and valleys. The chief of al-Bujah was killed and was succeeded by his sister's son,¹ whose father was one of the kings of al-Bujah. He sued for a truce, which al-Mutawakkil granted only on condition that he [the chief] should tread on his [al-Mutawakkil's] carpet. Accordingly, he came to Surra-man-ra'a and made terms in the year 241, agreeing to pay tribute in money and slaves. He was then sent back with al-Kummi. Thus, the people of al-Bujah are in a state of truce in which they pay tax 239 and do not prevent the Moslems from working in the gold mine, which terms are mentioned in the conditions imposed upon their chief. 240

¹ Maqrizi, vol. i, p. 317: "his brother's son"; cf. Quatremère, *op. cit.*, vol. ii, p. 136.

CHAPTER II

THE KARĀTÎS¹

THE Greeks used to get the *karātîs* from Egypt,² and the Arabs used to get the *dînârs* from the Greeks. ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân was the first to inscribe on the upper part of these fabrics³ such phrases as “Declare: Allah is one!” and others with the name of Allah. One day, he received from the Byzantine king a message, saying, “You have recently introduced upon your *karâtîs* some inscription that we hate. If you leave that out, well and good; otherwise, you shall see on the *dînârs* the name of your Prophet associated with things you hate.” This was too much for ‘Abd-al-Malik, who hated to abolish a worthy law that he had established. He thereupon sent for Khâlid ibn-Yazîd ibn-Mu‘âwiyah and said to him, “O abu-Hâshim! It is a calamity!” Khâlid replied, “Be free from your fright, ‘Commander of the Believers’; declare the use of their *dînârs* illegal; strike new coinage in place of them, and let not these infidels be free from what they hate to see on the fabrics.” “Thou hast eased my mind,” said ‘Abd-al-Malik, “may Allah give thee ease!” He then struck the *dînârs*.

According to ‘Awânah ibn-al-Hakam, the Copts used to

¹ Rolls of papyrus for writing; also, cloth of Egyptian fabric used for carrying vases or clothes. Zaidân, *Ta’rîkh at-Tamaddun*, vol. i, p. 103; *Zeitschrift für Assyrologie*, pp. 187-190, yr. 1908.

² Al-Kindi, *Fadâ’il Misr*, p. 209, lines 9-10 (ed. Oestrup).

³ Ar. *ṭawâmîr*. Fraenkel, *op. cit.*, p. 251.

inscribe the word “ Christ ” at the top part of the *karâṭîs*, and to ascribe divinity to him (may Allah be highly exalted above that!) ; and they used to put the sign of the cross in place of “ In the name of Allah, the compassionate, the merciful ”. That is why the Byzantine king was disgusted and his anger was aroused with the change that ‘Abd-al-Malik introduced.

According to al-Madâ’ini, it was stated by Maslamah ibn-Muhârib that Khâlid ibn-Yazîd advised ‘Abd-al-Malik to declare the use of the Greek *dînârs* illegal, to prohibit their circulation and to stop the sending of the *karâṭîs* to the Byzantine empire. Accordingly, no *karâṭîs* were carried there for some time.

PART IX
AL-IRÂK AND PERSIA

CHAPTER I

THE CONQUEST OF AS-SAWÂD

241

THE CALIPHATE OF ABU-BAKR AŞ-ŞİDDİK

Al-Muthanna invades as-Sawâd. Al-Muthanna ibn-Hârithah ibn-Salamah ibn-Ḍamḍam ash-Shaibâni used to lead incursions with some of his men against as-Sawâd.¹ Having heard of it, abu-Bakr made inquiries regarding him and learned from Ķais ibn-‘Âsim ibn-Sinân al-Minkâri that that was not a man with no reputation, or of unknown origin, or of no support; but it was al-Muthanna ibn-Hârithah ash-Shaibâni. Later, al-Muthanna presented himself before abu-Bakr and said to him, “Caliph of the Prophet of Allah, make me your lieutenant over those of my people who have accepted Islâm, that I may fight against those foreigners, the Persians.” Abu-Bakr wrote him a covenant to that effect. Al-Muthanna proceeded till he came to Khaffân; and inviting his people to Islâm, they accepted it.

Khâlid in al-Ubullah. Abu-Bakr then wrote to Khâlid ibn-al-Wâlid al-Makhzûmi, ordering him to go against al-‘Irâk. Others say that he sent him from al-Madînah. In the meantime, abu-Bakr wrote to al-Muthanna ibn-Hârithah ordering him to receive Khâlid and obey his word.

Previous to this, Madh’ûr ibn-‘Adî-l-‘Ijli had written to abu-Bakr presenting his case and the case of his people, and asking to be put in charge of the campaign against the Persians. Now, abu-Bakr wrote and ordered him to join Khâlid, stop with him when he stopped and move with him

¹ ‘Irâk, the region west of the Tigris. Rustah, p. 104.

when he moved. On the arrival of Khâlid in an-Nibâj,¹ he was met by al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah. Thence Khâlid proceeded to al-Baṣrah in which there was at this time Suwaid ibn-Ḳuṭbah adh-Dhuhli (others than abu-Mikhnaf say that there was in it Ḳuṭbah ibn-Ḳatâdah adh-Dhuhli) of the tribe of Bakr ibn-Wâ'il, accompanied by a band of followers. Suwaid had designs regarding al-Baṣrah similar to those of al-Muthanna regarding al-Kûfah, which at that time was not called al-Kûfah but al-Hîrah. Suwaid said to Khâlid, "The inhabitants of al-Ubullah had assembled against me but failed to make the attack simply because of thy presence, as I believe." "If that is so," answered Khâlid "the advisable thing for me would be to leave al-Baṣrah in the day time and return in the night, at which time my companions would enter thy camp and we will fight together." Accordingly, Khâlid left in the direction of al-Hîrah and when darkness fell, he turned back until he got to the camp of Suwaid, which he entered with his men. In the morning, the inhabitants of al-Ubullah, hearing that Khâlid had left al-Baṣrah, advanced towards Suwaid. Seeing the great number of men in his army, they were confounded and turned back. Thereupon, Khâlid shouted, "On them! I see in them the looks of a people whose hearts Allah has filled with terror!" Then the Moslems charged them, put them to flight, and by Allah's help, killed a great number and caused others to drown in Dijlat al-Baṣrah.² Thence Khâlid passed through al-Khuraibah,³ reduced it and carried its inhabitants away into captivity. He left over it in his place—as it is reported by al-Kalbi—Shurailî ibn-

¹ Khurdâdhbih, pp. 146, 147.

² or Dijlat al-'Aura = the united course of the Tigris and the Euphrates before they empty into the Persian Gulf. Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 745.

³ Hamadâhâni, *Buldân*, p. 189.

‘Āmir ibn-Kain¹ of the banu-Sa‘d ibn-Bakr ibn-Hawāzin. The city was a fortified frontier town for the Persians.

Nahr al-Mar’ah. It is also reported that Khâlid came to the river known as al-Mar’ah² river, with whose people he made terms. He then fought against a body of men assembled at al-Madhâr.³

Khâlid proceeds to al-Hirah. Khâlid then proceeded to al-Hirah,⁴ and left Suwaid ibn-Kuṭbah to rule over his district, saying, “We have crushed the Persians in thy district in a way that will humiliate them before thee.”

Others report that when Khâlid was in the district of al-Yamâmah, he wrote to abu-Bakr for reinforcements; and abu-Bakr sent him Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Bajali. Jarîr met Khâlid as the latter was on his way out of al-Yamâmah, joined him and attacked the al-Madhâr’s chief by Khâlid’s orders. Allah knows if that is so.

Al-Wâkidi states, “Our friends in al-Hijâz maintain that Khâlid left for al-‘Irâk, passing by Faid⁵ and ath-Thâlabiyah,⁶ after which he came to al-Hirah.

Zandaward, Durna and other places reduced by Khâlid. Khâlid ibn-al-Wâlid passed through Zandaward in Kaskar and reduced it; he also reduced Durna and its territory, which capitulated after one hour’s shooting by the people of Zandaward on the Moslems.

He then proceeded to Hurmuzjarad, to the inhabitants of which he made a promise of security. The city itself was taken. Khâlid then came to Ullais. Jâbân,⁷ the chief

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2382.

² *i. e.*, the woman’s river; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2026.

³ Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 468; Hamadhâni, p. 211.

⁴ Haukal, p. 163.

⁵ A town in central Najd. Muḳaddasi, p. 254.

⁶ On the west bank of the Euphrates. Kuhrdâhbih, p. 127.

⁷ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2018.

of the Persians, set out against him and Khâlid sent ahead al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah ash-Shaibâni who met Jabân at Nahr ad-Damm [sanguine canal]. Khâlid made terms with the inhabitants of Ullais, stipulating that they act as spies, guides and helpers to the Moslems against the Persians.

Khâlid in al-Hîrah. Khâlid then proceeded to *Mujtama'* al-Anhâr¹ [confluence of canals], where he was met by Azâdhbih, the holder of the frontier fortifications of Kisra that lay between the Persian and the Arab territories. The 243 Moslems fought against him and defeated him. Then Khâlid came and stopped at Khaffân. Others say he proceeded directly to al-Hîrah, where he was met by 'Abd-al-Masîh ibn-'Amr ibn-Kâis ibn-Haiyân ibn-Bukailah² (Bukailah's proper name being al-Ḥârith) of the Azd, Hâni' ibn-Kâbiṣah ibn-Mas'ûd ash-Shaibâni and Iyâs ibn-Kâbiṣah aṭ-Tâ'i (others say Farwah ibn-Iyâs), Iyâs being the '*âmil*' of Kisra Abarwîz over al-Hîrah after an-Nu'mân ibn-al-Mundhir. These men made terms with Khâlid, stipulating that they pay 100,000 *dirhams* per year, others say 80,000 per year, that they act as spies for the Moslems against the Persians, and that Khâlid would not destroy any of their churches or citadels.

It was reported by abu-Mikhnaf, on the authority of abu-l-Muthannah-l-Walîd ibn-al-Ḳâṭâmi, who is the same as ash-Sharkî ibn-al-Ḳâṭâmi-l-Kalbi, that 'Abd-al-Masîh, who was an aged man, appeared before Khâlid who asked him. "Where dost thou come from, old man?" And he replied, "From my father's back."—"What didst thou come out from?"—"From my mother's womb."—"Woe unto thee! Where art thou now?"—"In my clothes."—"Woe

¹ Wellhausen, *Skizzen*, vol. vi, p. 42; Caetani, vol. ii, p. 937.

² Duraid, p. 285; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2019; Mas'ûdi, vol. i, p. 217.

to thee! Where dost thou stand now?"—"On the ground."—"Dost thou have reason [Ar. *ta'kul*]?"—"Yes, I can bind [*a'kul*] and tie up [a camel]."¹—"Woe to thee! I am speaking to thee like a man!"—"And I am answering thee like a man."—"Art thou for peace or for war?"—"For peace."—"What are these forts then?"—"We built them for the rogue until the meek comes."² The two then discussed the question of peace and it was agreed that 100,000 [dirhams] be offered the Moslems every year. The money taken from these people was the first sum carried to al-Madinah from al-‘Irâk. It was also stipulated that they seek no evil for the Moslems and that they act as spies against the Persians. All that took place in the year 12.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Yahya ibn-Ādam:—The latter said: "I heard it said that the people of al-Ḥirah were 6,000 men, on each one of whom 14 dirhams, each having the weight of 5 *kirâts*, were assessed, making 84,000 dirhams in all, of 5 *kirâts* each, or 60,000 of 7 each. To that end, he [Khâlid] wrote them a statement which I myself have read."

It is reported that Yazîd ibn-Nubaishah-l-‘Āmîri said, "We came to al-‘Irâk with Khâlid and went as far as the frontier fort of al-‘Udhaib. We then came to al-Ḥirah whose people had fortified themselves in al-Kaṣr al-Abiyâd [white citadel], Kaṣr ibn-Buṭailah and Kaṣr al-‘Adasiyîn. We went around on horseback in the open spaces among their buildings, after which they made terms with us." (According to ibn-al-Kalbi al-‘Adasiyîn were a branch of the Kalb, and were named after their mother who was also of the Kalb tribe.)

244

¹ Pun on words. Caetani, vol. iv, p. 657 takes it to mean, "I am rich enough to pay the blood-wit [*‘akl*] and to retaliate by killing [*kawad*]."

² Cf. Mas‘ûdi, vol. i, p. 218; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2019; Caetani, vol. iv, p. 657.

Abu-Mas'ud al-Kûfi from ash-Sha'bi:—Khuraim¹ ibn-Aus ibn-Ḥârithah ibn-Lâm at-Ta'i said to the Prophet, “If Allah enables thee to reduce al-Hirah, I shall ask thee to give me Buḳailah's daughter.” When Khâlid wanted to make terms with the inhabitants of al-Hirah, Khuraim said to him, “The Prophet has given me Buḳailah's daughter. She should not therefore be included in thy terms.” This was testified to by Bashîr ibn-Sa'd and Muḥammad ibn-Maslamah of the *Anṣâr*; and therefore, Khâlid did not include her in the terms, but turned her over to Khuraim. She was then bought from Khuraim for 1,000 *dirhams*, she being too old for Khuraim to marry her. Some one remarked to Khuraim, “She was sold very cheap. Her people would have paid thee many times the price thou hast charged.” And he replied, “I never thought there was a number above ten hundred.”

Another tradition has it that the one who asked the Prophet to give him Buḳailah's daughter was one of the Rabi'ah. The former view, however, is more authentic.

Bâniķiya taken. Khâlid ibn-al-Walid despatched Bashîr ibn-Sa'd abu-an-Nu'mân ibn-Bashîr of the *Anṣâr* to Bâniķiya.² Bashîr was met by the Persian horsemen headed by Farrukhbundâdh. Bashîr's men were shot with arrows; but he led the charge and put the enemy to flight, killing Farrukhbundâdh. He then returned with a wound which became recrudescent, when he came to 'Ain at-Tamr, and caused his death. Others say that Khâlid himself, accompanied by Bashîr, met Farrukhbundâdh.

Khâlid then sent Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Bajali to the people of Bâniķiya. Jarîr was met by Buṣbuḥra ibn-Ṣalûba, who refused to fight and proposed to make peace. Jarîr

¹ Mawardi, p. 333; Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2047-2048.

² Hamadhâni, p. 165.

made terms with him on 100,000 *dirhams* and one mantle.¹ Others say that ibn-Ṣalūba came to Khālid and, refusing to fight, made those terms. After the battle of an-Nukhailah 245 and the death of Mihrān, Jarīr came and received from ibn-Ṣalūba's people and from the people of al-Ḥirah the sum agreed upon, and wrote them a receipt. Others deny that Jarīr ibn-‘Abdallāh ever came to al-‘Irāk except in the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb. Abu-Mikhnaf and al-Wākidi, however, repeat that he went there twice.

Khālid wrote a statement to Buṣbūra ibn-Ṣalūba and sent the mantle to abu-Bakr together with the money from al-Ḥirah and the thousand *dirhams*. Abu-Bakr offered the mantle as a present to al-Ḥusain ibn-‘Ali.

Abū-Naṣr at-Tammār from ‘Abdallāh ibn-Mughaffal² al-Muzāni:—No part of al-‘Irāk made covenant [with the Moslems] except al-Ḥirah, Ullais and Bānikiya.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ibn-Mughaffal:—No land below al-Jabal³ is fit for sale except the land of the banū-Ṣalūba and the land of al-Ḥirah.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Aswad ibn-Ḳāis's father:—The latter said, “We arrived in al-Ḥirah and made terms on so much money and a camel's saddle.” In answer to my question, “What did ye do with the saddle?” he replied, “One of us had no saddle and we gave it to him.”

Abu-‘Ubaid from Ḥūmaid ibn-Hilāl:—When Khālid arrived in al-Ḥirah, its inhabitants made terms without offering any resistance. The following verse was written by Ḏirār ibn-al-Azwar al-Asadi:

¹ Ar. *ṭailasān* = Persian apparel of dark wool. Dozy, *Vêtements*, pp. 278-280.

² Mughaffal and not Mughaffil as Balādhuri has it. See Dhahabi, p. 477.

³ Al-Jabal or al-Jibāl = Media. Hamadhāni, pp. 209 *seq.*

"I had insomnia in Bânīkiya and whosoever receives what I received there—a wound, would certainly have insomnia."

Al-Wâkidi states, "Our companions agree that this Dirâr was slain in al-Yamâmah."

Al-Falâlij and Tustar. From Bânīkiya, Khâlid came to al-Falâlij,¹ in which was massed a host of Greeks. They were soon dispersed, and Khâlid, meeting no resistance, returned to al-Hîrah. Hearing that Jâbân was at the head of a great army in Tustar,² Khâlid sent against him al-Muthanna ibn-Hârithah ash-Shaibâni and Hânzalah ibn-ar-Rabi'³ ibn-Rabâh al-Usaidi of the banu-Tamîm (he is the one called Hânzalah-l-Kâtib[the scribe]). No sooner had these two come to the place where Jâbân was, than he fled.

246

Sûk Baghdâd and al-Anbâr. Khâlid proceeded to al-Anbâr⁴ whose people betook themselves to their fortifications. Here some one came to Khâlid and pointed out to him Sûk [market] Baghdâdh,⁵ which later [after Baghdâdh was founded] was called as-Sûk al-'Atîk [the old market] and which lay near Karn as-Şarât.⁶ Khâlid sent al-Muthanna who made a raid on this market, and the Moslems filled their hands with gold and silver and commodities light to carry. They spent the night at as-Sailâjin, and then came to al-Anbâr where Khâlid was. The Moslems then invested the inhabitants of al-Anbâr and set fire to places in its district. Al-Anbâr was thus called because the Persian granaries were in it and the friends and protégés of an-Nû'

¹ Pl. of Fallûjah. Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 908.

² Haukal, p. 172.

³ "Rabi'ah" in Duraid, p. 127; and "Rabi'ah ibn-Şaifi" in Kutaibah, Ma'ârif, p. 153.

⁴ Iştakhri, p. 77.

⁵ Le Strange, *Baghdâd during the Abbasid Caliphate*, p. 12.

⁶ As-Sarât Point, where as-Şarât canal disembogued to the Tigris. See Ya'kûbi, *Buldân*, p. 235.

mân used to get their subsistence allowances from it. Seeing what had befallen them, the inhabitants of al-Anbâr made terms which satisfied Khâlid, and so he left them in their homes.

Others assert that Khâlid sent al-Muthanna before him to Baghdâdh and then followed him and directed the raid against it, after which he returned to al-Anbâr. This, however, is not authentic.

Al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from ash-Sha‘bi:—The people of al-Anbâr have a covenant [with the Moslems].

A tradition communicated to me by certain *sheikhs* from al-Anbâr states that terms were concluded with the people of al-Anbâr in the caliphate of ‘Umar in which it was stipulated that they pay for their canton [*tassûj*] 400,000 *dirhams* and 1,000 cloaks fabricated in Қaṭawâن, per year. The terms were made by Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Bajali. Others say that the sum was 80,000; but Allah knows best.

Jarîr reduced Bawâzij al-Anbâr in which are to-day many of his freedmen.

According to a report there came to Khâlid ibn-al-Walid someone who pointed out to him a market above al-Anbâr in which the Kalb, Bakr ibn-Wâ'il and others from the tribe of Қuḍâ'ah used to meet. Khâlid despatched against this place al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah who made a raid against it, carried as booty what there was in it, slaughtered and took captives.

‘Ain at-Tamr. Thence Khâlid advanced to ‘Ain at-Tamr¹ and invested its fort in which a great frontier guard of Persians was stationed. The holders of the fort made a sally and fought, but after that, they confined themselves to their fort, where Khâlid and the Moslems besieged them until they sued for peace. Khâlid refused to give them

¹ Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 759.

promise of security and reduced the fort by force, slaughtering and carrying away captives. Here he found certain persons in a church whom he took captives. Among these captives was (1) ȆHumrân ibn-Abân ibn-Khâlid at-Tamri. Others say his father's name was Abba. This ȆHumrân was the freedman of 'Uthmân. He first belonged to al-Musâiyab ibn-Najabah-l-Fazâri from whom 'Uthmân bought him, and then released him. 'Uthmân later sent him to al-Kûfah to make inquiry regarding the conduct of his 'âmil there, on which occasion ȆHumrân did not tell the truth. So 'Uthmân denied him the rights of protection [Ar. *jîwâr*] and ȆHumrân went and settled in al-Basrah. Among other captives were (2) Sirîn, father of Muhammâd ibn-Sîrîn,¹ whose brothers were Yâhiya ibn-Sîrîn, Anâs ibn-Sîrîn, and Ma'bâd ibn-Sîrîn, Muhammâd being the eldest brother, and all being the freedmen of Anâs ibn-Mâlik al-Anshâri; (3) abu-'Amrah, a grandfather of 'Abdallâh ibn-'Abd-al-A'la, the poet; (4) Yasâr, a grandfather of Muhammâd ibn-Ishâk—the author of *as-Sîrah*²—and a freedman of ȆKais ibn-Mâkhrâmah ibn-al-Mu'talib ibn-'Abd-Manâf; (5) Murrah abu-'Ubâid, a grandfather of Muhammâd ibn-Zâid ibn-'Ubâid ibn-Murrah (Nafîs ibn-Muhammâd ibn-Zâid ibn-'Ubâid ibn-Murrah, the owner of the citadel [*kaşr*] near al-Harrâh [volcanic tract of al-Mâdînah] was a son of this Muhammâd. His descendants give the name of their ancestor as 'Ubâid ibn-Murrah ibn-al-Mu'talla-l-Anshâri and later az-Zurâkî); (6) Nuşair, the father of Mûsa ibn-Nuşair, the governor of al-Maghrib. This Nuşair was a freedman of the banu-Umâiyah, as it is asserted by freedmen in the frontier towns descended from slaves whom he

¹ Bakri, p. 199.

² The biography of the Prophet from which ibn-Hishâm's was abridged.

had released. Ibn-al-Kalbi says that abu-Farwah 'Abd ar-Rahmān ibn-al-Aswad and Nuṣair abu-Mūsa ibn-Nuṣair were both Arabs of [the clan of] Arâshah of [the tribe of] Bali and that they were taken captives from Jabal al-Jalil [Mt. Galilee] in Syria during the caliphate of abu-Bakr. Nuṣair's name was originally Naṣr which was later used in the diminutive form—Nuṣair. Some one of the banu-Umayyah gave him his liberty; and he returned to Syria where in a village called Kafarmara¹ his son Mūsa was born. Mūsa was lame. Al-Kalbi adds that some one said that the two [Nuṣair and abu-Farwah] were brothers taken captives from 'Ain at-Tamr, and that they owed their liberty to the banu-Dabbah.

According to 'Ali ibn-Muhammad al-Madâ'ini, it is stated by someone that abu-Farwah and Nuṣair were of the captives of 'Ain at-Tamr. Abu-Farwah was bought by Nâ'im al-Asadi who sold him later to 'Uthmân who used him for digging graves. When the people rose up against 'Uthmân, abu-Farwah joined them and said to 'Uthmân, "Restore what thou hast wrongfully taken from others!" To this 'Uthmân replied, "Thou representest the first thing. I bought thee out of the *sadakah* funds that thou mayest dig the tombs; but thou hast left that." His son 'Abdallâh ibn-abi-Farwah was one of the illustrious freedmen. One of his descendants² was ar-Râbî' ibn-Yûnus ibn-Muhammad ibn-abi-Farwah, a companion of al-Manṣûr. Abu-Farwah was thus called because of a furred garment [Ar. *farwah*] which he had on when he was taken captive.³

248

According to certain reports, Khâlid made terms with the

¹ "Kafarmathra" in *Marâṣid*, vol. ii, p. 504.

² Caetani, vol. ii, p. 945.

³ *Aghâni*, vol. iii, p. 127, adds Kaisân, one of the ancestors of abu-l-Atâhiyah, to the list of captives.

holders of 'Ain at-Tamr fort and these captives [mentioned above] were found in a church in a certain canton.¹ Some say that Sirin was one of the inhabitants of Jarjarâya and that he came there on a visit to a relative of his and was taken captive together with those in the church.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Yahya ibn-Ādām from ash-Sha'bi:—Khālid ibn-al-Walīd made terms with the people of al-Ḥirah and 'Ain at-Tamr, and stated them in a letter to abu-Bakr, which the latter endorsed. Yahya adds, "I asked al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâlih,² 'Have the people of 'Ain at-Tamr, like those of al-Ḥirah, to pay something for their lands, but nothing for their persons?' To this al-Ḥasan replied, 'Yes.'"

It is stated by someone that there was at 'Ain at-Tamr at the head of the an-Namir ibn-Ķāsiṭ tribe, Hilāl ibn-'Ak̄kah ibn-Ķais ibn-al-Bishr an-Namiri,³ who gathered an army and fought against Khālid. He was defeated, killed and crucified. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, there was at the head of the an-Namir at that time 'Ak̄kah ibn-Ķais ibn-al-Bishr himself.

The wound of Bashir ibn-Sa'd al-Anṣāri became recrudescent and caused his death. He was buried at 'Ain at-Tamr. By his side was buried 'Umair ibn-Ri'âb ibn-Muhashshim ibn-Sa'îd ibn-Sahm ibn-'Amr, who was hit by an arrow at 'Ain at-Tamr and fell a martyr.

The razzias of an-Nusair ibn-Daisam. When Khālid ibn-al-Walīd was at 'Ain at-Tamr he sent an-Nusair ibn-Daisam ibn-Thaur to a spring of water by which were settled the banu-Taghlib, whom he surprised by night, killing and carrying away many captives. One of the prisoners

¹ *tassūj*. Nöldeke, *ZDMG*, 1874, vol. xxviii, p. 94, note.

² One of the intermediate authorities of this tradition.

³ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2122: "an-Namari".

asked Khâlid to release him, promising to point out to him a quarter inhabited by the banu-Rabi'ah. Khâlid did so and an-Nusair came to the Rabi'ah quarter, where he fell upon them in the night-time and carried away booty and captives. He then proceeded inland towards Takrit. Thus did the Moslems enrich themselves with booty.

249

According to a tradition communicated to me by abu-Mas'ûd al-Kûfi, on the authority of Muhammad ibn-Marwân, an-Nusair came to 'Ukbarâ' and gave promise of security to its inhabitants, who brought forth food for his men and their animals. He then passed through al-Baradân, whose people hurried to present themselves before the Moslems. An-Nusair said, "Never mind!"; which was enough to guarantee their safety.

Thence an-Nusair advanced to al-Mukharrim which according to abu-Mas'ûd was not called then Mukharrim,¹ but was so called after being occupied by a certain descendant of Mukharrim ibn-Hazn ibn-Ziyâd ibn-Anas ibn-ad-Daiyân al-Hârithi, as it is mentioned by Hishâm ibn-Muhammad al-Kalbi.

The Moslems then crossed a bridge lying near Kaşr [castle] Sâbûr, known to-day by the name of Kaşr 'Isa ibn-'Ali. The bridge was in charge of Khurzâd ibn-Mâhibundâdh who went out against the Moslems, but was fought and defeated by them. The Moslems then retreated to 'Ain at-Tamr.

An-Nusair and Hudhaifah. It is stated by al-Wâkidi that after the battle of al-Jîr [bridge] and after making the Moslems withdraw to Khaffân, al-Muthanna ibn-Hârithah sent in the caliphate of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb an-Nusair and Hudhaifah ibn-Mîhsân at the head of a body of horsemen, who destroyed a band of the banu-Taghilib

¹ Ya'kûbi, *Buldân*, p. 253.

and crossed over to Takrīt from which they carried away camels and goats.

“One of the things told me by abu-Mas‘ūd,” said ‘Attâb ibn-Ibrâhîm, “was that an-Nusair and Hudhaifah promised security to the people of Takrīt and wrote a statement which was carried out by ‘Utbah ibn-Farkâd as-Sulâmi when he reduced at-Ṭîrhâن [or Ṭîrahâن] and al-Mauṣîl. He also mentioned the fact that an-Nusair, directed by Khâlid ibn-al-Walid, made a raid against villages in Maskin and Kaṭrabbul [or Kuṭrubbul] from which he carried off large booty.”

From ‘Ain at-Tamr, Khâlid advanced to Syria and said to al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah, “Return [to al-Ḥîrah?—may Allah have mercy on thee—to thy Sultan, untired and unfailing.”¹

The departure of Khâlid for Syria took place in Rabî‘ II, 250 according to others, Rabî‘ I, year 13. It is claimed by some that Khâlid came from ‘Ain at-Tamr to Dûmah,² which he reduced, and after that he proceeded to al-Ḥîrah and thence to Syria. That he departed for Syria from ‘Ain at-Tamr, is, however, more reliable.

¹ Certain verses describing the battles referred to above are here omitted from the translation. This was done in a few other cases.

² *Skizzen*, vol. iv, p. 47, n. 3. De Goeje, *Mémoire*, p. 15, takes this to be Dûmah al-Ḥîrah and not al-Jandal. Cf. Müller, *Der Islâm*, vol. i, p. 229, note.

CHAPTER II

THE CALIPHATE OF 'UMAR IBN-AL-KHAṬṬĀB

Abu-'Ubaid chief commander. When 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb was proclaimed caliph, he directed abu-'Ubaid ibn-Mas'ūd ibn-'Amr ibn-'Umair ibn-'Auf ibn-'Uḳdah ibn-Ghiyarah ibn-'Auf ibn-Thaḳif (who is identical with abu-l-Mukhtār ibn-abi-'Ubaid) to al-'Irāḳ with 1,000 men. Meanwhile, he wrote to al-Muthanna ibn-Hārithah, ordering him to receive abu-'Ubaid and obey his word. In the company of abu-'Ubaid, he sent Salīt ibn-Kāis ibn-'Amr al-al-Anṣāri, saying to him, "Had it not been for the fact that thou art too hasty, I would have put thee in chief command. But warfare is a stubborn thing, and only the cautious man is fit for it."

Abu-'Ubaid defeats Jābān, al-Jālinūs and other Persian chiefs. Abu-'Ubaid marched forward and left no Arab tribe by which he passed without arousing its interest in the "holy war" and plunder. Thus, he was joined by a large host. On arriving in al-'Udhaib, he heard that Jābān, the Persian, was at Tustar with a large body of men. Abu-'Ubaid met Jābān and put his troops to flight, taking some of them captive. 251

Thence abu-'Ubaid proceeded to Durna¹ in which there was assembled a body of Persians. These abu-'Ubaid chased to Kaskar. He then advanced to meet al-Jālinūs,²

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2169: "Durtha"; cf. Yākūt, vol. ii, pp. 565, 569; Bakri, p. 345.

² "Jālinūs", in Tabari, vol. i, p. 2170.

who was at Bârûsma [or Mârûsma]; but ibn-al-Andarz-‘azz¹ made terms with him, agreeing to pay four *dirhams* on every person, provided abu-‘Ubaid should keep his way.

Abu-‘Ubaid sent al-Muthanna to Zandaward,² and finding that its inhabitants had violated their covenant, al-Muthanna fought against them, won the victory and carried away [many] captives. Abu-‘Ubaid also sent ‘Urwah ibn-Zaid-al-Khail aṭ-Ṭâ’i to az-Zawâbi, with whose chief [*dihkân*] he made terms similar to those made with Bârûsma.

¹ Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2029 *seq.*

² Yâkût, vol. ii, pp. 951-952.

CHAPTER III

THE BATTLE OF KUSS AN-NĀTIF, OR THE BATTLE OF AL-JISR

Dhu-l-Hājib. Hearing that the Arabs were massing their forces, the Persians sent dhu-l-Hājib [the eye-browed] Mardānshāh who was nicknamed by Anūshirwān “ Bahman ” [potent, endowed with great means] because he augured good from him.¹ He was called dhu-l-Hājib because, in his pride, he tied up his brows, to lift them above his eyes. His name, it is said, was Rustam.²

The elephant. Abu-‘Ubaid ordered that the bridge [on the Euphrates] be erected; and it was, the people of Bānikiya helping in the construction. It is said that this bridge once belonged to the people of al-Hirah on which they crossed over to their farms. Being in ruins, abu-‘Ubaid ordered it repaired. Over this bridge, abu-‘Ubaid and the Moslems crossed from al-Marwahah³ and met dhu-l-Hājib who was accompanied by 4,000 men armed from head to foot, and one elephant—others say many elephants. A fierce fight ensued, in the course of which many wounds were inflicted on the Moslems. At this, Salīt ibn-Kais said to abu-‘Ubaid, “ I have warned thee against crossing this bridge and advised thee to withdraw to some quarter and write for reinforcements to the ‘ Commander of the Believers ’; but thou hast refused.” Salīt fought until he was killed. Abu-‘Ubaid asked, “ Which is the vulnerable point in this creature? ” and he was told that it was its trunk, 252 upon which he made a rush and struck the trunk of the ele-

¹ Cf. Caetani, vol. iii, p. 148.

² Ya‘kūbi, vol. ii, p. 161.

³ Yākūt, vol. iv, p. 505.

phant. Abu-Mihjan ibn-Habib ath-Thaḳafi also charged the elephant and struck and broke its leg. The “polytheists”, thereupon, made an attack which resulted in the death of abu-‘Ubaid. Others say that the elephant threw its weight upon him and crushed him.¹

The Moslems who fell. After abu-‘Ubaid, the flag was carried by his brother, al-Ḥakam, who was then killed, and the flag passed to the hands of his son, Jabr, who also fell. Al-Muthanna² ibn-Ḥârithah carried it for one hour, after which he withdrew his men as some of them defended the others. On this occasion, ‘Urwah ibn-Zaid al-Khail fought so fiercely that his action was estimated to be equivalent to that of a whole group of men.

Among those who took part in the defense of the Moslems on the west bank of the river, was the poet abu-Zubaid at-Tâ'i, who happened to be at al-Ḥirah on some personal business. Abu-Zubaid was a Christian.

Al-Muthanna came and occupied Ullais and communicated the news in a letter to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb sent with ‘Urwah ibn-Zaid.

Among those killed in the battle of al-Jîr [the bridge], according to abu-Mikhnañ, was abu-Zaid al-Anṣâri, one of those who compiled the Koran in the days of the Prophet.

The battle of al-Jîr was fought on Saturday at the end of Ramâdân, year 13.

Abu-‘Ubaid al-Ḳâsim ibn-Sallâm from Ḳais ibn-abi-Ḥâzim:—As abu-‘Ubaid was crossing Bâniķiya with a band of followers, the “polytheists” cut the bridge and many of his men lost their lives. Ismâ‘il ibn-abi-Khâlid adds that abu-‘Amr ash-Shaibâni stated that the battle of Mihrân was fought at the beginning of the year, and al-Ḳâdisiyah at the end of it.

¹ Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2178-2179; Athir, vol. ii, pp. 332-333.

² Dinawari, p. 119.

CHAPTER IV

THE BATTLE OF MIHRÂN OR AN-NUKHAILAH

253

Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh’s campaign in al-‘Irâk. According to abu-Mikhnaf and others, for one year after the calamity that befell abu-‘Ubaid and Salît, ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb refrained from the mention of the name of al-‘Irâk. In the meantime, al-Muthanna ibn-Hârithah was staying in the region of Ullais summoning the Arabs to the “holy war”. At last ‘Umar invited the Moslems to an expedition to al-‘Irâk, but they kept aloof and hesitated to go there, so much so that he was on the point of carrying the expedition in person. Now, a body of al-Azd came to ‘Umar intent on the invasion of Syria; but he asked them to go to al-‘Irâk and aroused their interest in the spoils to be taken from the Kisra family. They left it for him to choose for them, and he ordered them to start [for al-‘Irâk].

Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh came from as-Sarâh at the head of the Bajilah¹ tribe, and offered to go to al-‘Irâk, provided one-quarter of what they took possession of be allotted to him and his men. ‘Umar accepted the offer and Jarîr started towards al-‘Irâk. Some claim that he went via al-Bâṣrah and had a conflict with the satrap [Marzubân] of al-Mâdhâr, whom he defeated. Others claim that the conflict with the Marzubân took place when Jarîr was in the company of Khâlid ibn-al-Walîd. Still others assert that Jarîr took the road to al-‘Udhaib, passing through Fâid and ath-Thâ‘labiyah.

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2186; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 155.

‘Affân ibn-Muslim from ash-Shâ‘bi:—After the death of abu-‘Ubaid, who was the first to be directed by ‘Umar to al-Kûfah, ‘Umar directed Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh there, saying, “Wouldst thou go to al-‘Irâk if I allow thee one-third of the spoils after the [usual] fifth has been taken?” and Jarîr said, “I will.”

Dair Hind. The Moslems assembled in Dair Hind¹ in the year 14 immediately after the death of Shirawaih, and the succession of Bûrâni, daughter of Kisra, who was to rule until Yazdajird ibn-Shahriyâr came of age. Yazdajird² sent against them Mihrân ibn-Mihrîbundâdh al-Hamadhâni at the head of 12,000 men. The Moslems offered no resistance until he crossed the bridge on the Euphrates and arrived next to Dair al-A‘war.³

The battle of al-Buwaib. It is reported by Saif that Mihrân, after crossing al-Jîsr [the bridge], came to a place called al-Buwaib.⁴ It was in this place that he was killed.

Someone has said that the irregularities in the land of al-Buwaib were filled up with bones in the time of the civil war,⁵ made level with the surface and covered with powdered soil [and that whenever the soil was removed the bones were seen].⁶ The spot lay between as-Sakûn [canal] and the banu-Sulaim [canal].⁷ This was the place in which the water of the Euphrates sank in the time of the Kisras and from which it poured into al-Jauf.⁸

¹ A convent near al-Hîrah. Hamadhâni, *Buldân*, p. 183; Bakri, pp. 362-364; Yâkût, vol. ii, pp. 707-709.

² Cf. Dinawari, p. 125; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2163.

³ Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 644.

⁴ *Ibid.*, vol. i, p. 764.

⁵ The reference is, perhaps, to the insurrection of Muṣ‘ab ibn-az-Zubair.

⁶ The text is corrupt.

⁷ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2191.

⁸ *Ibid.*, vol. i, p. 2187, lines 12-13; cf. Caetani, vol. iii, pp. 256-257.

The Moslems camped at an-Nukhailah¹ and were led, according to the Bajilah, by Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh, and, according to the Rabî‘ah, by al-Muthanna ibn-Ḥârithah. Others affirm that the Moslems were commanded in turn by the heads of the various tribes. The Moslems met their enemy, and Shurâhbîl ibn-as-Simît al-Kindî distinguished himself in the fight that ensued. Mas‘ûd ibn-Ḥârithah was slain. So al-Muthanna said, “Fear not, Moslems, because my brother is killed. Such is the fate of the best among you.” Upon this, the Moslems charged, as if they were one body, with confidence and patience which resulted, by Allah’s help, in the death of Mihrân and the defeat of the “infidels”.² The Moslems pursued them with slaughter; and few were those who escaped. On this day, Kûrt ibn-Jammâlî al-‘Abdi applied his sword until its edge was bent. When the night fell, they returned to their camp. This took place in the year 14.

The death of Mihrân was effected by Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh and al-Mundhir ibn-Ḥassân ibn-Dirâr ad-Ḍabbi, each one of whom claimed that he had killed him, which led to a fierce dispute.³ At last, al-Mundhir carried away Mihrân’s belt; and Jarîr, the rest of the spoils from him. Some assert that among those who killed him was al-Ḥiṣn ibn-Ma‘bad ibn-Zurârah ibn-‘Udas at-Tamîmî.

Moslem raids. After this victory, the Moslems did not cease to make raids in the regions between al-Ḥirah and Kaskar, Sûra, Barbîsma [?] and Ṣarât⁴ Jâmâsib and between al-Fallûjatain, an-Nahrain and ‘Ain-at-Tamr.⁵

¹ Yâkût, vol. iv, pp. 771-772.

² Cf. Mas‘ûdi, vol. iv, pp. 205-206.

³ Yûsuf, p. 16, lines 16-17.

⁴ Cf. Dinawari, p. 121.

⁵ See Le Strange, *The Lands of the Eastern Caliphate*, p. 25, map.

The Moslems also attacked Ḥiṣn Malikiya, which was a watching post, and reduced it. They drove the Persians from other watching posts at aṭ-Ṭaff, the Persians by this time having become emaciated and having become weak and feeble in power. Certain Moslems crossed Nahr [canal] Sûra and came to Kûthâ, Nahr al-Malik and Bâdûraiya; some reaching as far as Kalwâdha. The Arabs in these raz- 255 zias lived on what they plundered.

There are those who say that between the battles of Mih-rân and al-Kâdisiyâh, 18 months elapsed.

CHAPTER V

THE BATTLE OF AL-KÂDISÎYAH

‘Umar sends Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wakkâş. The Moslems wrote to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khattâb telling him of the great number of the Persians massing against them, and asked for reinforcements. ‘Umar desired to lead the razzia in person and collected an army for that purpose; but he was advised by al-‘Abbâs ibn-‘Abd-al-Muṭṭalib and other *sheikhs* from among the Companions of the Prophet to stay at home and send out the forces and troops; and ‘Umar did that. ‘Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib advised him to go himself; but ‘Umar replied, “I have made up my mind to stay.” ‘Umar proposed to ‘Ali the idea of going; but the latter refused, upon which ‘Umar wanted Sa‘id ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Amr ibn-Nufail al-‘Adawi to go. Finally, it occurred to him to send Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wakkâş, which he did. The name of abu-Wakkâş was Mâlik ibn-Uhaib ibn-‘Abd-Manâf ibn-Zuhrah ibn-Kilâb. Sa‘d was a man of valor and a good shot. Others say that at this time Sa‘id ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Amr was on an expedition in Syria.

Sa‘d proceeded to al-‘Irâk and stayed at ath-Thâlabiyah for three months, in the course of which all the troops overtook him. Thence he came, in the year 15, to al-‘Udhaib. Al-Muthanna ibn-Hârithah happened to be ill at that time, and he advised Sa‘d to meet the enemy between al-Kâdisîyah and al-‘Udhaib. His case soon became serious and he was carried to his clan among whom he died. Sa‘d married his wife.

Rustum. According to al-Wâkîdi, al-Muthanna died before Rustam came to al-Kâdisiyah. This Rustam, who was from ar-Rai—or from Hamadhân as others say—came and occupied Burs from which he left for a place between al-Hîrah and as-Sailâjîn, where he stayed for four months without trying measures or fighting with the Moslems. The Moslems, in the meantime, lay camped between al-‘Udhaib and al-Kâdisiyah. Rustam sent ahead of him dhu-l-Hâjîb, who camped at Tîzanâbâdh. The “polytheists” numbered about 120,000, and were accompanied by thirty elephants, and had a great banner called Dirafsh Kâbiyân;¹ while the Moslems, taken together, numbered between 9,000 256 and 10,000. When the Moslems were in need of fodder or food, they sent horsemen into the interior of the land who would make raids along the lower course of the Euphrates. From al-Madinah, ‘Umar used to send them sheep and camels for slaughter.

Al-Mughîrah reinforces Sa‘d. Al-Bâṣrah was built sometime between the battle of an-Nukhailah and al-Kâdisiyah by ‘Utbah ibn-Ghazwân. When ‘Utbah asked leave for a pilgrimage, he assigned as successor al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu‘bah, who was confirmed in his position by a letter from ‘Umar. Before long, the charge² that was brought against al-Mughîrah was brought against him, and ‘Umar appointed abu-Mûsa governor of al-Bâṣrah and recalled al-Mughîrah to al-Madinah. Later, ‘Umar sent al-Mughîrah back to al-Bâṣrah together with those who gave witness against him. Now, on the day of the battle of al-Kâdisiyah, ‘Umar wrote to abu-Mûsa, ordering him to reinforce

¹ or Dirafshikâbiyân. In Persian: dirafsh-i-Kâwiyân = the royal standard of the Sassanians; see Vullers' *Persian Dictionary*; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2175.

² Of having immoral relations with umm-Jâmil, which is discussed later by al-Balâdhuri. See abu-l-Fida, vol. i, p. 163.

Sa'd; upon which abu-Mûsa sent al-Mughirah with 800 (others say 400) men. Having taken part in the battle, al-Mughirah returned to al-Madinah.

Kais reinforces Sa'd. In the meantime, 'Umar wrote to abu-'Ubaidah ibn-al-Jarrâh, and he sent to the reinforcement of Sa'd Kais ibn-Hubairah ibn-al-Makshûh al-Murâdi, who according to some, took part in the battle of al-Kâdisiyah, and according to others, did not arrive until the battle was over. Kais commanded 700 men.

The battle of al-Kâdisiyah took place at the end of the year 16. Some say that it was 'Utbah ibn-Ghazwân who sent al-Mughirah to the reinforcement of Sa'd, that al-Mughirah was assigned governor of al-Başrah only after he returned from al-Kâdisiyah and that 'Umar, after calling al-Mughirah back to al-Madinah because of the charge brought against him, never sent him out of al-Madinah except when he assigned him governor of al-Kûfah.

Al-'Abbâs ibn-al-Walid an-Narsi from ash-Sha'bi:— 'Umar wrote to abu-'Ubaidah, "Send to al-Kâdisiyah Kais ibn-Makshûh at the head of the men he invites to join him." Kais summoned a body of men and arrived, at the head of 700 of them, to find the victory already won by Sa'd. Kais's men asked for a share in the booty. Sa'd wrote to 'Umar, who wrote back, "If Kais arrived before the burial of those that were killed, then thou shouldst give him his share."

Al-Mughirah's interview with Rustam. Rustam asked Sa'd to send some companions of his to consult with him. Sa'd delegated al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah. Al-Mughirah betook himself towards Rustam's throne, in order to sit by him, but was not allowed to do so by the Persian cavalry guard [*asâwirah*]. Rustam said many things, among which was the following, "I have learned that ye were forced to what ye are doing by nothing but the narrow

means of livelihood and by poverty. We are ready to give you what will satisfy you, and to see you leave with certain things that ye choose.”¹ Al-Mughirah answered, “Allah has sent us his Prophet by following and obeying whom we were made prosperous, and he has ordered us to fight those who differ from our faith ‘Until they pay tribute out of hand and in a humbled state’.² We, therefore, call thee to the worship of Allah alone and the belief in his Prophet, which if thou shouldst do, well and good; otherwise, the sword will decide between us.” Rustam, snorting with anger, said, “By the sun and by the moon, the day will not break to-morrow before we kill you all.” “No strength and no force but in Allah,” answered al-Mughirah, and departed riding a lean horse with a sword broken at its edge and wrapped up in rags.³

‘Amr and al-Ash’ath interview Rustam. ‘Umar wrote to Sa’d instructing him to send to the magnate of the Persians a delegation to invite him to Islâm. Accordingly, Sa’d sent ‘Amr ibn-Ma’dikarib az-Zubaidi and al-Ash’ath ibn-Kais al-Kindi at the head of a delegation. They passed by Rustam, and on being brought before him, he asked them, “To whom are ye going?” to which they replied, “To your chief.” A long conversation followed in which they said, “Our Prophet has promised us the conquest of your land.” upon which Rustam called for a palm-leaf basket full of soil and said, “This is for you from our land!” ‘Amr ibn-Ma’dikarib immediately arose, spread his cloak and departed, carrying in it some of the soil. When he was asked later, “Why didst thou do that?”. ‘Amr replied, “Because I considered it a good omen, indicating that

¹ Dinawari, p. 127; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2271.

² Koran, 9:29.

³ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2270.

their land will one day be ours, and we will take possession of it." Finally, they presented themselves before the king and invited him to Islâm. The king became angry and ordered them to leave, saying, "Had ye not been envoys, I would have put you to death!" He also wrote and rebuked Rustam for sending them to him.

A Moslem forage expedition. Later, a forage expedition¹ of the Moslems, headed by Zuhrah ibn-Hawiyah ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-Katâdah at-Tamîmi—later as-Sâ'îdi—(others say it was headed by Katâdah ibn-Hawiyah²), came across some Persian cavalry, which was the occasion for the final conflict. The Persians rallied to the succor of their cavalry, and the Moslems to those on their expedition; and a fierce battle raged between the two. The time was an afternoon. 'Amr ibn-Ma'dikarib az-Zubâidi rushed forward and, seizing a Persian chief by the neck, lifted him to the saddle in front of him, saying [to his men], "I am abu-Thaur! Do ye as I do!" He then stabbed the nose of one of the elephants, saying, "Apply your swords to their trunks; the vulnerable point in the elephant is his trunk."

Sa'd slaps his wife. Sa'd ibn-abi-Wâkkâs had, for a special reason, appointed Khâlid ibn-'Urfuṭah-l-'Udhri, an ally of the banu-Zuhrah, to be commander of the army and director of the affairs of the Moslems. Sa'd lived in Kaṣr [tower] al-'Udhaib. His wife, Salma, daughter of Hâfṣah of the tribe of the banu-Taimallâh ibn-Thâ'labah, and formerly the wife of al-Muthanna ibn-Hârithah, often repeated, "O, Muthanna! But there is no more Muthanna to aid the cavalry!" Hearing that, Sa'd slapped her on the face; upon which she said, "Is it jealousy or cowardice, Sa'd?"

¹ Ar. 'allâfah.

² Hajar, vol. ii, p. 23.

Abu-Mihjan in prison. Abu-Mihjan ath-Thâkâfi¹ was alienated to Bâdi² by 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb in punishment for his being addicted to wine. He somehow managed to run away and followed Sa'd; he, according to al-Wâkîdi, not being one of those who had started with Sa'd. In the army of Sa'd, abu-Mihjan again drank wine on account of which Sa'd flogged and imprisoned him in al-'Udhaib tower. Here he asked Zabrâ', a concubine of Sa'd, to release him that he might take part in the fight, promising to return to his fetters.³ She made him swear by Allah that he would do so if released. Riding on Sa'd's mare, he rushed on the Persians, pierced through their line and thrust his sword into the nose of the white elephant. Sa'd who was watching him, said, "The mare is mine; but the charge is that of abu-Mihjan." Abu-Mihjan then returned to his fetters. Others say that it was Salma, daughter of Hâfṣah, who gave him the mare; but the former report is more authentic. When the question of Rustam was settled, Sa'd said to abu-Mihjan, "By Allah, I shall never punish thee for wine after seeing what I saw of thee." "As for me," answered abu-Mihjan, "by Allah, I shall never drink it again."⁴

The slayer of Rustam. On that day, Tulâilâh ibn-Khuwailid al-Asâdi distinguished himself in fighting, and with a blow, cut the under-helmet of al-Jâlinûs, but did not injure his head. On the same occasion, Kâis ibn-Mâkshûh turned to the people and said, "To be killed is the fate of the noble. Let not those 'uncircumcised' have more pa-

¹ Yûsuf, pp. 17-18; Mas'ûdi, vol. iv, pp. 213-219; *al-'Ikâd al-Fârid*, vol. iii, p. 407.

² Hamdâni, p. 133, l. 22; p. 41, l. 7: "Nâsi'"; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 471; Tabâri, vol. i, p. 2480.

³ Dinawari, p. 129.

⁴ Mas'ûdi, vol. iv, p. 219; Athîr, vol. ii, p. 369.

tience or be more anxious to die than yourselves." Saying this, he rushed and fought fiercely. By Allah's help, Rustam was slain and his body was found covered with so many blows and stabs that the one who gave the fatal blow could not be determined. 'Amr ibn-Ma'dikarib, Țulaihah ibn-Khuwailid al-Asadi, Țur ibn-Jammâh al-'Abdi and Dirâr ibn-al-Azwar al-Asadi had all rushed at him. This Dirâr, according to al-Wâkidi, was killed in the battle of al-Yamâmah. Some say that Rustam was killed by Zuhair ibn-'Abd-Shams al-Bajali; others, by 'Auwâm ibn-'Abd-Shams; and still others by Hilâl ibn-'Ullafah at-Taimi.¹

This battle of al-Kâdisiyah was fought on Thursday, Friday and the night of Saturday, which last was since called "Lailat al-Harîr".² The night of the battle of Sîfîn was also thus called.

Some say that Ƙais ibn-Makshûl took no part in the fight at al-Kâdisiyah, having arrived there after the Moslems had been through with the fighting.

Salmân ibn-Rabî'ah's part. Ahîmad ibn-Salmân al-Bâhili from certain *sheikhs*—Salmân ibn-Rabî'ah invaded Syria in the company of abu-Umâmah aş-Şûdai ibn-'Ajîlân al-Bâhili, and took part in the battles fought by the Moslems there. He then went forth to al-'Irâk together with those who, under great urgency, hastened to al-Kâdisiyah as a reinforcement, and took part in the decisive conflict. He settled at al-Kûfah and was killed in Balanjar.

According to al-Wâkidi, a group of Persians, planting their banner firmly in the ground, said, "We shall not leave our position until we die;" upon which Salmân ibn-Rabî'ah-1-Bâhili made an attack and killed them, carrying their banner away.

¹ Ya'kûbi, vol. ii, p. 165.

² The night of yells of pain. Caetani, vol. iii, pp. 643, 675; *Skizzen*, vol. vi, p. 75; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2327.

Khâlid ibn-‘Urfuṭah’s part. Sa‘d sent Khâlid ibn-‘Urfuṭah at the head of the cavalry charged with pursuing the enemy. Khâlid and his men killed every one they overtook until they arrived in Burs. Here Khâlid was the guest of one, Bisṭâm, who treated him with kindness and loyalty. A canal that ran there was called Nahr Bisṭâm. Khâlid then passed through aş-Şarâh [canal] and caught up with Jâlinûs. Kathîr ibn-Shihâb al-Hârithi charged Jâlinûs and stabbed him, and according to others, killed him. Ibn-al-Kalbi says that it was Zuhrah ibn-Hawiyah as-Sa‘di who killed him. The former report is more authentic.

The Persians fled to al-Madâ'in, following Yazdajird [their king]. Sa‘d immediately communicated with ‘Umar, announcing the victory and giving the names of those who had fallen.

The Persian arrows. Abu-Rajâ’ al-Fârisi from his grandfather:—The latter said: “I took part in the battle of al-Kâdisiyah when I was still a Magian. When the Arabs sent their arrows against us, we began to shout, 260 ‘dûk! dûk!’¹ by which we meant, spindles. These spindles, however, continued to shower upon us, until we were overwhelmed. Our archer would send the arrow from his Nâwakiyah bow, but it would not do more than attach itself to the garment of an Arab; whereas their arrow would tear the coat of mail and the double cuirass that we had on.”

According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi, the first to kill a Persian in the battle of al-Kâdisiyah was Rabî‘ah ibn-‘Uthmân ibn-Rabî‘ah of the banu-Nâṣr ibn-Mu‘âwiyah ibn-Bâkr ibn-Hawâzin ibn-Manṣûr.

In this battle, Sa‘d ibn-‘Ubâid al-Anṣâri fell a martyr. 262 His death afflicted ‘Umar so much that he said, “His death almost marred the joy of the victory for me.”

¹ Yûsuf, p. 16: “dûs!” Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2236.

CHAPTER VI

THE CONQUEST OF AL-MADĀ'IN

An-Nakhîrkhân slain by Zuhair. After the battle of al-Kâdisiyah, the Moslems started off, and after passing Dair [monastery] Ka'b [?],¹ they were met by an-Nakhîrkhân,² who appeared at the head of a large body of men from al-Madâ'in.³ In the conflict that ensued, Zuhair ibn-Sulaim al-Azdi seized an-Nakhîrkhân by the neck; and they both fell to the ground. Zuhair took a dagger that was in the other man's belt and cut open his abdomen, thereby putting him to death.

Bahurasîr. Sa'd and the Moslems went and occupied Sâbât. They then assembled in the city of Bahurasîr,⁴ which lay in the Shiķ al-Kûfah [western bank of the Tigris], where they spent nine months (others say 18),⁵ during which they ate fresh dates for two seasons. The inhabitants of that city fought against them until they could offer no more resistance, at which the Moslems entered the city. When the city was thus reduced, Yazdajird ibn-Shahriyâr, the Persian king, resolved to flee and was suspended in a basket from the wall of al-Abyad fort in al-Madâ'in and was therefore called by the Nabateans Barzabil [the 263

¹ Yûsuf, p. 17, l. 8: "Dair al-Masâlih" (?).

² Tabari, in Nöldeke, *Geschichte der Perser*, pp. 152-153.

³ Seleucia-Ctesiphon. Meynard, *Dictionnaire de la Perse*, p. 518.

⁴ Nöldeke, *Perser*, p. 16, n. 4.

⁵ Dinawari, p. 133.

son of the basket]. Yazdajird thence left for Hulwân¹ with the principal dignitaries of his kingdom, and carried with him the treasury of the kingdom, his precious but light² pieces of furniture, private treasury, wives and children. In the year in which he fled, plague and famine ravaged all Persia. The Moslems then crossed [the Tigris] through a ford, and took possession of the city on the eastern bank of the river.

The Arabs cross the Tigris. ‘Affân ibn-Muslim from abu-Wâ’îl:—The latter said, “When the Persians were put to flight at al-Kâdisiyah, we pursued them. They reunited at Kûtha³ and we pursued them until we reached the Tigris, at which the Moslems said, ‘Why do you gaze at this small body of water? Let us wade through.’⁴ Accordingly, we waded through, and once more put the enemy to flight.”

Muhammad ibn-Sa’d from Abân ibn-Şâlih:—When they were defeated at al-Kâdisiyah, the fugitive Persians came to al-Madâ'in. The Moslems having arrived at the Tigris, whose water was flowing higher than ever, found that the Persians had removed the ships and ferry-boats to the eastern bank and burned the bridge. Sa’d and the Moslems finding no way to cross over were greatly afflicted. At last, Sa’d chose one of the Moslems who swam across on horseback. Likewise, the other Moslems crossed on horseback and, on landing, made the owners of the ships transport the baggage. Seeing that, the Persians said, “By Allah, those we are fighting are nothing less than demons [*jinn*]!” and they took to flight.

¹ Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 312.

² Ar. *khiff*; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 724, takes it to be *khaffâ* and stretches the meaning into “ma abbandonando in gran parte le sue masserizie”. Cf. Dinawari, p. 133.

³ Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 318.

⁴ Text not clear. Caetani, vol. iii, p. 723.

Sâbât and ar-Rûmîyah. ‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from ‘Awânah ibn-al-Ḥâkam, and abu-‘Ubaidah Ma‘mar ibn-al-Muthâinna from abu-‘Amîr ibn-al-‘Alâ’ :—Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wâk-kâṣ sent at the head of the van of his army Khâlid ibn-‘Ur-fuṭâh, who succeeded in reducing Sâbât¹ before Sa‘d’s arrival. Khâlid proceeded and camped at ar-Rûmîyah² until its people made terms, agreeing to have those of them who wanted to leave, do so, and those who wanted to stay, stay, on condition that they offer homage and give counsel, pay *kharâj*, and act as guides for the Moslems, without entertaining any treachery against them. Sa‘d [in crossing the river] found no ferry-boats, but was shown a ferry near as-Ṣaiyâdin village. The horses waded through while the Persians shot them with their arrows. All the Moslems, however, escaped, and only one of them from the tribe of Taiyi’, whose full name was Salîl ibn-Yâzid ibn-Mâlik as-Sinbisi, was killed.

Kisra’s concubines. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Sâlih from ash-Shâ‘bi :—The latter said, “ In the battle of al-Madâ’în, the Moslems carried away many of Kisra’s maids [concubines] who had been brought from all quarters of the world and lavishly adorned for him. My mother was one of them. On that day, too, the Moslems found camphor and, taking it for salt, put it in their cooking-pans.”³

According to al-Wâkidi, Sa‘d was all done with the conquest of al-Madâ’în and Jalûlâ’ in the year 16.

¹ Sometimes called Sâbât Kisra. Yâkût, vol. i, p. 3; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 724, l. 4.

² Perhaps a suburb of Madâ’în. Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 867.

³ Dînawari, p. 134; Yûsuf, p. 17.

CHAPTER VII

THE BATTLE OF JALÛLÂ'

A description of the battle. After spending several days in al-Madâ'in, the Moslems received word that Yazdajird had massed a great host, which was then at Jalûlâ', and had directed it against them. Sa'd ibn-abi-Wâkâş thereupon dispatched Hâshim ibn-'Utbah ibn-abi-Wâkâş at the head of 12,000 men to meet them. The Moslems found that the Persians, having left their families and heavy baggage at Khâniqîn,¹ had dug trenches and fortified themselves, binding themselves with a pledge never to flee. Reinforcements were coming to them all the time from Hulwân and al-Jibâl [the mountains, *i. e.*, Media]. The Moslems, thinking it best to hasten the attack before the reinforcements became too strong, met them with Hujr ibn-'Adi-l-Kindi commanding the right wing, 'Amr ibn-Ma'dikarib commanding the cavalry and Tulaijah ibn-Khuwailid commanding the infantry. The Persians were on this occasion led by Khurrazâd, a brother of Rustam. The fight that ensued was the fiercest they ever had, in which arrows and lances were used until broken to pieces, and swords were applied until they were bent. Finally the Moslems altogether made one onslaught and drove the Persians from their position, putting them to flight. The Persians fled away and the Moslems kept pursuing them at their very heels with fearful slaughter until darkness intervened and they had to return to their camp.

¹ Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 393.

Hâshim ibn-‘Utbah left Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh in Jalûlâ’ with a heavy force of cavalry to act as a check between the Moslems and their enemy. Yazdajird thereupon left Hul- 265 wân.

Mahrûdh. The Moslems carried on many raids in the regions of as-Sawâd on the east bank of the Tigris. Coming to Mahrûdh,¹ Hâshim made terms with its *dihkân*, stipulating that the latter should pay a *jarîb* of *dirhams* [?] and the former should not kill any of the men.

Ad-Daskarah. On a charge of treachery, against the Moslems, Hâshim put the *dihkân* of ad-Daskarah² to death.

Al-Bandanijain. Hâshim then proceeded to al-Bandanijain,³ whose inhabitants sued for peace, agreeing to pay tax and *kharâj*. Consequently, Hâshim promised them security.

Khânîkîn. At Khânîkîn there was a small remnant of the Persians against whom Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh now marched and whom he put to death. Thus was no region of the Sawâd Dijlah left unconquered by the Moslems or unpossessed by them.

According to Hishâm ibn-al-Kalbi, the leader of the army in the battle of Jalûlâ’ in behalf of Sa’d was ‘Amr ibn-‘Utbah ibn-Naufal ibn-Uhaib ibn-‘Abd-Manâf ibn-Zuhrah whose mother was ‘Âtikah, daughter of abu-Wâk-ķâs.

After the battle of Jalûlâ’, Sa’d left for al-Madâ’în where he gathered a host of men, and then kept on his way to the region of al-Hîrah.

The battle of Jalûlâ’ took place at the close of the year 16.

The converts. Those who embraced Islâm were among others, Buşbuhra—the *dihkân* of al-Falâlij and an-Nahrain,

¹ Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 700.

² *Ibid.*, vol. ii, p. 575; Nöldeke, *Perser*, p. 295, n. 1.

³ Persian: Bandanîkân; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 745.

Bistâm ibn-Narsi—the *dihkân* of Bâbil and Khutarniyah,¹ ar-Rufail—the *dihkân* of al-‘Âl,² and Fairuz—the *dihkân* of Nahr al-Malik³ and Kûtha. ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb did not interfere with them but left their lands in their own hands and annulled the poll-tax they paid.

Hâshim's campaign. Abu-Mas‘ûd al-Kûfi from ‘Awânah's father:—Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wâkķâş dispatched Hâshim ibn-‘Utbah ibn-abi-Wâkķâş accompanied by al-Ash‘ath ibn-Ķais al-Kindi. Hâshim passed through ar-Râdhânat⁴ and visited Dakûşa and Khânijâr, conquering all that region together with all the district of Bâjarma. Hâshim penetrated towards Sinn Bârimma⁵ and Bawâzîj al-Mulk as far as the border of Shahrazûr.

‘Umar's message to Sa‘d. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Yazîd ibn-abi-Ḥabîb:—When Sa‘d ibn-abi-Wâkķâş completed the conquest of as-Sawâd, he received the following letter from ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb:

“ I have received thy letter in which thou statest that thy men have asked thee to divide among them whatever spoils 266 Allah has assigned them. At the receipt of my letter, find out what possessions and horses the troops on ‘horses and camels’⁶ have acquired and divide that among them, after taking away one-fifth. As for the land and camels, leave them in the hands of those men who work them, so that they may be included in the stipends [pensions] of the Moslems. If thou dividest them among those present, nothing will be left for those who come after them.”

¹ Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 453.

² Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 592.

³ *Ibid.*, vol. iv, p. 846.

⁴ *Ibid.*, vol. ii, p. 729.

⁵ *Ibid.*, vol. iii, p. 169.

⁶ Kor., 59:6.

How the land and the inhabitants of as-Sawâd should be considered. Al-Ḥusain from ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḥâzim:—The latter said, “I once asked Mujâhid regarding the land of as-Sawâd and he answered, ‘It can neither be bought nor sold.’ This is because it was taken by force and was not divided. It belongs to all the Moslems.”

Al-Walîd ibn-Ṣâliḥ from Sulaimân ibn-Yâsâr:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb left as-Sawâd for those who were still in men’s loins and mothers’ wombs [*i. e.*, posterity], considering the inhabitants *dhimmis* from whom tax should be taken on their person, and *kharâj* on their land. They are therefore *dhimmis* and cannot be sold as slaves.

The following statement was made by Sulaimân: “Al-Valid ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik wanted to consider the inhabitants of as-Sawâd as having been acquired without fighting [Ar. *fai’*]; but when I told him of the position ‘Umar took regarding them, Allah prevented him from doing so.”

Al-Ḥûsain ibn-al-Aswad from Ḥârithah ibn-Muḍarrib:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, desiring to divide as-Sawâd among the Moslems, ordered that they be counted. Each Moslem had three peasants for his share. ‘Umar took the advice of the Prophet’s Companions, and ‘Ali said, “Leave them that they may become a source of revenue and aid¹ for the Moslems.” Accordingly, ‘Umar sent ‘Uthmân ibn-Ḥunaif al-Anṣârî who assessed on each man 48, 24, or 12 [dirhams].

Abu-Νaṣr at-Tammâr from ‘Ali:—The latter said, “If ye were not to strike one another on the face [have civil war] I would divide as-Sawâd among you.”

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ‘Âmir:—The people of as-Sawâd have no covenant, rather they came under our control by surrender.

¹ Ar. *mâddah*; see *an-Nihâyah*, vol. iv, p. 84.

Al-Ḥusain from ash-Sha‘bi:—The latter was asked 267 whether the people of as-Sawâd had a covenant, to which he replied, “At first, they had none; but when the Moslems consented to take *kharâj* from them, then they came to have one.”

Al-Ḥusain from ‘Āmir:—The latter said, “The people of as-Sawâd have no covenant.”

The Magians. ‘Amr an-Nâqid from Ja‘far ibn-Muhammad’s father:—The Emigrants had a sitting place in the mosque in which ‘Umar used to discuss with them the news he received from the different regions. One day he said, “I know not how to treat the Magians;” upon which ‘Abdar-Rahmân ibn-‘Auf rose and said, “I bear witness that the Prophet said, ‘Treat them according to the same law with which ye treat the People of the Book’.”

The Bajîlah’s share in as-Sawâd. Muhammad ibn-as-Sabbâḥ al-Bazzâz from Kais ibn-abi-Hâzim:—The Bajîlah tribe constituted one-fourth of the Moslems in the battle of al-Kâdisiyah, and ‘Umar had allotted them one-fourth of as-Sawâd. Once when Jarir [ibn-‘Abdallâh] called on ‘Umar, the latter said, “Had I not been responsible for what I divide, I would leave to you the share already given; but I see that the Moslems have multiplied, so ye have to restore what ye have taken.” Jarir and the others did as ‘Umar said; and ‘Umar offered Jarir a present of 80 *dînârs*.¹

A woman of the tribe of Bajîlah, called umm-Kurz, came to ‘Umar and said, “My father died and his share in as-Sawâd holds good. I shall never deliver it!” ‘Umar turned to her and said, “But, umm-Kurz, thy people have all consented to do so.” “I shall never consent,” said she, “unless thou carry me on a submissive she-camel covered with

¹ Yûsuf, p. 18.

a red nappy mantle [Ar. *kaṭifah*] and fill both of my hands with gold," which 'Umar did.

Al-Ḥusain from Jarīr:—'Umar gave to the Bajīlah one-quarter of as-Sawād which they held for three years.

Kāis said:—“ Jarīr ibn-‘Abdallāh accompanied by ‘Ammār ibn-Yāsir called on ‘Umar who said, ‘Had I not been held responsible for what I divide, I would leave to you the shares already given; but I see now that ye ought to restore what ye have taken.’ And they did, upon which ‘Umar offered a present of 80 *dīnārs* to Jarīr.”¹

According to a tradition communicated by al-Ḥasan² ibn-‘Uthmān az-Ziyādī on the authority of Kāis, ‘Umar gave Jarīr ibn-‘Abdallāh 400 *dīnārs*.

Ḥumāid ibn-ar-Rabī‘ from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣāliḥ:—‘Umar gave the Bajīlah, in exchange for the fourth of as-Sawād they held, a stipend of 2,000 *dirhams*.

Al-Walīd ibn-Ṣāliḥ from Jarīr ibn-Yazīd ibn-Jarīr ibn-‘Abdallāh’s grandfather:—‘Umar allotted to Jarīr and his men one-quarter of what they had conquered in as-Sawād. When the spoils of Jalūlā’ were brought together, Jarīr demanded his quarter. Sa‘d communicated the demand to ‘Umar who wrote back as follows: “ If Jarīr wants himself considered as having with his men, fought for a pay similar to the pay of *al-Mu’allafah Kulūbuhum*,³ then ye may give them their pay. If, however, they have fought in Allah’s cause and will accept his remuneration, then they are part of the Moslems, having their rights and their obligations.” Hearing that, Jarīr said, “ Truly and honestly has the ‘Commander of the Believers’ spoken. We do not want our quarter.”

¹ Yaḥyā ibn-Ādām, pp. 29 *seq.*

² Dhahabī, p. 244.

³ Those whose hearts are won to Islām by special gifts. See De Goeje, *Mémoire*, p. 51; Tabārī, vol. i, p. 1679; Kor., 9:60.

Al-Ḥusain from Ibrāhīm an-Nakha'i:—Someone came to 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb, saying, “I have accepted Islām and ask thee to exempt my piece from the land-*kharāj*,” to which 'Umar replied, “Thy land has been taken by force.”

Khalaf ibn-Hishām al-Bazzār from Ibrāhīm at-Taimi:—When 'Umar conquered as-Sawād, the troops said to him, “Divide it among us because we have reduced it by force through our swords.” But 'Umar refused, saying, “What will then be left for those Moslems who come after you? Moreover, I am afraid that if I divide it, ye may come to be at variance with one another on account of its water.” 'Umar, therefore, left the people of as-Sawād in possession of their lands, assessing a tax on their person and a fixed tax¹ on their lands which he did not divide.

A survey of as-Sawād. Al-Ķāsim ibn-Sallām from ash-Sha'bi:—'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb sent 'Uthmān ibn-Ḥunaif al-Anṣārī to make a survey [*yamsaḥi*] of as-Sawād, which he found to be 36,000,000 *jarībs*, on every *jarīb* of which he assessed one *dirham* and one *kafīz*. Al-Ķāsim adds. “I heard that the *kafīz* was a measure of theirs also called *ash-shāburkān*.”² According to Yāḥya ibn-Ādam, it is equivalent to *al-makhtūm al-Hajjāji*.³

The tax assessed. 'Amr an-Nākīd from Muḥammad ibn-'Abdallāh ath-Thākafī:—'Umar assessed on every *jarīb* in as-Sawād, whether cultivated or uncultivated, provided it was accessible to water, one *dirham* and one *kafīz*, on every *jarīb* of *raṭbah* [trefoil or clover] five *dirhams* and five *kafīzes*, and on every *jarīb* of trees ten *dirhams* and ten *ka-*

¹ Ar. *ṭask* or *tisk*. J. Wellhausen, *Das Arabische Reich*, pp. 172-173, Nöldeke, *Perser*, p. 241, n. 1; Caetani, vol. ii, p. 930, n. 6.

² Māwardī, pp. 272, 304.

³ Introduced through al-Hajjāj ibn-Yūsuf who died in the year 90 A. H.

fîzes (palm trees not mentioned). On every man, he assessed 48, 24, or 12 *dirhams* as poll-tax.

Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from abu-Mijlaz Lâhiķ ibn-Ḥu-maid:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb assigned ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir to act as religious head¹ for the people of al-Kûfah and to command their militia, ‘Abdallâh ibn-Mas‘ûd to be their *kâdi* and treasurer, and ‘Uthmân ibn-Ḥunaif to measure the land. To these three, he assigned each day one goat, one-half of which, together with the appendages² to be taken by ‘Ammâr and the other half to be divided between the other two. ‘Uthmân ibn-Ḥunaif measured the land and assessed on each *jarîb* of palm trees, 10 *dirhams*; of vine trees, 10 *dirhams*; of sugar-cane, 6 *dirhams*; of wheat, 4 *dirhams*; and of barley, 2 *dirhams*. To this end, he wrote to ‘Umar, who endorsed the assessments.

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ‘Amr ibn-Maimûn:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb sent Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yamâن beyond the Tigris, and ‘Uthmân ibn-Ḥunaif below the Tigris; } and they assessed on every *jarîb* one *kafiz* and one *dirham*.

Al-Ḥusain from Muḥammad ibn-‘Abdallâh ath-Tha-
ḥâfi:—When al-Mughirâb ibn-Shu‘bah was governor of as-Sawâd, he wrote, “We find here other products than wheat and barley,” and mentioned Indian peas, grapes, clover³ and sesame, upon each of which he assessed 8 *dirhams* and excluded palm-trees.⁴

Khalaf al-Bazzâr from al-‘Aizâr ibn-Ḥuraith:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb assessed on one *jarîb* of wheat two *dirhams* and two *jarîbs*; on one *jarîb* of barley, one *dirham*, and

¹ Ar. *âla as-ṣalâh*; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 756, translates: “l’autorità civile.”

² Ar. *sawâkit*. Yûsuf, p. 20: “*baṭn*” = belly.

³ Ar. *raṭbah* or *ruṭbah* may also be applied to cucumber, melon and the like; see Caetani, vol. v, pp. 370 and 371; Yûsuf, pp. 20-22.

⁴ Cf. Adam, p. 98.

one *jarîb*; and on every two *jarîbs* in the uncultivated land that can be sown, one *dirham*.

Khalaf al-Bazzâr from al-‘Aizâr ibn-Huraith:—‘Umar assessed on one *jarib* of vine-trees 10 *dirhams*, on one *jarib* of clover, 10; of cotton, 5; on one Fârisi palm-tree, one *dirham* and if of inferior quality, one *dirham* on two trees.

‘Amr an-Nâkid from abu-Mijlaz:—‘Umar assessed on a *jarib* of palm-trees 8 *dirhams*.

Al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khattâb sent ‘Uthmân ibn-Hûnaif¹ who assessed on the people of as-Sawâd 5 *dirhams* on one *jarib* of clover, and 10 *dirhams* on one *jarib* of vine-trees; but he assessed no tax on what was grown among the vines.

Al-Walîd ibn-Şâlih from al-Miswar ibn-Rifâ‘ah:—‘Umar ibn-‘Abd-al-‘Azîz said that the *kharâj* of as-Sawâd in the time of ‘Umar ibn-al-Khattâb was 100,000,000 *dirhams*; but in the time of al-Hajjâj, it amounted to 40,000,000.

Al-Walîd from Aiyûb ibn-abi-Umâmah ibn-Sahl ibn-Hûnaif’s father.—‘Uthmân ibn-Hûnaif put seals around the necks² of 550,000 of the “uncircumcised”, and the *kharâj* during his governorship amounted to 100,000,000.

Al-Walîd ibn-Şâlih from Mus‘ab ibn-Yazîd abu-Zaid al-Anşâri’s father:—The latter said, “‘Ali-ibn-abi-Tâlib sent me to the land irrigated by the Euphrates, mentioning different cantons and villages, and naming Nahr al-Malik³ Kûtha, Bahurasîr, ar-Rûmaķân, Nahr Jaubar, Nahr Durķît and al-Bihkûbâdhât. He ordered me to assess on every *jarib* of wheat, if thickly sown, one *dirham* and a half and one *şâ‘*; if thinly sown, two-thirds of a *dirham* and if not so

¹ Ya‘kûbi, vol. ii, pp. 173-175.

² Yûsuf, p. 73, l. 12-16; Caetani, vol. v, pp. 371-372.

³ Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 846.

thickly or thinly sown one *dirham*; and on barley, one-half of that. He also ordered me to assess on the gardens that include palm-trees and other kinds, 10 *dirhams* per *jarib*; on one *jarib* of vine-trees, if its trees had been planted for three full years and a part of the fourth, and if it bears fruit, ten *dirhams*, with nothing on palm-trees that are outside the villages and the fruits of which are eaten by the passers-by. On vegetables, including cucumbers, grains, sesame and cotton, he ordered me not to assess anything. On those landlords [*dihkâns*] who ride mules and wear rings of gold around their feet, he ordered me to assess 48 *dirhams* each; and on those of them who are merchants of medium means, 24 *dirhams* per annum each; but on the farmers and the rest of them, 12 *dirhams* each."

Humaid ibn-ar-Rabi' from al-Hasan ibn-Sâlih:—The latter said, "I asked al-Hasan, 'What are those different rates of assessed land-tax [*task*]?' And he replied, 'They, one after the other, have been assessed according to the nearness and distance of the land from the markets¹ and the drinking places in the river [*furad*].'" Yahya ibn-Âdâm says, 'The Moslems of as-Sawâd asked al-Manṣûr towards the end of his caliphate to introduce the system by which they turn over to the authorities as tax a part of the produce of the land;² but he died before the system was introduced. Later, by al-Mahdi's orders, the system was introduced in all places with the exception of 'Akabat Hulwân.'"

272

¹ Mâwardi, p. 306, l. 12.

² Ar. *mukâsamah*, as contrasted with *misâhah*, is the system of land tenure by which the *kharâj* is levied on the produce and not the area, and is from one-tenth to one-half of the produce of the lands. Cf. Mâwardi, p. 260; De Goeje's *Balâdhuri "Glossarium"*, pp. 86-87; ibn-Tiktağa, p. 215, l. 16, p. 260, l. 5; Berchem, *La Propriété Territoriale*, p. 45.

The survey of Hudhaifah. ‘Abdallâh ibn-Şâlih al-‘Ijli from certain authorities:—Hudhaifah who measured the surface of the land irrigated by the Tigris, died at al-Madâ'in. The Kanâfir Hudhaifah [arches of Hudhaifah] are named after him, because he camped near them; but others say because he renewed them. His cubit [Ar. *dhirâ‘*], like that of ibn-Ḥunaif, is the length of a man's arm, hand and thumb, stretched out. When the inhabitants of as-Sawâd had the system of *kharâj* proportioned to the produce of the land, after they had that based on the area [*misâhah*], one of the officials said: “The tithe levied on the fiefs was a tenth which was not equivalent [?] to one-fifth of the half levied on the *istâns* [administrative districts]. Therefore, it is necessary that there should be levied on the *jarîb* of the fiefs subject to the area [*misâhah*] system of *kharâj* also one-fifth of what is levied on the *jarîb* of the *istâns* [?].”¹ Such was the case.

Abu-‘Ubaid from Maimûn ibn-Mîhrân:—‘Umar sent Hudhaifah and ibn-Ḥunaif to Khânikîn, which was one of the first places they conquered; and after they attached seals to the necks of the *dhimmis*, they collected its *kharâj*.

Lands confiscated by ‘Umar. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-abi-Hurrah's father:—The latter said, “‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb confiscated for himself ten pieces of land in as-Sawâd of which I remember seven, the remaining three having slipped me. The lands he confiscated were (1) a piece covered with woods; (2) one covered with marshes; (3) one belonging to king Kisra; (4) all of Dair Yazîd;² (5) the land of those who were killed during the war; (6) the land of those who fled the country.

¹ Caetani, vol. v, p. 374; Muḳaddasi, p. 133.

² Caetani, vol. v, p. 373, gives it “dayr mubâd (? nel testo: barîd)”. Cf. Yûsuf, p. 32, l. 20.

This state of affairs lasted until the register was burned in the days of al-Ḥajjāj ibn-Yūsuf, upon which the people seized the [domanial] land bordering on their property.”¹ 273

Abu-‘Abd-ar-Rahmān al-Ju‘fi from ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-abī-Hurrah’s father:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb confiscated in as-Sawād the land of those who were killed during the war, the land of those who fled the country, all the land of king Kisra, all the land belonging to Kisra’s family, every swampy place, all Dair Yazid and all the land that was appropriated by Kisra for himself. Thus, the value of what ‘Umar took amounted to 7,000,000 *dirhams*. In the battle of [Dair] al-Jamājim, the people burnt the register and every one of them seized what bordered on his land.

Fiefs assigned by ‘Uthmān. Al-Ḥusain and ‘Amr an-Nākīd from Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah:—‘Uthmān assigned as fief to ‘Abdallāh ibn-Mas‘ūd a piece of land in an-Nahrain; to ‘Ammār ibn-Yāsir, Asbīna;² to Khabbāb ibn-al-Aratt, Sa’-naba; and to Sa‘d [ibn-abī-Wakḳāṣ] the village of Hurmuz.

‘Abdallāh ibn-Ṣāliḥ al-‘Ijli from ash-Sha‘bi:—‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān assigned as fief to Ṭalḥah ibn-‘Ubaidallāh an-Nashāstaj;³ and to Usāmah ibn-Zaid, a piece of land which he later sold.

Shaibān ibn-Farrūkh from Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah:—‘Uthmān ibn-‘Affān gave fiefs to five of the Companions of the Prophet: ‘Abdallāh ibn-Mas‘ūd, Sa‘d ibn-Mālik az-Zuhri, az-Zubair ibn-al-‘Auwām,⁴ Khabbāb ibn-al-Aratt and Usāmah ibn-Zaid.⁵ Mūsa ibn-Ṭalḥah adds, “I noticed that ibn-

¹ Athir, vol. ii, p. 407; Ȣādām, pp. 45-46.

² Yūsuf, p. 25, l. 9: “Istiniya.”

³ Yākūt, vol. iv, p. 783.

⁴ Ibn-Sa‘d, vol. iii¹, pp. 75-77.

⁵ Cf. Ya‘kūbī, vol. ii, p. 202, l. 4.

Mas'ûd and Sa'd, who were my neighbors, used to cultivate their lands for one-third and one-fourth [of the produce]."

Al-Walid ibn-Şâlih from Mûsa ibn-Tallâh:—The first one to give out al-Irâk in fiefs was 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân who gave out pieces of land appropriated by Kisra, and others evacuated by their owners. Thus, he assigned to Tallâh as fief an-Nashâstaj; to Wâ'il ibn-Hujr al-Hadrami, the land bordering on Zurârah's; to Khabbâb ibn-al-Aratt, Asbina; to 'Adî ibn-Hâtim aṭ-Tâ'i, ar-Rauhâ', to Khâlid ibn-'Urfutâh, a piece of land near Hammâm [bath] A'yan; to al-Ash'âth ibn-Kais al-Kindi, Tizanâbâdh; and to Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh al-Bajali, his land on the bank of the Euphrates.

Ajamat Burs. Al-Husain ibn-al-Aswad from al-Hasan ibn-Şâlih:—The latter said, "I was informed that 'Ali assessed on the owners of Ajamat [forest] Burs 4,000 *dirhams*; and to that end, he wrote them a statement on a piece of parchment.¹

I was told by Aḥmad ibn-Hammâd al-Kûfi that Ajamat Burs lies in the vicinity of the Namrûdh [Nimrod] palace in Bâbil [Babylon]. In this forest, there is a precipice of great depth, which, according to some, is a well from the soil of which the bricks of the palace were made, and which, according to others, is a landslide.

Nahr Sa'd. I learnt from abu-Mas'ûd and others that the landlords [*dihkâns*] of al-Anbâr asked Sa'd ibn-abi-Wâlkâṣ to dig for them a canal which they had previously asked the Persian magnate [king] to dig² for them. Sa'd wrote to Sa'd ibn-'Amr ibn-Harâm, ordering him to dig the canal for them. Accordingly, they dug until they reached a mountain which they could not cut through, upon

¹ Adam, p. 18.

² Cf. Mas'ûdi, vol. i, p. 225.

which they gave it up. But when al-Hajjâj became governor of al-Îrâk, he gathered workmen from all regions, and said to his superintendents, "Take note of what one of the diggers eats per day. If it is the weight of what he digs out, then continue the work." Thus, they spent money¹ on it until it was completed. The mountain excavated was therefore named after al-Hajjâj; but the canal, after Sa'd ibn-'Amr ibn-Harâm.²

Nahr Mahdûd. Al-Khaizurân, the mother of the caliphs, [*umm-al-khulâfa'*] ordered that the canal known by the name of Mahdûd be dug; and she gave it the name of ar-Raiyân.³ Her superintendent over the work had divided it into sections, put limits for every section, and put it in charge of a group of men to dig; hence the name Mahdûd [*i. e.*, limited].

Nahr Shaila. As for the canal known by the name of Shaila, it is claimed by the banu-Shaila ibn-Farrukhzâdân al-Marwazi that Sâbûr [Persian king] had dug it out for their grandfather when he sent him to guard the frontier of the dominion at Nighya in the canton of al-Anbâr. According to others, however, the canal was so called after one, Shaila, who made a contract for digging the canal in the days of the caliph al-Manṣûr; the canal being old but buried, al-Manṣûr ordered that it be excavated. Before the work was brought to an end, al-Manṣûr died and the work was completed in the caliphate of al-Mahdi. According to others, al-Manṣûr ordered that a mouth [only] be dug for the canal above its old mouth; but he did not complete the work. Al-Mahdi completed it.

275

¹ Cf. Caetani, vol. iii, p. 864.

² Cf. *Marâṣid*, vol. iii, p. 248.

³ *Marâṣid*, vol. iii, p. 48: "al-Marbân."

CHAPTER VIII

THE FOUNDING OF AL-KÜFAH

Al-Küfah chosen. Muḥammad ibn-Sa‘d from ‘Abd-al-Ḥamid ibn-Ja‘far and others:—‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭāb wrote to Sa‘d ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ ordering him to adopt for the Moslems a place to which they could emigrate, and which they could use as a meeting place [*ḳairawān*], provided that between him [‘Umar] and the Moslems, no sea should intervene. Accordingly, Sa‘d came to al-Anbār¹ with the idea of occupying it. Here, however, flies were so numerous, that Sa‘d had to move to another place, which proved to be unsatisfactory, and therefore he moved to al-Küfah which he divided into lots, giving the houses as fiefs and settling the different tribes in their quarters. He also erected its mosque. All this took place in the year 17.

Sūk Hakamah. The following was communicated to me by ‘Ali ibn-al-Mughirah-l-Athram, on the authority of *sheikhs* from al-Küfah:—When Sa‘d ibn-abi-Waḳḳāṣ was through with the battle of al-Kādisiyah, he went to al-Madā'in, made terms with the inhabitants of ar-Rūmīyah and Bahurasīr, reduced al-Madā'in,² Asbānbur² and Kurdban-dādāh³ and settled his troops in them. The troops occupied these places. Subsequently, Sa‘d was ordered [by ‘Umar] to remove them; and so he removed them to Sūk Hakamah, others say to Kuwaifah on this side of al-Küfah. Ac-

¹ Dinawari, p. 131.

² The Arabic and Persian names of Ctesiphon; Yākūt, vol. i, p. 237.

³ Perhaps a quarter in Ctesiphon; Caetani, vol. iii, p. 848.

cording to al-Athram, the word *takauwuf*¹ means “ the re-union of people ”. Others say that circular places when sandy are called *kûfah*; and still others call the land rich in pebbles, mud and sand, *kûfah*.

Mosquitoes in al-Madâ'in. It is stated that when the Moslems in al-Madâ'in were attacked by the mosquitoes, Sa'd wrote to 'Umar telling him that they were badly affected by them; in answer to which 'Umar wrote back, 276 “ Arabs are like camels; whatever is good for the camels is good for them. Choose for them, therefore, a habitable place; and let no sea intervene between them and me.” The determining of the dwelling-place was entrusted to abu-l-Haiyâj al-Asadi 'Amr ibn-Mâlik ibn-Junâdah.

Al-Kûfah founded. Then 'Abd al-Masîh ibn-Bukailah presented himself before Sa'd and said to him, “ I can point out to thee a site which is outside the waterless desert, and higher than the muddy places where mosquitoes abound.”² Saying this, he pointed out the site of al-Kûfah which was then called Sûristân. When Sa'd arrived on the spot destined to be the site of the mosque, a man shot, by his orders. an arrow towards the *kiblah*, another towards the north, another to the south, a fourth to the east, and marked the spots where the arrows fell. Sa'd then established the mosque and the governor's residence on the spot where the man who shot the arrows had stood, fencing in all the space around that spot. He then drew lots with two arrows between the tribe of Nizâr and the tribes of al-Yaman, promising the left side, which was the better of the two, to the one whose arrow was drawn first. The people of al-Yaman had theirs first; and they were, therefore, allotted the pieces on the east side. The pieces allotted to the Nizâr fell on

¹ From which noun Kûfah comes.

² Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2389.

the west side beyond the boundaries fixed for the mosque, leaving what was fenced in within the marks for the mosque and the governor's residence. Later, al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bah enlarged the mosque; and Ziyâd¹ [ibn-Abîhi] rebuilt it strongly and rebuilt the governor's residence. Ziyâd often repeated, "On every one of the pillars of the mosque at al-Kûfah, I spent 1,800 [dirhams]." Another building was established by 'Amr ibn-Huraith al-Makhzûmi, whom Ziyâd used to leave in his place over al-Kûfah whenever he absented himself in al-Başrah. Ziyâd's agents erected many buildings which made the place crowded and thickly set.²

The lane called Kuğâk 'Amr in al-Kûfah takes its name from the banu-'Amr ibn-Huraith ibn-'Amr ibn-'Uthmân ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-'Umar ibn-Makhzûm ibn-Yâkâzah.

The Yamanites. Wahb ibn-Bâkiyah-l-Wâsîti from ash-Shâ'bi:—The latter said, "We (the Yamanites) were 12,000 men; the Nizâr were 8,000; from which you can easily see that we constituted the majority of the settlers of al-Kûfah. Our arrow went to the east side of the mosque. That is why we hold the pieces we now hold."

277

The mosque. 'Ali ibn-Muhammad al-Madâ'ini from Mas-lamah ibn-Mûhârib and others:—Al-Mughirah enlarged the mosque³ of al-Kûfah and rebuilt it. Ziyâd later enlarged it still more. Pebbles were spread in this mosque and in that of al-Başrah, because when people prayed their hands were covered with dust, which they used to remove by clapping their hands. This made Ziyâd say, "I am afraid that in course of time, the clapping of hands will be taken for a part of the religious ceremony." When he, therefore, en-

¹ Yâkût, vol. iv, pp. 323-324.

² Athîr, vol. ii, pp. 410 *seq.*

³ Hamadhâni, pp. 173-174.

larged the mosque and added to it, he ordered that pebbles be strewn in the courtyard of the mosque. The overseers of the work used to oppress those who gathered the pebbles, saying, "Bring us only this kind which we show you", choosing special samples, and asking for similar ones. By such means, they enriched themselves. Hence, the saying, "It is good to be in authority even over stones."¹ This saying, however, is, according to al-Athram, explained by abu-'Ubaidah by the fact that al-Hajjâj ibn-'Atîk ath-Thâkafî, or his son, had charge of cutting the pillars for the al-Bâsrah mosque from Jabal al-Ahwâz where he discovered a mine. This gave rise to the expression: "It is good to be in authority even over stones."

Abu-'Ubaidah states that the colonization of al-Kûfah took place in the year 18.

Ziyâd took for himself in the al-Kûfah mosque a *maḳsûrah* which afterwards was renewed by Khâlid ibn-'Abdallâh al-Kâsri.

The version of al-Haitham. Hafṣ ibn-'Umar al-'Umari from al-Haitham ibn-'Adî at-Tâ'i:—After having settled in al-Madâ'in, planned it out and established a mosque, the Moslems found the place too dirty and productive of pestilence. Sa'd ibn-abi-Wâkâṣ communicated the fact to 'Umar who wrote back that they should move westward. Sa'd came to Kuwaifah ibn-'Umar, but finding the water all around it, the Moslems left it and came to the site on which al-Kûfah now stands. They hit on the ridge called Khadd al-'Adhrâ' [the virgin's cheek] on which lavender, daisies, broom-plants [*shîh* and *kaiṣûm*] and poppies grew. On this site, they established themselves.

I was told by a Kufite *sheikh* that the region between al-Kûfah and al-Hirah was known by the name of al-²⁷⁸ Miltât.

¹ Freytag, vol. ii, p. 917, n. 47.

The house of 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-'Umar was used for entertaining guests, 'Umar having ordered that some house be put to that use for those who came from the different provinces.

Charges against Sa'd. Al-'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from Muhammâd ibn-Ishâk:—Sa'd ibn-abi-Wâkâs made a wooden door for his mansion which he surrounded with a fence of reeds. 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb sent Muhammâd ibn-Maslamah-l-Anṣârî who set fire to the door and fence, and made Sa'd leader in the mosques of al-Kûfah where nothing but good was spoken of him.

Al-'Abbâs ibn-al-Wâlid an-Nârsi and Ibrâhîm al-'Allâf al-Bâṣrî from Jâbir ibn-Samurah:—The people of al-Kûfah reported Sa'd ibn-abi-Wâkâs to 'Umar on the ground that he did not lead properly in prayers. In answer to the charge, Sa'd said, “As for me, I have always followed the prayer of the Prophet and never deviated from it. In the first two [prostrations] I repeat prayer slowly, in the last two, quickly.”¹ “That was what was thought of thee, abu-Ishâk,” said 'Umar. 'Umar then sent certain men to inquire in al-Kûfah regarding Sa'd, about whom nothing but good was told in the different mosques, until they came to the mosque of the banu-'Abs. Here someone called abu-Sa'dah said, “As for Sa'd, he does not divide shares equally, nor judge cases justly.” Hearing this, Sa'd exclaimed, “O God, if he is telling a lie, make his age long, perpetuate his poverty, take away his eyesight and expose him to troubles!” 'Abd-al-Malik said, “I later saw abu-Sa'dah intercepting the way of the maids in the streets; and when somebody asked him, ‘How are you, abu-Sa'dah?’ he always replied, ‘I am old and crazed, being afflicted with the curse of Sa'd’.”

¹ Bukhârî, vol. i, p. 195; Zamakhshari, *Fâ'il*, vol. i, p. 212.

In another tradition transmitted by al-‘Abbâs an-Narsi, Sa‘d made the following petition, regarding the people of al-Kûfah: “O God, let no ruler be satisfied with them, and let them be never satisfied with a ruler!”

I was informed by al-‘Abbâs an-Narsi that al-Mukhtâr ibn-abi-‘Ubaid, or someone else, said, “To love the people of al-Kûfah is honor; and to hate them is destruction.”

Al-Hasan ibn-‘Uthmân az-Ziyâdi from ash-Sha‘bi:—
After the victory of al-Kâdisiyah, ‘Amr ibn-Ma‘dikarib 279 visited ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb and was asked by him about Sa‘d and whether the people were satisfied with him. ‘Amr gave the following answer. “I left him laying up for them as an ant lays up, having as much sympathy with them as a kind mother. In his love of dates, he is an Arab; in the collection of taxes, he is Nabatean. He divides shares equitably, judges cases justly and leads the bands successfully.” “It looks,” said ‘Umar, “as if ye both have agreed to compensate each other with praise (Sa‘d having before written to ‘Umar in commendation of ‘Amr).” “No, ‘Commander of the Believers’,” answered ‘Amr, “I rather said what I knew.” “Well, ‘Amr,” said ‘Umar, “describe war.” “It is bitter in taste when waged. He, who perseveres in it, becomes known; but he who grows weak, perishes.”—“Describe the arms.”—“Ask me about whichever thou wantest.”—“The lancet?”—“It is a brother which may betray thee.”—“The arrows?”—“Arrows are messengers of death which either err or hit.”—“The shield?”—“That is the defense which has most to suffer.”—“The coat of mail?”—“Something that keeps the horseman busy; a nuisance for the footman; but in all cases, a strong protection.”—“The sword?”—“May it be the cause of thy death!”—“Thy death!”—“The fever has abased me to thee.”¹

¹ A proverb applied to the case of abasement on the occasion of need. Lane’s “Dictionary” *s. v. adra‘a*.

‘Umar appoints ‘Ammâr and then al-Mughîrah. At last, ‘Umar dismissed Sa‘d and appointed ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir. A complaint was made against ‘Ammâr to the effect that he was weak and knew nothing about politics. He was therefore dismissed after holding the office of governor over al-Kûfah for one year and nine months. In this connection, ‘Umar remarked, “What am I to do with the people of al-Kûfah? If I appoint a strong man over them, they attribute transgression to him; and if a weak man, they despise him.”¹ Calling al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu‘bah, he asked him, “Wouldst thou commit again what thou didst once commit, if I should assign thee over al-Kûfah?” And al-Mughîrah answered, “No.” Al-Mughîrah went to al-Madinah, after the conquest of al-Kâdisiyah, and was appointed by ‘Umar over al-Kûfah, which position he held until ‘Umar’s death.

Sa‘d, then al-Walid, then Sa‘id as governors. Then came ‘Uthmân ibn-‘Affân and appointed over al-Kûfah Sa‘d; but later dismissed him and appointed al-Walid ibn-‘Ukba ibn-abi-Mu‘âit ibn-abi-‘Amr ibn-Umaiyyah. When al-Walid visited Sa‘d, the latter said, “Either thou hast become intelligent after me, or I have become foolish after thee.” Al-Walid was later dismissed and Sa‘id ibn-al-Âši ibn-Sa‘id ibn-al-Âši ibn-Umaiyyah was nominated to his place. 280

Persians unite with the Arabs. Abu-Mas‘ûd al-Kûfi from Mis‘ar ibn-Kidâm:—In the battle of al-Kâdisiyah, Rustam led 4,000 men called Jund [army] Shahânsâh, who asked for peace provided they be allowed to settle wherever they wanted, be confederates with whomever they wanted and receive soldiers’ stipends. Their request having been granted, they united in a confederacy with Zûhrâh

¹ *Ikd*, vol. iii, p. 360; Hamadhâni, p. 184; Kazwîni, *Âthâr al-Bilâd*, p. 167.

ibn-Ḥawiyah as-Sa'di of the banu-Tamîm. Sa'd [ibn-abi-Wakkâṣ] allowed them to settle where they chose, and assigned 1,000,000 *dirhams* for stipends. Their chief [*nâkib*] was one of them called Dailam;¹ hence the name of the place Ḥamrâ' Dailam. Later Ziyâd [ibn-Abîhi], following the orders of Mu'âwiyah, sent some of them to Syria where they are called al-Fûrs [Persians], others to al-Baṣrah where they were combined with the Asâwirah [Persian cavalry].

According to abu-Mas'ûd, the Arabs call the non-Arabs Ḥamrâ' [the red], and would say, "I came from Ḥamrâ' Dailam," as they would say, "I came from Juhainah" or some other place. Abu-Mas'ûd adds, "I heard someone say that these Asâwirah lived near ad-Dailam and when they were attacked by the Moslems in Kazwîn, they accepted Islâm on the same terms as the Asâwirah of al-Baṣrah. Then they came to al-Kûfah and settled in it."

According to al-Madâ'ini, Abarwîz brought from ad-Dailam 4,000 men who acted as his servants and escort, which position they held until the Arab invasion. They then took part in the battle of al-Kâdisiyah under Rustam. When Rustam was killed and the Magians were defeated, they withdrew, saying, "We are different from those others [*i. e.*, Arabs]; we have no refuge, and have already left a bad impression on the Moslems. Let us then adopt their faith, and we will be strengthened by them." Having deserted to the Moslem camp, Sa'd wanted to know the cause; and al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu'bah asked them about it. Thus, they presented their case, saying, "We will adopt your faith." Al-Mughîrah came back to Sa'd and told him about it. Sa'd promised them security; and they accepted Islâm. They witnessed the conquest of al-Ma-

¹ "Dilam" in Persian.

dâ'in under Sa'd and the conquest of Jalûlâ'; after which they returned to al-Kûfah where they settled with the Moslems.

*Places of interest and the persons after whom they are named.*¹ The Jabbânat [cemetery] as-Sabi', after a son of as-Sabi' ibn-Sabu' ibn-Şa'b al-Hamdâni.

The Saḥrâ [desert] Uthair,² after Uthair of the banu-Asad. 281

The Dukkân [shop] 'Abd-al-Ḥamîd, after 'Abd-al-Ḥamîd ibn-'Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-Zaid ibn-al-Khaṭâb, the 'âmil of 'Umar ibn-'Abd-al-'Azîz over al-Kûfah.

The Saḥrâ bani-Ķirâr after the banu-Ķirâr ibn-Thâ'labah. . . . ibn-Nizâr.

Dâr ar-Rûmîyîn was a dunghill where the inhabitants of al-Kûfah cast their rubbish and which was taken as fief from Yazîd ibn-'Abd-al-Malîk by 'Anbasah ibn-Sa'id ibn-al-Âsî, who removed the soil in it for 150,000 *dirhams*.

The Sûk [market] Yûsuf in al-Ḥirâh, after Yûsuf ibn-'Umar . . . ath-Thâqâfî.

Hammâm [bath] A'yan, after A'yan, a freedman of Sa'd ibn-abi-Wâkķâs.

Bî'at [church] bani-Mâzin in al-Ḥirâh, after some of al-Azd of Ghassân.

Hammâm 'Umar, after 'Umar ibn-Sa'd ibn-abi-Wâkķâs. Shahârsûj Bajîlah in al-Kûfah, after the banu-Bajlah.³

Jabbânat 'Arzam, after a certain 'Arzam, who used to 282 shake in it milk in a skin [so that its butter might come forth].

Jabbânat Bishr, after Bishr ibn-Rabî'ah . . . ibn-Kumair al-Khuth'ami.

Zurârah, after Zurârah ibn-Yazîd . . . ibn-Şa'sâ'ah.

¹ The following list is a shortened form of the original.

² Dhabâbi, pp. 5-6.

³ Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 338; Hamadhâni, p. 182; *Marâṣid*, vol. ii, p. 135.

Dâr [house] Ḥukaim in al-Kûfah, after Ḥukaim ibn-Sâd ibn-Thaur al-Bukâ'i.

Ḳaṣr [castle] Muḳâtil, after Muḳâtil ibn-Ḥassân¹ . . . of the banu-Amru'i-l-Kais.

As-Sawâdiyah² in al-Kûfah, after Sawâd ibn-Zaid ibn-‘Adi. . . .

Ḳaryat [village] abi-Ṣalâbah on the Euphrates, after Ṣalâbah ibn-Mâlik ibn-Ṭâriḳ. . . .

Aḳsâs Mâlik, after Mâlik ibn-Ḳais . . . ibn-Nizâr.

Dair [monastery] al-A‘war, after one of the Iyâd of the banu-Umaiyyah.

Dair Kurrah after Kurrah of the banu-Umaiyyah ibn-Ḥudhâfah.

Dair as-Sawa, after the same banu-Umaiyyah.

Dair al-Jamâjim, after the Iyâd tribe who in a battle with the banu-Bahrâ' and the banu-l-Kain lost many who were buried there and whose skulls [*jamâjim*] were later excavated as one was digging in the ground.

Dair Ka'b, after the Iyâd.

Dair Hind, after the mother of ‘Amr ibn-Hind.

Dâr Ḳumâm, after the daughter of al-Ḥârith ibn-Ḥâni' al-Kindi. . . .

Bî‘at bani-‘Adi, after the banu-‘Adi ibn-adh-Dhumail of the Lakhm.

Ṭizanâbâdh, after ad-Ḍaizan ibn-Mu‘âwiyyah ibn-al-‘Abîd as-Salîhi.

Masjid [mosque] Simâk in al-Kûfah, after Simâk ibn-Makhramah ibn-Ḥumain al-Asadi. . . .

Mahâllat [quarter] bani-Shaiṭân, after Shaiṭân ibn-Zuhair³ . . . ibn-Tamîmî.

¹ *Kâmiṣs*, vol. iv, p. 36, l. 22: “Haiyân.”

² “Sauwâriyah” in Hamadhâni, p. 182; cf. *Tâj al-‘Arûs*, vol. ii, p. 390; Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 180.

³ “Zubair” in Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 356, l. 12; cf. Ḥajar, vol. i, p. 585.

The site of Dâr 'Isa ibn-Mûsa belonged to al-'Alâ' ibn-'Abd-ar-Râhimân . . . ibn-'Abd-Manâf.

285

There is a path in al-Kûfah named after 'Amîrah ibn-Shîhâb. . . .

Şâhrâ' Shabath, after Shabath ibn-Rib'i ar-Riyâhi of the banu-Tamîm.

Dâr Hujair in al-Kûfah, after Hujair ibn-al-Jâ'd al-Jumâhi.

Bi'r [well] al-Mubârik [Mubarak ?], after al-Mubârik [Mubarak ?] ibn-'Ikrimah ibn-Ḥumairi [Ḥimyari ?]-l-Ju'fi.

Râha [hand-mill] 'Umârah, after 'Umârah ibn-'Ukâbah . . . ibn-Umaiyah.

Jabbânat Sâlim, after Sâlim ibn-'Ammâr . . . ibn-Hawâzin.

Şâhrâ' Albardakht, after the poet Albardakht ad-Dabbi.

Masjid bani-'Anz, after the banu-'Anz ibn-Wâ'il ibn-Kâsiṭ.

Masjid bani-Jadhîmah, after the banu-Jadhîmah ibn-Mâlik ibn-Nâṣr . . . ibn-Asad.

There is a mosque in al-Kûfah named after the banu-l-Mâkâṣif.

Masjid bani-Bahdalah, after the banu-Bahdalah ibn-al-Mîthl ibn-Mu'âwiyah of the Kindah.

Bi'r al-Jâ'd in al-Kûfah, after al-Jâ'd, a freedman of Hamdân.

Dâr abi-Artât, after Artât ibn-Mâlik al-Bajali.

Dâr al-Muḳâṭṭâ', after al-Muḳâṭṭâ' ibn-Sunain al-Kalbi.

Ḳâṣr al-'Adasiyîn at the extremity of al-Ḥirah, after the banu-'Ammâr ibn-'Abd-al-Masîh. . . .

The cathedral mosque in al-Kûfah was built with material taken from the ruins of the castles in al-Ḥirah that belonged to the al-Mundhir clan, the price of that material constituting a part of the tax paid by the people of al-Ḥirah.

Sikkat al-Barîd [post-office] in al-Kûfah was once a

286

church built by Khâlid ibn-‘Abdallâh . . . of the Bajîlah for his mother, who was a Christian.

Khâlid built shops, dug the canal called al-Jâmi‘, and erected the Kaşr Khâlid.

Sûk Asad, after Asad ibn-‘Abdallâh, Khâlid’s brother.

Kanṭarat [arch] al-Kûfah was built by ‘Umar ibn-Hubairah, and later repaired by Khâlid and others.

Al-Hâshimîyah. The following tradition was transmitted to me by abu-Mas‘ûd and others:—Yazîd ibn-‘Umar ibn-Hubairah laid out a city in al-Kûfah on the Euphrates and occupied it before it was fully completed. He then received a letter from Marwân, ordering him to avoid the neighborhood of the people of al-Kûfah; and he, therefore, left it and built the castle known by the name of Kaşr ibn-Hubairah near the Sûra bridge. 287

When caliph abu-l-‘Abbâs came to power, he occupied this city, completed the erection of certain mansions [*makṣûrahs*] defended by walls in it, established new buildings and called it al-Hâshimiyah. People in general called it by its old name after ibn-Hubairah; and abu-l-‘Abbâs making the remark, “I see that the name of ibn-Hubairah will always cling to it”, gave it up and established on a site opposite to it another city by the name of al-Hâshimiyah. After residing there for some time, he decided to settle in al-Anbâr, where he built his well-known city¹ in which he was buried.

Madinat as-Salâm. When abu-Jâ‘far al-Manṣûr became caliph, he occupied the city of al-Hâshimiyah in al-Kûfah after completing its erection, enlarging it and preparing it according to his own idea. Later, he abandoned it in favor of Baghîdâh, where he built his city. He founded Baghîdâh and called it Madinat as-Salâm,² and repaired its old

¹ Le Strange, *Baghdâd*, pp. 5-6.

² “The city of peace.” Le Strange, p. 10.

wall which begins at the Tigris and ends at aş-Şarâṭ [canal].

It was in this al-Hâshimiyyah that al-Manṣûr imprisoned ‘Abdallâh ibn-Hasan ibn-Hasan ibn-‘Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib because of his two sons Muḥammad and Ibrâhim; and it was here that he was buried.

Ar-Ruṣâfah. Al-Manṣûr built in al-Kûfah ar-Ruṣâfah [causeway] and by his orders, his freedman abu-l-Khaṣîb Marzûk built for him on an old foundation the castle that bears his name: abu-l-Khaṣîb. Others say that abu-l-Khaṣîb built the castle for himself; and al-Manṣûr used to visit him in it.

Al-Khawarnak. As for al-Khawarnak, it was an old Persian castle built by an-Nu‘mân ibn-Amru‘i-l-Kâis (whose mother was ash-Shâkiķah, daughter of abu-Rabi‘ah ibn-Dhuhl ibn-Shaibân) for Bahrâm Jûr ibn-Yazdajird ibn-Bahrâm ibn-Sâbûr dhu-l-Aktâf, who was brought up in the home of an-Nu‘mân.¹ It was this same an-Nu‘mân who left his kingdom and traveled around, as mentioned by ‘Adî ibn-Zâid al-‘Ibâdi in his poem. When the “blessed dynasty” appeared, al-Khawarnak was given as fief to Ibrâhim ibn-Salamah, one of their propagandists in Khurâsân and a grandfather of ‘Abd-ar-Râḥmân ibn-İslâk al-Kâdi. During the caliphate of al-Mâ’mûn and al-Mu‘taṣim, Ibrâhim lived in Madinat as-Salâm and was a freedman of ar-Ribâb. In the caliphate of abu-l-‘Abbâs, he erected the dome of al-Khawarnak which did not exist before.

Bâb al-Fil. Abu-Mas‘ûd al-Kûfi from certain *sheikhs* of al-Kûfah:—When the Moslems conquered al-Madâ'in, they captured an elephant; all the other elephants they came across before having been killed by them. They wrote to

¹ Thâ‘âlibi, *Mulûk al-Fûrs*, pp. 530-540 (ed. Zotenberg); Hamadhâni, pp. 178-179.

'Umar about it and he told them to sell it if possible. The elephant was bought by a man from al-Hirah who used to cover its back with a cloak and go round the villages exhibiting it. Sometime after that, umm-Aiyûb, daughter of 'Umârah ibn-'Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu'ait (who was the wife of al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bâh and later of Ziyâd) wanted to see the elephant as she was in her father's home. The elephant was brought before her and stood at the door of the mosque which is now termed Bâb al-Fil. After looking at it, she gave its owner something and dismissed him. But no sooner had the elephant taken a few strides, than it fell dead. That is why the door was called Bâb al-Fil.¹ Some say that the one who looked at it was the wife of al-Wâlid ibn-'Ukbah ibn-abi-Mu'ait; others that it was a sorcerer who made the people see an elephant appearing from the door riding on a donkey; still others that the trough of the mosque was brought on an elephant and passed through this door, which was for that reason called Bâb al-Fil. These explanations are false. There are those who claim that the trough of the mosque was carried on an elephant and brought in through this door. Others think that an elephant owned by one of the governors once rushed against this door which was later called after it. The first explanation, however, is the most authentic.

Jabbânat Maimûn. According to abu-Mas'ûd, the Maimûn cemetery at al-Kûfah was named after Maimûn, a freedman of Muâammad ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh, surnamed abu-Bishr, who built aṭ-Ṭâkât² in Baghîdâh near Bâb ash-Shâm.³

¹ "The elephant door"; cf. Tabari, vol. ii, p. 27.

² Archways or arcades. Cf. Le Strange, *Baghdâd*, p. 130.

³ "The Syrian gate", Le Strange, pp. 17-18; Hamadhâni, p. 184; Ya'kûbi, pp. 240-242.

Salîrâ' umm-Salamah. The umm-Salamah desert was so called after umm-Salamah, daughter of Ya'kûb ibn-Salamah . . . ibn-Makhzûm and the wife of abu-l-'Abbâs.

289

Al-Kûfah moat. I was told by abu-Mas'ûd that al-Manṣûr held the people of al-Kûfah responsible for its moat and that he imposed on every one of them forty *dirhams* to meet its expenses, he being displeased with them on account of their tendencies toward the Tâlibite party and their spreading false news regarding the *sultân* [the chief authority].

The inhabitants of al-Kûfah commended. Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from 'Âmir :—'Umar writing to the people of al-Kûfah called them “the head of the Arabs.”

Al-Ḥusain from Nâfi' ibn-Jubair ibn-Muṭ'im :—'Umar said, “In al-Kûfah are the most distinguished men.”

Al-Ḥusain and Ibrâhim ibn-Muslim al-Khawârizmi from ash-Shâ'bi :—'Umar in addressing the people of al-Kûfah wrote, “To the head of Islâm.”

Al-Ḥusain ibn-al-Aswad from Shamir ibn-'Atiyah :—'Umar said regarding the people of al-Kûfah, “They are the lance of Allah, the treasure of the faith, the cranium of the Arabs, who protect their own frontier forts and reinforce other Arabs.”

Abu-Naṣr at-Tammâr from Salmân :—The latter said :—“Al-Kûfah is the dome of Islâm. There will be a time in which every believer will either be in it or will have his heart set upon it.”

CHAPTER IX

WÂSÎT AL-‘IRÂK

The first cathedral mosques. ‘Abd-al-Ḥamîd ibn-Wâsî‘ al-Khatli-l-Ḥâsib from al-Ḥasan ibn-Ṣâliḥ:—The first cathedral mosque¹ built in as-Sawâd was that of al-Madâ‘in built by Sa‘d and his companions. It was later made larger and stronger under the supervision of Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yamâن who died at al-Madâ‘in in the year 36. After that, Sa‘d established the mosque of al-Kûfah and 290 that of al-Anbâr.

Wâsît built by al-Hajjâj. The city of Wâsît was built in the year 83 or 84 by al-Hajjâj who also built its mosque, castle and Ḳubbat al-Khadîrâ.² The site of Wâsît having been covered with reeds [*kaṣab*], the city acquired the name of Wâsît al-Kaṣab. This city is equidistant from al-Ahwâz, al-Baṣrah and al-Kûfah. Ibn-al-Ķirriyâh remarks, “He [al-Hajjâj] has built it but not in his town, and shall leave it but not for his son.”

One of the *sheikhs* of Wâsît from other *sheikhs*:—When al-Hajjâj completed the erection of Wâsît, he wrote to ‘Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwâن, “I have built a city in a hollow of the ground [*kirsh*] between al-Jabal and al-Mîṣrain, and called it Wâsît [lying halfway between].” That is why the people of Wâsît were called the Kirshîyûn.³ Before he

¹ *Masjid jâmi‘* = the chief mosque of the city in which people assemble on Friday for prayer and the *khuṭbah*.

² *i. e.*, “the green dome.” It was later occupied by al-Mansûr and called Bâb adh-Dhahab. Le Strange, 31 *seq.*; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 683; Ya‘kûbi, *Buldân*, p. 240, and *Ta’rîkh*, vol. ii, p. 450; Tabari, vol. iii, p. 326.

³ *Tâj al-‘Arûs*, *s. v. kirsh*.

erected Wâsiṭ, al-Hajjâj had the idea of taking up his abode in aş-Şîn of Kaskar. He, therefore, dug Nahr [canal] aş-Şîn and ordered that the workmen be chained together so that none of them might run away as a deserter. After that it occurred to him to establish Wâsiṭ¹ which he later occupied, then he dug out an-Nîl² and az-Zâbi canals. The latter was so called because it branched off from the old Zâbi. He thus reclaimed the land around these two canals and erected the city called an-Nîl³ and populated it. He then turned his attention to certain crown-domains which 'Abdallâh ibn-Darrâj, a freedman of Mu'âwiyah ibn-abî-Sufyân, had reclaimed (when with al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu'bâh he had charge of the *kharâj* of al-Kûfah) for Mu'âwiyah. These domains included waste lands, swamps, ditches and thickets. Al-Hajjâj built dams⁴ in these domains; uprooted the reeds in them and added them to the domains of 'Abd-al-Malik ibn-Marwân after populating them.

To his castle and the cathedral mosque in Wâsiṭ, al-Hajjâj brought doors from Zandaward,⁵ ad-Daukârah, Dârûsât, Dair Mâsirjasân⁶ and Sharabit, whose people protested, saying, "We have been guaranteed the security of our cities and possessions;" but he did not mind what they said.

Al-Mubarak. Al-Mubarak⁷ canal was dug by Khâlid ibn-'Abdallâh-l-Kasri al-Mubarak and commemorated by al-Farazdaq in certain verses.

¹ Kazwîni, pp. 320-321; Haukâl, pp. 162-163.

² Ya'kûbi, *Buldân*, p. 322.

³ *Tanbih*, p. 52.

⁴ *musannayât*; *Tâj al-'Arûs*, s. v. *saniya*; Mâwardi, p. 311.

⁵ Tabâri, vol. iii, p. 321.

⁶ Marâsid, vol. i, p. 439.

⁷ Tabâri, vol. iii, pp. 1981, 1985.

Khâlid's bridge. Muḥammad ibn-Khâlid ibn-‘Abdallâh at-Ṭâḥrâh from his *sheikhs*—Khâlid ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Kâṣrî wrote to Hishâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik asking for permission to make an arch over the Tigris. Hishâm wrote back, “If this were possible, the Persians would have done it.” Khâlid wrote again; and Hishâm answered: “If thou art sure that it is feasible, thou mayst do it.” Khâlid built the arch at a great expense; but it was soon destroyed by the water. Hishâm made Khâlid pay the expenses out of his own pocket. 291

Al-Bazzâk. The canal known by the name of al-Bazzâk was an old one of which the Nabatean form is al-Bassâk, which means that which cuts the water off from what comes after it and takes it over to itself. In this canal the superfluous water from as-Sib jungles and some water of the Euphrates gather. This name was corrupted into al-Bazzâk.

Al-Maimûn. As for al-Maimûn¹ it was first dug out by Sa‘id ibn-Zaid, an agent of umm-Ja‘far Zubaidah, daughter of Ja‘far ibn-al-Manṣûr. The mouth of al-Maimûn was near a village called Maimûn. In the time of al-Wâthik-Billâh, the position of the mouth was shifted by ‘Umar ibn-Faraj ar-Rukhkhaji, but the river kept its old name al-Maimûn [the auspicious], lest the idea of auspiciousness be dissociated from it.

I was informed by Muḥammad ibn-Khâlid that by the order of caliph al-Mahdi, Nahr aş-Silah was dug out and the lands around it were entrusted to farmers. The income thereof was used as stipends to the inhabitants of the sacred territories of Makkah and al-Madînah [*ahl al-Haramain*] and for other expenses there. It was stipulated on the tenants who came to those lands that they should yield two-

¹ Tabari, vol. iii, p. 1760: “Nahr Maimûn.”

fifths [?] of the produce, with the understanding that after holding their share for fifty years, they should yield as tax one-half of its produce. This stipulation is still in force.¹

Al-Amîr. As regards Nahr al-Amîr, it was ascribed to 292 'Isa ibn-'Ali and lay in his fief.

Mashra'at al-Fil. We were informed by Muhammâd ibn-Khâlid that Muhammâd ibn-al-Kâsim presented to al-Hajjâj an elephant from as-Sind² which was transported through al-Batâ'iħ [the great swamp] on a ship and was landed at a watering place, which has since been called Mashra'at al-Fil or Furdat³ al-Fil.

¹ Cf. Kudâmah, pp. 241-242.

² A country bordering upon India, Karmân and Sijistân; Meynard, p. 324.

³ *mashra'at* = wharf; *furdat* = harbor.

CHAPTER X

AL-BATĀ'İH

Al-'Aurā'. I was informed by certain learned men that the Persians often discussed the future fall of their kingdom and thought that earthquakes and floods would be the sign thereof. Now, the Tigris emptied its water into Dijlat al-Baṣrah, also called al-'Aurā',¹ by means of branching streams which drew their water from the main stream which carried the rest of the water and looked like one of those streams.

The formation of al-Batā'ih. In the days of Kubādh ibn-Fairūz,² the water at the lower part of Kaskar broke through a great breach which was neglected until its waters drowned large, flourishing tracts of land. Kubādh was a feeble man and cared little for the breach. But when his son Anūshirwān came to rule, he ordered that dams be made and thus the water was stopped and some of the lands flourished again.

When the year came in which the Prophet sent 'Abdallāh ibn-Ḥudhāfah as-Sahmi to Kisra Abarwiz, which was the year 7 A. H. (others say 6), the waters of the Tigris and the Euphrates rose to a height never reached before or since, causing many great breaches. Abarwiz made special effort to stop the breaches; but the water had the better of him,

¹ The united course of the Tigris and Euphrates before they empty into the Persian Gulf. Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 745.

² Tha'ālibi, pp. 586-603.

turned towards al-Baṭā'ih¹ and overflowed the buildings and plants, drowning many cantons that were there. Kisra² rode out in person to block the breaches; he scattered money right and left, put many workmen to death and, according to a report, crucified on certain breaches forty dam builders in one day; but all that was of no avail against the force of water.³

With the advent of the Arabs into al-‘Irāk, the Persians 293 were kept too busy fighting to mind the breaches which would burst and no one would mind them; and the feudal lords [*dihkâns*] failed to block them. Consequently, al-Baṭîhah was made wider and more extensive.⁴

‘Abdallâh ibn-Darrâj. When Mu‘âwiyah ibn-abi-Sufyân became ruler, he appointed ‘Abdallâh ibn-Darrâj, his freedman, over the *kharâj* of al-‘Irâk. ‘Abdallâh, by cutting down the reeds and stopping the water by dams, reclaimed for his master lands in al-Baṭâ'ih, the income of which amounted to 5,000,000 [*dirhams*].

Hassân an-Nabaîi. Then came Ḥassân an-Nabaîi, the freedman of the *banu-Dabbah*, the builder of Ḥaud [reservoir] Ḥassân in al-Baṣrah and the one after whom *Manârat* [light-house] Ḥassân in al-Baṭâ'ih is named. Ḥassân reclaimed certain lands in al-Baṭâ'ih for al-Ḥajjâj in the days of al-Walîd and for Hishâm ibn-‘Abd-al-Malik.⁵

Al-Janb canal. Before al-Baṭâ'ih was formed, there was at Kaskar a canal called al-Janb, along the south bank of which ran the post-road to Maisân, Dastumaisân and al-Āhwâz. When al-Baṭâ'ih was formed, that part of the

¹ The great swamp in which water overflowing from the Tigris and Euphrates disappeared. Rustah, p. 94.

² Anûshirwân; Tha‘âlibi, p. 603.

³ Mas‘ûdi, vol. i, p. 225.

⁴ Kudâmah, p. 240.

⁵ Cf. Kudâmah, p. 240.

post-road which became a thicket was called Âjâm al-Barid; and the other part was called Âjâm Aghmarbathi¹ in which the great thickets lie. The canal is now seen in the *al-Jâmidah* [solid] lands that have recently been reclaimed and rendered fit for use.

The version of abu-Mas'ûd. Abu-Mas'ûd al-Kûfi from his *sheikhs*—Al-Batâ'ih was formed after the “flight” of the Prophet and during the reign of Abarwîz over the Persians. Many great fissures were formed which Kisra was unable to block, thus making the rivers overflow and producing al-Batâ'ih. At the time of the Moslem wars with the Persians, the water overflowed and no one took the trouble to block the fissures. This enlarged the Batîhah and made it wider. The banu-Umayyah had reclaimed a part of the Batîhah, which part was again sunk in the time of al-Hajjâj when new breaches appeared which al-Hajjâj did not care to block, trying thereby to injure the Persian feudal lords whom he suspected to be on the side of ibn-al-Ash'ath who had broken off his allegiance to al-Hajjâj. Hassân an-Nabaîi reclaimed for Hishâm certain tracts of the Batîhah land.

Abu-l-Asad. Abu-l-Asad, from whom Nahr abu-l-Asad takes its name, was one of the generals of the caliph al-Manṣûr, and one of those sent to al-Baṣrah when 'Adballâh ibn-'Ali resided in it. It was this abu-l-Asad who made 'Adballâh ibn-'Ali enter al-Kûfah.

I was told by 'Umar ibn-Bukair that al-Manṣûr dispatched his freedman abu-l-Asad, who pitched his camp between al-Manṣûr and the army of 'Isa ibn-Mûsa as al-Manṣûr was fighting against Ibrâhim ibn-'Adballâh ibn-al-Hasan ibn-al-Hasan ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Ṭâlib. The same abu-l-Asad dug the canal near al-Batîhah which bears his name.

¹ “A Nabatean word which means the great thickets;” Kudâmah, p. 241.

Others say that abu-l-Asad, reaching the mouth of the canal and finding it too narrow for the ships, widened it; and, therefore, it was named after him.

It is stated by abu-Mas'ûd that in the time of the "blessed dynasty" certain breaches were formed which made al-Baṭâ'ilh larger. Because of the water of the Euphrates, many thickets grew, of which some were reclaimed and made tillable land.

Maslamah reclaims new lands. Abu-Mas'ûd from 'Awânah:—In the days of al-Hajjâj, new breaches were made. Al-Hajjâj wrote to al-Walid ibn-'Abd-al-Malik stating that he estimated that 3,000,000 *dirhams* would be required for blocking them. Al-Walid thought that too much. Maslamah ibn-'Abd-al-Malik said to al-Walid, "I offer to pay the expenses provided thou givest me as fief the depressed tracts in which the water remains, after spending 3,000,000 *dirhams*, which sum shall be spent under the direct supervision of thy counsellor and trusted man, al-Hajjâj." Al-Walid accepted the offer. Maslamah gained possession of lands that had many cantons close together. He dug as-Sibain¹ and induced the farmers and tenants to come and hold land. Thus the land flourished; and in order to secure his protection, many landowners voluntarily turned their farms over to him, and then held them from him as fief. When the "blessed dynasty" came and the possessions of the banu-Umayyah were confiscated, all as-Sibain was assigned as fief to Dâ'ûd ibn-'Ali ibn-'Abdallâh ibn-al-'Abbâs, from whose heirs it was bought with its rights and boundaries and was included in the crown-domains [*diyâ' al-khilâfah*].

¹ The dual form of as-Sib.

CHAPTER XI

MADINAT AS-SALÂM

Built by al-Manṣûr. Baghdâdh¹ was an ancient city, but al-Manṣûr colonized it, and added a city to it² which 295 he began in the year 145. Hearing that Muḥammad and Ibrâhîm, the sons of ‘Abdallâh ibn-Ḥasan ibn-Ḥasan, had thrown off their allegiance to him, al-Manṣûr returned to al-Kûfah. In the year 146, he transferred the public treasures [*buyût al-mâl*], repositories, and registers from al-Kûfah to Baghdâdh, and called it Madinat as-Salâm [the city of peace]. In the year 147, the wall of this city, with everything else connected with it, and the wall of ancient Baghdâdh were completed. Al-Manṣûr died in Makkah in the year 158 and was buried near the well of Maimûn ibn-al-Hadrami, an ally of the banu-Umayyah.

Ar-Ruṣâfah. Ar-Ruṣâfah³ was built for al-Mahdi by al-Manṣûr on the east side of Baghdâdh. This side was called ‘Askar [camp] al-Mahdi⁴ because al-Mahdi camped in it on his way to ar-Rai. When he returned from ar-Rai, he settled in ar-Ruṣâfah, although it had occurred to al-Manṣûr to direct him to settle in Khurâsân. This took place in the year 151. Before al-Mahdi had occupied the

¹ A Persian word meaning the city “founded by God,” see Le Strange, *Baghdâd*, pp. 10-11.

² Haukal, p. 164.

³ i. e., causeway, the eastern suburb of Baghdâd. İştakhri, pp. 83, 84; Tanbîh, p. 360.

⁴ Ya’kûbi, *Buldâh*, p. 251.

east side, a palace was built for him by al-Manṣûr's order; the one variously known as Kaṣr al-Wadḍâḥ, Kaṣr al-Mahdi and ash-Sharkîyah.¹ It lay on the other side of Bâb al-Karkh. Al-Wadḍâḥ, after whom it is sometimes called, was a man from al-Anbâr who had charge of the expenses.

Al-Manṣûr as a builder. Al-Manṣûr built the two mosques of Madinat as-Salâmi and the new bridge over aş-Şarâṭ [canal]. The site of the city he bought from the owners of the villages of Bâdûraiya, Kaṭrabbul [or Kuṭ-rubbul], Nahr Bûk and Nahr Bin. He gave the city as fief to members of his household, his generals, soldiers, companions and secretaries. He made the meeting place of the streets at al-Karkh, and ordered the merchants to build their shops and held them responsible for the rent.²

*Places of interest in Baghdaḍ.*³ The al-Mukharrim quarter⁴ in Baghdaḍ takes its name from Mukharrim ibn-Shurâiḥ⁵ ibn-Ḥazn al-Ḥârithi.

The Ḳanṭarat al-Baradân quarter, from as-Sari ibn-al-Ḥuṭaim,⁶ the builder of al-Ḥuṭamiyah.

As-Ṣâlihiyah, from Ṣâliḥ ibn-al-Manṣûr.

Al-Harbiyah, from Ḥarb ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Balkhi,⁷ the commander of the guard in al-Mausîl under Ja‘far ibn-abî-296 Ja‘far.

Az-Zuhairiyah or Bâb at-Tibn, from Zuhair ibn-Muhammad of the inhabitants of Abîward.

¹ *i. e.*, "the oriental palace". Ya‘kûbi, p. 245.

² Ar. *ghallah* = rent paid for buildings standing on the property of the state.

³ The following list is an abridged form of the original.

⁴ Le Strange, pp. 217-230.

⁵ Duraid, p. 238, omits "ibn-Shurâiḥ".

⁶ *Marâṣid*, vol. ii, p. 453: "as-Surai ibn-al-Ḥuṭam".

⁷ Cf. Maḥâsin, vol. i, p. 397.

‘Isâbâdh, from ‘Îsa ibn-al-Mahdi.¹

Kaşr ‘Abdawâih standing opposite Barâtha, from ‘Abdawâih, a notable of the Azd.

Al-Manṣûr assigned as fief to Sulaimân ibn-Mujâlid the site of his home; to Muhalhil ibn-Şafwân, after whom Darb Muhalhil is named, a special fief; to ‘Umârah ibn-Ḥamzah, the quarter that bears his name; to Maimûn abu-Bishr after whom Tâkât Bishr are named, a special fief near Bustân al-Kass; ² to Shubail, his freedman, a fief near Dâr Yakûtîn; to umm-‘Ubaidah, a freedmaid of Muḥammad ibn-‘Ali, a fief; to Munîrah, a freedmaid of Muḥammad ibn-‘Ali and after whom Darb Munîrah and Khân [inn] Munîrah are named, a special fief; and to Raisânah ³ a spot known by the name of Masjid bani-Raghbân.⁴

Darb [path] Mihrawâih takes its name from Mihrawâih ar-Râzi who was one of the captives of Sinfâdh and was set free by al-Mahdi. 297

The city a residence for the caliphs. Al-Manṣûr lived in Madînat as-Salâm to the last days of his caliphate. He made a pilgrimage from it and died in Makkah. The city was then occupied by the caliph al-Mahdi. Later, al-Mahdi left for Mâsabadhân, where he died. Most of the time he spent in Madînat as-Salâm was passed in palaces he built at ‘Isâbâdh.

Madînat as-Salâm was then occupied by al-Hâdi Mûsa ibn-al-Mahdi, who died in it. Ar-Rashid Hârûn also resided in it, and later left it for ar-Râfiqâh where he stayed for a while and then departed for Khurâsân, and died at Tûs. The city became after that the residence of Muḥammad ibn-ar-Rashid, who was slain in it.

¹ *Tanbîh*, pp. 343-344.

² Ya‘kûbi, p. 247.

³ *Marâsid*, vol. ii, p. 433.

⁴ Dhahabi, p. 227; Ya‘kûbi, pp. 244-245.

Al-Ma'mûn 'Abdallâh ibn-ar-Rashîd came to the city from Khurâsân and took up his abode in it. He then left on an expedition during which he died at al-Fadhandûn and was buried at Tarsûs.

Surra-man-ra'a, a residence for the caliphs. Caliph al-Mu'tâsim-Billâh made his residence in it and then left it for al-Kâtûl¹ where he occupied the Kâşr ar-Rashîd which was built when ar-Rashîd dug out Kâtûlah [canal] and called it abu-l-Jund [the father of the army] because the land watered by it produced enough provisions for the army. Al-Mu'tâsim erected in al-Kâtûl a building which he occupied, and offered the Kâşr to Ashnâs at-Turki [the Turk], his freedman. He started to colonize that region and after beginning a new city, he gave it up and built the city of Surra-man-ra'a.² He transplanted people to it and made it his residence. At the meeting point of the streets, he built a cathedral mosque, and called the city Surra-man-ra'a. Al-Mu'tâsim made his freedman, Ashnâs, together with the other generals who had joined him [Ashnâs], settle at Karkh Fairûz. Other generals were given the houses called al-'Arabâya.³ Al-Mu'tâsim died in Surra-man-ra'a in the year 227.

Hârûn al-Wâthîk-Billâh lived to the last day of his life in a house which he built at Surra-man-ra'a and called al-Hârûni.

When in dhu-l-Hijjah, year 232, the caliph Ja'far al-Mutawakkil-'Alallâh was installed, he made al-Hârûni his residence. He erected many buildings and assigned to different men fiefs in a place back of Surra-man-ra'a called al- 298

¹ *Tanbîh*, pp. 356-357.

² Ya'kûbi, pp. 256-257; Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 14; Tabari, vol. iii, pp. 1179-1180.

³ *Marâsid*, vol. v, p. 501, n.; *Mushtârik*, p. 183.

Hâ'ir¹ in which al-Mu'tâsim had put him in confinement, thus giving more space for the inhabitants. Al-Mutawakkil also built a great cathedral mosque and lavished enormous sums of money on it, making the minaret so high that the voices of the muezzins could be easily heard, and the minaret could be seen at a distance of many parasangs. The Moslems gave up the first mosque and held Friday prayers in it.

Al-Mutawakkiliyah. Al-Mutawakkil founded a city which he called al-Mutawakkiliyah. He built it between al-Karkh, known by the name of Fairûz, and al-Kâtîl, known by the name of Kisra, chose it for his abode and gave fiefs in it. The houses and the villages known as al-Mâhûzah² were included in it. Al-Mutawakkil built in this city a cathedral mosque. From the time he started the city to the time he occupied it, only a few months elapsed, the occupation having taken place at the beginning of the year 246. Here he died in Shauwâl, [2] 47.

In the same night on which he died, al-Muntasîr-Billâh was proclaimed caliph; and on Tuesday the 10th of Shauwâl, he left al-Mutawakkiliyah for Surra-man-ra'a, where he died.

‘Uyûn aṭ-Taff. ‘Uyûn [springs] aṭ-Taff³ which include ‘Ain aṣ-Ṣâid, al-Kuṭkuṭânah, ar-Ruhaimah, ‘Ain Jamal and the lands that belonged to them, were held by the garrisons that guarded the frontier forts beyond as-Sawâd. These springs lay near Khandaq [trench] Sâbûr, which Sâbûr had dug between him and the Arabs who guarded the frontier and the other Arabs who lived there. Sâbûr allowed them the use of the land as fief without collecting *kharâj* from them.

¹ Tabari, vol. iii, p. 752, and Ya‘kûbi, p. 238: “al-Hair”. Cf. Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 189.

² Athîr, vol. vii, pp. 56, 68: “al-Mâkhûrah”.

³ Yâkût, vol. iii, p. 539; Hamadhâni, p. 187.

In the battle of *dhu-Kâr* in which Allah through his Prophet gave the Arabs the victory, the Arabs gained possession of some of these springs, the rest remaining in the hands of the Persians. When the Arabs advanced to *al-Hirah*, the Persians took to flight after covering over with earth all the springs in their lands. The Arabs who held the remaining springs embraced *Islâm*; and the land which they cultivated became tithe-land.

After the battles of *al-Kâdisiyah* and *al Madâ'in*, the lands whose owners had evacuated them, were turned over to the Moslems and given out as fiefs, thus becoming tithe-lands. Such was the case with 'Uyûn *at-Tâff* whose lands are treated like the villages in the valley of *al-Madinah* and the villages of *Najd*, all the *ṣadakah* thereof being given to the 'âmils of *al-Madinah*. 299

When *Ishâk ibn-Ibrâhîm ibn-Muṣ'ab* ruled over *as-Sâwâd* in the name of *al-Mutawakkil*, he added these 'Uyûn and their lands to what he already controlled; and he collected their tithe, treating them as any other land in *as-Sâwâd*, which status they still retain. The Moslems later dug out many other springs which irrigate lands that are treated in a similar way.

'Ain al-Jamal. I was told by a *sheikh* that 'Ain *al-Jamal*¹ was so called because a camel [Ar. *jamal*] died near it. Others say that the one who dug it out was called *Jamal*.

'Ain as-Ṣâid. 'Ain *as-Ṣâid*² [fishing spring] was so called because fish gathered in it. I was told by certain *Kuraiziyûn* [?] that this spring was one of those covered with earth. As one of the Moslems was passing there, the legs of his horse sank in the mud. He dismounted and dug in the ground; and the water appeared. With the help of

¹ *Rustah*, p. 180: "'Ain *Jamal*".

² *Ibid.*, p. 180: "'Ain *Ṣâid*"; cf. *Khurdâdhbih*, p. 146.

certain men he called, the earth and soil were removed, the course was opened and the water issued as before. The spring then passed to the hands of 'Isâ ibn-'Ali, who bought it from a son of Hasan ibn-Hasan ibn-'Ali ibn-abi-Tâlib. One of 'Isâ's wives was umm-Kalthûm, daughter of Hasan ibn-Hasan. Mu'âwiyah in exchange for the caliphate, assigned, together with other things, 'Ain aş-Sâid as fief to al-Hasan ibn-'Ali.

'Ain ar-Râhibah. 'Ain ar-Râhibah was also one of the springs buried of old. A pilgrim from Karmân once saw it leaking; and when he returned from his pilgrimage, he advised 'Isâ ibn-Mûsa regarding it and pointed it out to him. This Karmân man took it as fief with its land and dug it out. He cultivated the lands around it and planted the palm-trees which stand on al-'Udhaib road.

'Uyûn al-'Irk. A few parasangs from Hit lie certain springs called al-'Irk which are similar to 'Uyûn aṭ-Taff, and whose tithes are taken by the chief of Hit.

The meaning of Sawâd. Al-Athram from abu-'Amr ibn-al-'Alâ':—When the Arabs saw the great number of villages, palm and other trees, they exclaimed, "Never did we see a greater number of *sawâd*!" *i. e.*, objects. Hence the name of the country as-Sawâd.

The market compared to the place of worship. Al-Kâsim ibn-Sallâm from Muhammad ibn-abi-Mûsa:—One day 'Ali went out to the market and saw that his relatives had secured special places, upon which he remarked, "That can not be. For the Moslems, the market is similar to the place of worship: he who arrives first can hold his seat all day until he leaves it."

Abu-'Ubaid from 'Abd-ar-Râhibân ibn-'Ubaid's father:—The latter said, "In the time of al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu'bah, we used to go early into the market; and when one sat in a place, he had claim on it until the nightfall. But when

Ziyâd came, he ordered that he who sat in a place, could claim it so long as he occupied it."

According to Marwân, al-Mughîrah held the governorship of al-Kûfah twice: once for 'Umar and another time for Mu'âwiyah.

CHAPTER XII

ARABIC MADE THE LANGUAGE OF THE REGISTER

Al-Madâ'ini 'Ali ibn-Muhammad ibn-abi-Saif from his *sheikhs*—Persian was the language of the register of the *kharâj* of as-Sawâd and the rest of al-'Irâk. When al-Hajjâj became ruler of al-'Irâk he chose Zâdân Farrûkh ibn-Yabra for secretary, and the latter was assisted by Sâlih ibn-'Abd-ar-Rahmân, a freedman of the banu-Tamîm, who knew both Arabic and Persian. Sâlih's father was one of the captives of Sijistân. Through Zâdân Farrûkh, Sâlih was acquainted with al-Hajjâj, who found him acceptable. One day Sâlih said to Zâdân, "Thou art the means by which I became acquainted with the governor; and I see that he has found me acceptable. I, therefore, do not wonder if he should promote me over thee, in which case thou wouldest fall." "Never believe that," answered Zâdân; "he has more use for me than I for him, because none but myself can be found to keep his books." "By Allah," retorted Sâlih, "if thou so desire, I could change the accounts into Arabic." "Try a part of it," said Zâdân, "and I will see." Sâlih having done that, Zâdân asked him to feign illness, which he did. Al-Hajjâj sent his own physician, but found nothing wrong with Sâlih. Hearing that, Zâdân ordered him to appear.

In the days of 'Abd-ar-Rahmân ibn-Muhammad ibn-al-Ash'ath al-Kindi, Zâdân Farrûkh was killed on his way from some house to his own home, or some other man's home. Thereupon, al-Hajjâj made Sâlih secretary in the

place of Zâdân. Sâlih reported to al-Hajjâj the conversation that took place between him and Zâdân relative to the change of the language of the register. Al-Hajjâj immediately made up his mind to adopt Arabic as the language of the register and charged Sâlih with the task. Mardânhâh ibn-Zâdân Farrûkh asked Sâlih, "What wouldst thou do with *dahwiyah* and *shashwiyah*?" To this, Sâlih replied, "I shall use instead 'ushr [tenth] and *nusf'ushr*¹ [half-tenth]."—"And what about *wîd*?"—"I shall use *aidan*" (*wîd* means excess).² Hearing that, Mardânhâh said, "May God efface thy trace from the world as thou hast effaced the trace of the Persian!" Sâlih was later offered 100,000 *dirhams* in order to show that it was impossible to change the language of the register and to refrain from doing it; but he refused and carried out the plan. 'Abd-al-Hamîd ibn-Yâhiya, the secretary of Marwân ibn-Muhammad used to say, "Great is Sâlih, and great is the favor he has bestowed upon the secretaries!"

"Umar ibn-Shabbâh from Sahl ibn-abi-aş-Salt:—Al-Hajjâj assigned for Sâlih ibn-'Abd-ar-Râhîmân a certain period in which to change the language of the register.³

¹ These are the Arabic equivalent of the Persian terms *dahwiyah* and *shashwiyah*; *shash* means six.

² *wîd* or *waid* is used to-day in Persian in the sense of "little". Ar. *aidan* corresponds to *ditto*.

³ Cf. Mâwardi, p. 350.

PART X

MEDIA [AL-JIBĀL]



CHAPTER I

ḤULWÂN

Hulwân capitulates. After the decisive battle of Jalûlâ' had been won by the Moslems, Hâshim ibn-‘Utbah ibn-abî Wakkâs added to the forces of Jarîr ibn-‘Abdallâh al-Bajali a heavy detachment of cavalry and stationed him at Jalûlâ' between the Moslems and their enemy [the Persians].

Later on, Sa‘d sent Jarîr about 3,000 Moslems and ordered him to advance with them and his forces to Ḥulwân.¹ No sooner had Jarîr approached Ḥulwân than Yazdajird fled away in the direction of Iṣbahân. Ḥulwân capitulated; and Jarîr promised to spare the people and guarantee their lives and possessions without interfering with those who preferred to flee the land.

Karmâsin. Leaving in Ḥulwân a part of his forces [?] with ‘Azrah ibn-Kâis ibn-Ghâziyah-l-Bajali, Jarîr pushed towards ad-Dînawar, which he failed to reduce. He, however, reduced Karmâsin² on the same terms as Ḥulwân. He then returned to Ḥulwân and held its governorship until the arrival of ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir in al-Kûfah. ‘Ammâr wrote to Jarîr that ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb wanted him to reinforce abu-Mûsa-l-Ash‘ari [in Tustar]. Accordingly, Jarîr left ‘Azrah ibn-Kâis over Ḥulwân, and in the year 19 made 302 his way to join abu-Mûsa.

Muhammad ibn-Sa‘d from ‘Â’ishah, daughter of Sa‘d ibn-

¹ Rustah, pp. 164-165.

² Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 68.

abi-Wakķāṣ:—The latter said, “When Mu‘āwiyah put Ḥujr ibn-‘Adi-l-Kindi¹ to death, my father made the following remark, ‘If Mu‘āwiyah had seen the part Ḥujr had taken² in the reduction of Ḥulwān, he would have realized of what great value he was to Islām’.”

According to al-Wâkīdi, certain sons of Jarīr ibn-Abdal-lâh settled in Ḥulwān, where their descendants are still living.

¹ *Aghāni*, vol. xvi, pp. 3-4; *Athīr*, vol. iii, pp. 392 *seq.*; *Mas‘ūdi*, vol. v, pp. 15 *seq.*

² Text not clear. Cf. *Ḩajar*, vol. i, p. 645.

CHAPTER II

THE CONQUEST OF NIHÂWAND

An-Nu'mân in chief command. In the year 19, when Yazdajird fled away from Hulwân, the Persians and the people of ar-Rai, Kûmis,¹ Işbahân, Hamadhân and al-Mâhain communicated with one another and, in the year 20, joined Yazdajird. The latter put at their head Mardânhâh dhu-l-Hâjib and they unfurled their flag ad-Dirafshikâbiyân. These “polytheists” numbered 60,000, and according to other estimates, 100,000. When ‘Ammâr ibn-Yâsir communicated this news to ‘Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb, the latter was on the point of leading an expedition in person against them, but desisted lest the Arabs should then prevail over Najd and other places. The advice to let the Syrians lead the attack from Syria and the Yamanites from al-Yaman was also discarded, lest the Greeks should return to their home, and the Abyssinians should subjugate what was next to them. Consequently, he wrote to the people of al-Kûfah ordering that two-thirds of them should set out and one-third should stay for the defense of their homes and country. From the people of al-Başrah, he also sent a group of men. He then said, “I shall use over the army someone who shall be the first to expose himself to the spears.”² Accordingly, ‘Umar wrote to an-Nu'mân ibn-‘Amr ibn-Mûkarrin al-Muzani, who was at that time with as-Sâ'ib ibn-al-

¹ In Tabaristân. Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 203; Meynard, pp. 464-465.

² The original is obscure. Cf. Dinawari, p. 142; Caetani, vol. iv, p. 315, n. 1.

Akra' ath-Thakafi, assigning him to the leadership of the army, saying, "In case thou art killed, Hudhaifah ibn-al-Yamân shall be the leader; if he should be killed, then Jarir ibn-'Abdallâh al-Bajali; if he should be killed, then al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bâh; and if he should be killed, al-Ash'ath ibn-Kâis." This an-Nu'mân was at that time the 'âmil over Kaskar and its territory. Others say he was in al-Madinah, and when he received his appointment over this army from 'Umar by word of mouth, he started from it.

Shaibân from Ma'kil ibn-Yasâr:—When 'Umar ibn-al-Khattâb sought the advice of al-Hurmuzân, saying, "Shall we begin with Işbahân or Adharbaijân [Atrapatakan]?" al-Hurmuzân replied, "Işbahân is the head, and Adharbaijân the wings. Cut off the head, and the wings will fall off together with it."¹

Al-Mughirah as envoy. When 'Umar entered the mosque [in al-Madinah], his eyes fell on an-Nu'mân ibn-Mukarrin; so he took a seat by his side. When he was through with his prayer, 'Umar said, "I want thee to be my 'âmil [lieutenant]". An-Nu'mân replied, "If a collecting-'âmil, no; but if an invading-'âmil, yes." "An invading one," said 'Umar. Thus 'Umar sent an-Nu'mân and wrote to the people of al-Kûfah to reinforce him, which they did, sending among others al-Mughirah ibn-Shu'bâh. An-Nu'mân sent al-Mughirah to dhu-l-Hâjibain,² the Persian chief at Nihâwand.³ Al-Mughirah [reaching the Persian camp] drew his sword and began cutting the rugs to pieces until he presented himself before the chief, upon which he took his seat on the throne. By dhu-l-Hâjib's

¹ Cf. Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2600-2601; Mas'ûdi, vol. iv, p. 230.

² He is also called dhu-l-Hâjib Mardânshâh.

³ or Nahâwand. Müller, vol. i, p. 245; Meynard, pp. 573-576; Yâkût, vol. iv, pp. 406, 827.

order, al-Mughirah was dragged out. So he exclaimed: “[Remember that] I am an envoy!”

The battle fought. When the Moslems met the “polytheists”, they found them fastened in chains, in tens and fives, so that they might not flee. Before the fight started they shot their arrows and wounded some of the Moslems. Then an-Nu‘mân said, “I noticed that when the Prophet did not carry on the fight in the morning, he would wait until the sun set and the wind blew; then the victory would be assured.”¹ An-Nu‘mân added, “I shall now shake the standard I carry three times. After the first shake, let each perform the ablutions and satisfy his natural wants. After the second shake, let each turn to his sword (he may have said sandal-thong) and get ready, putting everything in order. When the third shake is, by Allah’s will, made, then rush and let none of you heed the other.” Saying this, an-Nu‘mân shook the standard and they did as he had ordered them. His coat of mail was too heavy for him; but he fought and his men fought; and he was the first Moslem to be killed.

The Persian [dhu-l-Hâjib] fell from his mule and his belly was cut open.

Ma‘kil ibn-Yâsâr [the narrator of this tradition] adds, “Coming to an-Nu‘mân and finding that life had not yet fully departed from him, I washed his face with some water I carried in a vessel; upon which he asked, ‘Who art thou?’—‘Ma‘kil.’—‘How did the Moslems fare?’—‘I have glad tidings; Allah has given us conquest and victory!’—‘Praise be to Allah! Write and tell ‘Umar about it.’”

The news carried to ‘Umar. Shaibân from abu-‘Uthmân an-Nahdi:—The latter said, “I myself carried the glad news to ‘Umar who asked, ‘And what about an-Nu‘-

¹ Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2603.

mân?' 'He was killed,' said I. 'We are Allah's,' remarked 'Umar, 'and to Allah we return.' Saying this, he began to cry. I then said, 'By Allah, he was killed with others whom I know not.' 'But whom Allah knows,' said he."

Ahmad ibn-Ibrâhîm from abu-'Uthmân an-Nahdi:—The latter said, "When 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb received the news of the death of an-Nu'mân ibn-Muķarrin, he covered his face with his hands and began to cry."

The version of as-Sâ'ib. Al-Ķâsim ibn-Sallâm from as-Sâ'ib ibn-al-Akra':—The latter said, "An army, the similar to which was never seen before, marched against the Moslems." He then cited the tradition regarding 'Umar's intention to lead the expedition in person, his appointment of an-Nu'mân ibn-Muķarrin, the forwarding with as-Sâ'ib (whom 'Umar put in charge of the booty) of the appointment in which these words occur: "Bring no false case to my attention, and never hold justice from anyone."¹ The tradition then gives an account of the battle and mentions that an-Nu'mân was the first to be killed in the battle of Nihâwand, upon which Hudhaifah carried the standard and Allah gave them [the Arabs] victory. As-Sâ'ib adds, "I gathered the booty and divided it. After that there came to me a spy,² saying, 'The treasure of an-Nakhirkhân³ is in the castle.' When I climbed up there, I found two chests containing pearls, the similar to which I never saw before. I then made my way to 'Umar who, having not yet received the news, was roaming in the streets [of al-Madinah] and making inquiries. Seeing me, 'Umar exclaimed, 'Oh! what news?' I gave him an account of the battle and the death

¹ Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2597.

² Cf. Dinawari, p. 145.

³ *Ibid.*, p. 145: "Nukhârijân".

of an-Nu'mân and mentioned the case of the two chests. 'Umar said 'Go and sell the chests and divide the price among the Moslems.' Accordingly, I took them to al-Kû-fah, where I met a young man of the Kuraish, 'Amr ibn-Hûraith, by name, who paid their price from the stipends of [his own] family and the warriors of its members. One chest he took to al-Hîrah, where he sold it for the same price he had paid me for both; and the other he kept. This was the first part of the fortune 'Amr amassed.'

Other versions. A certain biographer reports that the battle of Nîhâwand was fought on Wednesday and Thursday and, after a short cessation, fighting was continued on Friday. He reports in describing the battle a similar tradition to that reported by Hammâd ibn-Salamah.

Ibn-al-Kalbi from abu-Mikhnaf:—An-Nu'mân camped at al-Isbîdhahâr¹ with al-Ash'ath ibn-Kâis commanding his right wing and al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu'bâh, the left wing. In the fight that ensued, an-Nu'mân was killed. At last the Moslems won the victory; and that conquest was termed "the victory of victories." The conquest of Nîhâwand took place on Wednesday, year 19, and according to others, 20.

Ar-Rifâ'i from al-Hasan and Muhammad:—The battle of Nîhâwand took place in the year 21. A similar tradition was communicated to me by ar-Rifâ'i on the authority of Muhammad ibn-Kâ'b.²

Dinâr makes terms for the city. Others report that after the defeat of the Persian army and the victory of the Moslems, Hudhaifah, who was at that time the leader, laid siege to Nîhâwand, whose inhabitants made sorties but were de-

¹ Hamadhâni, pp. 211, 259, and Dinawari, p. 143: "al-Isfidhahâr"; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 239: "Isbîdhahâr".

² Cf. Weil, vol. i, pp. 88-94; Muir, *Annals*, pp. 255-258; Muir, *Caliphate*, pp. 178-180; Wellhausen, *Skizzen*, vol. vi, p. 97.

feated. One day Simâk ibn-‘Ubâid al-‘Absi chased a Persian who was accompanied by 8 horsemen. Simâk killed all eight, as each of them in turn turned against him. Seeing that he was left alone, the man chased yielded and laid down his arms. Simâk took him as prisoner; but as he spoke Persian, Simâk called someone who understood him and translated what he said, which was, “I shall go to your leader that I may make terms with him on this land, pay him poll-tax, and give thee for taking me as prisoner whatever thou requestest. To thee I owe a great deal because thou didst spare my life.” Simâk asked, “What is thy name?” and he replied, “Dînâr”. Simâk led him to Hûdhaifah who made terms with him, stipulating that the *kharâj* and poll-tax be paid, and that the safety of the possessions, walls and dwellings of the inhabitants of his city, Nihâwand, be guaranteed. Nihâwand was thereafter called Mâh Dînâr. Dînâr often came after that to Simâk, offering him presents and showing his loyalty.

Mâh al-Bâṣrah and Mâh al-Kûfah. Abu-Mas‘ûd al-Kûfi from al-Mubârak ibn-Sâ‘d’s father:—Nihâwand was one of the places conquered by the people of al-Kûfah, whereas ad-Dinawar was one of those conquered by the people of al-Bâṣrah. The increase of the Moslems at al-Kûfah made it necessary that the lands the *kharâj* of which was divided among them be increased. Consequently, [the district of] ad-Dinawar was given them, in exchange for which the people of al-Bâṣrah were given [the district of] Nihâwand which formed a part of [the province of] Iṣbahân. The excess of the *kharâj* of ad-Dinawar over that of Nihâwand was therefore a gain for the people of al-Kûfah.¹ Nihâwand was thereafter called Mâh al-Bâṣrah; and ad-Dinawar, Mâh al-Kûfah. All this took place during the caliphate of Mu‘âwiyah.

¹ Cf. Caetani, vol. iv, p. 502.

The meaning of “al-Yamân”. I have been informed by certain men of learning that Ḥudhaifah ibn-al-Yamân was the son of Ḫusail [Ḥisl] ibn-Jâbir al-‘Absi. He was an ally of the banu-‘Abd-al-Ashhal of *al-Anṣâr*; and his mother was ar-Rabâb, daughter of Ka‘b ibn-‘Adi of the ‘Abd-al-Ashhal tribe. His father was killed in the battle of Uhud by ‘Abdallâh ibn-Mas‘ûd al-Hudhalî, who killed him by mistake, taking him for an “unbeliever”. In accordance with the Prophet’s order, the blood money was paid; but Ḥudhaifah distributed it among the Moslems. According to al-Wâkîdi, Ḫusail was nicknamed al-Yamân because he had commercial interests in al-Yaman; and whenever he arrived in al-Madinah, people would say, “Here comes al-Yamâni [of which al-Yamân is a shortened form].” According to al-Kalbi, however, Ḥudhaifah was the son of Ḫusail ibn-Jâbir ibn-Rabî‘ah ibn-‘Amr ibn-Jurwah, Jurwah being the one nicknamed al-Yamâni after whom Ḥudhaifah was so called, although between the two many generations intervened. Jurwah, in pre-Islamic times, killed someone ³⁰⁷ and fled to al-Madinah, where he became an ally of the banu-‘Abd-al-Ashhal. His people called him al-Yamâni because he made an alliance with the Yamanites.

CHAPTER III

AD-DINAWAR, MÂSABADHÂN AND MIHRIJÂNKADHAF

Ad-Dinawar makes terms. Abu-Mûsa-l-Ash'ari left Ni-hâwand, to which he had come with the army of al-Başrah for the reinforcement of an-Nu'mân ibn-Mukarrin. On his way, he passed by ad-Dinawar where he camped for five days, in which he was offered resistance for only one day. The people of ad-Dinawar then agreed to pay tax and *kharâj*, and sought safety for their lives, possessions and children. Abu-Mûsa granted their request and left over the city his 'âmil, together with some horsemen, and proceeded to Mâsabadhân, whose people offered no resistance.¹

As-Sîrawân makes terms. The people of as-Sîrawân made terms similar to those of ad-Dinawar, agreeing to pay poll-tax and *kharâj*; and abu-Mûsa sent detachments and conquered all the lands of ad-Dinawar. Others assert that abu-Mûsa conquered Mâsabadhân before the battle of Nihâwand.

As-Şaimarah capitulates. Abu-Mûsa 'Abdallâh ibn-Kâis al-Ash'ari sent as-Sâ'ib ibn-al-Akra' ath-Thâkafi—who was his son-in-law through his daughter umm-Muhammad ibn-as-Sâ'ib—to as-Şaimarah the chief city of Mihrijân-kadhab. The city capitulated; and it was agreed that the lives of the inhabitants be spared, that no captives be taken, and that no pieces of gold or silver be carried away, pro-

¹ Cf. Athîr, vol. ii, p. 409; Tabari, vol. i, p. 2477; Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 393.

vided the inhabitants paid poll-tax and *kharâj* on the land. As-Sâ'ib reduced all the districts of Mihrijânkadhadhaf. The more reliable report is that abu-Mûsa dispatched as-Sâ'ib from al-Ahwâz; and the latter reduced Mihrijânkadhadhaf.

Sinn Sumairah. Muḥammad ibn-‘Ukbah ibn-Muṣrim¹ ad-Ḍabbi from certain *sheikhs* of al-Kûfah:—When the Moslems invaded al-Jibâl, they passed by the eastern summit called Sinn Sumairah, Sumairah being a woman of the Dabbah [a branch] of the banu-Mu‘âwiyah ibn-Ka‘b ibn-Tha‘labah ibn-Sa‘d ibn-Dabbah and one of the Emigrants. Sumairah had a tooth [*sinn* protruding beyond the 308 others];² hence the name of the peak Sinn Sumairah.

Kanâṭir an-Nu‘mân. Kanâṭir [arches] an-Nu‘mân, according to ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi, were named after an-Nu‘mân ibn-‘Amr ibn-Muķarrin al-Muzani, who camped by these Kanâṭir, which had been standing from ancient time.

Kathîr ibn-Shihâb. Al-‘Abbâs ibn-Hishâm al-Kalbi from ‘Awânah:—Kathîr ibn-Shihâb ibn-al-Ḥuṣain ibn-dhi-l-Ghuṣṣah-l-Ḥârithi belonged to the ‘Uthmân party and often spoke evil of ‘Ali ibn-abi-Ṭâlib, and dissuaded men from following al-Ḥuṣain. He died either before or at the beginning of the rebellion of al-Mukhtâr ibn-abi-‘Ubaid. Al-Mukhtâr ibn-abi-‘Ubaid referred to him when he said: “By the Lord of heavens, the severe in punishment, the revealer of the Book, I shall surely dig the grave of Kathîr ibn-Shihâb, the transgressor, the liar.” Mu‘âwiyah gave him for some time the governorship of ar-Rai and Dastaba, which he held on behalf of Mu‘âwiyah and his two ‘âmils, Ziyâd and al-Mughirah ibn-Shu‘bah. After that, he incurred Mu‘âwiyah’s anger and was flogged and imprisoned by him in

¹ Cf. Maḥâsin, vol. i, p. 700, and Tabari, vol. i, p. 2458: “‘Ukbah ibn-Mukram”.

² Tabari, vol. i, p. 2648.

Damascus. Shuraiḥ ibn-Ḥâni' al-Muradi interceded in favor of Kathîr; and he was released. Yazîd ibn-Mu'âwiyah, for selfish reasons, approved of following Kathîr and siding with him, and wrote to 'Ubaidallâh ibn-Ziyâd, asking him to appoint Kathîr over Mâsabâdhân, Mihrijânkadhf, Hulwân and al-Mâhain [the two Mâhs], which he did, giving Kathîr many villages of the crown-domains in al-Jabal¹ as fief. Here Kathîr built the castle which bears his name and which lies in ad-Dinawar. Zuhrah ibn-al-Ḥârith ibn-Manṣûr ibn-Kâis ibn-Kathîr ibn-Shihâb had secured many crown-villages at Mâsabâdhân.

Al-Khashârimah. I learned from a descendant of Khashram ibn-Mâlik ibn-Hubairah-l-Asadi that the Khashârimah came first to Mâsabâdhân towards the end of the Umayyad dynasty, their grandfather being an emigrant from al-Kûfah.

Kathîr made governor. Al-'Umari from al-Haitham ibn-'Adî:—Ziyâd was one day on a trip when the belt of his robe became loose. Kathîr ibn-Shihâb immediately drew a needle, that was stuck in his cap, and a thread and mended the belt. Seeing that, Ziyâd said, "Thou art a man of discretion; and such a one should never go without an office." Saying this, he appointed him governor over a part of al-Jabal.

¹or al-Jibâl = Persian 'Irâk or Media. Meynard, p. 151; Kazwîni, p. 228; Hamadhâni, p. 209; Rustah, p. 106.

CHAPTER IV

THE CONQUEST OF HAMADHÂN

309

Jarîr reduces Hamadhân. In the year 23 A. H., al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu'bah who, after the dismissal of 'Ammâr ibn-Yâsir, was the 'âmil of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb over al-Kûfah, dispatched Jarîr ibn-'Abdallâh al-Bajali to Hamadhân.¹ The inhabitants of Hamadhân offered resistance and repelled his attacks, in the course of which Jarîr received an arrow in his eye; and he remarked, "I give up my eye, seeking recompense from Allah who decorated with it my face and provided me by means of it with light, so long as he willed, and then deprived me of it as I was in his cause!" After that he reduced Hamadhân, which made terms similar to those of Nihâwand. This took place toward the close of the year 23. Its inhabitants, having later rebelled, drove Jarîr back; but he finally took their land by force.²

Other versions. According to al-Wâkidi, Jarîr reduced Nihâwand in the year 24, six months after the death of 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb.

It is reported by others that al-Mughîrah ibn-Shu'bah, with Jarîr leading the vanguard, marched against Hamadhân and, after reducing it, put it in charge of Kathîr ibn-Shîhâb al-Hârithi.

The terms with al-'Alâ'. 'Abbâs ibn-Hishâm from his grandfather and 'Awânah ibn-al-Hâkam:—When Sa'd ibn-

¹ Ecbatana. See Meynard, pp. 597-608.

² Cf. Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 981; Athîr, vol. iii, p. 16.

abi-Wakķâş ruled over al-Kûfah in behalf of 'Uthmân ibn-'Affân, he assigned over Mâh and Hamadhân al-'Alâ' ibn-Wahb ibn-'Abd ibn-Wahbân of the banu-'Âmir ibn-Lu'ai. The people of Hamadhân acted treacherously and violated the covenant, on account of which al-'Alâ' fought against them until they surrendered. The terms he made with them stipulated that, on the one hand, they should pay *kharâj* on their land and tax on their person and deliver to him 100,000 *dirhams* for the Moslems; and that, on the other hand, he should not interfere with their possessions, inviolable rights and children.

Mâdharân. According to ibn-al-Kalbi, the castle known by the name of Mâdharân was so called after as-Sari ibn-Nusair¹ ibn-Thaur al-'Ijli, who camped around it until he reduced it.

Sîsar. Ziyâd ibn-'Abd-ar-Râhmân al-Balkhi from certain *sheikhs* of Sîsar:—Sîsar was so called because it lay in a depression surrounded by thirty hills. Hence its other name “Thalâthûn Ra's” [thirty summits]. It was also called Sîsar Sadkhâniyah which means thirty summits and a hundred springs, because it has as many as one hundred springs.

Sîsar and the adjoining region were pasture-lands for the Kurds and others. It also had meadows for the beasts of burden and the cattle of caliph al-Mahdi, and was entrusted to a freedman of his called Sulaimân ibn-Kîrât—whose name Saâhrâ' Kîrât in Madinat as-Salâm bears—and to a partner of his, Sallâm at-Taifûri, Taifûr having been a freedman of abu-Jâ'far al-Manṣûr and having been given by him as present to al-Mahdi. When in the caliphate of al-Mahdi the destitute [*sa'âlik*] and villain became numerous and spread over al-Jabal, they chose this region for their refuge and

¹ Cf. *Marâsid*, vol. iii, p. 27.

stronghold, to which they resorted after acting as highwaymen, and from which they could not be called back, because it was a boundary line between Hamadhān, ad-Dinawar and Adharbaijān. Sulaimān and his colleague wrote to al-Mahdi, reporting the case of those who interfered with their beasts and cattle. Thereupon, al-Mahdi directed against them a great army and wrote to Sulaimān and Sal-lām, ordering them to build a city and occupy it with their associates and shepherds and use it as a refuge for their beasts and cattle against those who threatened them. Accordingly, they built the city of Sisar, fortified it, and made people settle in it. The district [*rustāk*] of Māyanharaj¹ in ad-Dinawar, and that of al-Jūdhamah in Adharbaijān which is a part of the province of Barzah, together with Rustūf² and Khābanjar were added to Sisar; and the whole was made into one district that was put under one 'āmil to whom its *kharāj* was paid.³

Later, in the caliphate of ar-Rashīd, this band of destitute multiplied and badly damaged Sisar. Ar-Rashīd ordered that it be repaired and fortified, stationing in it 1,000 of the men of Khākān al-Khādim as-Sughdi, whose descendants are still in it. Towards the end of his caliphate, ar-Rashīd appointed Murrah ibn-abi-Murrah ar-Rudaini-l-Tjli over Sisar. 'Uthmān al-Audi attempted to wrest it from his hands, but failed, succeeding⁴ only in wresting all or most of what Murrah already held at Adharbaijān. Until the time of the insurrection, Murrah ibn-ar-Rudaini did not cease in the days of Muḥammad ibn-ar-Rashīd to pay the fixed *kharāj* of Sisar which he had

311

¹ Khurdādhbih, p. 120. Hamadhāni, p. 240: "Māyanmaraj".

² ? perhaps *rustāk*; cf. Yākūt, vol. iii, p. 216.

³ Hamadhāni, pp. 239-240.

⁴ According to Hamadhāni, p. 240, he failed in that, too.

agreed to pay annually.¹ In the caliphate of al-Ma'mûn, Sîsar was taken from the hand of 'Âsim ibn-Murrah and once more added to the crown-domains [*diyâ' al-khilâfah*].

Al-Mafâzah. I was told by certain *sheikhs* from al-Mafâzah, which is situated near Sîsar, that when al-Jurashi² ruled over al-Jabal the inhabitants of al-Mafâzah evacuated their town. Al-Jurashi had a general, Hammâm ibn-Hâni' al-'Abdi, to whom most of the people of al-Mafâzah yielded their villages and held them as tenants in order to enjoy his protection. Hammâm appropriated the villages to himself and used to pay the treasury what was due on them until he died. His sons were too weak to hold them. After the death of Muhammad ibn-Zubaidah, when al-Ma'mûn was on his way from Khurâsân to Madinat as-Sâlâm, he was met by certain sons of Hammâm and a man from al-Mafâzah named Muhammad ibn-al-'Abbâs, who told him the story of the place and informed him of the desire of all the people to give up their lands to him and act as his tenants in it provided they be protected and strengthened against the destitute bands and others. Al-Ma'mûn accepted their offer and ordered that they be reinforced and strengthened in order to cultivate the lands and repair them. Thus these lands were added to the crown-domains.

Laila-l-Akhyaliyah. According to a tradition communicated to me by al-Madâ'ini, Laila-l-Akhyaliyah paid a visit to al-Hajjâj. He gave her a present, and she requested him to write and recommend her to his 'âmil at ar-Rai. On her way back, Laila died at Sâwah, where she was buried.

¹ Ar. *mukâfa'ah*; M. V. Berchem, *La Propriété Territoriale et l'Impôt Foncier sous les Premiers Califes*, p. 45.

² Cf. "al-Harashi" in Ya'kûbi, p. 253.

CHAPTER V

KUMM, KÂSHÂN AND İŞBAHÂN

312

Kumm and Kâshân reduced. Leaving Nihâwand, abu-Mûsa 'Abdallâh ibn-Kâis al-Ash'ari came to al-Ahwâz, and after passing through it, stopped at Kumm which he reduced after a few days' fight. He then directed al-Ah-naf ibn-Kâis, whose name was ad-Dâlhâk ibn-Kâis at-Tamimi to Kâshân, which he took by force. Abu-Mûsa then overtook him.¹

Jai and al-Yahûdiyah capitulate. In the year 23, 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb directed 'Abdallâh ibn-Budail ibn-Warkâ' al-Khuzâ'i to İsbahân. Others assert that 'Umar wrote to abu-Mûsa-l-Ash'ari ordering him to direct 'Abdallâh at the head of an army to İsbahân, which abu-Mûsa did. 'Abdallâh ibn-Budail conquered Jai,² which capitulated after a fight, agreeing to pay *kharâj* and poll-tax, provided the population be guaranteed the safety of their lives and all possessions with the exception of the arms in their hands.

'Abdallâh ibn-Budail then directed al-Ah-naf ibn-Kâis, who was in his army, to al-Yahûdiyah,³ whose inhabitants made terms similar to those of Jai.

Thus ibn-Budail effected the conquest of the territory of İsbahân with its districts over which he acted as 'âmil to

¹ Cf. Yâkût, vol. iv, pp. 15, 175.

² A part of İsbahân. İştakhri, p. 198, note n; Haukal, p. 261; Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 181; Meynard, pp. 188-189.

³ Another suburb of İsbahân. Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 1045.

the end of the first year of 'Uthmân's caliphate, at which time 'Uthmân appointed as-Sâ'ib ibn-al-Âkra'.

Bashîr's version. Muhammâd ibn-Sâ'îd, a freedman of the banu-Hâshîm, from Bashîr ibn-abi-Umaiyyah:—Al-Ash'ârî camped at Işbahân and proposed to the people the idea of Islâm, which they refused. He then proposed that they pay tax, upon which they made terms agreeing to pay it. The very next morning they rebelled; and he fought against them and, by Allah's help, defeated them. Muhammâd ibn-Sâ'îd, however, adds, "In my view this refers to the inhabitants of Kumm."

The satrap of Işbahân. Muhammâd ibn-Sâ'îd from Muhammâd ibn-Ishâk:—'Umar sent ibn-Budail al-Khuza'î to Işbahân, whose satrap [*marzubân*] was an aged man called al-Fâdûsafân.¹ Ibn-Budail besieged the city and wrote to the people inciting them to forsake him. Seeing the luke-warmness of his men, al-Fâdûsafân chose thirty archers, in whose courage and obedience he confided, and fled away from the city towards Karmân with a view to joining Yazdajird. As soon as 'Abdallâh knew of it, he sent after him a heavy detachment of cavalry. As the Persian reached a high place, he looked behind and said to 'Abdallâh, "Take heed for thyself, no arrow of ours misses its mark. If thou charge, we shoot; and if thou fight a duel, we will fight!" A duel followed in the course of which the Persian gave 'Abdallâh² a blow [with the sword] which, falling on the pommel of his saddle, broke it and cut the breast-girth [of the horse]. The Persian then said to 'Abdallâh, "I hate to kill thee because I see thou art wise and brave. Wouldst thou let me go back with thee that I may arrange terms with thee and pay tax for my towns-

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2639: "al-Fâdhûsafân".

² Caetani, vol. v, p. 10, takes 'Abdallâh to be the one who delivered the blow. Cf. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2639.

men, of whom those who stay will be considered *dhimmis*, and those who flee will not be interfered with? The city I will turn over to thee." Ibn-Budail returned with him and took Jai; and the Persian fulfilled his promise, saying, "I saw that ye, people of İshbahân, are mean and disunited. Ye, therefore, deserve what I did with you."

The territory of İshbahân pays kharâj. Ibn-Budail then passed through the plains and mountains of the territory of İshbahân, all of which he conquered, treating them as regards *kharâj* as he had treated the people of al-Ahwâz.

Some say that the conquest of İshbahân and its territory was effected partly in the year 23 and partly in the year 24.

Other versions. It is reported by others that 'Umar ibn-al-Khaṭṭâb sent at the head of an army 'Abdallâh ibn-Budail, who met abu-Mûsa, after the latter had conquered Kumm and Kâshân. They both now led the attack against İshbahân with al-Ahnaf ibn-Kais commanding the van of abu-Mûsa's army. Thus they subjugated all al-Yahûdiyah as described above. Ibn-Budail, after that, reduced Jai; and they both marched through the territory of İshbahân and reduced it. The most reliable account, however, is that Kumm and Kâshân were conquered by abu-Mûsa; whereas Jai and al-Yahûdiyah, by 'Abdallâh ibn-Budail.

Abu-Hassân az-Ziyâdi from a Thâkîf man:—In İshbahân stands the sanctuary¹ of 'Uthmân ibn-abi-l-'Âsi ath-Thâkafi.

Persian nobility embrace Islâm. Muhammâd ibn-Yahya at-Tamîmi from his *sheikhs*:— To the nobility of İshbahân belonged various strongholds in Jafrabâd in the district of ath-Thaimarah²-1-Kubra, in Bihjâwarsân³ and in the fort

314

¹ Ar. *mashhad*—a place where a martyr died or is buried.

² Ya'kûbi, p. 275: "at-Taimara"; cf. Rustah, p. 154, b; Yâkût, vol. i, p. 908.

³ or Kahjâwarsân, Pers. Gah Gâwarsan. Yâkût, vol. ii, p. 11.

of Mârabin.¹ When Jai was reduced, these nobles offered homage, agreeing to pay the *kharâj*; and because they disdained to pay poll-tax, they became Moslems.

Al-'Anbari in Işbahân. It is stated by al-Kalbi and abu-l-Yâkzân that after al-Hudhail ibn-Kâis al-'Anbari was appointed governor of Işbahân in the time of Marwân, the 'Anbari clan moved there.

Idrîs ibn-Mâ'kil imprisoned. The grandfather of abu-Dulaf (abu-Dulaf being al-Kâsim ibn-'Isâ ibn-Idrîs ibn-Mâ'kil al-'Ijli), whose occupation consisted in preparing perfumes and trading in sheep, came to al-Jabal with a number of his relatives and occupied a village at Hamadhân called Mass. They became wealthy and came to own many [crown] villages. One day Idrîs ibn-Mâ'kil attacked a merchant who owed him money and choked him. Others say he choked him and took his money. Therefore, he was carried away to al-Kûfah, where he was imprisoned. This took place when Yûsuf ibn-'Umar ath-Thâkafi ruled over al-'Irâk in the days of Hishâm ibn-Abd-al-Malik.

Al-Karaj rebuilt. After that, 'Isâ ibn-Idrîs came to al-Karaj,² which he reduced and whose fort, which was dilapidated, he rebuilt. Abu-Dulaf al-Kâsim ibn-'Isâ strengthened his position and rose into eminence in the eyes of the *sultân*. He enlarged that fort and built the city of al-Karaj which was for that reason called after him Karaj abi-Dulaf. Al-Karaj to-day forms a district by itself.

Kumm's rebellion suppressed. The inhabitants of Kumm threw off their allegiance and withheld the *kharâj*. Al-Ma'mûn directed against them 'Ali ibn-Hishâm al-Marwazi, recruiting him with troops³ and ordering him to wage war

¹ Cf. Muqaddasi, p. 402: "Sârimin"; Ya'kûbi, p. 275: "Mirabin"; Yâkût, vol. iv, p. 382: "Mârâbânân"; Hamadhâni, p. 263.

² Meynard, pp. 478-479.

³ Tabari, vol. iii, p. 1093.

against them. 'Ali did so, killed their chief, Yahya ibn-‘Imrān, razed the city wall to the ground and collected over 7,000,000 *dirhams* as tax, although previous to this they used to complain that 2,000,000 were too much for them to pay.

In the caliphate of abu-‘Abdallāh al-Mu‘tazz-Billāh ibn-al-Mutawakkil-‘Alallāh, they once more threw off their allegiance, upon which al-Mu‘tazz directed against them Mūsa ibn-Bugha, his ‘āmil over al-Jabal, who was conducting the war against the Tālibites who appeared in Tābāristān. Kumm was reduced by force and a large number of its inhabitants was slaughtered. Al-Mu‘tazz wrote that a group of its leading men should be deported.

CHAPTER VI

THE DEATH OF YAZDAJIRD IBN-SHAHRIYÂR IBN-KISRA 315 ABARWÎZ IBN-HURMUZ IBN-ANÛSHIRWÂN

The flight of Yazdajird. Yazdajird fled from al-Maddâ'in to Hulwân and thence to Işbahân. When the Moslems were done with Nihâwand, he fled from Işbahân to Işta'khr, where he was pursued, after the conquest of Işbahân, by 'Abdallâh ibn-Budail ibn-Warkâ', but to no avail. Abu-Mûsa-l-Ash'ari came to Işta'khr and attempted its conquest, but did not succeed, and likewise did 'Uthmân ibn-abi-l-'Âsi ath-Thâkafi try it and fail.

In the year 29 when all Persia with the exception of Işta'khr and Jûr¹ was already reduced, 'Abdallâh ibn-'Âmir ibn-Kuraiz proceeded to al-Bârah. Yazdajird was on the point of leaving for Tâbaristân, whose satrap had invited him, when Yazdajird was still in Işbahân, to come to Tâbaristân which he told him was well fortified. It then occurred to Yazdajird to flee to Karmân, to which ibn-'Âmir sent after him Mujâshi' ibn-Mas'ûd as-Sulami and Harim ibn-Haiyân al-'Abdi. Mujâshi' came and stopped at Biyamand in Karmân, where his army was caught by a snow storm and nearly annihilated, few only surviving. The castle in which he resided was called after him Kaşr Mujâshi'. Mujâshi' then took his way back to ibn-'Âmir.

As Yazdajird was one day sitting in Karmân, its *marzubân* came in; but Yazdajird felt too haughty to speak to

¹ Tabari, vol. i, p. 2863: "which is Ardashîr Khurrah". Cf. Meynard, p. 23.

him, and the *marzubân* ordered that he be driven out, saying, “Not only art thou unworthy of a kingdom but even of a governorship of a village; and if Allah had seen any good in thee, he would not have put thee in such condition!”

Yazdajird left for Sijistân¹ whose king showed regard for him and exalted him. After a few days Yazdajird asked about the *kharâj* which made the king change his attitude towards him.

Seeing that, Yazdajird left for Khurâsân. When he reached the boundary line of Maru he was met by its satrap [*marzubân*] Mâhawaih² with great honor and pomp. Here he was also met by Nizak Tarkhân who offered him something to ride upon, gave him presents and entertained him bountifully. Nizak spent one month with Yazdajird, after which he left him. He then wrote Yazdajird asking for the hand of his daughter. This aroused the anger of Yazdajird, who said, “Write and tell him ‘Thou art nothing but one of my slaves; how darest thou then ask for my daughter’s hand?’” Yazdajird also ordered that Mâhawaih, the satrap of Maru, give an account and be asked about the 316 money he had collected. Mâhawaih wrote to Nizak, instigating him against Yazdajird and saying, “This is the one who came here as a runaway fugitive. Thou hast helped him in order to have his kingdom restored to him; but see what he wrote to thee!” They both then agreed to put him to death.

Yazdajird slain. Nizak led the Turks to al-Junâbidh³ where he met the enemy. At first the Turks retreated, but then the tide turned against Yazdajird, his followers

¹ Cf. Michel le Syrien, *Chronique*, vol. ii, p. 424 (ed. Chabot).

² Thââlibi, p. 743: “Mâhawaih”.

³ Yunâbidh, Yunâwid or Kunâbidh. İştakhri, p. 273; Haukal, p. 324; Muķaddasi, p. 321.

were killed, his camp was plundered, and he fled to the city of Maru. The city refused to open its gates; so he had to dismount at a miller's house standing on the bank of al-Mirghâb.¹ Some say that having heard of that, Mâhawaih sent his messenger who killed him in the miller's house. Others assert that Mâhawaih incited the miller and, by his orders, the miller killed Yazdajird, after which Mâhawaih said, "No slayer of a king should be kept alive", and he ordered that the miller himself be put to death. Still others claim that the miller offered Yazdajird food, which he ate, and drink, which intoxicated him. In the evening, Yazdajird took out his crown and put it on his head. Seeing that, the miller coveted the possession of the crown, and raising a mill-stone dropped it on Yazdajird. After killing him, he took his crown and clothes and threw the body into the water. When the news came to Mâhawaih, he put the miller and his family to death and took the crown and clothes. According to another story, Yazdajird was warned against the messengers of Mâhawaih and fled away, jumping into the water. When the miller was asked about him, he said, "The man has left my house." They found Yazdajird in the water, and he said, "If ye spare me I will give you my belt, ring and crown." He asked them for some money with which he could buy bread, and one of them gave him 4 *dirhams*. Seeing that, Yazdajird laughingly said, "I was told that I will some day feel the need of 4 *dirhams*!" Later he was attacked by certain men sent after him by Mâhawaih, and he said, "Kill me not; rather carry me to the king of the Arabs, and I will make terms with him on your and my behalf, and thus ye will be safe." They refused and choked him by means of a bow-string.

¹ or Marghâb or Murghâb, also called Nahr Maru. Tabari, vol. i, p. 2872; Haukal, p. 315.

His clothes they carried away in a pouch; and his body they threw away into the water.¹

Fairûz taken away by the Turks. It is claimed that Fairûz ibn-Yazdajird fell into the hands of the Turks, who gave him one of their women in marriage; and he settled among them.

¹ Cf. Tha'âlibi, pp. 746-747; Tabari, vol. i, pp. 2879-2881.

INDEX

Abân b. Sa'îd, 124, 162, 174
 Abân b. 'Uthmân, 82
 Abân b. al-Walîd, 294
 Abân b. Ya'âya, 184
 Abâ'nain, 147
 Abarwîz, 160, 277, 390, 441, 453, 455
 'Abbâs b. al-Walîd, 263, 294
 abu-l-'Abbâs as-Saffâhî, 104, 233, 256, 282, 300, 328, 361, 368, 445, 446
 al-'Abbâs b. 'Abd-al-Mu'tâlib, 20, 49, 63, 69, 86, 124, 409
 al-'Abbâs b. Jaz', 226
 al-'Abbâs b. Muhammâd, 288
 al-'Abbâs b. Zufâr, 225
 al-'Abbâsiyah, 371
 al-'Abbâsiyah (built by Hizâr-mard), 369
 'Abd-al-'Azîz b. Hâiyân, 258
 'Abd-al-'Azîz b. Hâtim, 321
 'Abd-al-'Azîz b. Marwân, 360, 362
 'Abd-al-Hamîd b. Ya'âya, 368, 466
 'Abd b. al-Julânda, 116, 118
 'Abd-al-Kâis, 120, 130
 'Abd-al-Malîk b. Marwân, 54, 59, 75, 82, 83, 135, 180, 192, 195, 202, 219, 220, 221, 226, 238, 247, 248, 249, 250, 255, 282, 283, 294, 301, 321, 322, 341, 360, 383-385, 449, 450
 'Abd-al-Malîk b. Mu'sîm, 324
 'Abd-al-Malîk b. Sâlih, 203, 238, 262, 289
 'Abd-al-Malîk b. Shâbîb, 21
 'Abd-al-Masîh b. 'Amr, 390
 'Abd-al-Masîh b. Bu'âilâh, 435
 'Abd-al-Mu'tâlib, 60, 77
 'Abd-ar-Râhîmân b. 'Auf, 34, 424
 'Abd-ar-Râhîmân b. abi-Bâkr, 135, 356
 'Abd-ar-Râhîmân b. Ghanm, 224
 'Abd-ar-Râhîmân b. Hâbib, 367, 368
 'Abd-ar-Râhîmân b. Ishâk, 446
 'Abd-ar-Râhîmân b. Muhammâd, 104, 455, 465
 'Abd-ar-Râhîmân b. Zâid, 356
 'Abd-âs-Şamad b. 'Ali, 25
 'Abd-al-Wâhhâb b. Ibrâhîm, 291-292, 293
 'Abd-al-Wâhid b. al-Hârith, 283
 banu-'Abd-ad-Dâr, 78, 81
 'Abdallâh b. 'Abbâs, 30
 'Abdallâh b. 'Abd-al-A'la, 396
 'Abdallâh b. 'Abd-al-Malîk, 255, 289
 'Abdallâh b. 'Abdallâh, 129, 139
 'Abdallâh b. 'Ali, 193, 233, 300, 455
 'Abdallâh b. 'Âmir, 490
 'Abdallâh b. 'Amr, 216, 217, 338, 352, 356, 358
 'Abdallâh b. Bishr, 237
 'Abdallâh b. Budâil, 485-487, 490
 'Abdallâh b. Darrâj, 450, 454
 'Abdallâh b. abi-Farwâh, 397
 'Abdallâh b. al-Habâb, 367
 'Abdallâh b. Hâdhaf, 127
 'Abdallâh b. al-Hârith b. Kâis, 139
 'Abdallâh b. al-Hârith b. Naufal, 82
 'Abdallâh b. Hâsan, 446
 'Abdallâh b. Hâtim, 321
 'Abdallâh b. Hudhâfah, 341, 347, 453
 'Abdallâh b. Kâis, 375

'Abdallâh b. Khâlid, 74
 'Abdallâh b. Mas'ûd, 133, 143, 427,
 431-432, 477
 'Abdallâh b. Mûsa, 366
 'Abdallâh b. ar-Rabi', 105
 'Abdallâh b. Rawâhah, 44, 47, 48
 'Abdallâh b. Sa'd, 337, 340, 350-
 351, 356-359, 379-380
 'Abdallâh b. Sibâ', 80
 'Abdallâh b. Sufyân, 83
 'Abdallâh b. Suhail, 129
 'Abdallâh b. Tâhir, 236, 283, 289
 'Abdallâh b. 'Umar, see b. 'Umar
 'Abdallâh b. Wahb, 140
 'Abdallâh b. Zaid b. 'Abdallâh, 120
 'Abdallâh b. Zaid b. 'Âsim, 135, 140
 'Abdallâh b. Zaid b. Tha'labah, 135
 'Abdallâh b. az-Zubair b. al-
 'Auwâm, 74, 75, 76, 82, 219, 220,
 247, 289, 322, 337, 356, 360
 'Abdallâh b. az-Zubair b. 'Abd-al-
 Mu'tâlib, 174
 umm-'Abdallâh, 311
 'Abîdin, 232
 al-Abnâ', 160-162
 Abyssinia (al-Habashah), 49, 118
 Acre ['Akka], 179, 180, 181, 220
 'Adan, 107
 Adhanah, 260
 Adharbâijân, 319, 321, 324, 328,
 472, 483
 Adhramah, 281
 Adhri'ât, 105, 193, 214-215
 Adhrûh, 92-94, 105
 'Adi b. 'Adi, 322
 'Adi b. Artât, 118
 'Adi b. Hâtim, 141, 432
 'Adi b. Zaid, 446
 Aflâh b. 'Abd-al-Wahhâb, 371
 al-Aghlab b. Sâlim, 369-370, 375
 Ahmad b. Muhammad, 375
 al-Âhnaf b. Kâis, 485, 487
 al-Ahwâz, 139, 449, 455, 479, 487
 al-Âhwâz, 283, 485
 Ailah, 92-94, 105, 166
 'Ain al-Jamal, 461, 462
 'Ain ar-Râhbah, 463
 'Ain ar-Rûmiyah, 281-282
 'Ain as-Şâid, 461, 462-463
 'Ain as-Sallaur, 228
 'Ain Shams, 341
 'Ain at-Tamr, 30, 96, 169, 218, 302,
 392, 394-400, 407
 'Ain al-Wardah, s. v. Ra's al-'Ain
 'Ain Zarbah, 264
 al-'Ain al-Hâmidah, 275
 'A'ishah, daughter of Hishâm, 282
 'A'ishah ("the Mother of the Be-
 lievers"), 21, 26, 40, 51, 70, 75,
 135, 144
 'A'ishah b. Numair, 30
 Aiyûb b. abi-Aiyûb, 184
 abu-Aiyûb Khâlid, 19, 237
 umm-Aiyûb, 447
 Ajamat Burs, 432
 Ajnâdîn (Ajnâdâin), 174-175, 183,
 208, 215, 216
 Ajyâd, 81
 'Akâbat Baghrâs, 258
 'Akâbat an-Nisâ', 258-259
 al-'Akîk, 20, 27, 28, 39
 'Akk, 31, 32
 b. al-'Akki, 370
 'Akûbah, 357
 al-'Alâ' b. 'Abdallâh, 120, 121, 122,
 123, 124, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131,
 137
 al-'Alâ' b. Ahmad, 331
 al-'Alâ' b. Wahb, 481-482
 Aleppo [Halab], 207, 224-226, 229
 Alexandretta [Iskandarûnah], 249,
 253
 Alexandria [al-Iskandariyah], 228,
 338, 340, 344, 346-351, 352
 'Ali b. Hishâm, 488-489
 'Ali b. al-Husain, 344
 'Ali b. Sulaimân, 280, 296, 297

'Ali b. abi-Tâlib, 29, 52, 54, 62, 67,
 94, 101, 103, 286, 321, 322, 358,
 409, 423, 428, 432, 463, 479
 'Ali b. Yahya, 263
 'Alkamah b. 'Ulâthah, 197
 Âlûsah, 279
 Alyûnah, 336, 338, 339, 347
 'Amawâs [Emmaus], 213, 215, 270
 Âmid, 275, 278, 288
 'Âmir b. Fuhairah, 26
 'Âmir b. abi-Wakkâs, 176, 208
 abu-'Âmir al-Âsh'ari, 85
 abu-'Âmir ar-Râhib, 16, 17
 banu-'Âmir b. Şa'sâ'ah, 147
 'Amk Mar'ash, 294, 295, 296
 'Amk Tîzin, 249, 250
 'Ammâr b. Yâsîr, 279, 425, 427, 431,
 440, 469, 471, 481
 'Ammûriyah, 225, 254, 258, 299
 'Amr b. 'Abdallâh, 118, 119
 'Amr b. al-'Âsi, 116, 117, 118, 140,
 147, 165-167, 178, 179, 186, 201,
 213, 215, 216, 217, 219, 335-345,
 346-351, 352-353, 355, 358, 379,
 380
 'Amr b. Hâzm, 107, 108
 'Amr b. Hûraith, 436, 476
 'Amr b. al-Jârûd, 133
 'Amr b. Ma'dikarib, 183, 185, 412,
 413, 415, 420, 439
 'Amr b. Mu'âwiyah, 321
 'Amr b. Muqâd, 81
 'Amr b. Sa'id al-Ashdak, 184
 'Amr b. Sa'id b. al-'Âsi, 58, 174,
 247
 'Amr b. Sâlim, 60, 61
 'Amr b. Umaiyyah, 34
 'Amr b. 'Utbah, 421
 'Amr b. az-Zubair, 29
 banu-'Amr b. 'Auf, 16
 banu-'Amr b. Lu'ai, 135
 banu-'Amr b. Mu'âwiyah, 153, 156-
 157
 abu-'Amrah, 396

Anas b. Mâlik, 48, 396
 Anas b. Sirîn, 396
 'Ânât, 279, 284
 al-Anbâr, 32, 279, 394-395, 432, 433,
 434, 445, 449, 488
 'Anbasah b. Sa'id, 184, 442
 al-Andalus [Andalusia], 355, 365-
 372
 b. al-Andarz'azz, 402
 'Ans, 159
 Anṭâbulus, 342, 352
 Anṭarṭûs, 205
 Antioch [Anṭâkiyah], 175, 176,
 180, 189, 202, 207, 209, 211, 213,
 226-231, 246, 248, 249, 250, 253,
 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 263,
 336
 Anûshirwân, 306-308, 324-325, 403,
 453, 454
 al-'Arabah, 168
 al-'Arabâya, 460
 Arabia, 13, 103
 'Arafah, 61, 62, 77
 'Arâjin, 231
 Arakah (Arak), 171
 'Arandal, 193
 Arâshah, of the Bali, 362, 397
 'Arbassûs, 241, 242
 Ardabil, 323, 328
 al-Arhâdiyah, 28
 'Arib b. 'Abd-Kulâl, 109
 al-'Arim, s. v. Sudd
 al-'Arish, 335
 Arjil al-Kubra, 329
 Arjil aş-Şughra, 329
 Arjish, 305, 313
 Armaniyyâkus, 305, 309, 310
 Armenia, 207, 231, 275, 300, 305-332
 Arrân, 305, 306, 311, 318, 319, 332
 al-'Arşah, 30
 Arṭahâl, 318
 Arwa, daughter of 'Abd-al-Muṭṭâ-
 lib, 174
 abu-Arwa ad-Dausi, 166

Arwâd, 376
 Arzan, 275
 Asad b. 'Abdallâh, 445
 abu-l-Asad, 455, 456
 banu-Asad b. Khuzaimah, 145, 148,
 278
 al-Asbadhi, 120
 Asbânbûr, 434
 Asbîna, 431, 432
 al-Ash'âth b. Ķais b. Ma'dikarib,
 153-158, 208, 211, 321, 412, 422,
 432, 472, 475
 b. al-Ash'âth, 104
 al-Ashbâb [Spaniards], 365
 Ashnâs, 460
 Ashûsh, 314
 Ashût b. Ҳamzah, 331
 Asia Minor [Bilâd ar-Rûm, By-
 zantine Empire], 195, 207, 209,
 232, 239, 253, 254, 260, 261, 263,
 284, 285, 289, 290, 294, 296, 298,
 299, 310, 384
 Asîd b. Zâfir, 325
 'Âsim b. Murrah, 484
 'Âsim b. 'Umar, 356
 'Askâlân, 219, 221-222
 al-Aswad al-'Ansi, 156, 159-162
 'Attâb b. Asîd, 66, 87
 al-Aus, 33
 Auṭâs, 85
 'Auwâm b. 'Abd-Shâms, 415
 al-Auzâ'i, 71, 114, 190, 214, 240,
 242, 243, 286
 al-'Awâṣîm, 202-203, 223-234, 253,
 301
 Azâdbih, 390
 al-Azd, 31, 32, 33, 116, 117, 390,
 405, 442
 Azdisât, 314
 'Azrah b. Ķais, 469

Bâb Bârikâh, 306-307
 Bâb al-Fil, 446-447
 Bâb al-Lâl, 318

Bâb al-Lân, 306, 307, 325, 328
 Bâb Samsâkhi, 307
 Bâb ash-Shâm, 282, 447
 al-Bâb wa-l-Abwâb, 306, 319, 324,
 325, 327, 328
 al-Bâb ash-Sharkî, 186, 187, 189,
 190
 Bâbil, 422, 432
 Bâdhâm, 161
 Bâdi', 414
 Badlîs, 275, 331
 Badr, 129
 Bâdûraiya, 408, 458
 Baghdâd (Baghdâdh, or Madinat
 as-Salâm), 262, 263, 280, 282,
 288, 395, 445, 446, 447, 457-464,
 482, 484
 Baghrâs, 228, 258
 Baghrâwand, 305
 al-Bâhrain, 120-131, 140
 Bahrâm Jûr, 446
 Bahurasîr, 417, 428, 434
 al-Bâilaqân, 306, 318, 321, 322, 323,
 328, 329
 Baisân, 179
 Bait 'Ainûn, 197
 Bait Jabrîn, 213
 Bait Lihya, 200
 Bait Mâma, 245
 Bait Râs, 179
 Bâjarma, 422
 Bâjârwân, 328
 Bajîlah, 405, 407, 424-425
 Bâjuddah, 272
 Bâjunais, 305, 313
 al-Bâk, 331
 Bakkâr b. Muslim, 329
 Bakr b. Wâ'il, 120, 388, 395
 abu-Bakr b. Muḥammad, 71
 abu-Bakr aş-Siddîk, 20, 26, 28, 34,
 38, 39, 46, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 61,
 73, 84, 96, 101, 107, 112, 117, 124,
 127, 128, 129, 130, 134, 136, 137,
 141, 143-150, 153-155, 160, 162,

165-167, 169, 175, 213, 218, 375, 387, 389, 393, 397, 398
 banu-Bakr, 61
 abu-Bakrah b. Masrûh, 85
 Ba'labakk, 171, 180, 198, 201, 228, 236, 245, 247, 251
 al-Balanjar, 319, 320, 415
 al-Balâsajân, 319
 Baldah, 204
 Bâlis, 231-233
 al-Balîkâ', 173, 193, 197
 Bana, 342
 al-Bandanijain, 421
 Bânîkiya, 392-394, 403, 404
 Barâ' b. Mâlik, 130, 131
 al-Baradân, 399
 Bârah, 371
 Bardha'ah, 305, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 324, 325, 329
 Bârik, 184
 Barķah (in Africa), 352-354, 360, 371
 Barr b. Kâis, 353
 al-Barrîyah, 281
 al-Barshaliyah, 307
 Bârûsma, 402
 Barzah, 186
 abu-Barzah-ı-Aslami, 66
 al-Basharûdât, 342
 Bashîr b. al-Audah, 158
 Bashîr b. Sa'd, 392, 398
 al-Başrah, 82, 114, 116, 117, 118, 124, 139, 151, 180, 228, 264, 300, 375, 388, 396, 405, 410, 411, 436, 437, 441, 449, 455, 471, 476, 490
 al-Baṭâ'îh, 264, 452, 453-456
 al-Bathaniyah, 193
 Baṭîhân, Baṭîhân or Buṭîhân, 22, 25, Wâdi—26
 Baṭîn Marr, 32
 al-Baṭrîk b. an-Naka, 220
 al-Ba'ûdah, 149
 Bawâzîj al-Anbâr, 395
 Bawâzîj al-Mulk, 422
 Bâzabda, 275
 Bâzalit, 318
 al-Bazzâk, 451
 Beirût, 194
 Berbers, 123, 253-254, 360, 361, 366, 368, 369
 Bihjâwarsân, 487
 al-Bihkubâdhât, 428
 Bikrât b. Ashût, 289
 Bilâl, 26
 Bilhit, 340
 al-Bîma, 351
 Bîshîr b. Maimûn, 282, 459
 Bîshîr b. Şafwân, 366, 367
 Bîstâm, 416
 Bîstâm b. Narsi, 422
 Biyamand, 490
 Bugha (a freedman of al-Mu'tâsim), 319
 Bugha-ı-Kabîr, 331-332
 al-Bujah, 381, 382
 Bûķa, 229, 246, 250, 259
 Buķailah, 392
 Bukh, 309
 Bukrât b. Ashût, 331
 Bulunyâs, 205
 Bûrân, 406
 Burs, 410, 416
 Buşbuhra b. Şalûba, 392-393, 421
 al-Busfurrajân, 305, 307, 313, 315, 331
 Bushair b. Yasâr, 45, 46, 47
 Bûşîr, 342
 Busr b. abi-Arîtât, 172, 356, 357, 358
 Buşra, 171, 172, 173, 193, 234
 al-Buṭâh, 149
 Buṭnân Hâbib, 229
 al-Buwaib, 406
 Buwailis, 232
 al-Buwairah, 36
 Buzâkhah, 144, 145, 147
 Byzantine Empire, *s. v.* Asia Minor

Constantine [Kuṣṭantīn b. Alyūn], 287, 290-291, 293, 299
 Constantine, son of Heraclius, 347
 Constantinople, 179, 207, 209, 347, 376
 Copts, 339, 340, 342, 343, 344, 346, 347, 383
 Cordova [Kurtubah], 365
 Crete [Ikriṭiṣ], 376
 Cyprus [Kubrus], 180, 235-243

Dabba, 117
 banu-Dabbah, 397
 Dâbiḳ, 264, 295
 Dabil, 305, 312, 314-315, 321, 330
 Dâdhwahî, 161, 162
 ad-Dâḥhâk b. Kâis, s. v. al-Āḥnaf
 ad-Dâḥhâk al-Khârijî, 328
 Dailam, 441
 ad-Dailam, 441
 Dair al-A'war, 406, 443
 Dair Hind, 406, 443
 Dair al-Jamâjîm, 431, 443
 Dair Ka'b, 417, 443
 Dair Khâlid, 186, 198
 Daḳūḳa, 422
 Damâlu, 263-264
 Damascus [Dimashḳ], 167, 172, 173, 176, 178, 182, 183, 186-199, 200, 201, 202, 216, 217, 219, 301, 320, 366, 480
 Damîrah, 342
 Dâr al-'Ajâlah, 80-81
 Dâr al-Ḳawârîr, 78, 80-81
 Dâr an-Nadwah, 80-81
 Dâra, 275
 Darauliyah, 255
 Darb al-Ḥadâth, 295, 296, 298
 ad-Darb (Darb Baghrâs), 210, 254
 abu-ad-Dardâ' Uwaimir, 186, 216, 217
 Dârîn, 129, 130
 Dârûsâṭ, 450
 Dasht al-Warak, 313

ad-Daskarah, 421
 Dastaba, 479
 Dastumaisân, 454
 Dâthîn, 167
 Dâ'ûd b. 'Ali, 456
 ad-Dauḳarah, 450
 abu-Dharr al-Ghifâri, 237
 abu-Dhu'âib Khuwailid, 356
 Dhufâfah b. 'Umair, 324
 Didûna, 317
 Dijlah (district), 259
 Dijlah, see Tigris
 Dijlat al-Bâṣrah, 388, 453
 Diḳahlah, 342
 Dimyâṭ, 342
 Dinâr, 475-476
 Dinâr b. Dinâr, 294
 ad-Dînawar, 305, 469, 476, 478-480, 483
 Dirâr b. al-Azwar, 149, 393, 394, 415
 Diyâr Muḍar, 278
 Diyâr Rabi'ah, 278, 281
 ad-Dubbîyah (ad-Dâbiyah), 168
 banu-Dûdân, 306
 ad-Dûdâniyah, 306, 318, 328
 abu-Dujânah Simâk, 35, 36, 37, 135, 139
 abu-Dulaf al-Ḳâsim, 488
 Dulûk, 202, 231, 297
 Dûmat al-Hirah, 96, 97, 400
 Dûmat al-Jandal, 95-97, 171
 Duraid b. as-Ṣimmah, 85
 ad-Durdhûkiyah, 306
 Durna, 389, 401
 ad-Dustân, 244

Egypt (Miṣr), 20, 180, 191, 215, 216, 335-345, 351, 352, 353, 356, 358, 359, 360, 371, 372, 379, 381, 383
 Euphrates [al-Furâṭ], 232, 279, 284, 285, 293, 311, 403, 406, 410, 428, 432, 443, 445, 451, 453, 456

Fadak, 37, 50-56, 58
 Fadâlî b. 'Ubâid, 237
 al-Fadhandûn, 460
 al-Fadîl b. al-'Abbâs, 215
 al-Fadîl b. Kârin, 206
 al-Fadîl b. Rauh, 369
 al-Fadîl b. Ya'âya, 330
 al-Fâdûsafân, 486
 Fâid, 389, 405
 Fairûz (*dîhkân*), 422
 Fairûz b. ad-Dailami, 160-162
 Fairûz b. Jushaish, 129
 Fairûz b. Yazdajird, 493
 al-Faiyûm, 341
 Fâkh, 79
 Fâkhîtah, daughter of 'Âmir, 129
 Fâkhîtah, daughter of Kârażâh, 235
 al-Falâlîj, 394, 421
 al-Fallûjatain, 407
 Fâmiyah, 201-202
 al-Farâfîshâh-î-Kalbiyah, 29
 Faraj b. Sulaim, s. v. abu-Sulaim
 Faranjah [France], 366
 al-Farazdağ, 450
 al-Farmâ', 335
 Farrukhbundâdh, 392
 Farwah b. Iyâs, 390
 Farwah b. Musaik, 160
 abu-Farwah 'Abd-ar-Râhmân, 397
 umm-Farwah, 155
 al-Fasîlah, 229
 Fâtimah, 52, 53, 54, 55, 61, 99
 al-Fauwârah, 175
 abu-î-Fawâris, 262
 banu-Fazârah, 146
 Fiħl, 176-177, 216
 Filân, 309, 324, 327
 al-Fujâ'ah, 149, 158
 Fuķair, 29
 Furât b. Haiyân, 141
 al-Furu', 28, 29
 al-Fustât, 335, 336, 341, 347, 350
 al-Ghâbah, 24
 al-Ghâbah (the city of), 130
 Ghâbat b. Hubairah, 282
 umm-Ghaḍbân, 128
 al-Ghamr, 147-148
 al-Ghamr b. Yazid, 282
 banu-Ghanm b. 'Auf, 17
 Ghassân, 32, 83, 96, 172, 209, 254, 442
 Ghaṭafân, 144, 148
 Ghazzah, 168, 213
 Ghûmîk, 323
 Ghurâbah, 141
 Ghûrah, 141
 Habbâr b. Sufyân, 174
 Ḥabîb b. 'Abd-ar-Râhmân, 368
 Ḥabîb b. Maslamah, 208, 227, 229, 231, 241, 246, 273, 275, 287, 289, 296, 298, 309, 311-318, 320-321
 Ḥabib b. Zâid, 135, 140
 umm-Ḥabib as-Ṣahbâ', 169
 banu-Ḥabibah, 93, 94
 umm-Ḥabibah, 208
 Habtar, 128
 al-Hâdi, s. v. Mûsa
 al-Hadîkah, 135, 141
 Hâdir Halab, 224-225
 Hâdir Kinnasrin, 223
 Hâdir Taiyi', 224-225
 al-Ḥadîthah, 280
 Ḥadîthat al-Mauṣil, 288, 311
 al-Ḥadrâh, 381
 Ḥadramaut, 107, 112, 153, 156, 157
 Ḥafṣ b. abi-î-'Âsi, 125
 abu-Ḥafṣ 'Umar b. 'Isa, 376
 Haidar b. Kâwus, 330
 al-Ḥâ'ir, 460
 abu-î-Haitham Mâlik, 50
 abu-î-Haiyâj al-Asadi, 435
 Hajâr, 110, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124
 Hajâr, 151
 al-Ḥajjâj b. 'Atîk, 437
 al-Ḥajjâj b. al-Ḥârith, 175

al-Ḥajjāj b. Yūsuf, 75, 76, 104, 110, 112, 250, 259, 366, 428, 431, 433, 449-450, 452, 454, 455, 456, 465, 466, 484
 Ḥakam b. Sa'd al-'Ashirah, 32
 al-Ḥakam b. Mas'ūd, 404
 umm-Ḥakim, 182
 Ḥalab as-Sājūr, 231
 Hamadhān, 410, 471, 481-484, 488
 Ḥamāh, 201-202
 Hamdān, 109, 160, 444
 Ḥammād al-Barbarī, 78, 81
 al-Ḥammārīn, 82
 Ḥamrā' Dailam, 441
 Hamzah b. 'Abd-al-Muṭṭalib, 80, 135
 Hamzah b. Mālik, 297
 Hamzah b. an-Nu'mān, 58
 Ḥamzīn, 323, 326
 Hāni' b. Kābiṣah, 390
 al-Hāni wa-l-Māri, 280-281
 umm-Hāni', 52
 banu-Hanifah, 133, 134, 135, 136
 Ḥanżalah, b. ar-Rabi', 394
 Ḥanżalah, b. Safwān, 367
 banu-Ḥanżalah, 149, 150
 al-Harajah, 112
 al-Harak, 313
 al-Haram, 70, 72, 73, 75, 76, 77, 82, 84
 umm-Ḥarām, 235, 237
 Harim b. Haiyān, 490
 Ḥarish, 369-370
 al-Ḥārith b. 'Abd-Kulāl, 109
 al-Ḥārith b. 'Amr, 322
 al-Ḥārith b. al-Ḥakam, 356
 al-Ḥārith b. Hāni', 443
 al-Ḥārith b. al-Ḥārith, 175
 al-Ḥārith b. Hishām, 175, 215
 al-Ḥārith b. Khālid, 83
 al-Ḥārith b. abi-Shimr, 209
 Ḥārithah b. Tha'labah, 33
 banu-Ḥārithah, 24
 al-Ḥarnāniyah, 272
 al-Ḥarrah, 21, 22, 27, 30, 396
 Harrān, 272-274, 282, 291
 Harthamah b. A'yan, 221, 261, 262, 370
 Ḥārūn ar-Rashid, 78, 81, 83, 105, 117, 202, 221, 224, 233, 238, 244, 252, 257, 260, 261, 263, 264, 280, 282, 283, 293, 297-298, 299, 300, 370, 376, 459, 460, 483
 abu-Ḥārūn as-Sulāmi, 280
 al-Ḥārūniyah, 264
 Ḥasan b. Ḥasan b. 'Ali, 463
 al-Ḥasan b. 'Ali, 49, 99, 155
 al-Ḥasan b. 'Ali-l-Bādhaghīsī, 330
 al-Ḥasan b. Kābṭabah, 261, 288, 292, 295, 296, 329
 al-Ḥasan b. 'Umar, 281
 Ḥāshim b. Ṣubābah, 67
 Ḥāshim b. 'Utbah, 208, 420-422, 469
 al-Ḥāshimiyah, 300, 445-446
 Ḥasmadān, 322
 Ḥassān b. Māhawāih, 258
 Ḥassān b. Mālik, 190
 Ḥassān an-Nabaṭī, 454, 455
 Ḥassān b. an-Nu'mān, 360
 Ḥassān b. Thābit, 36, 188, 218
 Ḥātim b. an-Nu'mān, 321, 322
 abu-Ḥātim as-Saddārātī, 369
 Haudhah b. 'Ali, 132, 133
 abu-l-Haul, 184
 Ḥaurān, 173, 193, 197
 Ḥawārih, 317
 Hawāzin, 85
 Heraclius [Hirakl], 174, 175, 176, 177, 179, 182, 189, 200, 207, 210, 211, 253, 254, 344
 Hibāl b. Khuwailid, 145
 Hibra, 197
 al-Ḥijāz, 31, 50, 57, 59, 112, 114, 157, 165, 168, 261, 286, 353, 381, 389
 Hilāl b. 'Akkaḥ, 398
 Hilāl b. Daigham, 260

Hilâl b. 'Ullafah, 415
 Hîma an-Nâki', 23
 Hîma ar-Rabadhah, 23
 al-Himâr, 210, 379
 Hîmîş [Emesa], 174, 175, 180, 198, 200-206, 211, 212, 216, 217, 223, 228, 270, 275, 294, 295, 301, 320
 Hîmyâr, 108, 109
 Hind, daughter of 'Utbah, 207
 Hind, daughter of Yâmin, 155
 al-Hîrah, 32, 96, 97, 169, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 398, 400, 403, 404, 407, 410, 421, 437, 442, 444, 447, 462, 475
 Hîshâm b. 'Abd-al-Malik, 83, 181, 238, 256, 258, 280, 282, 290, 323, 324, 325, 350, 360, 366, 367, 451, 454, 488
 Hîshâm b. al-'Âşı, 147, 175
 Hîsn al-Hadath, 260, 282, 296-297
 Hîsn Kalûdhiyah, 291, 293
 Hîsn Kamkh, 287-288, 291
 Hîsn Malikîya, 408
 Hîsn Mansûr, 299-300
 Hîsn Mâridin, 275
 Hîsn Salmân, 230
 al-Hîsn b. Ma'bâd, 407
 Hit, 279, 280, 463
 Hîyâr bani-l-Kâ'kâ, 225-226
 Hubâbah, 155
 al-Hubal, 141
 Hubâsh b. Kâis, 210
 al-Hudâibiyah, 45, 49, 60
 Hudâifah b. Mihsan, 117, 399-400
 Hudâifah b. al-Yamân, 320, 427, 430, 449, 472, 474, 475, 476, 477
 abu-Hudâifah b. al-Mughîrah, 74
 abu-Hudâifah b. 'Utbah, 138
 al-Hudhail b. Kâis, 488
 Hujair, 138
 Hujr b. 'Adî, 420, 470
 abu-l-Huâik, 43, 47
 Hukaim b. Sa'd, 443
 Hûlwân, 420, 421, 460-470, 471, 480, 490
 Hûmaid b. Ma'yûf, 238, 376
 Hûmrân b. Abân, 396
 Hunain, 66, 85, 86
 abu-Hurairah (ad-Dausi), 22, 30, 63, 64, 124, 125, 126
 Hûraith b. 'Abd-al-Malik, 96
 Hûrkûs b. an-Nu'mân, 170
 Hûrmuz (village), 431
 al-Hûrmuz, 314
 b. Hûrmuz al-A'raj, 350
 al-Hûrmuzân, 472
 Hûrmuzjarad, 389
 al-Hûsâid, 169
 Hûsail [Hîsl] b. Jâbir, 477
 Hûsain b. Muslim, 263
 al-Hûsain b. 'Ali, 49, 99, 344, 393, 479
 al-Hûsain al-Khâdim, 283
 al-Hûsain b. Muhammâd, 112
 al-Hûsain b. Numair, 74, 75
 al-Hûtam, 127, 128
 al-Hûwairith b. Nuâidh, 67
 Hûwârin, 171
 Huyai b. Akhṭab, 41, 43, 44
 Ibrâhim (son of the Prophet), 35, 344
 Ibrâhim b. 'Abdallâh, 446, 455, 457
 Ibrâhim b. al-Aghlab, 370, 371
 Ibrâhim b. al-Mahdi, 228
 Ibrâhim b. Sa'id, 228
 Ibrâhim b. Salamah, 446
 Idrîs b. Ma'kil, 488
 Ifrîkiyah, 337, 352, 355, 356-361, 362, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370
 Ikhmîm, 340-341
 'Ikrimah b. abi-Jahl, 117, 155, 174
 Ilyâs b. Hâbib, 368
 'Imrân b. Mujâlid, 371
 al-'Irâk, 29, 79, 96, 102, 104, 129, 146, 155, 158, 167, 230, 231, 247, 261, 286, 387, 389, 391, 393, 401, 405, 406, 409, 415, 432, 433, 454, 465, 488
 'Irâkah, 194

'Isa b. 'Ali, 295, 452, 463
 'Isa b. Idris, 488
 'Isa b. Ja'far, 117
 Iṣbāhān, 365, 469, 471, 472, 485-489,
 490
 al-Isbīdhahār, 475
 Iṣhāk b. Ibrāhīm, 462
 Iṣhāk b. Ismā'īl, 330, 332
 Iṣhāk b. Muslim, 323, 328
 Ismā'īl b. 'Abdallāh, 366
 Iṣtakhr, 490
 Iyād, 169, 254, 443
 Iyād b. Ghanm, 215, 216, 226, 227,
 229, 230, 231, 269-278, 289, 296,
 313
 Iyās b. Ḳabiṣah, 390

 al-Jabal, *s. v.* al-Jibāl
 Jabal al-Ahwāz, 437
 Jabal al-Ḳabaḳ, 309
 Jabalah, 204, 205
 Jabalah b. al-Aīham, 207-210, 254
 Jābān, 389-390, 394, 401
 al-Jābiyah, 172, 186, 187, 188, 189,
 190, 198, 214, 233
 Jabr b. abi-'Ubaid, 404
 Jabrīl b. Yaḥya, 257
 Jabrīn, 230
 Jadhīmah, 150
 Ja'far, 38
 Ja'far b. Sulaimān, 21, 76, 233
 abu-Ja'far, *s. v.* al-Maṇṣūr
 umm-Ja'far Zubaidah, *s. v.* Zu-
 baidah
 Jafrabād, 487
 Jai, 485, 487, 488
 Jaifār, b. al-Julānda, 116, 118
 Jaihān, 256, 293, 295
 al-Jālinūs, 401, 414, 416
 Jalūlā', 419, 420-433, 469
 Jamīl b. Buṣbūhrah, 421
 al-Janād, 107
 al-Janb, 454
 al-Jār, 341

 al-Jarājimah, 246-252, 258
 Jarash, 179
 al-Jarbā', 92-94
 al-Jardamān, 307, 318
 Jarīr b. 'Abdallāh, 159, 160, 389,
 392, 393, 395, 405-407, 421, 424,
 425, 432, 469, 470, 472, 481
 Jarjarāya, 398
 al-Jarrāḥ b. 'Abdallāh, 317, 322-
 323
 Jarshān, 309, 324
 Jau Ḳurākīr, 148
 al-Jaulān, 179
 Ja'wanah b. al-Hārith, 290, 323
 al-Jazīrah, *s. v.* Mesopotamia
 Jerusalem [Bait al-Muḳaddas,
 Iḥiyā'], 15, 30, 182, 213-214, 217,
 227, 300, 369
 al-Jibāl or al-Jabal, 319, 324, 325,
 393, 420, 449, 480, 482, 484, 488,
 489
 Jidh', 32
 al-Jifshish al-Kindi, 154
 Jisr Manbij, 232, 275
 Jisr al-Walid, 259-260
 al-Jisr, 399, 403-404, 406. *Cf.* Kuss
 an-Nāṭif
 Jordan [al-Urdunn], 167, 176, 178-
 181, 193, 201, 202, 216, 217, 244,
 301
 Jubail, 194
 Judhām, 92, 207, 218
 al-Juhāf w-al-Jurāf, 82
 Juhainah, 184, 441
 al-Junābidh, 491
 Junādah b. abi-Umaiyyah, 375-376
 Jurash, 91
 al-Jurf, 28, 39, 114, 165, 218
 Jurhum, 31, 32, 74
 al-Jurjūmah, 247, 249, 250
 Jurna, 314
 Jurwah al-Yamāmi, 477
 Jurzān, 305, 306, 315, 316, 317, 330,
 332

Juwâtha, 127, 128, 129, 140

Ka'b al-Ḥabr, 237

Kabalah, 306, 319

Kadas, 179

al-Ķâdîsiyah, 277, 404, 408, 409-416, 417-418, 424, 434, 439, 440, 441, 462

Kafarbayîa, 256, 257

Kafarjadda, 282

Kafarmara, 397

Kafartîs, 349

Kafartûtha, 281

al-Ķâhinah, 360

Kainułkâ', 33

al-Ķairawân, 357, 358, 361, 362, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371

Kais b. Hubairah, 160-162, 411

Kais b. Makhramah, 396

Kais b. Makhshûh, 208, 414, 415

Kais b. Sa'd, 358

Kais b. Sakan, 116

Kaisâriyah, 216-220, 335

banu-1-Ķa'kâ', 225-226

Kalarjit, 318

Kalb, 170, 391, 395

Ķalikala, 289, 305, 309-310, 312-313, 320

Kalthûm b. Hidm, 15

Kalwâdha, 408

al-Ķamîbarâu, 306, 319

Ķanâti an-Nu'mân, 479

Kanîsat as-Šuh, 263

al-Kanîsat as-Saudâ', 264

dhu-Ķâr, 462

al-Karaj, 488

Ķarda, 275

Karkh Fairûz, 460, 461

Ķarkîsiya, 171, 274, 275, 279, 281

Karmân, 463, 486, 490

Ķarmâsin, 469

Karrâz an-Nukri, 130, 131

Karyat abi-Ñalâbah, 443

al-Ķaryatain, 171

Ķâshân, 485-489

al-Ķâsim b. Rabî'ah, 321

Ķâsîrîn, 231-232

Kaskar, 259, 389, 402, 407, 453, 454, 472

Ķaşr al-'Adasiyîn, 391, 444

al-Ķaşr al-Abyâd, 371, 391

al-Ķâşah, 144, 145

Kastasjî, 318

Kaṭarghâsh, 258

Kathîr b. Shihâb, 416, 479, 480, 481

al-Katîbah, 45, 46, 49

al-Ķatîf, 124, 129

Ķatrabbul (or Kuṭrubbul), 400, 458

Ķâtûl Kisra, 461

al-Ķâtûl, 460

Ķâtûlah, 460

Ķazwin, 441

Khâbanjar, 483

Khabbâb b. al-Aratt, 431, 432

al-Khâbûr, 279

Khaffân, 387, 390, 399

Khaibar, 37, 41, 42-49, 50, 51, 52, 57, 58, 337

al-Khais, 340

Khaizân, 319, 320, 323, 324

al-Khaizurân, 433

Khâkân, 308, 319

Khâkân al-Khâdim, 483

Khâkhît, 318, 325

Khalfûn al-Barbari, 372

Khâlid b. 'Abdallâh, 437, 445, 450-451

Khâlid b. Mâlik, 159

Khâlid b. Sa'id, 106, 107, 156, 160, 165, 166, 182, 183

Khâlid b. Thâbit, 214

Khâlid b. 'Umair, 324

Khâlid b. 'Urfuṭah, 413, 416, 419, 432

Khâlid b. al-Walîd, 63, 64, 65, 95, 96, 97, 118, 128, 129, 134, 136, 137, 145, 147-150, 158, 167-168,

169-172, 173, 174, 176, 178, 179, 186-191, 193, 198, 200, 223, 270, 277-278, 293, 387-400, 405

Khâlid b. Yazid b. Mazyad, 330

Khâlid b. Yazid b. Mu'âwiya, 383

Khalij Banât Nâ'ilah, 29

Khânikâr, 422

Khânikîn, 420, 421, 430

Khârijah b. Hişn, 144, 145, 148

Khârijah b. Hudhâfah, 336, 341, 346

al-Khashârimah, 480

Khashram b. Mâlik, 480

Khashram as-Sulami, 327

b. Khaṭal al-Adrami, 66, 67

al-Khaṭl, 124, 129

Khaulân, 152, 157

Khauwât b. Jubâir, 27

al-Khawarnâk, 446

al-Khazar, 305, 306, 309, 310, 319, 323, 324-327, 329

al-Khazraj, 19, 33

al-Khidîrimah, 141

Khilât, 275, 289, 305, 313, 322, 331

Khufâsh, 112

Khûkhiṭ, 318

Khumm, 77, 78

Khunân, 317

Khunâṣîr b. 'Amr, 229

Khunâṣîrah, 229

al-Khuraibah, 388

Khuraim b. Aus, 392

Khurâṣân, 151, 205, 261, 262, 280, 292, 297, 328, 368, 369, 446, 457, 459, 460, 484, 491

Khurrazâd, 420

Khurzâd b. Mâhibundâdh, 399

al-Khusûs, 256

Khutârniyah, 422

Khuzâ'ah, 33, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 240

Khuzaimah b. Khâzim, 330

dhu-l-Kilâ', 263

al-Kilâb, 307, 324

banu-Kilâb, 34

Kinânah, 60, 61

Kindah, 107, 153, 154, 156, 157, 169, 211, 369

Kinnasrin, 202, 211, 213, 214, 217, 223-234, 254, 259, 270, 294, 296, 297, 301

al-Kiryaun, 346, 349

Kisâl, 317, 325

Kisra, 124, 129, 160, 390, 405, 406, 419, 430, 431, 432

Ku'aikî'an, 81

Kubâ', 15, 16, 17, 18

Kubâdh b. Fairûz, 305-306, 453

Kubâkîb, 292

Kubbash, 197

Kudâmah b. Maz'ûn, 125

al-Kûfah, 97, 102, 103, 104, 105, 133, 155, 169, 180, 211, 212, 228, 279, 300, 310, 320, 388, 396, 405, 411, 415, 427, 434-448, 449, 450, 455, 457, 464, 469, 471, 472, 475, 476, 479, 480, 481, 482, 488

Kuḥuwîṭ, 317

Kulthûm b. 'Iyâq, 360, 367

al-Kulzum, 358, 381

Kûmis, 471

Kumm, 485-489

Kura 'Arabiyah, 53, 143

Kuraish, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 68, 74, 75, 77, 80, 86, 105, 112, 133, 475

banu-Kuraiẓah, 25, 40-41

Kurâkir, 169, 170

Kurdbandâdh, 434

Kurds, 319, 482

al-Kurr, 319, 323

Kurrah b. Hubairah, 147

Kurṭ b. Jammâh, 407, 415

Kurṭubah, see Cordova

Kûrus, 202, 230

Kurz b. 'Alkamah, 84

umm-Kurz, 424

Kuṣai b. Kilâb, 77, 80

Kuṣam, 171

Kûsân al-Armani, 312
 al-Kûshân, 244
 Kûss an-Nâtif, 403-404
 Kûtha, 408, 418, 422, 428
 Kûtham b. Ja'far, 54
 al-Kûtûqânah, 461
 Kuwaifah, 434, 437

Labbah, 16
 al-Lâdhîkiyah, 203-205
 Laila (daughter of al-Jûdi), 96-97
 Laila-1-Akhîaliyah, 484
 b. abi-Laila, 71, 73, 89, 90, 113, 114, 286
 al-Laith b. Sa'd, 238
 Lakhm, 92, 207, 362, 443
 Laķît b. Mâlik, 117
 al-Lakz, 309, 322, 326, 327
 Lîrân, 309, 324
 Ludd [Lydda], 213, 220
 dhât-al-Lujum, 314-315
 Luwâtah, 353-354

Ma'âb, 173
 Ma'arrat Misrîn, 229
 Ma'arrat an-Nu'mân, 202
 Ma'bad b. al-'Abbâs, 356, 359
 al-Madâ'in, 262, 416, 417-419, 420, 421, 430, 434, 435, 437, 441-442, 446, 449, 462, 490
 al-Madhâr, 389, 405
 Mâdharân, 482
 Madhhij, 160, 183, 323
 Madh'ûr b. 'Adî, 387
 al-Ma'dîn, 381
 al-Madinah, 15, 27, 30, 33, 54, 57, 61, 80, 81, 84, 117, 124, 127, 129, 136, 144, 146, 155, 158, 162, 165, 184, 208, 214, 270, 341, 350, 356, 387, 391, 410, 411, 440, 451, 462, 472, 477
 Madinat as-Salâm, s. v. Baghdâd
 al-Mafâzah, 484
 al-Maghrib, 256, 335-345, 349, 352-353, 356, 358, 359, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 396
 Magians, 110, 118, 120, 121, 123, 130, 314, 424, 441
 Mâh al-Bâṣrah [Nihâwand], 476, 482
 Mâh Dinâr, 476
 Mâh al-Kûfah [ad-Dinawar], 476
 al-Mâhain, 471, 480
 Mâhawîh, 491, 492
 al-Mahdi, 21, 76, 184, 223, 252, 257, 260, 261, 263, 264, 280, 295, 296, 297, 300, 381, 429, 433, 451, 457, 459, 482, 483
 al-Mahdiyah, 297
 Mahrûbah, 226
 Mahrûdh, 421
 al-Mâhûzah, 461
 Maimadh, 324
 Maimûn (village), 451
 Maimûn b. Ȇamzah, 282
 Maimûn al-Jurjumâni, 248
 al-Maimûn (canal), 451
 Maisarah b. Masrûk, 254, 270
 Maiyâfârikîn, 275
 Majâz al-Andalus, 365
 Ma'kil b. Yasâr, 472, 473
 Makkah, 15, 16, 19, 21, 27, 32, 33, 47, 60-76, 77-81, 82-83, 86, 87, 88, 129, 146, 165, 184, 240, 451, 457, 459
 Maķna, 92-94
 al-Mâķsalât, 188, 190
 Malaťyah, 287, 288, 289-293, 297, 312
 Mâlik b. 'Abdallâh, 298
 Mâlik b. Anas, 238
 Mâlik al-Ashtar, 254, 358
 Mâlik b. 'Auf, 85, 101
 Mâlik b. Murârah, 107, 108
 Mâlik b. Nuwairah, 149-150
 al-Ma'mûn, 54, 56, 83, 141, 229, 233, 256, 257, 281, 289, 299, 330, 376, 446, 460, 484, 488

Manbij, 202, 203, 231, 293, 298
 Mandal al-‘Anazi, 261, 296
 Manjalis, 316, 317
 Mansûr b. Ja‘wanah, 299-300
 Mansûr b. al-Mahdi, 228
 al-Mansûr, 76, 78, 238, 251, 256, 257, 259, 260, 280, 288, 291, 292, 295, 299, 300, 312-313, 328, 329, 341, 360, 368, 369, 397, 429, 433, 445, 446, 448, 455, 457-459, 482
 Manuwil, 347-348
 Manzûr b. Zabbân, 144
 Mârabin, 488
 Marâkiyah, 205
 Mar‘ash, 231, 293-295
 Mardâshâh, 403, 410, 471, 472, 473
 Mardâshâh b. Zâdân, 466
 Ma‘rib, 111
 Marj ‘Abd-al-Wâhid, 282-283, 298
 Marj Dabil, 314
 Marj al-Hasa, 312
 Marj Hüsain, 263
 Marj Râhit, 172
 Marj aş-Şuffar, 174, 182-185, 186, 216
 Marj Tarsûs, 261
 Martâlwân, 229
 Maru, 491, 492
 Maru ar-Rûdh, 369
 al-Marwah, 71
 dhu-l-Marwah, 29, 166
 al-Marwahah, 403
 Marwân b. al-Ḥakam, 20, 54, 79, 84, 184, 294, 356, 360
 Marwân b. Muḥammad, 193, 205, 231, 256, 259, 294-295, 296, 299, 314, 325-328, 368, 445, 466, 488
 al-Marzubânah, Bâdhâm’s wife, 160
 Mâsabadhân, 459, 478-480
 banu-Mashja‘ah, 171
 Mashra‘at (or Furdat) al-Fîl, 452
 Maskat, 306, 309, 319, 324
 Maskin, 400

Maslamah b. ‘Abd-al-Malik, 228-229, 232, 233, 248, 249, 258, 288, 323-325, 456
 Maslamah b. Mukhallad, 359
 Mass, 488
 al-Maṣṣîṣah, 254, 255-259, 260, 264
 al-Mauriyân ar-Rûmi, 312
 al-Mausîl, 261, 277, 400, 458
 Mâyanharaj, 483
 Mâyazdiyâr b. Kârin, 206
 al-Mâzîhîn, 278
 Mesopotamia [al-Jazîrah], 176, 191, 202, 207, 251, 254, 269-283, 287, 289, 290, 291, 292, 294, 296, 297, 299, 300, 309, 312, 313, 314, 320, 328
 Mid‘am, 57
 Midlâj b. ‘Amr, 279-280
 abu-Mihjan b. Habib, 404, 414
 Mîhrân, 393, 405-408
 Mîhrîjânkadîhaf, 478-480
 Mîkhâ'il, 295, 296
 Mikyas b. Şubâbah, 67
 Mi‘lak b. Saffâr, 322
 Milhân b. Zaiyâr, 200
 Mirbâla, 313
 al-Mîṣrain, 83, 449
 Mt. Lebanon, 248, 250-251
 Mt. al-Lukâim [Amanus], 246, 247
 Mu‘âdh b. Jabal, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 215, 233-234, 242, 375
 Mu‘âwiyah b. Hûdaij, 347, 357, 358, 359, 375, 380
 Mu‘âwiyah b. abi-Sufyân, 54, 59, 64, 79, 84, 86, 103, 135, 151, 155, 179, 180, 183, 184, 191, 194-197, 204, 205, 213, 216-219, 227, 228, 235-238, 250, 255, 269, 271, 278-279, 287, 289, 293, 309, 310, 311, 320, 321, 341, 342, 344, 357, 358, 359, 375, 441, 450, 454, 463, 464, 470, 476, 479
 Mu‘âwiyah b. Yazîd, 359
 al-Mubarak at-Tabari, 55, 56

Mudhainib, 25, 26
 al-Muffarraj b. Sallâm, 372
 al-Mughîrah b. abi-l-‘Âsi, 125
 al-Mughîrah b. Shu‘bah, 101, 321,
 410-412, 427, 436, 440, 441, 447,
 450, 463, 464, 472, 473, 475, 479,
 481
 Muhyiyîshah b. Mas‘ûd, 50, 51
 abu-l-Muhâjîr, 359
 al-Muhâjîr b. abi-Umaiyyah, 106,
 107, 154-157, 160, 162
 Muhakkîm [Muhakkam] al-Yamâ-
 mah, 134-136
 Muhammâd b. al-‘Abbâs, 484
 Muhammâd b. ‘Abdallâh b. ‘Abd-
 ar-Râhîmân, 288-289
 Muhammâd b. ‘Abdallâh b. Hâsan,
 446, 457
 Muhammâd b. ‘Abdallâh b. al-
 Hâsan b. ‘Alî, 55, 56
 Muhammâd b. ‘Abdallâh al-
 Kummi, 381-382
 Muhammâd b. ‘Abdallâh b. Sa‘îd,
 184
 Muhammâd b. al-Aghlab, 371
 Muhammâd b. al-Ash‘ath, 155, 288,
 361, 368
 Muhammâd b. abi-Bakr, 358
 Muhammâd b. abi-Ḥudhaifah, 357,
 358
 Muhammâd b. Ibrâhim, 293, 297,
 298, 299
 Muhammâd b. Ishâk, 396
 Muhammâd b. al-Kâsim, 250, 259,
 452
 Muhammâd b. Marwân, 202, 294,
 314, 321, 322, 399
 Muhammâd b. Maslamah, 51, 344-
 345, 392, 438
 Muhammâd b. al-Murtâfi‘, 30
 Muhammâd b. Sa‘îd, 184
 Muhammâd b. Yahya, 55
 Muhammâd b. Yazid, 330

Muhammâd b. Yûsuf ath-Thâkâfi,
 112
 al-Muhammadiyah, 296
 Mujâhid b. Jabr, 376
 Mujâshî‘ b. Mas‘ûd, 490
 Mujjâ‘ah b. Murârah, 132, 134,
 136-137, 141
 al-Mukâ‘bar al-Fârisi, 129, 130, 131
 al-Muqaukis, 339, 340, 343, 346-348
 al-Mukhâbbil, 83
 Mukhârîk, 35
 Mukharrim b. Hâzîn, 399
 al-Mukhtâr b. abi-‘Ubâid, 439, 479
 Muks, 313
 Muîk at-Ṭawâ‘if, 309
 al-Mundhîr b. Hâsân, 407
 al-Mundhîr b. Mâ‘as-Samâ‘, 225
 al-Mundhîr b. an-Nu‘mân, 127, 128
 al-Mundhîr b. Sâwa, 120, 123, 127
 al-Muntaşîr, 372
 Mûrah, 258
 Murrah b. abi-Murrah, 483
 Mûsa b. Bugha, 206, 489
 Mûsa-l-Hâdi, 184, 185, 297, 369,
 459
 Mûsa b. Nuşair, 358, 362, 365-366,
 396, 397
 abu-Mûsa-l-Ash‘ari, 79, 85, 107,
 267, 410, 411, 469, 478, 479, 485,
 487, 490
 al-Muṣ‘ab b. az-Zubâir, 247
 al-Musâfir al-Ķassâb, 328
 Musâlimah al-Kadhâhab, 128, 132-
 140, 151, 159
 al-Musâiyab b. Zuhâir, 293, 297
 Mûshâ‘il al-Armani, 329
 Muslim b. ‘Abdallâh, 180, 228
 al-Musta‘in, 372
 Mu‘tah, 138, 195
 Mu‘tamîr b. Sulaimân, 261, 296
 Mutammam b. Nuwaîrah, 149-150
 al-Mu‘taşîm, 206, 221, 225, 256, 257,
 258, 259, 264, 299, 312-313, 446,
 460, 461

al-Mutawakkil, 21, 56, 76, 81, 225, 229, 245, 249-250, 252, 263, 265, 287, 331, 372, 375, 381-382, 460, 461
 al-Mutawakkiliyah, 319, 461
 al-Mu'tazz, 489
 al-Muthanna b. Hârithah, 169, 387-388, 390, 394, 395, 399, 400, 401-402, 404, 409-410, 413
 Muzaikîya, 31
 Nabateans, 247, 248, 250, 289, 417
 Nâbulus, 213, 245
 banu-an-Nâdîr, 33, 34-39, 43, 51
 Nafîs b. Muhammâd, 30, 396
 Nahr al-Amîr, 452
 Nahr ad-Damm, 390
 Nahr Durkît, 428
 Nahr Mahdûd, 433
 Nahr al-Malik, 408, 422, 428
 Nahr al-Mar'ah, 389
 Nahr Maslamah, 232
 Nahr Sa'd, 432
 Nahr Sa'îd, 280
 Nahr Shâila, 433
 Nahr aş-Silah, 451
 Nahr aş-Sîn, 450
 an-Nahrain, 407, 421, 431
 umm-Nâshhal, 82
 Najd, 134, 165, 462
 Najrân, 29, 32, 76, 98-105, 107, 157, 240
 an-Nâjrâniyah, 102, 103
 an-Nakhirkâh, 417, 474
 an-Namîr b. Kâsit, 398
 an-Nâshâstaj, 431, 432
 an-Nashawa, 307, 315, 321, 330
 Naşîbin, 274-275, 278-279
 Naşr b. Mâlik, 293
 Naşr b. Sa'd, 293
 an-Natât, 45, 46
 Nihâwand, 146, 471-477, 478, 481, 485, 490
 Niğâbulus, 230
 an-Nîl, 450
 Nizak Târkhân, 491
 Nizâr, 435, 436
 Nu'aim b. 'Abd-Kulâl, 109
 Nu'aim b. Aus, 197
 Nubia, 379-382
 an-Nujair, 154, 155, 157, 158
 an-Nukhaîlah, 393, 405-408, 410
 an-Nu'mân Kâil dhi-Ru'ain, 109
 an-Nu'mân b. al-Mundhîr, 127, 399, 394-395
 an-Nu'mân b. Zur'ah, 284
 Nuşair abu-Mûsa, 396, 397
 an-Nusair b. Daisam, 398-400
 Orontes [al-Urunç or al-Urund], 200, 227
 Palestine [Filâşîn], 167, 168, 178, 193, 201, 202, 207, 213-222, 227, 232, 244, 301, 329, 353
 Persians (Fûrs), 45, 63, 120, 123, 180, 306, 387, 389, 390, 391, 396, 401, 403, 407, 408, 409, 413, 414, 415, 416, 418, 419, 420, 421, 440, 441, 451, 453, 454, 455, 462, 471
 Rabağ Harrân, 282
 Ra'bân, 202, 231, 297
 Rabi'ah, 127, 128, 229, 372, 392, 399, 407
 Rabi'ah b. Bujair, 169, 170
 Rafah, 213
 ar-Râfi'ah, 280, 459
 ar-Râhabah, 281
 Rahwat Mâlik, 298
 ar-Rai, 410, 457, 471, 479, 484
 ar-Raiya, 141, 142
 ar-Râjjâl [Râhâl] b. 'Unfuwah, 132, 133, 134
 ar-Râk'ah, 270-272, 274, 275, 278, 280, 281, 282, 290, 300, 322
 ar-Ramlah, 220-221
 Rammân, 147

Ra's al-'Ain, 275-277, 279
 Râskîfa, 274, 282
 ar-Rass, 306, 319, 323
 Rauh b. Hâtim, 297, 330, 369
 "ar-Rawâdîf," 247, 250
 Rhodes [Rûdis], 375-376
 ar-Ribâb, 151, 446
 ar-Ruha, 269, 272-275, 278, 282, 287, 300
 ar-Rûmîyah, 419, 434
 ar-Ruṣâfah, 446, 457
 Ruṣâfat Hishâm, 280, 290
 Rustam, 410-415, 420, 440, 441

Sabastiyah, 213
 Sâbât, 417, 419
 as-Sâbûn, 129
 Sâbûr, 433, 461
 Sa'd b. 'Amr, 169, 279, 280, 432-433
 Sa'd b. Khaithamah, 15, 16
 Sa'd b. Mâlik, 431, 432
 Sa'd b. Mu'âdh, 40, 41
 Sa'd b. abi-Wâkkâs, 23, 24, 176, 230, 409-414, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 425, 431, 432, 434, 435, 437-442, 449, 469, 481-482
 as-Şadîf, 107, 156
 as-Şâfa, 65, 71
 Sâfiyah, 43-44
 as-Şâfi'ûkah, 142
 Sâfîwân b. al-Mu'âṭtal, 270, 273, 287, 288
 Sahl b. Hunâif, 36, 37
 Sahl b. Sanbât, 330
 as-Sâ'ib b. al-Âkra', 471-472, 474, 478, 479, 486
 as-Sâ'ib b. al-'Auwâm, 136, 138
 Sa'id b. 'Âmir, 270, 275, 278
 Sa'id b. 'Amr b. Aswad, 323-325, 484
 Sa'id b. 'Amr b. Sa'id, 184
 Sa'id b. al-'Âsî, 184, 310, 440
 Sa'id al-Khair b. 'Abd-al-Malik, 280

Sa'id b. Sa'd, 81
 Sa'id b. Sâlim, 329, 330
 as-Sailahîn, 394, 410
 as-Saimarah, 478
 Sajâh, 151
 as-Sakûn, 153, 406
 Salamyah, 205
 Sala'ûs, 282
 Sâlih b. 'Abd-ar-Râhmân, 465, 466
 Sâlih b. 'Ali, 205, 221, 251, 257, 260, 291, 295
 Salît b. Kâis, 132, 401, 403, 405
 Sallâm at-Ṭâifûri, 482, 483
 Salma, 413, 414
 Salmân b. Rabi'ah, 230-231, 310-312, 318-320, 415
 Samaritans, 217, 244-245
 as-Şâmsâmah sword, 183-185
 as-Samûr, 323, 326
 Şan'a', 106-107, 112, 156, 160, 162
 as-Şanâriyah, 318, 329
 as-Şârâh (canal), 396, 416, 446, 458
 as-Sarât, 32, 405
 as-Sarîr, 326
 Sarjûn [Sergius], 301
 Sarûj, 274, 282
 as-Sawâd, 114, 387-400, 421, 422-431, 449, 461, 462, 463, 465
 as-Sâwardiyah, 319, 443
 as-Sayâbijah, 250
 Say'ah b. 'Amr, 43
 Seleucia [Salûkiyah], 228
 Shabath b. Rîb'i, 151, 444
 ash-Shâbirân, 306, 319, 326
 Shaila, 433
 Shaizar, 201
 abu-Shajarah 'Amr, 148
 Shakkan, 319
 abu-Shâkir, 83
 ash-Shamâkhîyah, 329
 Shamkûr, 319
 ash-Sharât, 193-194
 Sharwân, 306, 309, 319, 324, 327, 329


ash-Shiḳḳ, 45, 46
 Shimshāṭ, 287, 289, 294, 297, 305, 319
 Shīrāwāiḥ, 406
 Shurahbīl b. Ḥasanah, 165-167, 177, 178, 179, 186, 190, 201, 215
 Shurahbīl b. as-Simṭ, 211-212, 406
 Shurāiḥ b. ‘Āmir, 388-389
 Shurāt, 118, 328
 Sicily [Siḳilliyah], 375
 Sidon [Ṣaīdā'], 194
 Siffin, 232, 415
 Sijistān, 465, 491
 Simāk b. Kharashah, *s. v.* abu-Dujānah
 Simāk b. ‘Ubaid, 476
 as-Simṭ b. al-Aswad, 200-201, 211, 212, 224
 Sinān, 255
 as-Sind, 250, 252
 Sinjār, 274, 276
 Sinn Sumairah, 479
 Sirāj Tair, 305, 314
 as-Sirawān, 478
 Sirān abu-Muhammad, 396, 398
 as-Sisajān, 305, 307, 315, 322, 328, 331, 332
 Sisar, 482-484
 Sisiyah (Sis), 262
 as-Siyāṣiŷūn, 306, 307, 309
 Slavs [Saḳālibah], 231, 325
 St. John's Cathedral, 191-192
 as-Sudd al-‘Arim, 30, 31
 Sufyān b. ‘Abdallāh, 89
 Sufyān b. ‘Auf, 294
 Sufyān b. Muhib, 194, 195
 Sufyān b. ‘Uyainah, 238, 240
 abu-Sufyān b. Ḥarb, 61, 62, 63, 66, 87, 91, 101, 107, 157, 197, 208
 Sughdabil, 306
 Suhail b. ‘Amr, 129, 215
 Suhaim b. al-Muḥājir, 248
 abu-Sulaim Faraj al-Khādim, 260, 262
 banu-Sulaim, 148, 291
 Sulaimān b. ‘Abd-al-Malik, 54, 198, 220, 222, 366
 Sulaimān b. Kirāṭ, 482, 483
 Sulaimān b. Sa‘d, 301
 Sulālim, 45, 46
 Sulṭais, 340, 349
 Sumaisāṭ, 273-274, 297
 Sūrān, 372
 Surra-man-ra'a, 330, 332, 372, 382, 460-461
 as-Sūs al-Adna, 359, 362
 as-Sūs al-Ākṣa, 362
 Suwa, 169-170
 Suwaid b. Kūṭbah, 388, 389
 Syria (ash-Shām), 16, 17, 20, 32, 46, 50, 61, 75, 92, 96, 102, 103, 111, 146, 155, 158, 162, 165-168, 169, 173, 175, 176, 177, 180, 190, 191, 194, 198, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 214, 215, 216, 217, 219, 220, 223, 230, 231, 232, 234, 238, 241, 246, 247, 249, 250, 251, 254, 261, 269, 278, 279, 283, 287, 289, 292, 297, 299, 309, 310, 320, 338, 397, 400, 405, 409, 415, 471
 Tabālah, 91
 Tabaristān, 489, 490
 Tabarsarān, 309, 324, 327
 Tabūk, 92-94, 105, 167
 Tadmur, 171
 Taflis, 241, 305, 316-317, 325
 banu-Tagħlib, 114, 115, 151, 160, 170, 284-286, 308, 399
 at-Ṭā'if, 28, 61, 62, 85-90, 165, 208
 Taimā', 31, 57-59
 Taiyī', 224, 419
 Tākāt Bishr, 282, 447, 459
 Takrit, 225, 399, 400
 Talḥah b. ‘Ubaidallāh, 144, 431, 432
 Tall ‘Afrā', 282
 Tall A'zāz [or ‘Azāz], 230
 Tall Jubair, 263

Tall Madhâba, 282
 Tall Mauzin, 275
 Tamim b. Aus, 197
 banu-Tamîm, 120, 129, 149, 151, 278, 394
 Tanjâh [Tangiers], 357, 359, 362
 Tanûkh, 223, 224, 254
 Tariq b. Ziyâd, 362, 365
 Tarsûs, 253, 254, 260-262, 263, 296, 460
 Thâbit b. Kâis, 139, 145
 Thâbit b. Nu'aim, 328
 ath-Thâbjâ' al-Hâdramiyah, 155
 Thakif, 85, 86, 87, 248, 487
 Thâ'labah b. 'Amr, 32, 33
 ath-Thâ'labiyah, 389, 405, 409
 Thaniyat al-'Ukâb, 172, 200
 Thât b. dhi-l-Hirrah, 161
 ath-Thughûr al-Jazariyah [Mesopotamian Frontier Fortifications], 287-300
 ath-Thughûr ash-Shâmiyah [Frontier Fortresses of Syria], 253-265
 Thumâmah b. al-Wâlid, 295
 Tiberias [Tabaraiyah], 178-179
 Tigris, 417, 418, 421, 427, 430, 446, 451, 453
 at-Tîrîkh, 313-314
 Tîzanâbâdh, 410, 432, 443
 Tizîn, 202, 229
 Tripoli [Atrâbulus], 194-196
 Tripoli (Atrâbulus) in Africa, 355, 357, 369
 Tulaihah b. Khuwailid, 145, 146, 147, 414, 415, 420
 Tulaitulah [Toledo], 365
 Tûmân, 326
 Tûnis, 360, 369
 Tûraifah b. Hâjizah, 149
 Turandah, 289-290
 Turks, 307, 491, 493
 Tustar, 394, 401
 Tyre [Şûr], 179, 180, 181, 220

'Ubâdah b. al-Hârith, 133
 'Ubâdah b. as-Şâmit, 201, 203-205, 209, 216, 217, 235, 237
 Ubâi b. Ka'b, 18, 69, 135
 'Ubâid b. Murrah, 30, 396
 abu-'Ubâid b. Mas'ûd, 401-402, 403-404, 405, 406
 'Ubâidah b. 'Abd-ar-Râhîmân, 367
 abu-'Ubâidah b. al-Jarrâh, 65, 165-166, 172, 173, 176, 177, 178, 179, 186-190, 193, 198, 200, 203, 205, 208, 211, 213-216, 223-224, 226-232, 234, 244, 246, 254, 269, 270, 293, 411
 'Ubâidallâh b. al-Mahdi, 330
 'Ubâidallâh b. Ziyâd, 151, 480
 al-Ubullah, 388
 al-'Udhaib, 391, 401, 405, 409, 410, 413, 414, 463
 Uhud, 22, 28, 30, 35, 80, 140, 477
 Ukaîdir b. 'Abd-al-Malik, 95-97
 'Ukâbah b. 'Âmir, 342, 343
 'Ukâbah b. Nâfi', 353, 357, 358, 359, 361, 367, 379
 'Ukbarâ', 399
 'Ukkâshah b. Mîhsan, 145, 146
 Ullais, 389-390, 393, 404, 405
 'Umaîr b. al-Hubâb, 288
 'Umaîr b. Sa'd, 209-210, 237, 241, 254, 272, 276-277, 279, 284-285, 287
 'Umaîr b. Wahb, 336, 342
 banu-Umaiyyah, 52, 53, 135, 193, 221, 233, 251, 258, 296, 330, 368, 396, 397, 443, 455, 456
 abu-Umâmah As'ad, 19, 218
 abu-Umâmah as-Şudai, 168, 230, 415
 'Umân, 32, 116-119, 124, 140, 147, 155
 'Umar b. 'Abd-al-'Azîz, 20, 21, 28, 49, 52, 53, 54, 58, 70, 88, 92, 103, 104, 105, 112, 118, 190, 192, 204,

220, 238, 255, 258, 280, 290, 322,
 342, 349, 354, 366, 428, 442
 'Umar b. Hafṣ Hizārmard, 361,
 368-369
 'Umar b. Hubairah, 282, 445
 'Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb, 20, 22, 23, 24,
 27, 29, 34, 37, 42, 45, 46, 48, 49,
 50, 51, 53, 54, 57, 58, 61, 62, 69,
 70, 73, 74, 76, 81, 82, 87, 88, 89,
 102, 103, 111, 123, 124, 125, 126,
 130, 131, 138, 139, 141, 146, 147,
 148, 150, 151, 152, 158, 162, 166,
 173, 176, 179, 188, 190, 191, 194,
 196, 197, 198, 208, 209, 210, 212,
 214-219, 222, 227, 233-234, 235,
 240, 241, 242, 253, 269, 270, 272,
 273, 275, 276, 277-278, 279, 284-
 286, 296, 310, 320, 335, 336, 337,
 338, 339, 340, 341, 343, 344, 345,
 346, 347, 351, 353, 355, 375, 379,
 393, 395, 399, 401-402, 404, 405,
 406, 409-412, 414, 416, 422-428,
 430, 431, 434, 435, 438, 439, 440,
 446, 448, 464, 469, 471-475, 481,
 485, 487
 b. 'Umar, 18, 23, 36, 43, 47, 49, 70,
 73, 88, 356
 al-Urdūn, s. v. Jordan
 'Urwah b. Zaid, 402-404
 'Urwah b. az-Zubair, 29, 51, 107,
 122, 343
 'Utbah b. Ghazwān, 125, 410-411
 'Utbah b. Rabi'ah, 81
 'Uthmān b. 'Affān, 20, 25, 29, 51,
 54, 67, 74, 76, 102, 104, 123, 141,
 184, 194, 196, 222, 227, 232, 235,
 253, 278, 279, 286, 287, 309, 310,
 311, 318, 320, 321, 340, 350, 351,
 356, 357, 358, 359, 396, 397, 431,
 432, 440, 482, 486
 'Uthmān b. abi-l-'Âsî, 90, 124, 125,
 487, 490
 'Uthmān al-Audi, 483
 'Uthmān b. Hunāif, 102, 423, 426,
 427, 428, 430
 'Uyainah b. Hîsn, 145, 146
 'Uyûn al-Îrk, 463
 'Uyûn at-Ṭaff, 461-463
 Wâdi-l-Kura, 29, 31, 37-39, 321
 Wâdi Mahzûr, 24, 25, 26
 Wahshi b. Ḥarb, 80, 135
 Waiṣ, 307, 315
 banu-Walî'ah, 153-158
 al-Walîd b. 'Abd-al-Malîk, 20, 54,
 76, 192, 193, 195, 220, 249, 250,
 259, 260, 280, 362, 366, 376, 423,
 454, 456
 al-Walîd b. 'Ukbah, 102, 282, 311,
 440, 447
 al-Walîd b. Yazîd, 104, 238, 241,
 298, 328, 367
 Wardân, 342, 350
 Warthân, 323, 324, 328
 Wâsît, 264, 449-452
 Wâsît ar-Râkîkah, 281
 al-Wâthîk, 185, 249, 451, 460
 al-Wâtiḥ, 45, 46
 Yâfa [Jaffa], 213
 al-Yahûdiyah, 485, 487
 Yahya b. 'Imrân, 489
 Yahya b. Sa'îd, 50, 184
 al-Yâkûshah, 175
 Ya'la b. Munyah, 152, 157
 al-Yamâmah, 21, 76, 129, 132-142,
 389, 394, 415
 al-Yaman, 31, 61, 86, 98, 99, 102,
 103, 106-115, 152, 156, 159-162,
 165, 183, 226, 261, 435, 471, 477
 al-Yarmûk, 175, 188, 207-211, 216,
 223, 335
 Yathrib (al-Madînah), 31, 33, 34,
 44, 86
 Yazdajird b. Shahriyâr, 406, 416,
 417, 418, 420, 421, 469, 471, 486,
 490-493


Yazid b. 'Abd-al-Malîk, 112, 190,
 204, 322, 366, 442
 Yazid b. Hâtim, 369
 Yazid b. al-Hurr, 254
 Yazid b. Makhlad, 262
 Yazid b. Mu'âwiyah, 59, 75, 76, 96,
 103, 202, 236, 244, 245, 294, 359,
 376, 480
 Yazid b. al-Muhallab, 259, 366
 Yazid b. abi-Muslim, 366
 Yazid b. abi-Sufyân, 58, 166-168,
 173, 178, 179, 186, 189, 190, 193,
 194, 196, 201, 208, 215-217, 219,
 269, 335, 341
 Yazid b. 'Umar, 445
 Yazid b. Usaïd, 319, 328-329
 Yazid b. al-Walid, 238, 241, 368
 Yu'hanna b. Ru'bah, 92
 Yûsha' b. Nûn al-Yahûdi, 50
 Yûsuf b. Muhammad, 330-331
 Yûsuf b. 'Umar, 104, 105, 442, 488
 404
 az-Zâb, 370
 Zabîd, 107
 Zâdân Farrûkh, 465-466
 Zaid b. al-Khaṭâb, 138, 139, 150
 abu-Zaid al-Anṣârî, 116, 117, 118,
 404
 Zamzam, 77
 Zandaward, 389, 402, 450
 az-Zârah, 129, 130, 131
 az-Zawazân, 275
 Zawîlah, 352-354
 b. az-Ziba'ra as-Sahmi, 68
 Zibaṭrah, 282, 298-299
 Zirîkirân, 309, 326
 Ziyâd b. Abîhi, 436, 437, 441, 447,
 464, 479
 Ziyâd b. Labid, 107, 153-157, 160
 abu-Zubaid aṭ-Ṭâ'i, 282, 404
 Zubaidah, daughter of abu-l-Fadl,
 81, 281, 451
 az-Zubair b. al-'Auwâm, 27, 28, 38,
 39, 43, 49, 63, 65, 138, 336-338,
 343, 431
 Zuhair b. Kâis, 360
 Zuhair b. Sulaim, 417
 Zuhrah b. Hawiyah, 413, 416, 440-
 441
 banu-Zuhrah, 80, 413
 Zur'ah b. dhi-Yazan, 107
 Zurâib, 24
 Žurârah, 432, 442
 az-Zuṭṭ, 250, 251, 259, 264




ERRATA

| | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Page 17, line 4, | | for "is", | read "it". |
| 25, | 21, | "Ju'dubah", | "ibn-Ju'dubah". |
| 25, | 28, | "'Umri", | "'Umari". |
| 29, | 7, | "Warwah", | "Marwah". |
| 31, | 3, | "Taima'", | "Taimâ'". |
| 33, | 11, | "al-Ārkam", | "al-Ārkam ibn-'Amr". |
| 36, | 14 and 26, | "Buwairâ'i", | "al-Buwairah". |
| 45, | 11, | "Hudaibiyah", | "al-Hudaibiyah". |
| 49, | 6, | "al-Hassân", | "al-Hasan". |
| 55, | 17, | "al-Mubarik", | "al-Mubarak". |
| 56, | 2, | "al-Mubarik", | "al-Mubarak". |
| 71, | 2, | "Sa'id", | "Sa'id". |
| 78, | 1, | "Hâdram", | "Hâdrami". |
| 78, | 9, | "Khadijah", | "Khadijah daughter of Khuwailid". |
| 78, | 11, | "Shufiyah", | "Shufaiyah". |
| 80, | 8, | "Mus'ab", | "Mus'ab". |
| 83, | 29, | "Ma'mûm", | "Ma'mûn". |
| 113, | 17, | "al-'Abbâs", | "'Abbâs". |
| 115, | 4, | "Zinad", | "Zinâd". |
| 117, | 11, | "al-Makhzûmi", | "and 'Ikrimah ibn-abi-Jahl al-Makhzûmi". |
| 142, | 7, | "Sa'fûk", | "Sa'fûk". |
| 150, | 15, | "Muttamam", | "Mutammam". |
| 151, | 23, | "'Abdallâh", | "Ubaidallâh". |
| 153, | 4, | "Bayâdi", | "Bayâdi". |
| 153, | 11, 12, 22, 24, | "Labid", | "ibn-Labid". |
| 155, | 32, | "Yâmin", | "Yâmin". |
| 160, | 8, | "Bayâdi", | "Bayâdi". |
| 166, | 13, | "Arwa", | "abu-Arwa". |
| 175, | 24, | "Yâkûsah", | "al-Yâkûsah". |
| 186, | 18, | "Abu-ad-Dardâ' appointed", | "Yazîd appointed abu-ad- Dardâ'". |
| 194, | 4, | "Bierût", | "Beirût". |
| 197, | 12, | "Huṭai'ah", | "Huṭai'ah". |
| 204, | 5, | "'Abd-al-'Azîz", | "Umar ibn-'Abd-al- 'Azîz". |
| 206, | 8, | "Mayazdiyâr", | "Mâyazdiyâr". |

| Page | line | 29, | for | "Baṭrīk", | read | "Batrik". |
|------|------|-----|-----|----------------|------|-----------------------|
| 221 | | 8, | | "Abbās", | | "Abbās". |
| 227, | | 28, | | "abu-", | | "ibn-". |
| 250, | | 20, | | "Hafs", | | "Hafs". |
| 260, | | 32, | | "Hadath", | | "Hadath". |
| 276, | | 15, | | "Amr", | | "Amr". |
| 277, | | 26, | | "Umar ibn-", | | "Umair ibn-". |
| 282, | | 22, | | "Afra'", | | "Afrā'". |
| 282, | | 33, | | "Mawardi", | | "Māwardi". |
| 287, | | 13, | | "Armenia", | | "Armenia IV". |
| 305, | | 11, | | "Bajunais", | | "Bâjunais". |
| 306, | | 20, | | "Durdhūkiyah", | | "Durdhūkiyah". |
| 328, | | 9, | | "ash-Shurat", | | "ash-Shurāt". |
| 361, | | 15, | | "Khuzâ'fi", | | "Khuzâ'i". |
| 375, | | 19, | | "Mu'adh", | | "Mu'âdh". |
| 376, | | 21, | | "Ma'yûk", | | "Ma'yûf". |
| 392, | | 32, | | "Mawardi", | | "Māwardi". |
| 401, | | 1, | | "Khattâb", | | "Khaṭṭâb". |
| 421, | | 30, | | "Busbuhra", | | "Jamil ibn-Buṣbuhra". |
| 428, | | 23, | | "Mus'ab", | | "Muṣ'ab". |
| 432, | | 8, | | "Zurârah's", | | "Zurârah". |





University of Toronto
Library

DO NOT
REMOVE
THE
CARD
FROM
THIS
POCKET



